

SOME NEW PACHPATTE TYPE INEQUALITIES ON TIME SCALES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

LIWEI DU AND RUN XU

(Communicated by A. Peterson)

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to establish some new Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales, which provide explicit bounds on unknown functions. Our results extend some known Pachpatte inequalities on times scales, unify and extend some continuous inequalities and their corresponding discrete analogues. The inequalities given here can be used as tools in the qualitative theory of certain differential equations and dynamic equations. Some examples are given in the end of this paper.

1. Introduction

The theory of time scales was introduced by Hilger [2] in 1988 in order to contain both difference and differential calculus in a consistent way. Since then many authors have expounded on various aspects of the theory of dynamic equations and dynamic inequalities on time scales. For example, we refer the reader to the papers [2–7], the monographs [8,9] and the references cited therein. At the same time, the paper [1] includes a study of the theory of Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales, which extend some unknown dynamic inequalities on time scales, unify and extend some continuous inequalities and their corresponding discrete analogues.

On the basis of [1], we continue to investigate some new Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales, which extend some inequalities established by Wei Nian Li [1]. We validate Pachpatte type inequalities by virtue of Lemma 1 mainly. The obtained inequalities can be used as important tools in the study of dynamic equations on time scales.

This paper is organized as follows: In the second section, we give some basic definitions and some preliminary lemmas and theorems with respect to the calculus on time scales, which can also be found in [1]. In Section 3 we deal with our Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales. In the fourth section, we give some applications of our main results.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34C10.

Keywords and phrases: Time scales, Pachpatte inequality, dynamic equation, integral inequalities.

This research was supported by National Science Foundation of China (11171178), the fund of subject for doctor of ministry of education (20103705110003) and the Natural Science Foundations of Shandong Province of china (ZR2009AM011 and ZR2009AL015).

2. Some preliminaries on time scales

In what follows, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$, \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers, \mathbb{N}_0 denotes the set of nonnegative integers, \mathbb{C} denotes the set of complex numbers, and $C(M, S)$ denotes the class of all continuous functions defined on set M with range in the set S . We use the usual conventions that empty sums and products are taken to be 0 and 1, respectively. A time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of \mathbb{R} . The forward jump operator σ on \mathbb{T} is defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \in \mathbb{T}, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

In this definition we put $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T}$, where \emptyset is the empty set. If $\sigma(t) > t$, then we say that t is right-scattered. If $\sigma(t) = t$ and $t < \sup \mathbb{T}$, then we say that t is right-dense. The graininess $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$. The set \mathbb{T}^k is derived from \mathbb{T} as follows: If \mathbb{T} has a left-scattered maximum m , then $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$; otherwise, $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$.

We say that $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous provided f is continuous at each right-dense point of \mathbb{T} and has a finite left-sided limit at each left-dense point of \mathbb{T} . As usual, C_{rd} denotes the set of rd-continuous functions. We say that $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is regressive provided $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. We denote by \mathcal{R} the set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions. We define the set of all positively regressive functions by $\mathcal{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0, t \in \mathbb{T}\}$. Obviously, if $p \in C_{rd}$ and $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$.

For $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, we define $f^\Delta(t)$ as follows (provided it exists):

$$f^\Delta(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s},$$

we call $f^\Delta(t)$ the *delta derivative* of f at t .

We first introduce the following lemmas, which are very useful in our main results.

LEMMA 2.1. [7] *Assume that $a \geq 0$, $p \geq q > 0$, then*

$$a^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq \frac{q}{p} K^{\frac{q-p}{p}} a + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad \text{for any } K > 0.$$

THEOREM 2.1. [4] *If $p \in \mathcal{R}$ and fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, then the exponential function $e_p(\cdot, t_0)$ is for the unique solution of the initial value problem*

$$x^\Delta = p(t)x, \quad x(t_0) = 1 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T}.$$

THEOREM 2.2. [4] *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and $w : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at (t, t) , where $t \geq t_0$. Assume that $w^\Delta(t, \cdot)$ is rd-continuous on $[t_0, \sigma(t)]$. If for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t , independent of $\tau \in [t_0, \sigma(t)]$, such that*

$$|w(\sigma(t), \tau) - w(s, \tau) - w^\Delta(t, \tau)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|, \quad s \in U,$$

where w^Δ denotes the derivative of w with respect to the first variable, then

$$g(t) := \int_{t_0}^t w(t, \tau) \Delta \tau$$

implies

$$g^\Delta(t) := \int_{t_0}^t w^\Delta(t, \tau) \Delta \tau + w(\sigma(t), t).$$

The following theorem is a foundational result in dynamic inequalities.

