

ON p -TH ORDER OF A FUNCTION OF SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES ANALYTIC IN THE UNIT POLYDISC

RATAN KUMAR DUTTA

(Communicated by A. Horwitz)

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the study of the maximum modulus and the co-efficients of the power series expansion of a function of several complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be analytic in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $M(r) = M(r, f)$ be the maximum of $|f(z)|$ on $|z| = r$.

In 1968 Sons [9] introduced the following definition of the order ρ and the lower order λ as

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{-\log(1-r)},$$

Maclane [7] and Kapoor [6] proved the following results which are the characterization of order and lower order of a function f analytic in U , in terms of the coefficients c_n .

THEOREM 1.1. [7] *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be analytic in U , having order ρ ($0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$). Then*

$$\frac{\rho}{1+\rho} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ |c_n|}{\log n}.$$

THEOREM 1.2. [6] *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be analytic in U , having lower order λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty$). Then*

$$\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ |c_n|}{\log n}.$$

NOTATION 1.3. [8] $\log^{[0]} x = x$, $\exp^{[0]} x = x$ and for positive integer m , $\log^{[m]} x = \log(\log^{[m-1]} x)$, $\exp^{[m]} x = \exp(\exp^{[m-1]} x)$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 32A10, 32A15.

Keywords and phrases: Analytic function, p -th order, lower p -th order, several complex variables, unit polydisc.

NOTATION 1.4. [1] For $0 < x < \infty$ we write $\log^{*(0)}x = x$, $\log^{*(1)}x = \log(1 + x)$, $\log^{*(2)}x = \log(1 + \log(1 + x))$, $\log^{*(3)}x = \log(1 + \log(1 + \log(1 + x)))$ etc.

In a paper [5] Juneja and Kapoor introduced the definition of p-th order and lower p-th order and in 2005 Banerjee [1] generalized Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for p-th order and lower p-th order respectively.

DEFINITION 1.5. [5] If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be analytic in U , its p-th order ρ_p and lower p-th order λ_p are defined as

$$\rho_p = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r)}{-\log(1-r)}, \quad p \geq 2.$$

THEOREM 1.6. [1] Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be analytic in U and having p-th order ρ_p ($0 \leq \rho_p \leq \infty$). Then

$$\frac{\rho_p}{1 + \rho_p} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_n|}{\log n}.$$

THEOREM 1.7. [1] Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be analytic in U and having lower p-th order λ_p ($0 \leq \lambda_p \leq \infty$). Then

$$\frac{\lambda_p}{1 + \lambda_p} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_n|}{\log n}.$$

In 2008 Banerjee and Dutta [2] introduced the following definition.

DEFINITION 1.8. Let $f(z_1, z_2)$ be a non-constant analytic function of two complex variables z_1 and z_2 holomorphic in the closed unit polydisc

$$P : \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_j| \leq 1; j = 1, 2\}$$

then order of f is denoted by ρ and is defined by

$$\rho = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : F(r_1, r_2) < \exp \left(\frac{1}{1-r_1} \cdot \frac{1}{1-r_2} \right)^\mu ; \text{ for all } 0 < r_0(\mu) < r_1, r_2 < 1 \right\}.$$

Equivalent formula for ρ is

$$\rho = \limsup_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \log F(r_1, r_2)}{-\log(1-r_1)(1-r_2)}.$$

In a recent paper [3] Banerjee and Dutta introduce the definition of p-th order and lower p-th order of functions of two complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc and generalized the above results for functions of two complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc.

DEFINITION 1.9. Let $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} c_{mn} z_1^m z_2^n$ be a function of two complex variables z_1, z_2 holomorphic in the unit polydisc

$$U = \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_j| \leq 1; j = 1, 2\}$$

and

$$F(r_1, r_2) = \max\{|f(z_1, z_2)| : |z_j| \leq r_j; j = 1, 2\},$$

be its maximum modulus. Then the p -th order ρ_p and lower p -th order λ_p are defined as

$$\rho_p = \lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow 1} \sup \frac{\log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2)}{\inf -\log(1-r_1)(1-r_2)}, p \geq 2.$$

When $p = 2$ Definition 1.9 coincides with Definition 1.8.

