

A NOTE ON CLARKSON'S INEQUALITY IN THE REAL CASE

HIROYASU MIZUGUCHI AND KICHI-SUKE SAITO

(Communicated by M. Fujii)

Abstract. We present an elementary proof of the generalized Clarkson's inequality in the real case.

In this note, we consider a generalized Clarkson's inequality in the real case:

$$(|a + b|^q + |a - b|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C(|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We put

$$C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = \sup_{a,b \in \mathbb{R}, |a|^p + |b|^p \neq 0} \frac{(|a + b|^q + |a - b|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{((1+t)^q + (1-t)^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1+t^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

In [3], L. Maligranda and N. Sabourova computed the best constant $C = C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ in the inequality (1) for all $0 < p, q < \infty$. By Theorem 2.1 in [3], we have

THEOREM 1. *Let $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then the best constant $C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ in equality (1) is:*

- (1) *If $0 < p, q \leq 2$, then $C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = 2^{1/q}$.*
- (2) *If $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq 1$, then $C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = 2^{1/q}$.*
- (3) *If $2 \leq q < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q \geq 1$, then $C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = 2^{1/q}$.*
- (4) *If $2 \leq q < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$, then $C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = 2^{1-1/p}$.*
- (5) *If $1 < q < 2 < p < \infty$, then $\max\{2^{1-1/p}, 2^{1/q}\} < C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) < 2^{1/q-1/p+1/2}$.*

In Theorem 2.5 in [2], K. Kuriyama, M. Miyagi, M. Okada and T. Miyoshi gave the elementary proof of the case that $1 < p \leq 2$ and $q > 1$.

Our aim in this note is to present an elementary proof of Theorem 1(5) (cf. [4, 5]).

Suppose that $0 < q < 2 < p < \infty$. We define a function f from $[0, 1]$ into \mathbb{R} by

$$f(t) = \frac{((1+t)^q + (1-t)^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1+t^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

The second author was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 20540158), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

for t with $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then the derivative of f is

$$f'(t) = \frac{((1+t)^q + (1-t)^q)^{\frac{1}{q}-1}}{(1+t^p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \{((1+t)^{q-1} - (1-t)^{q-1})(1+t^p) - t^{p-1}((1+t)^q + (1-t)^q)\}.$$

If $0 < q \leq 1$, then $f'(t) < 0$ and so f is decreasing on $[0, 1]$. Therefore

$$C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = f(0) = 2^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In the case that $1 < q < 2 < p < \infty$, we will prove that there exists a unique $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ at which the function f has its maximum. That is, we have

LEMMA 2. *If $1 < q < 2 < p < \infty$, then there exists a unique $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = f(t_0).$$

Moreover, $\max\{2^{1-1/p}, 2^{1/q}\} < C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) < 2^{1/q-1/p+1/2}$.

Proof. It is clear that the derivative of f is

$$f'(t) = \frac{((1+t)^q + (1-t)^q)^{\frac{1}{q}-1}}{(1+t^p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \{(1+t)^{q-1}(1-t^{p-1}) - (1-t)^{q-1}(1+t^{p-1})\}.$$

For simplicity, we put $\alpha = p - 1$ and $\beta = q - 1$, respectively. We define a function f_1 from $[0, 1]$ into \mathbb{R} by

$$f_1(t) = (1+t)^\beta(1-t^\alpha) - (1-t)^\beta(1+t^\alpha)$$

for t with $0 \leq t \leq 1$. We also define

$$f_2(t) = \log((1+t)^\beta(1-t^\alpha)) - \log((1-t)^\beta(1+t^\alpha))$$

for t with $0 \leq t < 1$. Note that for any t , $f_2(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $f'(t) \geq 0$. Since

$$f_2(t) = \beta \log(1+t) + \log(1-t^\alpha) - \beta \log(1-t) - \log(1+t^\alpha),$$

we have $f_2(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-0} f_2(t) = -\infty$. Since the derivative of f_2 is