THEOREM 2.3. (Comparison Theorem [4]) *Suppose $u, b \in C_{rd}$, $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then*

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)u(t) + b(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_a(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t b(\tau)e_a(t, \sigma(\tau)) \Delta \tau, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k.$$

2. Main results

In this section, we deal with Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $m \geq 1$, m, n, l are real constants, $m \geq n \geq l > 0$, and $t \geq t_0, t \in \mathbb{T}^k$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that $u, f, p \in C_{rd}$, $u(t), f(t)$ and $p(t)$ are nonnegative, and u_0 is a nonnegative constant. If $\omega(t, s)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.2 such that $\omega(t, s) \geq 0$ and $\omega^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} u^m(t) &\leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau)u^m(\tau) + p(\tau)] \Delta \tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left(\int_{t_0}^\tau \omega(\tau, s) u^n(s) \Delta s \right) \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq \left\{ u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t [B(\tau) + f(\tau) (u_0 e_{f+A}(\tau, t_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t_0}^\tau e_{f+A}(\tau, \sigma(s)) B(s) \Delta s) \right] \Delta \tau \Big\}^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad K > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$A(t) = \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \left(\omega(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s \right), \tag{3.3}$$

$$B(t) = p(t) + \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t, s) \Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Define a function $z(t)$ by the right hand of (3.1), then $z(t_0) = u_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &= f(t)u^m(t) + p(t) + f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s)u^n(s)\Delta s \\ &\leq f(t)z(t) + p(t) + f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s)z(s) \frac{n}{m} \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, from the above inequality, for any $K > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &\leq p(t) + f(t)z(t) + f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s) \left[\frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} z(s) + \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} \right] \Delta s \\ &= p(t) + \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s)\Delta s + f(t) \left[z(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s)z(s)\Delta s \right] \\ &= B(t) + f(t) \left[z(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s)z(s)\Delta s \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $B(t)$ is as defined in (3.4).

Let

$$v(t) = z(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t,s)z(s)\Delta s. \quad (3.6)$$

Obviously, $v(t_0) = z(t_0) = u_0$, $z(t) \leq v(t)$ and $z^\Delta(t) \leq B(t) + f(t)v(t)$. Using Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v^\Delta(t) &= z^\Delta(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t,s)z(s)\Delta s + \omega(\sigma(t),t)z(t) \right) \\ &\leq B(t) + \left[f(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t,s)\Delta s + \omega(\sigma(t),t) \right) \right] v(t) \\ &= B(t) + (f(t) + A(t))v(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $A(t)$ is as defined in (3.3). It is easy to see that $(f+A) \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Therefore, using Theorem 2.3, from the above inequality, we have

$$v(t) \leq u_0 e_{f+A}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+A}(t, \sigma(s))B(s)\Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \quad (3.8)$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6), (3.8), we obtain

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq B(t) + f(t) \left[u_0 e_{f+A}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+A}(t, \sigma(s))B(s)\Delta s \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \quad (3.9)$$

Setting $t = \tau$ in (3.9), integrating from t_0 to t , and noting $z(t_0) = u_0$ and $u(t) \leq z(t)$, we easily obtain the desired inequality (3.2). The proof is complete. \square

REMARK 3.1. If $m = n = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, then the inequality given in (3.2) reduces to the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.1].

REMARK 3.2. If $m = n = 1$, $p(t) = 0$, and $\omega(t, s) = \omega(s)$ in Theorem 3.1, then the inequality given in (3.2) reduces to the inequality in [5, Theorem 1].

REMARK 3.3. The result of Theorem 3.1 holds for an arbitrary time scale. Let $\omega(t, s) = \omega(s)$ and $m = n = 1$ in Theorem 3.1. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then the inequality established in Theorem 3.1 reduces to the inequality established by Pachpatte in [13, Theorem 1.7.2(i)]. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then from Theorem 3.1, we easily obtain Theorem 1.8.7 in [14].