THEOREM 1.10. Let $f(z_1, z_2)$ be analytic in U and having p -th order ρ_p ($0 \leq \rho_p \leq \infty$). Then

$$\frac{\rho_p}{1 + \rho_p} = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_{mn}|}{\log mn}.$$

THEOREM 1.11. Let $f(z_1, z_2)$ be analytic in U and having lower p -th order λ_p ($0 \leq \lambda_p \leq \infty$). Then

$$\frac{\lambda_p}{1 + \lambda_p} \geq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_{mn}|}{\log mn}.$$

In a recent paper [4] Dutta introduce the definition of order and lower order of functions of several complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc and generalized the above results for functions of several complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc.

DEFINITION 1.12. Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_n^{m_n}$ be a function of n complex variables z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n holomorphic in the unit polydisc

$$U = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : |z_j| \leq 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = \max\{|f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)| : |z_j| \leq r_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

be its maximum modulus. Then the order ρ and lower order λ are defined as

$$\rho = \lim_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} \sup \frac{\log \log F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{\inf -\log(1-r_1)(1-r_2)\dots(1-r_n)}.$$

When $n = 2$ Definition 1.12 coincides with Definition 1.8.

THEOREM 1.13. *Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be analytic in U and having order ρ ($0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$). Then*

$$\frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} = \limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)}.$$

THEOREM 1.14. *Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be analytic in U and having lower order λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq \infty$).*

Then

$$\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \geq \liminf_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)}.$$

In this paper we consider a more general situation in the case of analytic functions of several complex variables in the unit polydisc and for which we introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 1.15. Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_n^{m_n}$ be a function of n complex variables z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n holomorphic in the unit polydisc

$$U = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : |z_j| \leq 1; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = \max\{|f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)| : |z_j| \leq r_j; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

be its maximum modulus. Then the p -th order ρ_p and lower p -th order λ_p are defined as

$$\frac{\rho_p}{\lambda_p} = \lim_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} \sup \inf \frac{\log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{-\log(1 - r_1)(1 - r_2) \dots (1 - r_n)}, \quad p \geq 2.$$

When $n = 2$ Definition 1.15 coincides with Definition 1.9 also when $p = 2$ Definition 1.15 coincides with Definition 1.12.

In this paper we find a similar analytic expression for ρ_p and λ_p in terms of the co-efficient $c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}$ for several complex variables.

Here $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_n^{m_n}$ will denote a function analytic in the unit polydisc.

2. Lemmas

The following lemmas will be needed in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let the maximum modulus $F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ of a function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ analytic in U , satisfy*

$$\log^{[p-1]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < A \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j) \right\}^{-B} \tag{2.1}$$

$1 < A, B < \infty$ for all r_j such that $r_0(A, B) < r_j < 1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
 Then for all $m_j > m_{j_0}(A, B) > 1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$\log^{[p-1]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| \leq S(A, B) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}}$$

where

$$S(A, B) = (1 + 2B) \left(\frac{A}{B^B} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+B}}.$$

Proof. At first define n sequences $\{r_{jm_j}\}$ by

$$(1 - r_{jm_j})^{-1} = \left(\frac{m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{1}{B+1}}; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then $r_{jm_j} \rightarrow 1$ as $m_j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (m_j!)} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n} f(0, 0, \dots, 0)}{\partial z_1^{m_1} \partial z_2^{m_2} \dots \partial z_n^{m_n}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|z_1|=r_1} \int_{|z_2|=r_2} \dots \int_{|z_n|=r_n} \frac{f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_n}{z_1^{m_1+1} z_2^{m_2+1} \dots z_n^{m_n+1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{r_1^{m_1} r_2^{m_2} \dots r_n^{m_n}} \\ &= \frac{F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^n r_j^{m_j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we have for all $m_j > m_{j_0}(A, B) > 1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} \log |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| &\leq \log F(r_{1m_1}, r_{2m_2}, \dots, r_{nm_n}) - \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \log r_{jm_j} \\ &< \exp^{[p-2]} \left[A \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_{jm_j}) \right\}^{-B} \right] + \left[\sum_{j=1}^n m_j (1 - r_{jm_j}) \right] [1 + O(1)] \\ &= \exp^{[p-2]} \left[A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{(AB)^n} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right] + \left[\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \left(\frac{AB}{m_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{B+1}} \right] [1 + O(1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \exp^{[p-2]} \left[A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right] + \prod_{j=1}^n m_j \left(\frac{AB}{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{B+1}} [1 + O(1)] \\
 &= \exp^{[p-2]} \left[A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right] + A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} B [1 + O(1)] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p-2]} \left[A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right] + B \exp^{[p-2]} \left[A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right] [1 + O(1)] \\
 &= [1 + B\{1 + O(1)\}] \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ A \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n m_j}{AB} \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right\} \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p-2]} \left[\left\{ (1 + 2B) \left(\frac{A}{B^B} \right)^{\frac{1}{B+1}} \right\} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\log^{[p-1]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| \leq S(A, B) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)^{\frac{B}{B+1}}$$

