

## MIXED SYMMETRIC MEANS RELATED TO THE CLASSICAL JENSEN'S INEQUALITY

KHURAM ALI KHAN AND JOSIP PEČARIĆ

(Communicated by A. Vukelić)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we define some new mixed symmetric means corresponding to various refinements of classical Jensen's inequality. A new refinement of classical Jensen's inequality is given. We also prove the  $n$ -exponential convexity for the functionals constructed from the refinement results. In the end some applications are discussed.

### 1. Introduction and preliminary results

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$  where  $t_i \in [0, 1]$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a positive  $n$ -tuple, such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$  (throughout in this paper). For  $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we consider

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and  $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function.

Then the classical discrete Jensen's inequality states [9]:

$$q\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q(x_i). \quad (1)$$

The following interpolation of (1) is given in [10]:

THEOREM 1.1. Assume (H<sub>1</sub>) and define

$$q_{n,k} = q_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) := \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} q\left(x_{i_1}(1-t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j}(1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j + \bar{x}t_1 \dots t_k\right);$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): Primary 26D07, 26D15, 26D20, 26D99.

*Keywords and phrases:* Convex function, Jensen's inequality, mixed symmetric means, exponentially convex function.

This research was partially funded by Higher Education Commission, Pakistan. The research of the 2nd author was supported by the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sports under the Research Grant 117-1170889-0888.

for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , where  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} q\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) &\leq q_{n,1} \leq q_{n,2} \leq \dots \leq q_{n,n-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n} q\left(x_{i_1}(1-t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_{i_j}(1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j + x_{i_n} t_1 \dots t_{n-1}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Let the index set  $T$  be either  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ . We need some facts from measure and integration theory are as follows ([5], see also [4]):

Let  $(Y_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \nu_i)$ ,  $i \in T$  be probability spaces, where either  $T := \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , or  $T := \mathbb{N}$ . The product of these spaces is denoted by  $(Y^T, \mathcal{B}^T, \nu^T)$ , i.e.  $Y^T := \prod_{i \in T} Y_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}^T$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $Y$  such that each  $pr_{\{i\}}^T$  is  $\mathcal{B}^T - \mathcal{B}_i$  measurable ( $i \in T$ ). If  $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\nu^T$  is the only measure on  $\mathcal{B}^T$  which satisfies

$$\nu^T(B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) = \nu_1(B_1) \dots \nu_n(B_n)$$

for every  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$ . If  $T = \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\nu^T$  is the unique measure on  $\mathcal{B}^T$  such that the image measure of  $\nu^T$  under the projection mapping  $pr_{1 \dots k}^\infty$  is the product of the measures  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

We observe that  $(Y^T, \mathcal{B}^T, \nu^T)$  is also a probability space.

The  $n$ -fold ( $n \geq 1$  or  $n = \infty$ ) product of the probability spaces  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is denoted by  $(X^n, \mathcal{A}^n, \mu^n)$ . We suppose that the  $\mu$ -integrability of a function  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  over  $X$  implies the measurability of  $g$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>) Let  $(Y_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \nu_i)$  be probability spaces and  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow I$  be a  $\nu_i$ -integrable function over  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

**THEOREM 1.2.** ([5]) *Assume (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) and let  $q \circ f_i$  be  $\nu_i$ -integrable over  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then*

$$q\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} f_i d\nu_i\right) \leq \int_{Y^T} q\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} f_i(y_i)\right) d\nu^T(y_1, \dots, y_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} q \circ f_i d\nu_i, \quad (2)$$

where  $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The next theorem corresponds the asymptotic behavior of the core term in (2).

**THEOREM 1.3.** ([5]) *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval, and let  $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex and bounded function on  $I$ . Let  $(Y_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \nu_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be probability spaces and  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow I$  be a square  $\nu_i$ -integrable function over  $Y_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that*

$$\int_{Y_i} f_i d\nu_i = \int_{Y_1} f_1 d\nu_1, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \int_{Y_i} f_i^2 dv_i < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y^{\{1, \dots, n\}}} q \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(y_i) \right) dv^{\{1, \dots, n\}}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = q \left( \int_{\check{Y}_1} f_1 dv_1 \right).$$

Consider the following hypothesis:

(H<sub>3</sub>) (X, A, μ) be probability space and f : X → I be a μ -integrable function over X.

The next theorem is also followed by [5].

THEOREM 1.4. Assume (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>3</sub>) such that q ∘ f is μ – integrable over X.

Then

(a)

$$q \left( \int_X f d\mu \right) \leq \int_{X^n} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \int_X q \circ f dv,$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X^{n+1}} q \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_i) \right) d\mu^{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ & \leq \int_{X^n} q \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \int_{X^n} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

(c) If q is bounded, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X^n} q \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = q \left( \int_X f d\mu \right).$$