THEOREM 3.2. Assume that $u, f, p \in C_{rd}$, $u(t), f(t)$ and $p(t)$ are nonnegative, and u_0 is a nonnegative constant. If $\omega(t, s)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.2 such that $\omega(t, s) \geq 0$ and $\omega^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$, then

$$u^m(t) \leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau)u^m(\tau)\Delta\tau + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[\int_{t_0}^\tau (\omega(\tau, s)u^n(s) + p(s))\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau, \tag{3.10}$$

$$K > 0, t \in \mathbb{T}^k$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq \left\{ u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[u_0 e_{f+A}(\tau, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^\tau e_{f+A}(\tau, \sigma(s))B_1(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{m}}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$K > 0, t \in \mathbb{T}^k,$$

where $A(t)$ is as defined in (3.3),

$$B_1(t) = p(t) + \frac{m-n}{m}K^{\frac{n}{m}} \left[\omega(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s)\Delta s \right], t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Define a function $z(t)$ by the right hand side of (3.10). Then $z(t_0) = u_0$, $u^m(t) \leq z(t)$, and

$$z^\Delta(t) = f(t)u^m(t) + f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left[\omega(t, s)(u^m(s))^{\frac{n}{m}} + p(s) \right] \Delta s$$

$$\leq f(t)z(t) + f(t) \int_{t_0}^t \left[\omega(t, s)(z(s))^{\frac{n}{m}} + p(s) \right] \Delta s. \tag{3.13}$$

Using Lemma 1, from the above inequality, we have

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq f(t) \left\{ z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \left[\left(\frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}}z(s) + \frac{m-n}{m}K^{\frac{n}{m}} \right) \omega(t, s) + p(s) \right] \Delta s \right\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Let

$$m(t) = z(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \left[\left(\frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}}z(s) + \frac{m-n}{m}K^{\frac{n}{m}} \right) \omega(t, s) + p(s) \right] \Delta s, \tag{3.15}$$

it is easy to see that $m(t_0) = z(t_0) = u_0$, $z(t) \leq m(t)$, $z^\Delta(t) \leq f(t)m(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 m^\Delta(t) &= z^\Delta(t) + \left(\frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} z(t) + \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} \right) \omega(\sigma(t), t) \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} z(s) + \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} \right) \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s + p(t) \\
 &\leq \left\{ f(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \left[\omega(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s \right] \right\} m(t) \\
 &\quad + p(t) + \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} \left[\omega(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s \right] \\
 &= (f(t) + A(t))m(t) + B_1(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $A(t)$ is as defined in (3.3), $B_1(t)$ is as defined in (3.13). Using Theorem 2.3, from (3.16), we obtain

$$m(t) \leq u_0 e_{f+A}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+A}(t, \sigma(s)) B_1(s) \Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore,

$$z^\Delta(t) \leq f(t) \left[u_0 e_{f+A}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+A}(t, \sigma(s)) B_1(s) \Delta s \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{3.18}$$

Setting $t = \tau$ in (3.18), integrating it from t_0 to t , and noting $z(t_0) = u_0$ and $u(t) \leq z(t)$, we easily obtain the desired inequality (3.11). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete. \square

REMARK 3.5. If $m = n = 1$ in Theorem 3.2, then the inequality given in (3.11) reduces to the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.2].

REMARK 3.6. By taking $m = n = 1$, $\omega(t, s) = \omega(s)$ from Theorem 3.2, we easily obtain Theorem 4.8(ii)[11].

REMARK 3.7. Letting $m = n = 1$, $\omega(t, s) = \omega(s)$ in Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the inequality established in [13, Theorem 1.7.2(ii)] if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, and the inequality established in [14, Theorem 1.4.6(ii)] if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$.

THEOREM 3.3. Assume that $u, f, g \in C_{rd}$, $u(t)$, $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are nonnegative, and u_0 is a nonnegative constant. If $\omega(t, s)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.2 such that $\omega(t, s) \geq 0$ and $\omega^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^m(t) &\leq u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) u^m(\tau) \Delta \tau + \int_{t_0}^t g(\tau) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[u^n(\tau) + \int_{t_0}^\tau \omega(\tau, s) u^l(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

implies

$$u(t) \leq \left\{ u_0 \left[e_f(t, t_0) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t e_f(t, \sigma(\tau)) g(\tau) e_{f+g+A_1}(\tau, t_0) \Delta\tau \right] + \int_{t_0}^t e_f(t, \sigma(\tau)) C(\tau) \Delta\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad K > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$A_1(t) = \frac{l}{n} K^{\frac{l-m}{m}} \left[w(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s \right], \tag{3.21}$$

$$B_2(t) = \frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} + \frac{m-l}{m} K^{\frac{l}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s, \tag{3.22}$$

$$C(t) = g(t) \left[B_2(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+g+A_1}(t, \sigma(s)) g(s) B_2(s) \Delta s \right]. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. Define a function $z(t)$ by the right hand of (3.20). Then $z(t_0) = u_0$, $u^m(t) \leq z(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &= f(t)u^m(t) + g(t) \left[u^n(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t, s) u^l(s) \Delta s \right] \\ &\leq f(t)z(t) + g(t) \left[(z(t))^{\frac{n}{m}} + \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t, s) (z(s))^{\frac{l}{m}} \Delta s \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Using Lemma 1, from the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &\leq f(t)z(t) + g(t) \left[\frac{m-n}{m} K^{\frac{n}{m}} + \frac{m-l}{m} K^{\frac{l}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t, s) \Delta s \right] \\ &\quad + g(t) \left[\frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} z(t) + \frac{l}{m} K^{\frac{l-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t, s) z(s) \Delta s \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Let

$$v(t) = \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} z(t) + \frac{l}{m} K^{\frac{l-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t, s) z(s) \Delta s, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \tag{3.26}$$

then $v(t_0) = \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} u_0$, $\frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} z(t) \leq v(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} v^\Delta(t) &\leq [f(t) + g(t)]v(t) + \frac{l}{m} K^{\frac{l-m}{m}} \left[\omega(\sigma(t), t) + \int_{t_0}^t \omega^\Delta(t, s) \Delta s \right] v(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} g(t) B_2(t) \\ &= [f(t) + g(t) + A_1(t)]v(t) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} g(t) B_2(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where $A_1(t), B_2(t)$ are as defined in (3.21), (3.22). It is easy to see that $(f + g + A_1) \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Therefore, by using Theorem 2.3, from (3.27), we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &\leq v(t_0)e_{f+g+A_1}(t, t_0) + \frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+g+A_1}(t, \sigma(s))g(s)B_2(s)\Delta s \\ &= \frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \left[u_0e_{f+g+A_1}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+g+A_1}(t, \sigma(s))g(s)B_2(s)\Delta s \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.25), (3.26) and (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &\leq f(t)z(t) + \frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}}u_0g(t)e_{f+g+A_1}(t, t_0) \\ &\quad + g(t) \left[B_2(t) + \frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t e_{f+g+A_1}(t, \sigma(s))g(s)B_2(s)\Delta s \right] \\ &= f(t)z(t) + \frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}}u_0g(t)e_{f+g+A_1}(t, t_0) + C(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where $C(t)$ is as defined in (3.23). Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\leq u_0 \left[e_f(t, t_0) + \frac{n}{m}K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t e_f(t, \sigma(\tau))g(\tau)e_{f+g+A_1}(\tau, t_0)\Delta\tau \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e_f(t, \sigma(\tau))C(\tau)\Delta\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

It is obvious that the desired inequality (3.20) follows from $u^m(t) \leq z(t)$ and (3.30). The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete. \square

REMARK 3.8. If $m = n = l = 1$ in Theorem 3.3, then the inequality given in (3.20) reduces to the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.3].

REMARK 3.9. Let $m = n = l = 1$, $\omega(t, s) = \omega(s)$ in Theorem 3.3. Then we observe that Theorem 1.7.2(iii) in [13] is a peculiar case of Theorem 3.3 if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, and Theorem 1.4.6(iii) in [14] is also a peculiar case of Theorem 3.3 if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$.

4. Some applications

In this section, we present some immediate applications of our results.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Consider the dynamic equation

$$[u^m(t)]^\Delta = F \left(t, u^m(t), \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u^n(s))\Delta s \right), \quad u^m(t_0) = C, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \tag{4.1}$$

where C is a constant, $F : \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $H : \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also a continuous function.

Assume that

$$|F(t, U, V)| \leq f(t)(|U| + |V|) + p(t), \tag{4.2}$$

$$|H(t, s, U)| \leq \omega(t, s)|U|, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{4.3}$$

If $u(t)$ is a solution of (4.1), then

$$|u^m(t)| \leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ B(\tau) + f(\tau) \left[|C|e_{f+A}(\tau, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e_{f+A}(\tau, \sigma(s))B(s)\Delta s \right] \right\} \Delta \tau, \tag{4.4}$$

where $p, f \in C_{rd}, f(t), p(t)$ are nonnegative, $\omega(t, s)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.2 such that $\omega(t, s) \geq 0$ and $\omega^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$, and A, B are as defined in (3.3), (3.4).

Proof. Clearly, the solution $u(t)$ of (4.1) satisfies the following equivalent equation

$$u^m(t) = C + \int_{t_0}^t F \left(\tau, u^m(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} H(\tau, s, u^n(s))\Delta s \right) \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{4.5}$$

It follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} |u^m(t)| &\leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t \left| F \left(\tau, u^m(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} H(\tau, s, u^n(s))\Delta s \right) \right| \Delta \tau \\ &\leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[|u^m(\tau)| + \int_{t_0}^{\tau} |H(\tau, s, u^n(s))|\Delta s \right] \Delta \tau + \int_{t_0}^t p(\tau)\Delta \tau \\ &\leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau)|u(\tau)|^m + p(\tau)]\Delta \tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left(\int_{t_0}^{\tau} \omega(\tau, s)|u^n(s)|\Delta s \right) \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Using Theorem 3.1, the desired inequality (4.4) is obtained from (4.6). The proof of Example 4.1 is complete. \square

EXAMPLE 4.2. Assume that

$$|F(t, U_1, U_2) - F(t, V_1, V_2)| \leq f(t)(|U_1 - V_1| + |U_2 - V_2|), \tag{4.7}$$

$$|H(t, s, U_1) - H(t, s, U_2)| \leq \omega(t, s)|U_1 - U_2|, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{T}^k, \tag{4.8}$$

where f, ω are as defined in Example 4.1. Then (31) has at most one solution.

Proof. Let $u_1(t), u_2(t)$ be two solutions of (4.1). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^m(t) - u_2^m(t) &= \int_{t_0}^t \left[F \left(\tau, u_1^m(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} H(\tau, s, u_1^n(\tau))\Delta s \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - F \left(\tau, u_2^m(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} H(\tau, s, u_2^n(\tau))\Delta s \right) \right] \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

It follows from (4.7)-(4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_1^m(t) - u_2^m(t)| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) [|u_1^m(\tau) - u_2^m(\tau)| \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^\tau |H(\tau, s, u_1^n(s)) - H(\tau, s, u_2^n(s))| \Delta s] \Delta \tau \\
 &\leq \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[|u_1^m(\tau) - u_2^m(\tau)| + \int_{t_0}^\tau \omega(\tau, s) |u_1^n(s) - u_2^n(s)| \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau, \\
 &\quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{4.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have $|u_1(t) - u_2(t)| \equiv 0, t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Therefore, $u_1(t) = u_2(t)$, then (4.1) has the most one solution. The results are obtained. \square

EXAMPLE 4.3. Consider the dynamic equation

$$[u^m(t)]^\Delta = F \left(t, u^m(t), \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u^n(s)) \Delta s \right), \quad u^m(t_0) = C, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \tag{4.11}$$

where C is a constant, $F : \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $H : \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also a continuous function.

Assume that

$$|F(t, U, V)| \leq f(t)(|U| + |V|), \tag{4.12}$$

$$|H(t, s, U)| \leq \omega(t, s)|U| + p(s), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{4.13}$$

If $u(t)$ is a solution of (4.1), then

$$|u^m(t)| \leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[|C|e_{f+A}(\tau, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^\tau e_{f+A}(\tau, \sigma(s))B_1(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta \tau, \tag{4.14}$$

where $p, f \in C_{rd}, f(t), p(t)$ are nonnegative, $\omega(t, s)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.2 such that $\omega(t, s) \geq 0$ and $\omega^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$, and A, B_1 are as defined in (3.3), (3.12).

Proof. Clearly, the solution $u(t)$ of (4.11) satisfies the following equivalent equation

$$u^m(t) = C + \int_{t_0}^t F \left(\tau, u^m(\tau), \int_{t_0}^\tau H(\tau, s, u^n(s)) \Delta s \right) \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{4.15}$$

It follows from (4.12), (4.13), (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u^m(t)| &\leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t \left| F \left(\tau, u^m(\tau), \int_{t_0}^\tau H(\tau, s, u^n(s)) \Delta s \right) \right| \Delta \tau \\
 &\leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[|u^m(\tau)| + \int_{t_0}^\tau |H(\tau, s, u^n(s))| \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau \\
 &\leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) |u^m(\tau)| \Delta \tau \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \left[\int_{t_0}^\tau (\omega(\tau, s)|u^n(s)| + p(s)) \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \tag{4.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.2, the desired inequality (4.14) is obtained from (4.16). The proof of Example 4.3 is complete. \square

EXAMPLE 4.4. Consider the dynamic equation

$$[u^m(t)]^\Delta = F \left(t, u^m(t), u^n(t), \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u^l(s)) \Delta s \right), \quad u^m(t_0) = C, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \quad (4.17)$$

where C is a constant, $F : \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $H : \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also a continuous function.