where

$$S(A, B) = (1 + 2B) \left(\frac{A}{B^B} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+B}}.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA 2.2. Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be analytic in U and satisfy

$$|c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| < \prod_{j=1}^n \exp^{[p-1]} (C m_j^D), \quad 0 < C < \infty, \quad 0 < D < 1$$

for all $m_j > m_{j_0}(C, D); j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then for all r_j such that $r_{j_0}(C, D) < r_j < 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\log^{[p-1]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < T(C, D) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{-D}{1-D}},$$

where

$$T(C, D) = C^{\frac{n}{1-D}} D^{\frac{nD}{1-D}} [1 + o(1)].$$

Proof. For all $m_j > m_{j_0}(C, D); j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$|c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| < \prod_{j=1}^n \exp^{[p-1]} (C m_j^D).$$

Now for $|z_j| = r_j < 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) &< \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| r_1^{m_1} r_2^{m_2} \dots r_n^{m_n} \\ &< K(m_{1_0}, m_{2_0}, \dots, m_{n_0}) + \sum_{\substack{m_1 = m_{1_0} + 1 \\ m_2 = m_{2_0} + 1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n = m_{n_0} + 1}}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n \exp^{[p-1]} (C m_j^D) r_j^{m_j} \right\} \\ &\leq K(m_{1_0}, m_{2_0}, \dots, m_{n_0}) + \prod_{j=1}^n \left[\sum_{m_j=m_{j_0}+1}^{\infty} \exp^{[p-1]} \left(C m_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right) r_j^{m_j} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $B = \frac{D}{1-D}$. Choose

$$M_j = M(r_j) = \left[\left(\frac{2^{2p-3} C}{\log^{*(p-2)} \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)} \right)^{B+1} \right]; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not greater than x .

Clearly $M(r_j) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r_j \rightarrow 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The above estimate of $F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ for all $r_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ sufficiently close to 1 gives,

$$F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < K(m_{1_0}, m_{2_0}, \dots, m_{n_0}) + \prod_{j=1}^n \left[M(r_j) H(r_j) + \sum_{m_j=M_j+1}^{\infty} r_j^{m_j/2} \right] \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$H(r_j) = \max_{m_j} \left\{ \exp^{[p-1]} \left(C m_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right) r_j^{m_j} \right\}; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

for if $m_j \geq M_j + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_j &> \left(\frac{2^{2p-3}C}{\log^{*(p-2)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right)} \right)^{B+1} \\
 \therefore Cm_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}} &< \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-3}} \log^{*(p-2)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \\
 &= \log \left[1 + \log^{*(p-3)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \right]^{\frac{m_j}{2^{2p-3}}} \\
 &\leq \log \left[1 + \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-4}} \log^{*(p-3)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \right] \\
 \therefore \exp\left(Cm_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}}\right) &\leq 1 + \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-4}} \log^{*(p-3)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-5}} \log^{*(p-3)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \\
 &\leq \log \left[1 + \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-6}} \log^{*(p-4)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \right] \\
 \therefore \exp^{[2]}\left(Cm_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}}\right) &\leq 1 + \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-6}} \log^{*(p-4)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{m_j}{2^{2p-7}} \log^{*(p-4)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking repeated exponential, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp^{[p-2]}\left(Cm_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}}\right) &< \frac{m_j}{2} \log\frac{1}{r_j} \\
 \text{i.e. } \exp^{[p-1]}\left(Cm_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}}\right) r_j^{m_j} &< r_j^{\frac{m_j}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Therefore the infinite series $\sum_{m_j=M_j+1}^{\infty} r_j^{\frac{m_j}{2}}$ in (2.3) is bounded by $r_j^{\frac{M_j+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1-r_j^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Since $B > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-\frac{M_j+1}{2} \log\frac{1}{r_j} - \log\left(1 - r_j^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &< -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2^{2p-3}C}{\log^{*(p-2)}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_j}\right)} \right)^{B+1} \log\frac{1}{r_j} - \log(1 - r_j) + \log\left(1 + r_j^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &< -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2^{2p-3}C}{\log \frac{1}{r_j}} \right)^{B+1} \log \frac{1}{r_j} - \log(1 - r_j) + \log \left(1 + r_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 &\rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } r_j \rightarrow 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for r_j sufficiently close to 1,