REMARK 1.5. From Theorem 1.1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^1(q) & := \Psi^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q(x_i) \\ & - \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n} q \left( x_{i_1} (1-t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_{i_j} (1-t_{j+1}) t_1 \dots t_j + x_{i_n} t_1 \dots t_{n-1} \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi^2(q) := \Psi^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q(x_i) - q_{n,k} \geq 0; \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^3(q) &:= \Psi^3(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) \\ &:= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n} q \left( x_{i_1}(1-t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_{i_j}(1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j + x_{i_n}t_1 \dots t_{n-1} \right) - q_{n,k} \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi^4(q) := \Psi^4(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) := q_{n,k} - q_{n,m} \geq 0; \quad 1 \leq m < k \leq n-1,$$

$$\Psi^5(q) := \Psi^5(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) := q_{n,k} - q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \geq 0; \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^6(q) &:= \Psi^6(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, q) \\ &:= \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^n p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n} q \left( x_{i_1}(1-t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} x_{i_j}(1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j + x_{i_n}t_1 \dots t_{n-1} \right) \\ &\quad - q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi^7(q) := \Psi^7(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q(x_i) - q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right) \geq 0.$$

From Theorem 1.2, we write

$$\Psi^8(q) := \Psi^8(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} q \circ f_i dv_i - \int_{Y^T} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} f_i(y_i) \right) dv^T(y_1, \dots, y_n) \geq 0,$$

$$\Psi^9(q) := \Psi^9(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}, q) := \int_{Y^T} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} f_i(y_i) \right) dv^T(y_1, \dots, y_n) - q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} f_i dv_i \right) \geq 0,$$

$$\Psi^{10}(q) := \Psi^{10}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} q \circ f_i dv_i - q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{Y_i} f_i dv_i \right) \geq 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ .

From Theorem 1.4 (a), we write

$$\Psi^{11}(q) := \Psi^{11}(\mathbf{p}, f, q) := \int_{X^n} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - q \left( \int_X f d\mu \right) \geq 0,$$

$$\Psi^{12}(q) := \Psi^{12}(\mathbf{p}, f, q) := \int_X q \circ f dv - \int_{X^n} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0,$$

$$\Psi^{13}(q) := \Psi^{13}(f, q) := \int_X q \circ f dv - q \left( \int_X f d\mu \right) \geq 0,$$

and from Theorem 1.4 (b)

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{14}(q) &:= \Psi^{14}(\mathbf{p}, f, q) := \int_{X^n} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad - \int_{X^n} q \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0, \\ \Psi^{15}(q) &:= \Psi^{15}(\mathbf{p}, f, q) := \int_{X^n} q \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad - \int_{X^{n+1}} q \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_i) \right) d\mu^{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1.6. The first inequality in Theorem 1.4 (b) provides the generalization of result given in [3] and [13] (see also Theorem 3.36 in [12] page 97). That result is utilized in [2] to give the log-convexity for a class of convex functions and is also used in [8] to give the exponential convexity for the same class.

In this paper we refine the first inequality in Theorem 1.4 (b). Mixed symmetric means are defined and their monotonicity is presented. The notion of  $n$ -exponential convexity is introduced in [11]. The class of  $n$ -exponential convex functions is more general than the class of log-convex functions. We follow the method illustrated in [11] to give the  $n$ -exponential convexity and exponential convexity for the family of functionals  $\Psi^i(q)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ). Therefore our results related to Theorem 2.1 are more general than the corresponding results in [2] and in [8].

### 2. New refinement of Jensen’s inequality

THEOREM 2.1. Consider the assumptions of Theorem 1.4. We define

$$Q_{n,k} := \int_{X^k} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_k \bar{f} \right) d\mu^k(x_1, \dots, x_k),$$

where  $\bar{f} = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x)$  and  $t_i \in [0, 1]$   $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} q \left( \int_X f d\mu \right) &\leq Q_{n,1} \leq Q_{n,2} \leq \dots \leq Q_{n,n-1} \\ &\leq \int_{X^n} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} f(x_n) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\leq \int_X q \circ f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By use of Jensen's inequality and integration with respect to  $\mu$ , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\bar{X}} q \circ f d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\bar{X}^n} \left( (1-t_1)q \circ f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j q \circ f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} q \circ f(x_n) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 &\geq \int_{\bar{X}^n} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} f(x_n) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 &\geq \int_{\bar{X}^{n-1}} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\geq \int_{\bar{X}} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + t_1 \bar{f} \right) d\mu(x_1) \geq q \left( \int_{\bar{X}} f d\mu \right). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.2. From previous theorem we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi^{16}(q) &:= \Psi^{16}(\mathbf{t}, f, q) := \int_{\bar{X}} q \circ f d\mu \\
 &\quad - \int_{\bar{X}^n} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} f(x_n) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 &\geq 0, \\
 \Psi^{17}(q) &:= \Psi^{18}(\mathbf{t}, f, q) \\
 &:= \int_{\bar{X}^n} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} f(x_n) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 &\quad - Q_{n,k} \geq 0; \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\
 \Psi^{18}(q) &:= \Psi^{17}(\mathbf{t}, f, q) := \int_{\bar{X}} q \circ f d\mu - Q_{n,k} \geq 0; \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\
 \Psi^{19}(q) &:= \Psi^{19}(\mathbf{t}, f, q) := Q_{n,k} - Q_{n,m} \geq 0; \quad 1 \leq m < k \leq n-1, \\
 \Psi^{20}(q) &:= \Psi^{20}(\mathbf{t}, f, q) := Q_{n,k} - q \left( \int_{\bar{X}} f d\mu \right) \geq 0; \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{21}(q) &:= \Psi^{21}(\mathbf{t}, f, q) \\ &:= \int_{X^n} q \left( (1-t_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_{n-1} f(x_n) \right) d\mu^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad - q \left( \int_X f d\mu \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any convex function  $q$ , we have

$$\Psi^i(q) \geq 0; \quad i = 1, \dots, 21. \tag{5}$$

REMARK 2.3. In this way the results for  $\Psi^i(q)$ ,  $i = 18, \dots, 20$  are more general than the results given for Theorem 1.14 in [8].