Assume that

$$|F(t, U, V, W)| \leq f(t)|U| + g(t)(|V| + |W|), \quad (4.18)$$

$$|H(t, s, U)| \leq \omega(t, s)|U|, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{T}^k. \quad (4.19)$$

If $u(t)$ is a solution of (4.17), then

$$\begin{aligned} |u^m(t)| \leq & |C| \left[e_f(t, t_0) + \frac{n}{m} K^{\frac{n-m}{m}} \int_{t_0}^t e_f(t, \sigma(\tau)) g(\tau) e_{f+g+A_1}(\tau, t_0) \Delta \tau \right] \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t e_f(t, \sigma(\tau)) C(\tau) \Delta \tau, \quad K > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where $p, f \in C_{rd}, f(t), p(t)$ are nonnegative, $\omega(t, s)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.2 such that $\omega(t, s) \geq 0$ and $\omega^\Delta(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ with $s \leq t$, and A_1, B_2, C is as defined in (3.22), (3.23), (3.24).

Proof. Clearly, the solution $u(t)$ of (4.17) satisfies the following equivalent equation

$$u^m(t) = C + \int_{t_0}^t F \left(\tau, u^m(\tau), u^n(\tau), \int_{t_0}^\tau H(\tau, s, u^l(s)) \Delta s \right) \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \quad (4.21)$$

It follows from (4.18), (4.19), (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} |u^m(t)| & \leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t \left| F \left(\tau, u^m(\tau), u^n(\tau), \int_{t_0}^\tau H(\tau, s, u^l(s)) \Delta s \right) \right| \Delta \tau \\ & \leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau)|u^m(\tau)| + g(\tau)|u^n(\tau)| + g(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau |H(\tau, s, u^l(s))| \Delta s] \Delta \tau \\ & \leq |C| + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau)|u^m(\tau)| \\ & \quad + \int_{t_0}^t g(\tau) \left(|u^n(\tau)| + \int_{t_0}^\tau \omega(t, s)|u^l(s)| \Delta s \right) \Delta \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Using Theorem 3.3, the desired inequality (4.20) is obtained from (4.22). The proof of Example 4.4 is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W. N. LI, *Some Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **57** (2009), 275–282.
- [2] S. HILGER, *Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus*, Results in Mathematics **18**, 1–2 (1990), 18–56.
- [3] W. N. LI, *Bounds for certain new integral inequalities on time scales*, Advances in Difference Equations, Volume **2009**, Article ID 484185, 16 pages, doi:10.1155/2009/484185.
- [4] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, *Dynamic equations on time scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [5] F. WONG, C. C. YEH, C. H. HONG, *Gronwall inequalities on time scales*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **9** (2006), 75–86.
- [6] D. B. PACHPATTE, *Explicit estimates on integral inequalities with time scales*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure Applied Mathematics **7**, 4 (2006), article 143, pp. 8 pages.
- [7] WEI NIAN LI, *Some new dynamic inequalities on time scales*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **319**, 2 (2006), 802–814.
- [8] WEI NIAN LI AND WEIHONG SHENG, *Some Nonlinear Integral Inequalities on Time Scales*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. **2007**, Article ID 70465, 15 pages. doi:10.1155/2007/70465.
- [9] W. N. LI, *Some Pachpatte type inequalities on Time Scales*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **57** (2009), 275–282.
- [10] W. N. LI, *Some delay integral inequalities on time scales*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **59** (2010), 1929–1936, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2009.11.006
- [11] E. AKIN-BOHNER, M. BOHNER, AND F. AKIN, *Pachpatte inequalities on time scales*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure Applied Mathematics **6**, 1 (2005), article 6, pp. 23 pages.
- [12] B. G. PACHPATTE, *Bounds on certain integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), Article 47.
- [13] B. G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1998.
- [14] B. G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Finite Difference Equations*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2002.

(Received January 21, 2011)

Liwei Du
 Department of Mathematics
 Qufu Normal University
 Qufu, 273165, Shandong
 People's Republic of China

Run Xu
 Department of Mathematics
 Qufu Normal University
 Qufu, 273165, Shandong
 People's Republic of China
 e-mail: xurun2005@163.com