

REFINEMENTS OF BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST AND SECOND SEIFFERT MEANS

YUMING CHU, BAoyu LIU AND MIAOKUN WANG

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper, we find the greatest values α , λ and the least values β , μ such that the double inequalities $\alpha[5A(a,b)/6 + H(a,b)/6] + (1 - \alpha)A^{5/6}(a,b)H^{1/6}(a,b) < P(a,b) < \beta[5A(a,b)/6 + H(a,b)/6] + (1 - \beta)A^{5/6}(a,b)H^{1/6}(a,b)$ and $\lambda[A(a,b)/3 + 2Q(a,b)/3] + (1 - \lambda)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b) < T(a,b) < \mu[A(a,b)/3 + 2Q(a,b)/3] + (1 - \mu)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b)$ hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Here $A(a,b)$, $H(a,b)$, $Q(a,b)$, $P(a,b)$ and $T(a,b)$ denote the arithmetic, harmonic, quadratic, first Seiffert and second Seiffert means of two positive numbers a and b , respectively.

1. Introduction

For $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the first and second Seiffert means $P(a,b)$ [13] and $T(a,b)$ [14] are defined by

$$P(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{4 \arctan(\sqrt{a/b}) - \pi} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$T(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2 \arctan[(a-b)/(a+b)]}, \quad (1.2)$$

respectively.

Recently, both means P and T have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for P and T can be found in the literature [2, 4, 5, 7–12, 14–17]. The first Seiffert mean $P(a,b)$ can be rewritten as (see [9], Eq. (2.4))

$$P(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2 \arcsin[(a-b)/(a+b)]}. \quad (1.3)$$

Let $A(a,b) = (a+b)/2$, $G(a,b) = \sqrt{ab}$, $H(a,b) = 2ab/(a+b)$, $Q(a,b) = \sqrt{(a^2+b^2)/2}$, $I(a,b) = 1/e(b^b/a^a)^{1/(b-a)}$, $L(a,b) = (b-a)/(\log b - \log a)$, and $L_r(a,b) = (a^{r+1} +$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26E60.

Keywords and phrases: First Seiffert means, second Seiffert mean, harmonic mean, arithmetic mean, quadratic mean.

$b^{r+1})/(a^r + b^r)$ be the arithmetic, geometric, harmonic, quadratic, identric, logarithmic and r -th Lehmer means of two positive real numbers a and b with $a \neq b$. Then

$$\min\{a, b\} < H(a, b) = L_{-1}(a, b) < G(a, b) = L_{-1/2}(a, b) < L(a, b) < I(a, b) \\ < A(a, b) = L_0(a, b) < Q(a, b) < \max\{a, b\}.$$

Seiffert [13–15] established that

$$L(a, b) < P(a, b) < I(a, b), \quad (1.4)$$

$$A(a, b) < T(a, b) < Q(a, b),$$

$$P(a, b) > \frac{3A(a, b)G(a, b)}{A(a, b) + 2G(a, b)}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$P(a, b) > \frac{A(a, b)G(a, b)}{L(a, b)}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$P(a, b) > \frac{2}{\pi}A(a, b)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [6], Jagers proved that the inequality

$$M_{1/2}(a, b) < P(a, b) < M_{2/3}(a, b) \quad (1.7)$$

holds for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $M_r(a, b) = [(a^r + b^r)/2]^{1/r}$ ($r \neq 0$) and $M_0(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ denotes the r -th power mean of a and b .

According to Carlson [1] and Pfaff [3], Sándor [11] found that the first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$ is the common limit of the sequences given by

$$x_0 = G(a, b), \quad y_0 = A(a, b), \quad x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}, \quad y_{n+1} = \sqrt{x_{n+1}y_n}, \quad (n \geq 0),$$

and by using the sequential method, the following more general results were given:

$$x_n < P(a, b) < y_n, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\sqrt[3]{x_n y_n^2} < P(a, b) < \frac{x_n + 2y_n}{3} \quad (1.9)$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. In particular, for $n = 1$ from (1.8) and $n = 0$ from (1.9) one has

$$\frac{A(a, b) + G(a, b)}{2} < P(a, b) < \sqrt{\frac{A(a, b) + G(a, b)}{2} A(a, b)}, \\ A(a, b)^{2/3} G(a, b)^{1/3} < P(a, b) < \frac{2A(a, b) + G(a, b)}{3}. \quad (1.10)$$

The lower bound in (1.10) are better than that in (1.5) and (1.6), and the upper bound in (1.10) are better than that in (1.4) and (1.7) (see [11]). In fact, infinitely many refinements for $P(a, b)$ have been proved by use of (1.8) and (1.9).

Wang and Chu [16] proved that the inequality

$$P(a, b) > A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b) \tag{1.11}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Indeed, inequality (1.11) is exactly the left side of inequality (1.10) because of $A(a, b)H(a, b) = G^2(a, b)$. Therefore, it due to Sándor [11].

In [5, 7], the authors given the bounds for P and T in terms of power mean as follows

$$M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b) < P(a, b),$$

$$M_{\log 2 / \log(\pi/2)}(a, b) < T(a, b) < M_{5/3}(a, b)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Recently, Chu et al. [2, 17] proved that the inequalities

$$L_{-1/6}(a, b) < P(a, b) < L_0(a, b),$$

$$L_0(a, b) < T(a, b) < L_{1/3}(a, b)$$

and

$$\frac{2}{\pi}A(a, b) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)H(a, b) < P(a, b) < \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) + \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) \tag{1.12}$$

hold for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [12], Sándor found that $T(a, b)$ is the common limit of the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ given by

$$u_0 = A(a, b), \quad v_0 = Q(a, b), \quad u_{n+1} = \frac{u_n + v_n}{2}, \quad v_{n+1} = \sqrt{u_{n+1}v_n}, \quad (n \geq 0),$$

and established a more general inequality:

$$\sqrt[3]{u_n v_n^2} < T(a, b) < \frac{u_n + 2v_n}{3} \tag{1.13}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Particular, for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ from (1.13) we get

$$Q^{2/3}(a, b)A^{1/3}(a, b) < T(a, b) < \frac{2}{3}Q(a, b) + \frac{1}{3}A(a, b), \tag{1.14}$$

$$\sqrt[3]{Q(a, b) \left(\frac{Q(a, b) + A(a, b)}{2}\right)^2} < T(a, b)$$

$$< \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{Q(a, b) + A(a, b)}{2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{Q(a, b) + A(a, b)}{2} Q(a, b)} \right).$$

In fact, infinitely many refinements for $T(a, b)$ have been proved by use of (1.13).

Motivated by inequalities (1.10), (1.12) and (1.14), it is natural to ask what are the greatest values α , λ and the least values β , μ such that the double inequalities

$$\alpha[5A(a,b)/6 + H(a,b)/6] + (1 - \alpha)A^{5/6}(a,b)H^{1/6}(a,b) < P(a,b) < \beta[5A(a,b)/6 + H(a,b)/6] + (1 - \beta)A^{5/6}(a,b)H^{1/6}(a,b)$$

and

$$\lambda[A(a,b)/3 + 2Q(a,b)/3] + (1 - \lambda)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b) < T(a,b) < \mu[A(a,b)/3 + 2Q(a,b)/3] + (1 - \mu)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

The purpose of this paper is to answer these questions. All numerical computations are carried out using MATHEMATICA software.

2. Lemmas

In order to establish our main results we need two lemmas, which we present in this section.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $f(x) = -p^2x^{12} - 2p^2x^{11} - 3p^2x^{10} - (4p^2 + 6p)x^9 - (5p^2 + 12p)x^8 + (6p^2 - 30p)x^7 + (7p^2 - 48p)x^6 + (8p^2 - 66p)x^5 + (9p^2 - 108p + 24)x^4 + (10p^2 - 108p + 48)x^3 - (25p^2 + 36p - 36)x^2 + 24(1 - p)x + 12(1 - p)$. Then the following statements are true:*

(1) *If $p = 8/25$, then $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$;*

(2) *If $p = 12/(5\pi)$, then there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \gamma)$ and $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in (\gamma, 1)$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows easily from

$$f(x) = \frac{4}{625}(1 - x)(16x^{11} + 48x^{10} + 96x^9 + 460x^8 + 1140x^7 + 2544x^6 + 4832x^5 + 8004x^4 + 9510x^3 + 7250x^2 + 3825x + 1275) > 0$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$ if $p = 8/25$.

For part (2), if $p = 12/(5\pi)$, then simple computations lead to

$$6p^2 - 30p = \frac{72(12 - 25\pi)}{25\pi^2} < 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$7p^2 - 48p = \frac{144(7 - 20\pi)}{25\pi^2} < 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$8p^2 - 66p = \frac{144(8 - 25\pi)}{25\pi^2} < 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$9p^2 - 108p + 24 = \frac{24(54 - 270\pi + 25\pi^2)}{25\pi^2} < 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$10p^2 - 108p + 48 = \frac{48(6 - 27\pi + 5\pi^2)}{5\pi^2} < 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$-25p^2 - 36p + 36 = \frac{36(-20 - 12\pi + 5\pi^2)}{5\pi^2} < 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$f(0) = 12(1 - p) > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$f(1) = 144 - 450p = 144 - \frac{1080}{\pi} < 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) = & -12p^2x^{11} - 22p^2x^{10} - 30p^2x^9 - 9(4p^2 + 6p)x^8 - 8(5p^2 + 12p)x^7 \\ & + 7(6p^2 - 30p)x^6 + 6(7p^2 - 48p)x^5 + 5(8p^2 - 66p)x^4 \\ & + 4(9p^2 - 108p + 24)x^3 + 3(10p^2 - 108p + 48)x^2 \\ & - 2(25p^2 + 36p - 36)x + 24(1 - p), \end{aligned}$$

$$f'(0) = 24(1 - p) > 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$f'(1) = 336 - 1830p = \frac{24(14\pi - 183)}{\pi} < 0 \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f''(x) = & -132p^2x^{10} - 220p^2x^9 - 270p^2x^8 - 72(4p^2 + 6p)x^7 - 56(5p^2 + 12p)x^6 \\ & + 42(6p^2 - 30p)x^5 + 30(7p^2 - 48p)x^4 + 20(8p^2 - 66p)x^3 \\ & + 12(9p^2 - 108p + 24)x^2 + 6(10p^2 - 108p + 48)x \\ & - 2(25p^2 + 36p - 36). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

It follows from (2.1)–(2.6) and (2.11) that

$$f''(x) < 0 \tag{2.12}$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Hence $f'(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$.

Inequalities (2.9) and (2.10) together with the monotonicity of $f'(x)$ lead to the conclusion that there exists $x_0 \in (0, 1)$, such that $f'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, x_0)$ and $f'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (x_0, 1)$. Thus $f(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, x_0)$ and strictly decreasing on $(x_0, 1)$.

Therefore, part (2) follows from (2.7) and (2.8) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $f(x)$. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $g(x) = 3(1 - q)x^6 + 6(1 - q)x^5 - (4q^2 + 6q - 9)x^4 + (4q^2 - 18q + 12)x^3 + (3q^2 - 12q + 6)x^2 - 2q^2x - q^2$. Then the following statements are true:*

(1) *If $q = 4/5$, then $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$;*

(2) *If $q = [12/\pi - 3\sqrt[3]{2}]/[2\sqrt{2} + 1 - 3\sqrt[3]{2}] = 0.821\dots$, then there exists $\xi \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$ such that $g(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \xi)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\xi, \sqrt[6]{2})$.*

Proof. Part (1) follows easily from

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{25}(x - 1)(15x^5 + 45x^4 + 86x^3 + 90x^2 + 48x + 16) > 0$$

for all $x \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$ if $q = 4/5$.

For part (2), if $q = [12/\pi - 3\sqrt[3]{2}]/[2\sqrt{2} + 1 - 3\sqrt[3]{2}] = 0.821\dots$, then simple computations lead to

$$-4q^2 - 6q + 9 = 1.377\dots > 0, \tag{2.13}$$

$$4q^2 - 18q + 12 = -0.0823\dots < 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$3q^2 - 12q + 6 = -1.8302\dots < 0, \tag{2.15}$$

$$g(1) = 36 - 45q < 0, \tag{2.16}$$

$$g(\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0.563\dots > 0 \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$g'(x) = 18(1-q)x^5 + 30(1-q)x^4 - 4(4q^2 + 6q - 9)x^3 + 3(4q^2 - 18q + 12)x^2 + 2(3q^2 - 12q + 6)x - 2q^2. \tag{2.18}$$

It follows from (2.13)–(2.15) and (2.18) that

$$g'(x) > 18(1-q)x^2 + 30(1-q)x^2 - 4(4q^2 + 6q - 9)x^2 + 3(4q^2 - 18q + 12)x^2 + 2(3q^2 - 12q + 6)x^2 - 2q^2x^2 = 6(22 - 25q)x^2 > 0 \tag{2.19}$$

for $x \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$. Hence $g(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \sqrt[6]{2})$.

Therefore, part (2) follows from (2.16) and (2.17) together with the monotonicity of $g(x)$. \square

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *The double inequality*

$$\alpha \left[\frac{5}{6}A(a, b) + \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) \right] + (1 - \alpha)A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b) < P(a, b) < \beta \left[\frac{5}{6}A(a, b) + \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) \right] + (1 - \beta)A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 8/25$ and $\beta \geq 12/(5\pi)$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that the inequalities

$$P(a, b) > \frac{8}{25} \left[\frac{5}{6}A(a, b) + \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) \right] + \frac{17}{25}A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b), \tag{3.1}$$

$$P(a, b) < \frac{12}{5\pi} \left[\frac{5}{6}A(a, b) + \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) \right] + \left(1 - \frac{12}{5\pi} \right) A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b) \tag{3.2}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Since $P(a, b)$, $A(a, b)$ and $H(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1. Without loss generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $r = (a - b)/(a + b)$, $r' = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$ and $p \in \{8/25, 12/(5\pi)\}$. Then $r \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{P(a, b)}{A(a, b)} = \frac{r}{\arcsin(r)}, \quad \frac{H(a, b)}{A(a, b)} = 1 - r^2,$$

$$\frac{P(a, b) - A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b)}{5A(a, b)/6 + H(a, b)/6 - A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b)} = \frac{r/\arcsin r - (1 - r^2)^{1/6}}{5/6 + (1 - r^2)/6 - (1 - r^2)^{1/6}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r/\arcsin r - (1 - r^2)^{1/6}}{5/6 + (1 - r^2)/6 - (1 - r^2)^{1/6}} = \frac{8}{25}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{r/\arcsin r - (1 - r^2)^{1/6}}{5/6 + (1 - r^2)/6 - (1 - r^2)^{1/6}} = \frac{12}{5\pi}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & P(a, b) - p \left[\frac{5}{6}A(a, b) + \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) \right] - (1 - p)A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b) \\ &= A(a, b) \left[\frac{r}{\arcsin r} - p \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}r^2 \right) - (1 - p)r^{1/3} \right] \\ &= \frac{A(a, b)[5p + pr^2 + 6(1 - p)r^{1/3}]}{6 \arcsin r} \left[\frac{6r}{5p + pr^2 + 6(1 - p)r^{1/3}} - \arcsin r \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let

$$F(r) = \frac{6r}{5p + pr^2 + 6(1 - p)r^{1/3}} - \arcsin r. \tag{3.7}$$

Then simple computations yield

$$F(0) = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

$$F(1) = \frac{6}{5p} - \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$F'(r) = \frac{(1 - r^{1/3})^2 f(r^{1/3})}{r^{5/3} [5p + pr^2 + 6(1 - p)r^{1/3}]^2}, \tag{3.10}$$

where the function $f(\cdot)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1 $p = 8/25$. Then from (3.10) and Lemma 2.1(1) we clearly see that $F'(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. Thus $F(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$.

Therefore, inequality (3.1) follows from (3.6)–(3.8) together with the monotonicity of $F(r)$.

Case 2 $p = 12/(5\pi)$. Then from (3.10) and Lemma 2.1(2) we know that there exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ ($= \sqrt{1 - \gamma^6}$) such that $F'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and $F'(r) > 0$ for $r \in (\lambda_0, 1)$. Hence $F(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \lambda_0)$ and strictly increasing on $(\lambda_0, 1)$.

Note that equation (3.9) becomes

$$F(1) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, inequality (3.2) follows from (3.6)–(3.8) and (3.11) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(r)$, and Theorem 3.1 follows from (3.1) and (3.2) in conjunction with the following statements.

- If $\alpha > 8/25$, then equations (3.3) and (3.4) lead to the conclusion that there exists $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ such that $P(a, b) < \alpha [5A(a, b)/6 + H(a, b)/6] + (1 - \alpha)A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b)$ for all $a, b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, \delta_1)$.
- If $\beta < 12/(5\pi)$, then equations (3.3) and (3.5) lead to the conclusion that there exists $0 < \delta_2 < 1$ such that $P(a, b) > \beta [5A(a, b)/6 + H(a, b)/6] + (1 - \beta)A^{5/6}(a, b)H^{1/6}(a, b)$ for all $a, b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (1 - \delta_2, 1)$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *The double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \left[\frac{1}{3}A(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}Q(a, b) \right] + (1 - \lambda)A^{1/3}(a, b)Q^{2/3}(a, b) < T(a, b) \\ < \mu \left[\frac{1}{3}A(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}Q(a, b) \right] + (1 - \mu)A^{1/3}(a, b)Q^{2/3}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda \leq 4/5$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0 = [12/\pi - 3\sqrt[3]{2}]/[2\sqrt{2} + 1 - 3\sqrt[3]{2}] = 0.82104\dots$

Proof. Firstly, we prove that the inequalities

$$T(a, b) > \frac{4}{5} \left[\frac{1}{3}A(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}Q(a, b) \right] + \frac{1}{5}A^{1/3}(a, b)Q^{2/3}(a, b), \tag{3.12}$$

$$T(a, b) < \mu_0 \left[\frac{1}{3}A(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}Q(a, b) \right] + (1 - \mu_0)A^{1/3}(a, b)Q^{2/3}(a, b) \tag{3.13}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Since $T(a, b)$, $A(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1. Without loss generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $r = (a - b)/(a + b)$, $r^* = \sqrt{1 + r^2}$ and $q \in \{4/5, \mu_0\}$. Then $r^* \in (1, \sqrt{2})$,

$$\frac{T(a, b)}{A(a, b)} = \frac{r}{\arctan(r)}, \quad \frac{Q(a, b)}{A(a, b)} = \sqrt{1 + r^2},$$

$$\frac{T(a, b) - A^{1/3}(a, b)Q^{2/3}(a, b)}{A(a, b)/3 + 2Q(a, b)/3 - A^{1/3}(a, b)Q^{2/3}(a, b)} = \frac{r/\arctan r - (1 + r^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2\sqrt{1 + r^2}/3 - (1 + r^2)^{1/3}}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r/\arctan r - (1 + r^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2\sqrt{1 + r^2}/3 - (1 + r^2)^{1/3}} = \frac{4}{5}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{r/\arctan r - (1+r^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2\sqrt{1+r^2}/3 - (1+r^2)^{1/3}} = \mu_0, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & T(a,b) - q \left[\frac{1}{3}A(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}Q(a,b) \right] - (1-q)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b) \\ &= A(a,b) \left[\frac{r}{\arctan r} - \frac{q}{3}(1+2r^*) - (1-q)r^{*2/3} \right] \\ &= \frac{A(a,b)[2qr^* + q + 3(1-q)r^{*2/3}]}{3 \arctan r} \left[\frac{3r}{2qr^* + q + 3(1-q)r^{*2/3}} - \arctan r \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Let

$$G(r) = \frac{3r}{2qr^* + q + 3(1-q)r^{*2/3}} - \arctan r. \tag{3.18}$$

Then simple computations yield

$$G(0) = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

$$G(1) = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}q + q + 3(1-q)\sqrt[3]{2}} - \frac{\pi}{4}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$G'(r) = \frac{(1-r^{*1/3})^2 g(r^{*1/3})}{r^{*2} [2qr^* + q + 3(1-q)r^{*2/3}]^2}, \tag{3.21}$$

where the function $g(\cdot)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.2.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case A $q = 4/5$. Then from (3.21) and Lemma 2.2(1) we clearly see that $G'(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. Thus $G(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$.

Therefore, inequality (3.12) follows from (3.17)–(3.19) together with the monotonicity of $G(r)$.

Case B $q = \mu_0$. Then from (3.21) and Lemma 2.2(2) we know that there exists $\lambda_0^* \in (0, 1)$ ($= \sqrt{\xi^6 - 1}$) such that $G'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, \lambda_0^*)$ and $G'(r) > 0$ for $r \in (\lambda_0^*, 1)$. Hence $G(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \lambda_0^*)$ and strictly increasing on $(\lambda_0^*, 1)$.

Note that equation (3.19) reduces to

$$G(1) = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore, inequality (3.13) follows from (3.17)–(3.19) and (3.22) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $G(r)$, and Theorem 3.2 follows from (3.12) and (3.13) in conjunction with the following statements.

- If $\lambda > 4/5$, then equations (3.14) and (3.15) lead to the conclusion that there exists $0 < \delta_3 < 1$ such that $T(a,b) < \lambda [A(a,b)/3 + 2Q(a,b)/3] + (1-\lambda)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b)$ for all $a, b > 0$ with $(a-b)/(a+b) \in (0, \delta_3)$.
- If $\mu < \mu_0$, then equations (3.14) and (3.16) imply that there exists $0 < \delta_4 < 1$ such that $T(a,b) > \mu [A(a,b)/3 + 2Q(a,b)/3] + (1-\mu)A^{1/3}(a,b)Q^{2/3}(a,b)$ for all $a, b > 0$ with $(a-b)/(a+b) \in (1-\delta_4, 1)$. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee for making some valuable comments and suggestions. This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 11071069 and 11171307, and the Innovation Team Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province under Grant T200924.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. CARLSON, *Algorithms involving arithmetic and geometric means*, Amer. Math. Monthly **78**, 5 (1971), 496–505.
- [2] Y. M. CHU, Y. F. QIU, M. K. WANG AND G. D. WANG, *The optimal convex combination bounds of arithmetic and harmonic means for the Seiffert's mean*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2010**, Article ID 436457, 7 pages.
- [3] C. F. GAUSS, *Werke*, Vol. 3, 352–355, Leipzig, 1877; *Werke*, Vol. 10, Part 1, Leipzig, 1917.
- [4] P. A. HÄSTÖ, *A monotonicity property of ratios of symmetric homogeneous means*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3**, 5 (2002), Article 71, 23 pages.
- [5] P. A. HÄSTÖ, *Optimal inequalities between Seiffert's mean and power mean*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **7**, 1(2004), 47–53.
- [6] A. A. JAGERS, *Solution of Problem 887*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4), **12**, (1994), 230–231.
- [7] Y. M. LI, Y. M. CHU AND M. K. WANG, *Sharp power mean bound for the Seiffert mean* (submitted).
- [8] H. LIU AND J.-X. MENG, *The optimal convex combination bounds for Seiffert's mean*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2011**, Article ID 686834, 9 pages.
- [9] E. NEUMAN AND J. SÁNDOR, *On the Schwab-Borchardt mean*, Math. Pannon. **14**, 2 (2003), 253–266.
- [10] E. NEUMAN AND J. SÁNDOR, *On the Schwab-Borchardt mean II*, Math. Pannon. **17**, 1 (2006), 49–59.
- [11] J. SÁNDOR, *On certain inequalities for means III*, Arch. Math. **16**, 1 (2001), 34–40.
- [12] J. SÁNDOR, *Über zwei Mittel von Seiffert*, Die Wurzel. **36**, (2002), 104–107.
- [13] H. J. SEIFFERT, *Problem 887*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4), **11**, 2 (1993), 176–176.
- [14] H. J. SEIFFERT, *Aufgabe β 16*, Die Wurzel, **29**, (1995), 221–222.
- [15] H. J. SEIFFERT, *Ungleichungen für einen bestimmten Mittelwert*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4), **13**, 2 (1995), 195–198.
- [16] S. S. WANG AND Y. M. CHU, *The best bounds of the combination of arithmetic and harmonic means for the Seiffert's mean*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **4**, 22 (2010), 1079–1084.
- [17] M. K. WANG, Y. F. QIU AND Y. M. CHU, *Sharp bounds for Seiffert means in terms of Lehmer means*, J. Math. Inequal. **4**, 4 (2010), 581–586.

(Received November 8, 2012)

Yuming Chu
School of Mathematics and Computation Sciences
Hunan City University
Yiyang 413000, China
e-mail: chuyuming@hutczj.cn

Baoyu Liu
School of Science Hangzhou Dianzi University
Hangzhou 310018, China
e-mail: 627847649@qq.com

Miaokun Wang
College of Mathematics and Econometrics
Hunan University
Changsha 410082, China
e-mail: wmk000@126.com