Now we formulate the mean value theorems for  $\Psi^i(q)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 21$ .

THEOREM 2.4. Consider the functionals as defined in (5) and let  $g \in C^2[a, b]$ . Then there exists  $\xi_i \in [a, b]$  such that

$$\Psi^i(g) = \frac{1}{2}g''(\xi_i)\Psi^i(x^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, 21.$$

*Proof.* Since  $g \in C^2[a, b]$  therefore there exist real numbers  $m = \min_{x \in [a, b]} g''(x)$  and  $M = \max_{x \in [a, b]} g''(x)$ . It is easy to show that the functions  $\phi_1(x)$ ,  $\phi_2(x)$  defined as

$$\phi_1(x) = \frac{M}{2}x^2 - g(x),$$

and

$$\phi_2(x) = g(x) - \frac{m}{2}x^2,$$

are convex. Fix  $1 \leq i \leq 21$  and put  $q = \phi_1$  in (5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^i \left( \frac{M}{2}x^2 - g(x) \right) &\geq 0, \\ \Rightarrow \Psi^i(g(x)) &\leq \frac{M}{2}\Psi^i(x^2). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Similarly, put  $q = \phi_2$  in (5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^i \left( g(x) - \frac{m}{2}x^2 \right) &\geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{m}{2}\Psi^i(x^2) &\leq \Psi^i(g(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (6) and (7), we get

$$\frac{m}{2}\Psi^i(x^2) \leq \Psi^i(g(x)) \leq \frac{M}{2}\Psi^i(x^2).$$

If  $\Psi^i(x^2) = 0$  then nothing to prove. If  $\Psi^i(x^2) \neq 0$ , then

$$m \leq \frac{2\Psi^i(g(x))}{\Psi^i(x^2)} \leq M.$$

Consequently

$$\Psi^i(g) = \frac{1}{2}g''(\xi_i)\Psi^i(x^2). \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Consider the functionals as defined in (5) and let  $g, h \in C^2[a, b]$ . Then there exists  $\xi_i \in [a, b]$  such that*

$$\frac{\Psi^i(g)}{\Psi^i(h)} = \frac{g''(\xi_i)}{h''(\xi_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 21,$$

*provided that the denominators are non zero.*

*Proof.* Fix  $1 \leq i \leq 21$  and define  $L \in C^2[a, b]$  in the way that

$$L = c_1g - c_2h,$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are as follow;

$$c_1 = \Psi^i(h)$$

and

$$c_2 = \Psi^i(g).$$

Now using Theorem 2.4 for the function  $L$ , we have

$$\left( c_1 \frac{g''(\xi_i)}{2} - c_2 \frac{h''(\xi_i)}{2} \right) \Psi^i(x^2) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Since  $\Psi^i(x^2) \neq 0$ , therefore (8) gives

$$\frac{\Psi^i(g)}{\Psi^i(h)} = \frac{g''(\xi_i)}{h''(\xi_i)}. \quad \square$$

### 3. Mixed symmetric means

Let us consider a convex set  $Y$  together with a probability measure  $\nu$  and  $f$  be a positive continuous function. Then the integral power means of order  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  are defined as follows [1]:

$$\tilde{M}_s(f) = \begin{cases} \left( \int_Y (f(y))^s d\nu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}; & s \neq 0, \\ \exp \left( \int_Y \log(f(y)) d\nu(y) \right); & s = 0. \end{cases}$$



Assume  $(H_2)$ . We define the weighted power means and mixed symmetric means as follows:

$$M_s(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) = \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (f_i(y_i))^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}; & s \neq 0, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n (f_i(y_i))^{p_i}; & s = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$M_{r,s}(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) = \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \tilde{M}_s^r(f_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{M}_s^{p_i}(f_i); & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{M}_{r,s}(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) = \begin{cases} \left( \int_{Y^T} M_s^r(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) dv^T(y_1, \dots, y_n) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

We can establish the relations among these means as an application of Theorem 1.2.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$  and assume  $(H_2)$ , then we have*

$$M_{s,s}(f) \leq \tilde{M}_{r,s}(f; \mathbf{p}) \leq \tilde{M}_r(f) \tag{9}$$

$$M_{r,r}(f) \geq \tilde{M}_{s,r}(f; \mathbf{p}) \geq \tilde{M}_s(f) \tag{10}$$

*Proof.* Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$ , if  $r, s \neq 0$ , then we set  $q(x) = x^{\frac{r}{s}}$ ,  $f_i = f_i^s$  in (2) and raising the power  $\frac{1}{r}$ , we get (9). Similarly we set  $q(x) = x^{\frac{s}{r}}$ ,  $f_i = f_i^r$  in (2) and raising the power  $\frac{1}{s}$ , we get (10).

When  $s = 0$  or  $r = 0$ , we get the required results by taking limit.  $\square$

We also need the following hypothesis:

$(H_4)$   $h, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and strictly monotone functions.