$$\sum_{m_j=M_j+1}^{\infty} r_j^{m_j/2} = o(1)$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The maximum of $\exp^{[p-1]} \left(C m_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right) r_j^{m_j}$ assume at the point $m_j = \left(\frac{BC}{(B+1) \log \frac{1}{r_j}} \right)^{B+1}$ and $H(r_j)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log H(r_j) &= \exp^{[p-2]} \left(C m_j^{\frac{B}{B+1}} \right) + m_j \log r_j \\
 &= \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ \frac{C \cdot B^B \cdot C^B}{(B+1)^B \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^B} \right\} - \left(\frac{(BC)^{B+1} \log \frac{1}{r_j}}{(B+1)^{B+1} \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{B+1}} \right) \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ \frac{C^{B+1} \cdot B^B}{(B+1)^B \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^B} \right\}. \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $r_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ sufficiently close to 1, from (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) &< \prod_{j=1}^n [M(r_j)H(r_j) + o(1)] \left[1 + \frac{K(m_{10}, m_{20}, \dots, m_{n0})}{\prod_{j=1}^n [M(r_j)H(r_j) + o(1)]} \right] \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^n [M(r_j)H(r_j) + o(1)] [1 + O(1)].
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \log F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < \sum_{j=1}^n \{ \log M(r_j) + \log H(r_j) \} + O(1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ -(B+1) \log^{[p]} \frac{1}{r_j} + \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\frac{C^{B+1} \cdot B^B}{(B+1)^B \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^B} \right) \right\} + O(1)$$

[from (2.4)]

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ \frac{C^{B+1} \cdot B^B}{(B+1)^B \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^B} \right\} + O(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ \frac{C^{n(B+1)} \cdot B^{nB}}{(B+1)^{nB}} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{-B} \right\} + O(1) \\ &\leq \exp^{[p-2]} \left[C^{\frac{n}{1-B}} D^{\frac{nB}{1-B}} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{-B} \right] [1 + o(1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p-1]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) &\leq C^{\frac{n}{1-B}} D^{\frac{nB}{1-B}} [1 + o(1)] \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{-D}{1-B}} \\ &= T(C, D) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{-D}{1-B}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T(C, D) = C^{\frac{n}{1-B}} D^{\frac{nB}{1-B}} [1 + o(1)].$$

This proves the lemma. \square

3. Theorem

We prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be analytic in U and having p -th order ρ_p ($0 \leq \rho_p \leq \infty$). Then*

$$\frac{\rho_p}{1 + \rho_p} = \limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. If $|c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|$ is bounded by K for all $m_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then $\sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \dots z_n^{m_n}$ is bounded by $\frac{K}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) &\leq \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| r_1^{m_1} r_2^{m_2} \dots r_n^{m_n} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)} \\ &< \exp^{[p-1]} \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j)} \right)^\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for all $r_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ sufficiently close to 1.

Therefore

$$\rho_p = \limsup_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{-\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j) \right)} \leq \varepsilon$$

since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ arbitrary, $\rho_p = 0$ and so (3.1) is satisfied.

Thus we need to consider only the case

$$\limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| = \infty.$$

In this case all the \log^+ in (3.1) may be replaced by \log . First let $0 < \rho_p < \infty$ and $\rho'_p > \rho_p$.

Then for all $r_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ sufficiently close to 1,

$$\log^{[p-1]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j) \right\}^{-\rho'_p}.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 with $A = 1, B = \rho'_p$ it follows from the above inequality that for $m_j > m_{j_0}(\rho'_p); j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$\log^{[p-1]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| \leq (1 + 2\rho'_p) \left(\frac{1}{\rho'_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho'_p}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)^{\frac{\rho'_p}{\rho_p+1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)} \leq \frac{\rho'_p}{1 + \rho'_p}.$$

Since $\rho'_p > \rho_p$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)} \leq \frac{\rho_p}{1 + \rho_p}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is analytic in U , the above inequality is trivially true if $\rho_p = \infty$ and the right hand side is interpreted as 1 in this case.

Conversely, if

$$\theta = \limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)}$$

then $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

First let $\theta < 1$ and choose $\theta < \theta' < 1$.

Then for all sufficiently large $m_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\log |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| < \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)^{\theta'}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with $C = 1, D = \theta'$ it follows from the above inequality that for all r_j such that $r_{j_0}(\theta') < r_j < 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\log^{[p-1]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < \theta' \frac{n\theta'}{1-\theta'} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{-\theta'}{1-\theta'}} [1 + o(1)].$$

$$\therefore \log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) < \frac{n\theta'}{1-\theta'} \log(\theta') + \frac{-\theta'}{1-\theta'} \log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right) + \log[1 + o(1)]$$

that is

$$\limsup_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{-\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j) \right)} \leq -\frac{\theta'}{1-\theta'} \limsup_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)}{-\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j) \right)}$$

$$\therefore \rho_p < \frac{\theta'}{1-\theta'}$$

Since $\theta' > \theta$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\frac{\rho_p}{1 + \rho_p} \leq \theta = \limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)} \tag{3.3}$$

If $\theta = 1$, the above inequality is obviously true.

Inequality (3.2) and (3.3) together gives (3.1) when $\limsup_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| = \infty$.

This proves the theorem. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be analytic in U and having lower p -th order λ_p ($0 \leq \lambda_p \leq \infty$).*

Then

$$\frac{\lambda_p}{1 + \lambda_p} \geq \liminf_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)}$$

Proof. Let

$$\lim_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log^{+[p]} |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}|}{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)} = A. \tag{3.4}$$

First suppose that $0 < A < 1$.

From (3.4), for $0 < \varepsilon < A < 1$,

$$\log |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| > \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n m_j \right)^{A-\varepsilon}$$

for $m_j > M_j = M_j(\varepsilon); j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Also

$$|c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| \leq \frac{F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^n r_j^{m_j}}.$$

$$\therefore \log F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \geq \log |c_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n}| + \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \log r_j. \tag{3.5}$$

Choose

$$m_j = \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{A-\varepsilon-1}} \text{ where } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then from (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \log F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) &> \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{A-\varepsilon}{A-\varepsilon-1}} - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{A-\varepsilon-1}} \log \frac{1}{r_j} \\ &= \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{A-\varepsilon}{A-\varepsilon-1}} - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{A-\varepsilon}{A-\varepsilon-1}} \\ &> \frac{1}{k} \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{\frac{A-\varepsilon}{A-\varepsilon-1}}, \text{ where } k \text{ is a suitable constant.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) > \frac{A-\varepsilon}{A-\varepsilon-1} \log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right) + O(1).$$

$$\therefore \frac{\log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{-\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1-r_j) \right)} > \frac{A-\varepsilon}{A-\varepsilon-1} \frac{\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \log \frac{1}{r_j} \right)}{-\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1-r_j) \right)} + O(1).$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_p = \liminf_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log^{[p]} F(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{-\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - r_j) \right)} \geq \frac{A - \varepsilon}{1 - A + \varepsilon}.$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon < A < 1$ is arbitrary,

$$\lambda_p \geq \frac{A}{1 - A}.$$

Which implies

$$\frac{\lambda_p}{1 + \lambda_p} \geq A.$$

This inequality holds obviously when $A = 0$. For $A = 1$ the above arguments with a number K arbitrarily near to 1 in place of $A - \varepsilon$, give

$$\frac{\lambda_p}{1 + \lambda_p} = 1.$$

This proves the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BANERJEE, *On p -th order of a function analytic in the unit disc*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India **75(A)**, IV (2005), 249–253.
- [2] D. BANERJEE AND R. K. DUTTA, *Relative order of functions of two complex variables analytic in the unit disc*, J. Math. **1** (2008), 37–44.
- [3] D. BANERJEE AND R. K. DUTTA, *On p -th order of a function of two complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India (Section-A) **81**, I (2011), 49–58.
- [4] R. K. DUTTA, *On order of a function of several complex variables analytic in the unit polydisc*, Journal of Information and Computing Science **6**, 2 (2011), 97–108.
- [5] O. P. JUNEJA AND G. P. KAPOOR, *Analytic Functions-Growth Aspects*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, 1985.
- [6] G. P. KAPOOR, *On the lower order of functions analytic in the unit disc*, Math. Japon. **17** (1972), 49–54.
- [7] G. R. MACLANE, *Asymptotic Value of Holomorphic Functions*, Rice University Studies: Houston, 1963.
- [8] D. SATO, *On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 411–414.
- [9] L. R. SONS, *Regularity of growth and gaps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 296–306.

(Received March 9, 2011)

Ratan Kumar Dutta
 Department of Mathematics
 Siliguri Institute of Technology
 Hill Cart Road
 Post. – Sukna, Siliguri
 Dist. – Darjeeling
 Pin-734009
 West Bengal
 India
 e-mail: ratan_3128@yahoo.com