$$f_2'(t) = \frac{2(\beta - \beta t^{2\alpha} - \alpha t^{2\alpha-1} + \alpha t^{2\alpha+1})}{(1+t)(1-t)(1-t^\alpha)(1+t^\alpha)},$$

we put $f_3(t) = \beta - \beta t^{2\alpha} - \alpha t^{2\alpha-1} + \alpha t^{2\alpha+1}$. Then the derivative of f_3 is

$$f_3'(t) = \alpha t^{\alpha-2} \{(2\alpha+1)t^2 - 2\beta t - (2\alpha-1)\}.$$

Table 1

t	0		t_2		t_1		1
$f'_3(t)$		-		-	0	+	
$f_3(t)$	β	+	0	-		-	0
$f_2(t)$	0	\nearrow	max	\searrow		\searrow	$-\infty$

We put

$$f_4(t) = (2\alpha + 1)t^2 - 2\beta t - (2\alpha - 1).$$

Since $f_4(0) = -2\alpha + 1 < 0$ and $f_4(1) = 2(1 - \beta) > 0$, there exists a unique element $t_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $f_4(t_1) = f'_4(t_1) = 0$. Since $f_3(0) = \beta$ and $f_3(1) = 0$, the function f_3 has a minimum at t_1 and we have $f'_3(t) < 0$ on $(0, t_1)$, $f'_3(t) > 0$ on $(t_1, 1)$. Since $f_3(t_1) < 0$, there exists a unique element $t_2 \in (0, t_1)$ such that $f_3(t_2) = 0$. Since $f'_2(t_2) = f_3(t_2) = 0$, by Table 1, f_2 has a unique maximum at t_2 . Since $f_2(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-0} f_2(t) = -\infty$, there exists $t_0 \in (t_2, 1)$ such that $f_2(t_0) = 0$. Since f_1 and f_2 have the same signature on $[0, 1)$, we have $f_1(t_0) = 0$, $f_1(t) > 0$ on $(0, t_0)$ and $f_1(t) < 0$ on $(t_0, 1)$.

Table 2

t	0		t_0		1
$f_2(t)$	0	+	0	-	0
$f_1(t)$	0	+	0	-	0
$f'(t)$	0	+	0	-	0
$f(t)$	$2^{1/q}$	\nearrow	$f(t_0)$	\searrow	$2^{1-1/p}$

Then f is increasing on $[0, t_0]$ and decreasing on $[t_0, 1]$. This implies that f has the unique maximum at t_0 . Therefore we have $C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = f(t_0)$ and

$$\max\{2^{1/q}, 2^{1-1/p}\} = \max\{f(0), f(1)\} < f(t_0) = C_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since $1 < q < 2 < p < \infty$, we have for $t \in (0, 1)$, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} ((1+t)^q + (1-t)^q)^{1/q} &\leq 2^{1/q-1/2}((1+t)^2 + (1-t)^2)^{1/2} \\ &= 2^{1/q}(1+t^2)^{1/2} < 2^{1/q-1/p+1/2}(1+t^p)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $f(t_0) < 2^{1/q-1/p+1/2}$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. CLARKSON, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1936), 396–414.
- [2] K. KURIYAMA, M. MIYAGI, M. OKADA AND T. MIYOSHI, *Elementary proof of Clarkson's inequalities and their generalization*, Memories of the Faculty of Engineering, Yamaguchi Univ., **48** (1997), 119–125.
- [3] L. MALIGRANDA AND N. SABOUROVA, *On Clarkson's inequality in the real case*, Math. Nachr., **280** (2007), 1363–1375.
- [4] K. MITANI, K.-S. SAITO AND T. SUZUKI, *On the calculation of the James constant of Lorentz sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **343** (2008), 310–314.
- [5] K. MITANI AND K.-S. SAITO, *Dual of two dimensional Lorentz sequence spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, **71** (2009), 5238–5247.

(Received May 11, 2009)

H. Mizuguchi
Department of Mathematics and Information Science
Graduate School of Science and Technology
Niigata University
Niigata 950-2181
Japan
e-mail: mizuguchi@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

K. -S. Saito
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Niigata University
Niigata 950-2181
Japan
e-mail: saito@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp