

DIRECT AND INVERSE STRONG-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR JACKSON-MATSUOKA POLYNOMIALS ON THE SPHERE

GUO FENG AND YUAN FENG

(Communicated by V. D. Stepanov)

Abstract. The direct and inverse strong-type inequalities are established for the best approximation by Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials in the L_p space on the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^d which with the help of the relation between K-functionals and modulus of smoothness of sphere.

1. Introduction and Notations

Let $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{x : \|x\| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d ($d \geq 3$), $d \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\|x\|$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm, \mathbb{Z}_+ the set of non-negative integers, and \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers. We denote by $L_p := L_p(\mathbb{S})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the space of functions defined on \mathbb{S} with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}} |f(\varpi)|^p d\varpi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and for $p = \infty$ we assume that L_∞ is replaced by $C(\mathbb{S})$ the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{S} with the usual uniform norm $\|f\|_\infty$, where $\varpi \in \mathbb{S}$, and $d\varpi$ is the measure element on \mathbb{S} , and $|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}| = \int_{\mathbb{S}} d\varpi = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$ is the surface area of \mathbb{S} .

Denote by \mathcal{H}_k^d the space of spherical harmonics of degree k . The Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere denotes by

$$\mathfrak{D}f(\varpi) := \Delta f \left(\frac{\varpi}{|\varpi|} \right) \Big|_{\varpi \in \mathbb{S}}, \quad (1.1)$$

which has eigenvalues $\lambda_k := -k(k+d-2)$ corresponding to the eigenspaces \mathcal{H}_k^d with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, that is, $\mathcal{H}_k^d = \{\Psi \in C(\mathbb{S}) : \mathfrak{D}\Psi = -k(k+d-2)\Psi\}$. For the properties of the space of spherical harmonics and the Laplace-Beltrami operators reference see [6, 7, 9].

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 41A25, 41A35, 41A63.

Keywords and phrases: Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials, best approximation, modulus of smoothness, K-functionals, spherical means.

Project supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10671019), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. LY12A01008), and the Cultivation Fund of Taizhou University.

The standard Hilbert space theory shows that $L_2(\mathbb{S}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_k^d$. That is, with each $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S})$, we can associate its harmonics expansion

$$f(\varpi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(f, \varpi), \quad \varpi \in \mathbb{S},$$

in $L_2(\mathbb{S})$ norm. The orthogonal projection $Y_k : L_2(\mathbb{S}) \mapsto \mathcal{H}_k^d$ take the form

$$Y_k(f; \varpi) := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)(k + \lambda)}{2\pi^{\lambda+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}} P_k^\lambda(\varpi, \vartheta) f(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \quad (1.2)$$

where $2\lambda = d - 2$, P_k^λ denotes hyperspherical polynomials of degree k which satisfies $(1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2)^{-r} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k P_k^\lambda(\cos \theta)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. The properties of hyperspherical polynomials see in [12] for more details.

The spherical means denotes by

$$T_\theta(f) := T_\theta(f; \varpi) := \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{d-2}|(\sin \theta)^{d-2}} \int_{\langle \varpi, \vartheta \rangle = \cos \theta} f(\vartheta) d\vartheta,$$

where $|\mathbb{S}^{d-2}|$ is the surface area of \mathbb{S}^{d-2} , $\langle x, y \rangle$ denote the usual Euclidean inner product. The properties of the spherical means are well known (see [1, 10]). In particular, the function $T_\theta(f; \varpi)$ has the expansion

$$T_\theta(f; \varpi) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_k^\lambda(\cos \theta)}{P_k^\lambda(1)} Y_k(f; \varpi) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k^\lambda(\cos \theta) Y_k(f; \varpi). \quad (1.3)$$

Simultaneously, they lead to the following definition of an analog of the modulus of smoothness:

DEFINITION 1.1. [4, 7] For $f \in L_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, or $f \in C(\mathbb{S})$, the modulus of smoothness on the sphere is given by

$$\omega(f; t)_p := \sup_{0 < \theta \leq t} \|f - T_\theta(f)\|_p. \quad (1.4)$$

The K-functional on the sphere is given by

$$K(f; t^2)_p = \inf_{g \in W_p(\mathbb{S})} \{\|f - g\|_p + t^2 \|\mathfrak{D}g\|_p\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $W_p(\mathbb{S}) := \{f : f \in L_p, \mathfrak{D}f \in L_p\}$, $0 < t < t_0$, t_0 is a positive constants, $\mathfrak{D}f$ denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere.

In [4, 11], Z. Ditzian, and S. Riemenschneider, K. Y. Wang proved the weak equivalence relation

$$C^{-1}K(f; t^2)_p \leq \omega(f; t)_p \leq CK(f; t^2)_p. \quad (1.6)$$

Throughout this paper, C denotes a positive constant independent on n and f and $C(a)$ denotes a positive constant dependent on a , which may be different in different places.

Based on the classical Jackson-Matsuoka kernel (see in [8]) we define a new kernel

$$M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) := \frac{1}{\Omega_{n;j,i,s}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} n\theta/2}{\sin^{2i} \theta/2} \right)^{2s}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $j, i, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega_{n;j,i,s}$ is chosen such that $\int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta = 1$. It is known that $M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)$ is an even nonnegative operator. In particular, it is an even and non-negative trigonometric polynomial of degree at most $2s(nj + 2j - 2i)$ for $j \geq i$ and the Jackson polynomials for $j = i$. Using $M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)$ we consider spherical convolution:

$$J_{n;j,i,s}(f; \varpi) := (f * M_{n;j,i,s})(\varpi) := \int_0^\pi T_\theta(f; \varpi) M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta. \quad (1.7)$$

It is called the Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials on the sphere based on the Jackson-Matsuoka kernel. In particular, $(f_0 * M_{n;j,i,s})(\varpi) = 1$ for $f_0(\varpi) = 1$. The classical Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials in classical L_p space that has been studied by many authors see in [3, 8].

In this paper, we consider the approximation of the Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials on the sphere. With the help of the relation between K-functionals and modulus of smoothness on the sphere and the properties of the spherical means, we obtain the direct and inverse estimate for the best approximation by Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials in the L_p space on the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^d .

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

We need the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\Omega_{n;j,i,s} = \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} \frac{n\theta}{2}}{\sin^{2i} \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^{2s} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta$. Then, the weak equivalence*

$$\Omega_{n;j,i,s} \asymp n^{4is-d+1}, \quad (2.1)$$

holds true for $4si > d - 1$, $j \geq i$, $2\lambda = d - 2$, $d \geq 3$, where the weak equivalence relation $A(n) \asymp B(n)$ means that $A(n) \ll B(n)$ and $B(n) \ll A(n)$, and relation $A_n \ll B_n$ means that there is a positive constant C independent on n such that $A(n) \leq CB(n)$.

Proof. Since $\frac{\theta}{\pi} \leq \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $\sin \theta \leq \theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{n;j,i,s} &= \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} \frac{n\theta}{2}}{\sin^{2i} \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^{2s} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \\ &\asymp n^{4is-d+1} \int_0^{n\pi/2} t^{d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt \\ &\asymp n^{4is-d+1} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} t^{d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt + \int_{\pi/2}^\infty t^{d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt \right) \\ &\asymp n^{4is-d+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

since $4si > d - 1$. The Lemma 2.1 has been proved. \square

LEMMA 2.2. For $4is > r + d - 1$, $j \geq i$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a constant $C(d, j, i, s)$ such that

$$\int_0^\pi \theta^r M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-r}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\theta}{\pi} \leq \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $\sin \theta \leq \theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, by $\Omega_{n;j,i,s} \asymp n^{4is-d+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\pi \theta^r M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-4is+d-1} \int_0^\pi \theta^r \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} \frac{n\theta}{2}}{\sin^{2i} \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^{2s} \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-4is+d-1} n^{4is-r-d+1} \int_0^{n\pi/2} t^{r+d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-r} \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} t^{r+d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt + \int_{\pi/2}^\infty t^{r+d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt \right) \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) C_2 n^\lambda \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-r}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_2 = \int_0^{\pi/2} t^{r+d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt + \int_{\pi/2}^\infty t^{r+d-2} \left(\frac{\sin^{2j} t}{t^{2i}} \right)^{2s} dt,$$

since $4is > r + d - 1$, $j \geq i$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The Lemma 2.2 has been proved. \square

LEMMA 2.3. For $t \geq 0$, there is a constant C such that

$$\omega(f; t\delta)_p \leq C \max\{1, t^2\} \omega(f; \delta)_p. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. By the equivalence relation between the modulus of smoothness and K -functional, and the definition of $K(f; t^2)_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f; t\delta)_p & \leq CK(f; (t\delta)^2)_p \leq C(\|f - g\|_p + t^2 \delta^2 \|\mathfrak{D}g\|_p) \\ & \leq C \max\{1, t^2\} (\|f - g\|_p + \delta^2 \|\mathfrak{D}g\|_p) \\ & \leq C \max\{1, t^2\} K(f; \delta^2)_p \leq C \max\{1, t^2\} \omega(f; \delta)_p \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma 2.3 has been proved. \square

LEMMA 2.4. [5] Suppose $g \in C^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then, for $\varpi \in (S)$ and $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$B_t(g, \varpi) - g(\varpi) = \frac{1}{\Phi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \int_0^\theta \frac{1}{\sin^{d-2} u} \Phi(u) B_u(\mathfrak{D}g, \varpi) du. \quad (2.5)$$

$$T_\theta(g; \overline{\omega}) - g(\overline{\omega}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^\theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{\sin^{d-2}t} B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) dt, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$B_t(f, \overline{\omega}) = \frac{1}{\Phi(t)} \int_{\cos t \leq \langle \overline{\omega}, \vartheta \rangle \leq 1} f(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \quad t > 0, \overline{\omega}, \vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u du,$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $g, \mathfrak{D}g, \mathfrak{D}^2g \in L_p(\mathbb{S})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $J_{n;j,i,s}(f; \overline{\omega})$ be the Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials on the sphere based on the Jackson-Matsuoka kernel, $4is > d + 3$. Then, there is a constant $C(d, j, i, s)$ such that*

$$\|J_{n;j,i,s}g - g - \alpha(n)\mathfrak{D}g\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s)n^{-4}\|\mathfrak{D}^2g\|_p, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\alpha(n) \asymp n^{-2}$.

Proof. By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{n;j,i,s}(g; \overline{\omega}) - g(\overline{\omega}) \quad (2.8) \\ &= \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)(T_\theta(g; \overline{\omega}) - g(\overline{\omega})) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \\ &= \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^\theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{\sin^{d-2}t} B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) dt \\ &= \mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega}) \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^\theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{\sin^{d-2}t} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^\theta \frac{\Phi(t)}{\sin^{d-2}t} (B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) - \mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega})) dt \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u (B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) - \mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega})) du \\ &= \mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega}) \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \int_0^\theta \frac{dt}{\sin^{d-2}t} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u du \\ &\quad + \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \int_0^\theta \frac{dt}{\sin^{d-2}t} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u (B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) - \mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega})) du \\ &:= \alpha(n)\mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega}) + \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta \Psi_\theta(g, \overline{\omega}) d\theta, \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(n) := \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \int_0^\theta \frac{dt}{\sin^{d-2}t} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u du,$$

and

$$\Psi_\theta(g, \overline{\omega}) := \int_0^\theta \frac{dt}{\sin^{d-2}t} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u (B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) - \mathfrak{D}g(\overline{\omega})) du.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(n) &= \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \int_0^\theta \frac{dt}{\sin^{d-2} t} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u du \\
&\asymp \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \int_0^\theta \frac{t \sin^{d-2} \xi}{\sin^{d-2} t} dt \\
&\asymp \int_0^\pi \theta^2 M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \asymp n^{-2}, \quad (0 < \xi < t). \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate, using Lemma 2.4, the expression $B_t(\mathfrak{D}g, \overline{\omega}) - \mathfrak{D}g$, and obtain

$$\|\Psi_\theta(g)\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) \theta^4 \|\mathfrak{D}^2 g\|_p.$$

By Lemma 2.2, and Hölder-Minkowski inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta \Psi_\theta(g, \overline{\omega}) d\theta \right\|_p \\
&\leq C(d, j, i, s) \|\mathfrak{D}^2 g\|_p \int_0^\pi \theta^4 M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \\
&\leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-4} \|\mathfrak{D}^2 g\|_p. \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11) completes the proof of this Lemma. \square

3. Main Results

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that $f \in L_p(\mathbb{S})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $J_{n;j,i,s}(f; \overline{\omega})$ be the Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials on the sphere based on the Jackson-Matsuoka kernel, $4is > d + 3$, $2\lambda = d - 2$, $j \geq i$. Then*

$$\|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) \omega(f; n^{-1})_p. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Since $(f_0 * M_{n;j,i,s})(\overline{\omega}) = 1$ for $f_0(\overline{\omega}) = 1$, Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p &= \left\| \int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) (f(\overline{\omega}) - T_\theta(f; \overline{\omega})) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right\|_p \\
&\leq \int_0^\pi \|f - T_\theta(f)\|_p M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Splitting the integral over $[0, \pi]$ into two integrals over $[0, 1/n]$ and $[1/n, \pi]$, respectively, and using the definition of $\omega(f; t)_p$, we conclude that

$$\|f - T_\theta(f)\|_p \leq \omega(f; n^{-1})_p + \int_{1/n}^\pi \omega(f; \theta)_p M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta.$$

From the Lemma 2.3 it follows that, for $\theta \geq n^{-1}$,

$$\omega(f; \theta)_p = \omega(f; n\theta/n)_p \leq C \max\{1, n^2 \theta^2\} \omega(f; \theta)_p \leq C n^2 \theta^2 \omega(f; \theta)_p.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p \leq \omega(f; \theta)_p (1 + Cn^2 \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \theta^2 M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta).$$

By the Lemma 2.2, we get $\|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) \omega(f; n^{-1})_p$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that $f \in L_p(h_{\kappa}^2)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $J_{n;j,i,s}(f; x)$ be the Jackson-Matsuoka polynomials on the sphere based on the Jackson-Matsuoka kernel, $4is > d + 3$, $2\lambda = d - 2$, $j \geq i$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad \|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p = O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad n \geq 2; \tag{3.2}$$

$$(2) \quad \omega(f; n^{-1})_p = O(t^{\alpha}), \quad 0 < t < 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we have (2) \Rightarrow (1). Now, we will prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let m be a fixed positive integer, Denote by

$$J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f; \overline{\omega}) := \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\int_0^{\pi} M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) Q_k^{\lambda}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m Y_k(f; \overline{\omega}).$$

By orthogonality of the orthogonal projector Y_k , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J^{m+l}(f) &= \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\int_0^{\pi} M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) Q_k^{\lambda}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m \\ &\quad \times Y_k \left(\sum_{v=0}^m \left(\int_0^{\pi} M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) Q_v^{\lambda}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^l Y_v(f) \right) \\ &= J_{n;j,i,s}^m(J_{n;j,i,s}^l(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g = J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)$, we get

$$\|f - g\|_p = \|f - J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \|J_{n;j,i,s}^{k-1}(f) - J_{n;j,i,s}^k(f)\|_p \leq m \|f - J_{n;j,i,s}(f)\|_p, \tag{3.4}$$

where $J_{n;j,i,s}^0(f) = f$.

On the other hand,

$$\|\mathfrak{D} J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m k(k+d-2) \left(\int_0^{\pi} M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta) |Q_k^{\lambda}(\cos \theta)| \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m Y_k(f) \right\|_p.$$

Note that [2]

$$|Q_k^{\lambda}(\cos \theta)| \equiv \left| \frac{P_k^{\lambda}(\cos \theta)}{P_k^{\lambda}(1)} \right| \leq C \min\{(k\theta)^{-1}, 1\}.$$

For $k\theta \geq 1$, from the (2.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m k(k+d-2)k^{-m\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)\theta^{-\lambda} \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m Y_k(f) \right\|_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{m\frac{d-2}{2}} \|f\|_p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2-m\frac{d-2}{2}} \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{m\frac{d-2}{2}} \|f\|_p \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

holds for $m > \frac{6}{d-2}$. For $k\theta < 1$, by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)\theta^{-\frac{2}{m}} (\theta^2 k(k+d-2))^{\frac{1}{m}} |Q_k^\lambda(\cos \theta)| \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m Y_k(f) \right\|_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)\theta^{-\frac{2}{m}} ((k\theta)^2)^{\frac{2}{m}} \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m Y_k(f) \right\|_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\int_0^\pi M_{n;j,i,s}(\theta)\theta^{-\frac{2}{m}} \sin^{2\lambda} \theta d\theta \right)^m Y_k(f) \right\|_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^2 \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(f) \right\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^2 \|f\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently, the inequality

$$\|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^2 \|f\|_p \quad (3.7)$$

holds uniformly for $m > \frac{6}{d-2}$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $m_1 > \frac{6}{d-2}$, $m > m_1 + \frac{6}{d-2}$. Using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(n) \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \\ & = \|\alpha(n) \mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \leq \|J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f) - f\|_p + C(d, j, i, s) n^{-4} \|\mathfrak{D}^2 J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \\ & \leq m \|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p + C(d, j, i, s) n^{-2} \|\mathfrak{D}^2 J_{n;j,i,s}^{m-m_1}(f)\|_p \\ & \leq m \|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p + C(d, j, i, s) \left(n^{-2} \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p + n^{-2} \|J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f) - J_{n;j,i,s}^{m-m_1}(f)\|_p \right) \\ & \leq m \|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p + C(d, j, i, s) \left(n^{-2} \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p + \|J_{n;j,i,s}^{m_1}(f) - f\|_p \right) \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) (\|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p + n^{-2} \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p) \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) (\|J_{n;j,i,s}(f) - f\|_p + \|f\|_p) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Consequently, $n^{-2} \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) \|f - J_{n;j,i,s}(f)\|_p$, by the definition of $K(f; t^2)_p$ and (1.6) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f; n^{-1})_p & \leq C(d, j, i, s) K(f; n^{-2})_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) \|f - J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p + n^{-2} \|\mathfrak{D}J_{n;j,i,s}^m(f)\|_p \\ & \leq C(d, j, i, s) \|f - J_{n;j,i,s}(f)\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In view of (1), we get

$$\omega(f; n^{-1})_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) n^{-\alpha}. \quad (3.10)$$

Letting $(n+1)^{-1} < t \leq n^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f; t)_p &\leq \omega(f; n^{-1})_p \leq C(d, j, i, s) \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{-\alpha} (n+1)^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq C(d, j, i, s) (n+1)^{-\alpha} \leq C(d, j, i, s) t^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professor Vladimir Dmitrievič Stepanov and the anonymous referees for their valuable comments, remarks and suggestions which greatly help us to improve the presentation of this paper and make it more readable.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BERENS, P. L. BUTZER, S. PAWELKE, *Limitierungsverfahren von Reihen mehrdimensionaler Kugelfunktionen und deren Saturationsverhalten*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A. **4** (1968), 201–268.
- [2] E. BELINSKY, F. DAI, Z. DITZIAN, *Multivariate approximating averages*, J. Approx. Theory **125** (2003), 85–105.
- [3] W. Z. CHEN, *Approximation Theory of Operators*, Xiamen Univ. Publishing House, 1989. (In Chinese)
- [4] Z. DITZIAN, *Jackson-type inequality on the sphere*, Acta Math. Hungar. **102**, 1–2 (2004), 1–35.
- [5] Z. DITZIAN, K. RUNOVSKII, *Averages on caps of \mathbb{S}^{d-1}* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **248** (2000), 260–274.
- [6] W. FREEDDEN, T. GERVENIS, M. SCHREINER, *Constructive approximation on the sphere*, New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 1998.
- [7] K. Y. WANG, L. Q. LI, *Harmonic analysis and approximation on the unit sphere [M]*, Beijing: Science Press, 2000.
- [8] Y. MATSUOKA, *On the approximation of functions by some singular integrals*, Tohoku Math. J. **18** (1966), 13–43.
- [9] C. MÜLLER, *Spherical harmonics*, Lecture Notes on Mathematics, Vol. **17**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [10] S. PAWELKE, *Über Approximationsordnung bei Kugelfunktionen und algebraischen Polynomen*, Tohoku Math. J. **24** (1972), 473–486.
- [11] S. RIEMENSCHNEIDER, K. Y. WANG, *Approximation theorems of Jackson type on the sphere*, Adv. in Math. (In Chinese) **24**, 2 (1995), 184–186.
- [12] M. E. STEIN, G. WEISS, *Introduction of functions of real variable*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971.

(Received December 13, 2011)

Guo Feng
Department of Mathematics, Taizhou University
Taizhou, 317000, Zhejiang
China
e-mail: gffeng@tzc.edu.cn

Yuan Feng
School of Science
China University of Mining and Technology
Beijing, 100083, China
e-mail: xzsfdfxy@126.com