Assume  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$ , then quasi-arithmetic are defined as follows:

$$\tilde{M}_{h,g}(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) = h^{-1} \left( \int_{Y^T} h(M_g(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p})) dv^T(y_1, \dots, y_n) \right),$$

where  $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$M_g(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) = g^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (g \circ f_i(y_i)) \right),$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_g(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) = g^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int g \circ f_i(y_i) dv_i \right).$$

We describe the monotonicity of these means as follows:

COROLLARY 3.2. Assume  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$ . If  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is convex and  $h$  is increasing, or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is concave and  $h$  is decreasing. Then

$$\tilde{M}_g(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) \leq \tilde{M}_{h,g}(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) \leq \tilde{M}_h(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}), \quad (11)$$

and if  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is convex and  $g$  is decreasing, or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is concave and  $g$  is increasing. Then

$$\tilde{M}_h(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) \geq \tilde{M}_{g,h}(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}) \geq \tilde{M}_g(f_1, \dots, f_n; \mathbf{p}). \quad (12)$$

*Proof.* We set  $q = h \circ g^{-1}$ ,  $f_i = g \circ f_i$  in (2) and applying  $h^{-1}$ , we obtain (11). We also set  $q = g \circ h^{-1}$ ,  $f_i = h \circ f_i$  again in (2) and applying  $g^{-1}$ , we get (12).  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$ ,  $(Y_i, B_i, \nu_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be probability spaces,  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow I$  be a square  $\nu_i$ -integrable function over  $Y_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$  such that (3) and (4) are valid. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{M}_{r,s}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \tilde{M}_s(f_1),$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{M}_{s,r}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \tilde{M}_r(f_1).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.3 and follow the proof of Corollary 3.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.4. Suppose  $(H_4)$  and let  $(Y_i, B_i, \nu_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be probability spaces,  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow I$  be a square  $\nu_i$ -integrable function over  $Y_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$  such that (3) and (4) are valid. Now, if  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is bounded convex and  $h$  is increasing, or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is bounded concave and  $h$  is decreasing. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{M}_{h,g}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \tilde{M}_g(f_1),$$

and if  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is bounded convex and  $g$  is decreasing, or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is bounded concave and  $g$  is increasing. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{M}_{g,h}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \tilde{M}_h(f_1).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.3 and follow the proof of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$  and assume  $(H_3)$ , then we have

$$\tilde{M}_s(f) \leq \tilde{M}_{r,s}(f; \mathbf{p}) \leq \tilde{M}_r(f),$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_r(f) \geq \tilde{M}_{s,r}(f; \mathbf{p}) \geq \tilde{M}_s(f).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.4 (a) and follow the proof of Corollary 3.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.6. Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$  and assume  $(H_3)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}_{r,s}(f; n+1) &\leq \widetilde{M}_{r,s}(f; n) \leq \widetilde{M}_{r,s}(f; \mathbf{p}), \\ \widetilde{M}_{s,r}(f; n+1) &\geq \widetilde{M}_{s,r}(f; n) \geq \widetilde{M}_{s,r}(f; \mathbf{p}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.4 (b) and follow the proof of Corollary 3.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.7. Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$  and assume  $(H_3)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{M}_{r,s}(f; n) &= \widetilde{M}_s(f), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{M}_{s,r}(f; n) &= \widetilde{M}_r(f). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.4 (c) and follow the proof of Corollary 3.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.8. Assume  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . Now, if  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is convex and  $h$  is increasing, or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is concave and  $h$  is decreasing. Then

$$\widetilde{M}_g(f) \leq \widetilde{M}_{h,g}(f; \mathbf{p}) \leq \widetilde{M}_h(f),$$

and if  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is convex and  $g$  is decreasing, or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is concave and  $g$  is increasing. Then

$$\widetilde{M}_h(f) \geq \widetilde{M}_{g,h}(f; \mathbf{p}) \geq \widetilde{M}_g(f).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.4 (a) and follow the proof of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.9. Assume  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . Now, if  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is convex and  $h$  is increasing, or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is concave and  $h$  is decreasing. Then

$$\widetilde{M}_{h,g}(f; n+1) \leq \widetilde{M}_{h,g}(f; n) \leq \widetilde{M}_{h,g}(f; \mathbf{p}),$$

and if  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is convex and  $g$  is decreasing, or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is concave and  $g$  is increasing. Then

$$\widetilde{M}_{g,h}(f; n+1) \geq \widetilde{M}_{g,h}(f; n) \geq \widetilde{M}_{g,h}(f; \mathbf{p}).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.4 (b) and follow the proof of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.10. Assume  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . Now, if  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is convex and  $h$  is increasing, or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is concave and  $h$  is decreasing. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{M}_{h,g}(f; n) = \widetilde{M}_g(f),$$

and if  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is convex and  $g$  is decreasing, or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is concave and  $g$  is increasing. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{M}_{g,h}(f; n) = \widetilde{M}_h(f).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.4 (c) and follow the proof of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

Assume  $(H_3)$ . Then associated to the core term of Theorem 5, we define the mixed means as follows:

$$\tilde{M}_{r,s}(n, k, f; \mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \left( \int_{X^k} M_s^r(f, \tilde{M}_s; \mathbf{t}; k) d\mu^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, & r \neq 0, \\ \exp \left( \int_{X^k} \log M_s(f, \tilde{M}_s; \mathbf{t}; k) d\mu^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \right); & r = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$M_s(f, \tilde{M}_s; \mathbf{t}; k) := \begin{cases} \left( (1-t_1)f^s(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j f^s(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_k \tilde{M}_s^s(f) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, & r \neq 0, \\ \exp \left( (1-t_1) \log f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j \log f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_k \log \tilde{M}_0(f) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}; & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

**COROLLARY 3.11.** *Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r$  and assume  $(H_3)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_s(f) &\leq \tilde{M}_{r,s}(n, 1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \dots \leq \tilde{M}_{r,s}(n, n-1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_{r,s}(f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_r(f), \\ \tilde{M}_r(f) &\leq \tilde{M}_{s,r}(n, 1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \dots \leq \tilde{M}_{s,r}(n, n-1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_{s,r}(f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_s(f). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.1 and follow the proof of Corollary 3.1.  $\square$

Assume  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . Then using (5) we define the generalized means as follows:

$$\tilde{M}_{h,g}(n, k, f; \mathbf{t}) = h^{-1} \left( \int_{X^k} h \left( M_g(f, \tilde{M}_g; \mathbf{t}; k) \right) d\mu^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \right),$$

where

$$M_g(f, \tilde{M}_g; \mathbf{t}; k) := g^{-1} \left( (1-t_1)g \circ f(x_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (1-t_{j+1})t_1 \dots t_j g \circ f(x_j) + t_1 \dots t_k g(\tilde{M}_g(f)) \right).$$

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *Assume  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ . Now, if  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is convex and  $h$  is increasing, or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is concave and  $h$  is decreasing. Then*

$$\tilde{M}_g(f) \leq \tilde{M}_{h,g}(n, 1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \dots \leq \tilde{M}_{h,g}(n, n-1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_{h,g}(f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_h(f),$$

and if  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is convex and  $g$  is decreasing, or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is concave and  $g$  is increasing. Then

$$\tilde{M}_h(f) \leq \tilde{M}_{g,h}(n, 1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \dots \leq \tilde{M}_{g,h}(n, n-1; f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_{g,h}(f; \mathbf{t}) \leq \tilde{M}_g(f).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.1 and follow the proof of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

REMARK 3.13. Similar to Corollary 3.11 and Corollary 3.12, we can give the results for Theorem 1.1 and those will be the special cases of Corollary 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 with discrete measure.

### 4. Exponential convexity

DEFINITION 1. [11] A function  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *n-exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense on I if

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n \alpha_k \alpha_l \phi \left( \frac{x_k + x_l}{2} \right) \geq 0$$

holds for  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_k \in I, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

A function  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *n-exponentially convex* if it is *n-exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense and continuous on I.

REMARK 4.1. From the definition it is clear that 1-exponentially convex functions in the Jensen sense are in fact nonnegative functions. Also, *n-exponentially convex* functions in the Jensen sense are *m-exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an n-exponentially convex function, then the matrix  $\left[ \phi \left( \frac{x_k + x_l}{2} \right) \right]_{k,l=1}^m$  is a positive semi-definite matrix for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n$ . Particularly,*

$$\det \left[ \phi \left( \frac{x_k + x_l}{2} \right) \right]_{k,l=1}^m \geq 0$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}, m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

DEFINITION 2. A function  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense on I if it is *n-exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A function  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *exponentially convex* if it is *exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense and continuous.

REMARK 4.3. It is easy to show that  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *log-convex* in the Jensen sense if and only if

$$\alpha^2 \phi(x) + 2\alpha\beta \phi \left( \frac{x+y}{2} \right) + \beta^2 \phi(y) \geq 0$$

holds for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x, y \in I$ . It follows that any positive function is *log-convex* in the Jensen-sense if and only if it is *2-exponentially convex* in the Jensen sense.

Also, using basic convexity theory it follows that a function is *log-convex* if and only if it is *2-exponentially convex*.

When dealing with functions with different degree of smoothness divided differences are found to be very useful.

DEFINITION 3. The second order divided difference of a function  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at mutually different points  $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in I$  is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} [y_i; \phi] &= \phi(y_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2 \\ [y_i, y_{i+1}; \phi] &= \frac{\phi(y_{i+1}) - \phi(y_i)}{y_{i+1} - y_i}, \quad i = 0, 1 \\ [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi] &= \frac{[y_1, y_2; \phi] - [y_0, y_1; \phi]}{y_2 - y_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

REMARK 4.4. The value  $[y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi]$  is independent of the order of the points  $y_0, y_1$ , and  $y_2$ . By taking limits this definition may be extended to include the cases in which any two or all three points coincide as follows:  $\forall y_0, y_1, y_2 \in I$

$$\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow y_0} [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi] = [y_0, y_0, y_2; \phi] = \frac{\phi(y_2) - \phi(y_0) - \phi'(y_0)(y_2 - y_0)}{(y_2 - y_0)^2}, \quad y_2 \neq y_0$$

provided that  $\phi'$  exists, and furthermore, taking the limits  $y_i \rightarrow y_0, i = 1, 2$  in (13), we get

$$[y_0, y_0, y_0; \phi] = \lim_{y_i \rightarrow y_0} [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi] = \frac{\phi''(y_0)}{2} \text{ for } i = 1, 2$$

provided that  $\phi''$  exist on  $I$ .

THEOREM 4.5. Let  $\Lambda = \{\phi_t : t \in J\}$ , where  $J$  an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , be a family of functions defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ , such that the function  $t \rightarrow [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi_t]$  is  $n$ -exponentially convex in the Jensen sense on  $J$  for every three mutually different points  $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in [a, b]$ . Let  $\Psi^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ) be linear functionals defined as in (5). Then  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is an  $n$ -exponentially convex function in the Jensen sense on  $J$ . If the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is continuous on  $J$ , then it is  $n$ -exponentially convex on  $J$ .

*Proof.* Consider any  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq 21$ .

Let us define the function

$$\omega(y) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n b_k b_l \phi_{t_{kl}}(y),$$

where  $t_{kl} = \frac{t_k + t_l}{2}$ ,  $t_k \in J, b_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Since the function  $t \rightarrow [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi_t]$  is  $n$ -exponentially convex in the Jensen sense, we have

$$[y_0, y_1, y_2; \omega] = \sum_{k,l=1}^n b_k b_l [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi_{t_{kl}}] \geq 0,$$

which implies that  $\omega$  is convex function on  $[a, b]$  and therefore we have  $\Psi^i(\omega) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 21$ . Hence

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n b_k b_l \Psi^i(\phi_{kl}) \geq 0.$$

We conclude that the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is an  $n$ -exponentially convex function in the Jensen sense on  $J$ .

If the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is continuous on  $J$ , then it is  $n$ -exponentially convex on  $J$  by definition.  $\square$

As a consequence of the above theorem we can give the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $\Lambda = \{\phi_t : t \in J\}$ , where  $J$  an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , be a family of functions defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ , such that the function  $t \rightarrow [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi_t]$  is exponentially convex in the Jensen sense on  $J$  for every three mutually different points  $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in [a, b]$ . Let  $\Psi^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ) be be linear functionals defined as in (5). Then  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is an exponentially convex function in the Jensen sense on  $J$ . If the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is continuous on  $J$ , then it is exponentially convex on  $J$ .*

**COROLLARY 4.7.** *Let  $\Lambda = \{\phi_t : t \in J\}$ , where  $J$  an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , be a family of functions defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ , such that the function  $t \rightarrow [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi_t]$  is 2-exponentially convex in the Jensen sense on  $J$  for every three mutually different points  $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in [a, b]$ . Let  $\Psi^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ) be be linear functionals defined in (5). Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is positive and continuous on  $J$ , then it is 2-exponentially convex on  $J$ , and thus log convex.*
- (ii) *If the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  is positive then for every  $s, t, u, v \in J$ , such that  $s \leq u$  and  $t \leq v$ , we have*

$$u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda) \leq u_{u,v}(\Psi^i, \Lambda) \tag{14}$$

where

$$u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\phi_s)}{\Psi^i(\phi_t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}}, & s \neq t, \\ \exp \left( \frac{\frac{d}{ds} \Psi^i(\phi_s)}{\Psi^i(\phi_s)} \right), & s = t \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

for  $\phi_s, \phi_t \in \Lambda$  and for the case  $t = s$  we consider that the function  $t \rightarrow \Psi^i(\phi_t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ) is differentiable.

*Proof.* Consider  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq 21$ .

- (i) See Remark 4.3 and Theorem 4.5.

- (ii) From the definition of convex function  $\phi$ , we have the following inequality [12, page 2]

$$\frac{\phi(s) - \phi(t)}{s - t} \leq \frac{\phi(u) - \phi(v)}{u - v}, \quad (16)$$

$\forall s, t, u, v \in J$  such that  $s \leq u, t \leq v, s \neq t, u \neq v$ .

Since by (i),  $\Psi^i(\phi_s)$  is log-convex, so set  $\phi(x) = \log \Psi^i(\phi_s)$  in (16) we have

$$\frac{\log \Psi^i(\phi_s) - \log \Psi^i(\phi_t)}{s - t} \leq \frac{\log \Psi^i(\phi_u) - \log \Psi^i(\phi_v)}{u - v} \quad (17)$$

for  $s \leq u, t \leq v, s \neq t, u \neq v$ , which equivalent to (14). For  $s = t, u = v$  follows from (17) by taking limit.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. We consider the class

$$\Lambda_1 = \{\phi_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

where

$$\phi_t(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t^2} e^{tx}; & t \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} x^2; & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\phi_t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is a convex function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $t \mapsto \phi_t''(x)$  is exponentially convex [7]. By similar reasoning as given in the proof of Theorem 4.5, we get the exponential convexity of  $t \mapsto [y_0, y_1, y_2; \phi_t]$  (and hence the exponential convexity in Jensen sense). By using the Corollary 4.6, we get the exponential convexity of  $t \mapsto \Psi^i(\phi_t)$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ) in Jensen sense. Also these mappings are continuous, therefore exponentially convex. Then from (15) we have

$$u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_1) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\phi_s)}{\Psi^i(\phi_t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}}, & s \neq t, \\ \exp \left( \frac{\Psi^i(id \phi_s)}{\Psi^i(\phi_s)} - \frac{2}{s} \right), & s = t \neq 0, \\ \exp \left( \frac{\Psi^i(id \phi_0)}{3\Psi^i(\phi_0)} \right), & s = t = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, 21$ .

Also from (14) we have the monotonicity of functions  $u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_1)$  in both parameters for  $i = 1, \dots, 21$ .

For positive  $\Psi^i(\phi_t)$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ) Theorem 2.5 insures the existence of  $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$m \leq \mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_1) \leq M, \quad i = 1, \dots, 21,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_1) := \log u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_1), \quad i = 1, \dots, 21.$$

i.e  $\mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_1)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 21$  are means and the monotonicity of these means is evident from (14).



EXAMPLE 2. We consider the class

$$\Lambda_2 = \{ \psi_t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; t \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

where

$$\psi_t(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x^t}{t(t-1)}; & t \neq 0, 1, \\ -\log x; & t = 0, \\ x \log x; & t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\psi_t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is a convex function for  $x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $t \mapsto \psi_t''(x)$  is exponentially convex. By similar arguments as given in Example 1 we get the exponential convexity of  $t \mapsto \Psi^i(\psi_t)$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ). Therefore from (15) we have

$$u_{s,t}(\dots, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\psi_s)}{\Psi^i(\psi_t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}}; & s \neq t, \\ \exp \left( \frac{1-2t}{t(t-1)} - \frac{\Psi^i(\psi_t \psi_0)}{\Psi^i(\psi_t)} \right); & s = t \neq 0, 1, \\ \exp \left( 1 - \frac{\Psi^i(\psi_0^2)}{2\Psi^i(\psi_0)} \right); & s = t = 0, \\ \exp \left( -1 - \frac{\Psi^i(\psi_0 \psi_1)}{2\Psi^i(\psi_1)} \right); & s = t = 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, 21$ .

We consider  $\Psi^i(\psi_t) > 0$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ), then from Theorem 2.5, there exist  $\bar{m}, \bar{M} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\bar{m} \leq \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\psi_s)}{\Psi^i(\psi_t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}; \quad (s \neq t), \quad i = 1, \dots, 21. \tag{18}$$

The means  $u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_2)$  are continuous, symmetric and monotone in both parameters (by use of (14)) for  $i = 1, \dots, 21$ . Let  $s, t, r \in \mathbb{R}$  then by substitutions  $s \rightarrow \frac{s}{r}$ ,  $t \rightarrow \frac{t}{r}$  ( $r \neq 0, s \neq t$ ),  $x_j \rightarrow x_j^r$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ ,  $f_j \rightarrow f_j^r$  for  $i = 8, 9, 10$  and  $f \rightarrow f^r$  for  $i = 11, \dots, 21$  in (18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{m} &\leq \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, \psi_{s/r})}{\Psi^i(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, \psi_{t/r})} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}; \quad i = 1, \dots, 6, \\ \bar{m} &\leq \left( \frac{\Psi^7(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \psi_{s/r})}{\Psi^7(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \psi_{t/r})} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}; \quad \mathbf{x}^r := (x_1^r, \dots, x_n^r), \\ \bar{m} &\leq \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\mathbf{f}^r, \mathbf{p}, \psi_{s/r})}{\Psi^i(\mathbf{f}^r, \mathbf{p}, \psi_{t/r})} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}; \quad \mathbf{f}^r := (f_1^r, \dots, f_n^r), \quad i = 8, 9, 10, \\ \bar{m} &\leq \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\mathbf{p}, f^r, \psi_{s/r})}{\Psi^i(\mathbf{p}, f^r, \psi_{t/r})} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}; \quad i = 11, \dots, 15, \quad i \neq 13, \\ \bar{m} &\leq \left( \frac{\Psi^{13}(f^r, \psi_{s/r})}{\Psi^{13}(f^r, \psi_{t/r})} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{m} \leq \left( \frac{\Psi^i(\mathbf{t}, f^r, \Psi_{s/r})}{\Psi^i(\mathbf{t}, f^r, \Psi_{t/r})} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}} \leq \bar{M}, \quad i = 16, \dots, 21.$$

We define means in three parameters as follows:

$$u_{s,t,r}(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} (u_{s/r,t/r}(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ u_{s,t}(\log \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, \Psi^i, \Lambda_1); & r = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\log \mathbf{x} = (\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ .

$$u_{s,t,r}(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^7, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} (u_{s/r,t/r}(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^7, \Lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ u_{s,t}(\log \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^7, \Lambda_1); & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

The means  $u_{s,t,r}(\mathbf{x}^r, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^7, \Lambda_2)$  are also given in [8] and [6].

$$u_{s,t,r}(\mathbf{f}^r, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} (u_{s/r,t/r}(\mathbf{f}^r, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ u_{s,t}(\log \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}, \Psi^i, \Lambda_1); & r = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\log \mathbf{f} = (\log f_1, \dots, \log f_n)$  and  $i = 8, 9, 10$ .

$$u_{s,t,r}(\mathbf{p}, f^r, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} (u_{s/r,t/r}(\mathbf{p}, f^r, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ u_{s,t}(\mathbf{p}, \log f, \Psi^i, \Lambda_1); & r = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $i = 11, \dots, 15$  and  $i \neq 13$ .

$$u_{s,t,r}(f^r, \Psi^{13}, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} (u_{s/r,t/r}(f^r, \Psi^{13}, \Lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ u_{s,t}(\log f, \Psi^{13}, \Lambda_1); & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$u_{s,t,r}(\mathbf{t}, f^r, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2) = \begin{cases} (u_{s/r,t/r}(\mathbf{t}, f^r, \Psi^i, \Lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{r}}; & r \neq 0, \\ u_{s,t}(\mathbf{t}, \log f, \Psi^i, \Lambda_1); & r = 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 16, \dots, 21.$$

The monotonicity of three parameter means is followed by the monotonicity and continuity of two parameter means.

EXAMPLE 3. We consider a class of convex functions

$$\Lambda_3 = \{ \eta_t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty); t \in (0, \infty) \}$$

where

$$\eta_t(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{t^{-x}}{\log^2 t}; & t \neq 1, \\ \frac{x^2}{2}; & t = 1. \end{cases}$$

$t \mapsto \psi_t''(x)$  is exponentially convex, being the Laplace transform of a non-negative function (see [7], [14]). For the class  $\Lambda_3$ , (15) gives

$$u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_3) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Psi^i(\eta_s)}{\Psi^i(\eta_t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}}; & s \neq t, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{2}{t \log t} - \frac{\Psi^i(id \cdot \eta_t)}{t \Psi^i(\eta_t)}\right); & s = t \neq 1, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\Psi^i(id \cdot \eta_1)}{3 \Psi^i(\eta_1)}\right); & s = t = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, 21$  and monotonicity of  $u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_3)$  is followed by (14).

We consider  $\Psi^i(\eta_t) > 0$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ), then for  $\underline{m}, \underline{M} \in \mathbb{R}$ , Theorem 2.5 gives the means

$$\mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_3) := -L(s,t) \log u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_3), \quad i = 1, \dots, 21,$$

such that

$$\underline{m} \leq \mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_3) \leq \underline{M}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 21,$$

where  $L(s,t)$  are logarithmic means

$$L(s,t) := \begin{cases} \frac{s-t}{\log s - \log t}; & s \neq t, \\ t; & s = t. \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLE 4. We consider a class of convex functions

$$\Lambda_4 = \{\gamma_t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty); t \in (0, \infty)\}$$

defined as

$$\gamma_t(x) := \frac{e^{-x\sqrt{t}}}{t}.$$

$t \mapsto \psi_t''(x) = e^{-x\sqrt{t}}$  is exponentially convex, being the Laplace transform of a non-negative function (see [7], [14]). For the class  $\Lambda_4$ , (15) becomes

$$u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_4) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Psi^i(\gamma_s)}{\Psi^i(\gamma_t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-t}}; & s \neq t, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{t} - \frac{\Psi^i(id \cdot \gamma_t)}{2\sqrt{t}\Psi^i(\gamma_t)}\right); & s = t. \end{cases}$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, 21$  and monotonicity of  $u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_4)$  is followed by (14).

We consider  $\Psi^i(\gamma_t) > 0$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, 21$ ), then for  $\tilde{m}, \tilde{M} \in \mathbb{R}$  Theorem 2.5 gives the means

$$\mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_4) := -(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}) \log u_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_4), \quad i = 1, \dots, 21,$$

such that

$$\tilde{m} \leq \mathfrak{M}_{s,t}(\Psi^i, \Lambda_4) \leq \tilde{M}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 21.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. ANWAR AND J. PEČARIĆ, *New Means of Cauchy type*, J. Inequal. Appl. Vol. **2008** (2008), Article ID 163202, 10 pages.
- [2] M. ANWAR AND J. PEČARIĆ, *On log-convexity for differences of mixed symmetric means*, Mathematical Notes **88**, 6 (2010), 776–784.
- [3] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND J. PEČARIĆ, *On an inequality of GodunovaLevin and some refinements of Jensen integral inequality*, Babes-Bolyai, Univ. Fac. Math. Res. Sem. Prep. No.6, 263–268, 1989.
- [4] E. HEWITT AND K. R. STROMBERG, *Real and abstract analysis*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1965).
- [5] L. HORVÁTH, *Inequalities Corresponding to the Classical Jensen's Inequality*, J. Math. Inequal. **3**, 2 (2009), 189–200.
- [6] L. HORVÁTH, K. A. KHAN AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Refinements of Results about Weighted Mixed Symmetric Means and Related Cauchy Means*, J. Inequal. Appl. Vol. **2011** (2011), Article ID 350973, 19 pages.
- [7] J. JAKŠETIĆ AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Exponential Convexity Method*, submitted.
- [8] K. A. KHAN, J. PEČARIĆ AND I. PERIĆ, *Differences of weighted mixed symmetric means and related results*, J. Inequal. Appl. Vol. **2010** (2010), Article ID 289730, 16 pages.
- [9] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ AND A. M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [10] J. PEČARIĆ, *Extension of interpolation of Jensen's Inequality*, Mat. Bilten (Skopje) **15** (1991), 39–40.
- [11] J. PEČARIĆ AND J. PERIĆ, *Improvement of the Giaccardi and the Petrović Inequality and Related Stolarsky Type Means*, submitted.
- [12] J. PEČARIĆ, F. PROSCHAN AND Y. L. TONG, *Convex functions, Partial Orderings and Statistical Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1992.
- [13] I. RASA, *On the monotonicity of sequences of Bernstein-Schnab operators*, Ann. Numer. Theor. Approx. **17** (1988), 185–187.
- [14] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Uni. Press, New Jersey, 1941.

(Received December 17, 2011)

Khuram Ali Khan  
 Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences  
 GC University  
 68-B, New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600  
 Pakistan  
 and  
 Department of mathematics  
 University of Sargodha  
 Sargodha 40100, Pakistan  
 e-mail: Khuramsms@gmail.com

Josip Pečarić  
 Faculty of Textile Technology  
 University of Zagreb  
 10000 Zagreb  
 Croatia  
 and  
 Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences  
 GC University  
 68-B, New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600  
 Pakistan  
 e-mail: pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr