

# A MULTIDIMENSIONAL DISCRETE HILBERT-TYPE INEQUALITY

### BICHENG YANG AND QIANG CHEN

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper, by using the way of weight coefficients and technique of real analysis, a multidimensional discrete Hilbert-type inequality with parameters and a best possible constant factor is given. The equivalent form, the operator expressions with the norm are also considered.

#### 1. Introduction

If p > 1,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , f(x),  $g(y) \ge 0$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^q(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $||f||_p = \{\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$ ,  $||g||_q > 0$ , then we have the following Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality (cf. [1]):

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} ||f||_p ||g||_q, \tag{1}$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$  is the best possible. Assuming that  $a_m$ ,  $b_n \geqslant 0$ ,  $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^p$ ,  $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^q$ ,  $||a||_p = \{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$ ,  $||b||_q > 0$ , we have the following discrete Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with the same best constant  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ :

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} ||a||_p ||b||_q.$$
 (2)

Inequalities (1) and (2) are important in Analysis and its applications (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]).

In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ , Yang [7] gave an extension of (1) at p=q=2. In recent years, Yang [3] and [4], gave some extensions of (1) and (2) as follows:

If  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ ,  $k_{\lambda}(x,y)$  is a non-negative homogeneous function of degree  $-\lambda$ , with

$$k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}(t,1)t^{\lambda_1 - 1}dt \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D15, 47A07.

Keywords and phrases: Hilbert-type inequality, weight coefficient, equivalent form, operator, norm. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.61370186), and 2012 Knowledge Construction Special Foundation Item of Guangdong Institution of Higher Learning College and University (No. 2012KJCX0079).



$$\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}, \ \psi(x) = x^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1}, \ f(x), \ g(y) \geqslant 0,$$

$$f \in L_{p,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ f; ||f||_{p,\phi} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty \phi(x) |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

 $g \in L_{q,\psi}(\mathbf{R}_{+}), ||f||_{p,\phi}, ||g||_{q,\psi} > 0$ , then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < k(\lambda_1) ||f||_{p, \phi} ||g||_{q, \psi}, \tag{3}$$

where the constant factor  $k(\lambda_1)$  is the best possible. Moreover, if  $k_{\lambda}(x,y)$  is finite and  $k_{\lambda}(x,y)x^{\lambda_1-1}(k_{\lambda}(x,y)y^{\lambda_2-1})$  is decreasing with respect to x>0 (y>0), then for  $a_m b_n \geqslant 0$ ,

$$a \in l_{p,\phi} = \left\{ a; ||a||_{p,\phi} := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(n) |a_n|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

 $b=\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty\in l_{q,\psi},\ ||a||_{p,\phi}\,,\ ||b||_{q,\psi}>0,$  we have the following inequality:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(m,n) a_{m} b_{n} < k(\lambda_{1}) ||a||_{p,\phi} ||b||_{q,\psi}, \tag{4}$$

where the constant factor  $k(\lambda_1)$  is still the best possible. Clearly, for  $\lambda=1$ ,  $k_1(x,y)=\frac{1}{x+y}$ ,  $\lambda_1=\frac{1}{q}$ ,  $\lambda_2=\frac{1}{p}$ , (3) reduces to (1), while (4) reduces to (2). Some other results including the multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequalities are provided by [8]-[21].

About the topic of half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with the non-homogeneous kernels, Hardy et al. provided a few results in Theorem 351 of [1]. But they did not prove that the the constant factors are the best possible. However, Yang [22] gave a result with the kernel  $\frac{1}{(1+nx)^{\lambda}}$  by introducing a variable and proved that the constant factor is the best possible. In 2011 Yang [23] gave the following half-discrete Hilbert's inequality with the best possible constant factor  $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ :

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(x+n)^{\lambda}} dx < B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) ||f||_{p,\phi} ||a||_{q,\psi}, \tag{5}$$

where  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 > 0$ ,  $0 \le \lambda_2 \le 1$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ ,

$$B(u,v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^{u+v}} t^{u-1} dt (u,v > 0)$$

is the beta function. Zhong et al ([24]–[17]) investigated several half-discrete Hilberttype inequalities with particular kernels.

Applying the way of weight functions and the techniques of discrete and integral Hilbert-type inequalities, a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a general homogeneous kernel of degree  $-\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and a best constant factor  $k(\lambda_1)$  is obtained as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(x, n) a_{n} dx < k(\lambda_{1}) ||f||_{p, \phi} ||a||_{q, \psi}, \tag{6}$$

which is an extension of (5) (see Yang and Chen [30]). At the same time, a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a general non-homogeneous kernel and a best constant factor is given by Yang [31].

In this paper, by using the way of weight coefficients and technique of real analysis, a multidimensional discrete Hilbert's inequality with parameters and a best possible constant factor is given, which is an extension of (4) for  $k_{\lambda}(m,n) = \frac{(\min\{m,n\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{m,n\})^{\lambda+\gamma}}$ . The equivalent form, the operator expressions with the norm are also considered.

#### 2. Some lemmas

If  $i_0$ ,  $j_0 \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N})$  is the set of positive integers),  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , we put

$$||x||_{\alpha} := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i_0} |x_k|^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (x = (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}), \tag{7}$$

$$||y||_{\beta} := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j_0} |y_k|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} (y = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}).$$
 (8)

LEMMA 1. If  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma$ , M > 0,  $\Psi(u)$  is a non-negative measurable function in (0,1], and

$$D_M := \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \sum_{i=1}^s x_i^{\gamma} \leqslant M^{\gamma} \right\},\,$$

then we have (cf. [32])

$$\int \cdots \int_{D_M} \Psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^{\gamma}\right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \frac{M^s \Gamma^s\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)}{\gamma^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\gamma}\right)} \int_0^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du. \tag{9}$$

LEMMA 2. For  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{m} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} = \frac{\Gamma^{s}(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon s^{\varepsilon/\gamma} \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} + O(1)(\varepsilon \to 0^{+}). \tag{10}$$

*Proof.* For  $M > s^{1/\gamma}$ , we set

$$\Psi(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < u < \frac{s}{M^{\gamma}}, \\ (Mu^{1/\gamma})^{-s-\varepsilon}, & \frac{s}{M^{\gamma}} \leqslant u \leqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

Then by (9), it follows

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} &\geqslant \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_{+}^{s}; x_{i} \geqslant 1\}} ||x||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} dx \\ &= \lim_{M \to \infty} \int \cdots \int_{D_{M}} \Psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\frac{x_{i}}{M}\right)^{\gamma}\right) dx_{1} \cdots dx_{s} \\ &= \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{M^{s} \Gamma^{s} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)}{\gamma^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\gamma}\right)} \int_{s/M^{\gamma}}^{1} (Mu^{1/\gamma})^{-s-\varepsilon} u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du = \frac{\Gamma^{s} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)}{\varepsilon s^{\varepsilon/\gamma} \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\gamma}\right)}. \end{split}$$

By the above way, we still find

$$0 < \sum_{\{m \in \mathbf{N}^s : m_i \geqslant 2\}} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} \leqslant \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s : x_i \geqslant 1\}} ||x||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} dx = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon s^{\varepsilon/\gamma} \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}.$$

For s = 1,  $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{1} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-1-\epsilon} < \infty$ ; for  $s \ge 2$ ,

$$\begin{split} 0 < \sum_{\{m \in \mathbf{N}^s; \exists i_0, m_{i_0} = 1\}} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s - \varepsilon} \leqslant a + \sum_{\{m \in \mathbf{N}^{s - 1}; m_i \geqslant 2\}} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-(s - 1) - (1 + \varepsilon)} \\ \leqslant a + \frac{\Gamma^{s - 1}(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{(1 + \varepsilon)(s - 1)^{(1 + \varepsilon)/\gamma} \gamma^{s - 2} \Gamma(\frac{s - 1}{\gamma})} < \infty (a \in \mathbf{R}_+), \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{m} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} = \sum_{\{m \in \mathbb{N}^s; \exists i_0, m_{i_0} = 1\}} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon} + \sum_{\{m \in \mathbb{N}^s; m_i \geqslant 2\}} ||m||_{\gamma}^{-s-\varepsilon}$$

$$\leqslant O_1(1) + \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon s^{\varepsilon/\gamma} \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} (\varepsilon \to 0^+). \tag{11}$$

Then we have (10).

DEFINITION 1. For  $-\gamma < \lambda_1 \leqslant i_0 - \gamma$ ,  $-\gamma < \lambda_2 \leqslant j_0 - \gamma$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ ,  $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_{i_0}) \in \mathbf{N}^{i_0}$ ,  $n = (n_1, \cdots, n_{j_0}) \in \mathbf{N}^{j_0}$ , define two weight coefficients  $w_{\lambda}(\lambda_2, n)$  and  $W_{\lambda}(\lambda_1, m)$  as follows:

$$w_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2}, n) := \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} \frac{||n||_{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}}}{||m||_{\alpha}^{i_{0} - \lambda_{1}}}, \tag{12}$$

$$W_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1}, m) := \sum_{n} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} \frac{||m||_{\alpha}^{\lambda_{1}}}{||n||_{\beta}^{j_{0} - \lambda_{2}}}, \tag{13}$$

where, 
$$\Sigma_m = \sum_{m_{i_0}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty}$$
 and  $\Sigma_n = \sum_{n_{j_0}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}$ .

LEMMA 3. As the assumptions of Definition 1, then (i) we have

$$w_{\lambda}(\lambda_2, n) < K_2(n \in \mathbf{N}^{j_0}), \tag{14}$$

$$W_{\lambda}(\lambda_1, m) < K_1(m \in \mathbf{N}^{i_0}), \tag{15}$$

where,

$$K_{1} = \frac{\Gamma^{j_{0}}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_{0}-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_{0}}{\beta})} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_{1} + \gamma)(\lambda_{2} + \gamma)},$$

$$K_{2} = \frac{\Gamma^{i_{0}}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_{0}-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_{0}}{\alpha})} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_{1} + \gamma)(\lambda_{2} + \gamma)};$$
(16)

(ii) for  $p>1,\ 0<\varepsilon<\frac{p}{2}(\lambda_1+\gamma),$  setting  $\widetilde{\lambda}_1=\lambda_1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p},\widetilde{\lambda}_2=\lambda_2+\frac{\varepsilon}{p},$  we have

$$0 < \widetilde{K}_2(1 - \widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(n)) < w_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\lambda}_2, n), \tag{17}$$

where,

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(n) = \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} + \gamma)(\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} + \gamma)}{\lambda + 2\gamma} \int_{0}^{i_{0}^{1/\alpha}/||n||_{\beta}} \frac{(\min\{v, 1\})^{\gamma_{v}\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} - 1}}{(\max\{v, 1\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} dv$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{||n||_{\beta}^{\gamma + \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}}}\right), \tag{18}$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{2} = \frac{\Gamma^{i_{0}}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_{0}-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_{0}}{\alpha})} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} + \gamma)(\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} + \gamma)}.$$
(19)

*Proof.* In view of the assumptions,

$$f(x,y) := \frac{(\min\{x,y\})^{\gamma} x^{\lambda_1 - i_0}}{(\max\{x,y\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} = \begin{cases} \frac{x^{\gamma + \lambda_1 - i_0}}{y^{\lambda + \gamma}}, 0 < x < y, \\ \frac{y^{\gamma}}{y^{\lambda_2 + i_0 + \gamma}}, x \geqslant y, \end{cases}$$

is decreasing with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and strict decreasing with respect to  $x \geqslant y$ . By the decreasing property and (9), it follows

$$\begin{split} w_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2},n) &< \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{i_{0}}} \frac{(\min\{||x||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||x||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} \frac{||n||_{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}}}{||x||_{\alpha}^{i_{0}-\lambda_{1}}} dx \\ &= \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{D}_{M}} \frac{(\min\{M[\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}} (\frac{x_{i}}{M})^{\alpha}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{M[\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}} (\frac{x_{i}}{M})^{\alpha}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} \frac{||n||_{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}} dx}{M^{i_{0}-\lambda_{1}} [\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}} (\frac{x_{i}}{M})^{\alpha}]^{\frac{i_{0}-\lambda_{1}}{\alpha}}} \\ &= \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{M^{i_{0}} \Gamma^{i_{0}} (\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_{0}} \Gamma^{i_{0}} (\frac{1}{\alpha})} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\min\{Mu^{1/\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{Mu^{1/\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} \frac{||n||_{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}} u^{\frac{i_{0}}{\alpha}-1} du}{M^{i_{0}-\lambda_{1}} u^{(i_{0}-\lambda_{1})/\alpha}} \end{split}$$

$$= \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{M^{\lambda_1} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^1 \frac{(\min\{Mu^{1/\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma} ||n||_{\beta}^{\lambda_2}}{(\max\{Mu^{1/\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} u^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha}-1} du$$

$$= u = ||n||_{\beta}^{\alpha} M^{-\alpha} v^{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{v, 1\})^{\gamma} v^{\lambda_1-1}}{(\max\{v, 1\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} dv$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_1 + \gamma)(\lambda_2 + \gamma)} = K_2.$$

Hence, we have (14). By the same way, we have (15).

By the decreasing property and the same way of obtaining (10), we have

$$\begin{split} w_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\lambda}_{2},n) &> \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_{+}^{i_{0}}: x_{i} \geqslant 1\}} \frac{(\min\{||x||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||x||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} \frac{||n||_{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}} dx}{||x||_{\alpha}^{i_{0} - \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{i_{0}}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_{0} - 1}\Gamma(\frac{i_{0}}{\alpha})} \int_{i_{0}^{1/\alpha}/||n||_{\beta}}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{v, 1\})^{\gamma} v^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} - 1}}{(\max\{v, 1\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} dv \\ &= \widetilde{K}_{2}(1 - \widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(n)) > 0, \\ 0 &< \widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(n) = \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} + \gamma)(\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} + \gamma)}{\lambda + 2\gamma} \int_{0}^{i_{0}^{1/\alpha}/||n||_{\beta}} \frac{(\min\{v, 1\})^{\gamma} v^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} - 1}}{(\max\{v, 1\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} dv \\ &\leq \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} + \gamma)(\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} + \gamma)}{\lambda + 2\gamma} M \int_{0}^{i_{0}^{1/\alpha}/||n||_{\beta}} v^{\gamma + \widetilde{\lambda}_{1} - 1} dv \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} + \gamma}{\lambda + 2\gamma} M \frac{i_{0}^{(\gamma + \widetilde{\lambda}_{1})/\alpha}}{||n||_{\beta}^{\gamma + \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}}} \quad (M > 0). \end{split}$$

The lemma is proved.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Main results and operator expressions

Setting  $\Phi(m) := ||m||_{\alpha}^{p(i_0 - \lambda_1) - i_0} (m \in \mathbf{N}^{i_0})$  and  $\Psi(n) := ||n||_{\beta}^{q(j_0 - \lambda_2) - j_0}$   $(n \in \mathbf{N}^{j_0})$ , we have

Theorem 1. If  $-\gamma < \lambda_1 \leqslant i_0 - \gamma$ ,  $-\gamma < \lambda_2 \leqslant j_0 - \gamma$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , then for p > 1,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $a_m$ ,  $b_n \geqslant 0$ ,  $0 < ||a||_{p,\Phi}$ ,  $||b||_{q,\Psi} < \infty$ , we have the following inequality

$$I := \sum_{n} \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} a_{m} b_{n}$$

$$< K_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} K_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi} ||b||_{q,\Psi}, \tag{20}$$

where the constant factor

$$K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left[\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\beta^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_1 + \gamma)(\lambda_2 + \gamma)}$$
(21)

is the best possible.

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality (cf. [33]), we have

$$\begin{split} I &= \sum_{n} \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} \left[ \frac{||m||_{\alpha}^{(i_{0} - \lambda_{1})/q}}{||n||_{\beta}^{(j_{0} - \lambda_{2})/p}} a_{m} \right] \left[ \frac{||n||_{\beta}^{(j_{0} - \lambda_{2})/p}}{||m||_{\alpha}^{(i_{0} - \lambda_{1})/q}} b_{n} \right] \\ &\leqslant \left\{ \sum_{m} W_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1}, m) ||m||_{\alpha}^{p(i_{0} - \lambda_{1}) - i_{0}} a_{m}^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n} w_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2}, n) ||n||_{\beta}^{q(j_{0} - \lambda_{2}) - j_{0}} b_{n}^{q} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

Then by (14) and (15), we have (20).

For 
$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p}{2}(\lambda_1 + \gamma)$$
,  $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}$ ,  $\widetilde{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}$ , we set 
$$\widetilde{a}_m = ||m||_{\alpha}^{-i_0 + \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}}, \widetilde{b}_n = ||n||_{\beta}^{-j_0 + \lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}} (m \in \mathbf{N}^{i_0}, n \in \mathbf{N}^{j_0}).$$

Then by (10) and (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||\widetilde{a}||_{p,\Phi}||\widetilde{b}||_{q,\Psi} &= \left\{ \sum_{m} ||m||_{\alpha}^{p(i_{0}-\lambda_{1})-i_{0}} \widetilde{a}_{m}^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n} ||n||_{\beta}^{q(j_{0}-\lambda_{2})-j_{0}} \widetilde{b}_{n}^{q} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m} ||m||_{\alpha}^{-i_{0}-\varepsilon} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n} ||n||_{\beta}^{-j_{0}-\varepsilon} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_{0}}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{i_{0}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \alpha^{i_{0}-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_{0}}{\alpha})} + \varepsilon O(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_{0}}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{j_{0}^{\varepsilon/\beta} \beta^{j_{0}-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_{0}}{\beta})} + \varepsilon \widetilde{O}(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(22)$$

$$\widetilde{I} := \sum_{n} \left[ \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} \widetilde{a}_{m} \right] \widetilde{b}_{n} 
= \sum_{n} w_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\lambda}_{2}, n) ||n||_{\beta}^{-j_{0}-\varepsilon} 
> \widetilde{K}_{2} \sum_{n} \left( 1 - O(\frac{1}{||n||_{\beta}^{\gamma+\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}}}) \right) ||n||_{\beta}^{-j_{0}-\varepsilon} 
= \widetilde{K}_{2} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_{0}}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\varepsilon j_{0}^{\varepsilon/\beta} \beta^{j_{0}-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_{0}}{\beta})} + \widetilde{O}(1) - O(1) \right].$$
(23)

If there exists a constant  $K \leqslant K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , such that (20) is valid as we replace  $K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  by K, then using (22) and (23) we have

$$\begin{split} &(K_2+o(1))\left[\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{j_0^{\varepsilon/\beta}\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})}+\varepsilon\widetilde{O}(1)-\varepsilon O(1)\right]\\ &<\varepsilon\widetilde{I}(\tau,\sigma)<\varepsilon K||\widetilde{a}||_{p,\varphi}||\widetilde{b}||_{q,\psi}\\ &=K\left[\frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{i_0^{\varepsilon/\alpha}\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\alpha})}+\varepsilon O(1)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{j_0^{\varepsilon/\beta}\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})}+\varepsilon\widetilde{O}(1)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

For  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , we find

$$\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_1 + \gamma)(\lambda_2 + \gamma)} \leqslant K \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and then  $K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant K$ . Hence,  $K = K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  is the best possible constant factor of (20).

THEOREM 2. As the assumptions of Theorem 1, for  $0 < ||a||_{p,\Phi} < \infty$ , we have the following inequality with the best constant factor  $K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$ :

$$J := \left\{ \sum_{n} ||n||_{\beta}^{p\lambda_{2}-j_{0}} \left( \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma} a_{m}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} \right)^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< K_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} K_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi},$$

$$(24)$$

which is equivalent to (20).

*Proof.* We set  $b_n$  as follows:

$$b_n := ||n||_{\beta}^{p\lambda_2 - j_0} \left( \sum_m \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} a_m \right)^{p-1}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}^{j_0}.$$

Then it follows  $J^p = ||b||_{q,\Psi}^q$ . If J = 0, then (24) is trivially valid for  $0 < ||a||_{p,\Phi} < \infty$ ; if  $J = \infty$ , then it is impossible since the right hand side of (24) is finite. Suppose that  $0 < J < \infty$ . Then by (20), we find

$$||b||_{q,\Psi}^q = J^p = I < K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi} ||b||_{q,\Psi},$$

namely,

$$||b||_{q,\Psi}^{q-1} = J < K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi},$$

and then (24) follows.

On the other hand, assuming that (24) is valid, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$I = \sum_{n} (\Psi(n))^{\frac{-1}{q}} \left[ \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda + \gamma}} a_{m} \right] [(\Psi(n))^{\frac{1}{q}} b_{n}]$$

$$\leq J||b||_{q,\Psi}. \tag{25}$$

Then by (24), we have (20). Hence (24) and (20) are equivalent.

By the equivalency, the constant factor  $K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  in (24) is the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach a contradiction by (25) that the constant factor  $K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  in (20) is not the best possible.  $\square$ 

For p>1, we define two real weight normal discrete spaces  $\mathbf{l}_{p,\phi}$  and  $\mathbf{l}_{q,\psi}$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{l}_{p,\phi} := \left\{ a = \{a_m\}; ||a||_{p,\Phi} = \{ \sum_m \Phi(m) a_m^p \}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{l}_{q,\psi} := \left\{ b = \{b_n\}; ||b||_{q,\Psi} = \{ \sum_n \Psi(n) b_n^q \}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}.$$

As the assumptions of Theorem 1, in view of  $J < K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi}$ , we have the following definition:

DEFINITION 2. Define a multidimensional Hilbert-type operator  $T: \mathbf{l}_{p,\Phi} \to \mathbf{l}_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}$  as follows: For  $a \in \mathbf{l}_{p,\Phi}$ , there exists an unique representation  $Ta \in \mathbf{l}_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}$ , satisfying

$$Ta(n) := \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}^{\lambda}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} a_{m}(n \in \mathbf{N}^{j_{0}}). \tag{26}$$

For  $b \in I_{q,\Psi}$ , we define the following formal inner product of Ta and b as follows:

$$(Ta,b) := \sum_{n} \sum_{m} \frac{(\min\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{||m||_{\alpha}, ||n||_{\beta}\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} a_{m} b_{n}.$$
 (27)

Then by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, for  $0 < ||a||_{p,\phi}$ ,  $||b||_{q,\psi} < \infty$ , we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(Ta,b) < K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi} ||b||_{q,\Psi}, \tag{28}$$

$$||Ta||_{p,\Psi^{1-p}} < K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}} ||a||_{p,\Phi}.$$
(29)

It follows that T is bounded with

$$||T|| := \sup_{a(\neq \theta) \in \mathbf{I}_{p,\Phi}} \frac{||Ta||_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}}{||a||_{p,\Phi}} \leqslant K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{30}$$

Since the constant factor  $K_1^{\frac{1}{p}}K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  in (29) is the best possible, we have

COROLLARY 1. As the assumptions of Theorem 2, T is defined by Definition 2, it follows

$$||T|| = K_1^{\frac{1}{p}} K_2^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_1 + \gamma)(\lambda_2 + \gamma)}.$$
(31)

REMARK 1. For  $i_0 = j_0 = 1$  in (20), we have inequality

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m,n\})^{\gamma} a_m b_n}{(\max\{m,n\})^{\lambda+\gamma}} < \frac{\lambda + 2\gamma}{(\lambda_1 + \gamma)(\lambda_2 + \gamma)} ||a||_{p,\phi} ||b||_{q,\psi}. \tag{32}$$

Hence, (20) is an extension of (4) for  $k_{\lambda}(m,n) = \frac{(\min\{m,n\})^{\gamma}}{(\max\{m,n\})^{\lambda+\gamma}}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1934).
- [2] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, A. M. FINK, Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivatives, Kluwer Acaremic Publishers, Boston (1991).
- [3] B. C. YANG, Hilbert-type integral inequalities, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., Sharjah (2009).
- [4] B. C. YANG, Discrete Hilbert-type inequalities, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., Sharjah (2011).
- [5] B. C. YANG, The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities, Science Press, Beijin, 2009 (China).
- [6] B. C. YANG, Two types of multiple half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities, Lambert Academic Publishing (2012).
- [7] B. C. YANG, On Hilbert's integral inequality, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 220, 778–785 (1998).
- [8] B. C. YANG, I BRNETIĆ, M. KRNIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, Generalization of Hilbert and Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities, Math. Ineq. and Appl., 8 (2), 259–272 (2005).
- [9] M. KRNIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, Hilbert's inequalities and their reverses, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 67 (3–4), 315–331 (2005).
- [10] B. C. YANG, TH. M. RASSIAS, On the way of weight coefficient and research for Hilbert-type inequalities, Math. Ineq. Appl., 6 (4), 625–658 (2003).
- [11] B. C. YANG, TH. M. RASSIAS, On a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the subinterval and its operator expression, Banach J. Math. Anal., 4 (2), 100–110 (2010).
- [12] L. AZAR, On some extensions of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and Applications, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2009, no. 546829.
- [13] B. ARPAD, O. CHOONGHONG, Best constant for certain multilinear integral operator, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2006, no. 28582.
- [14] J. C. KUANG, L. DEBNATH, On Hilbert's type inequalities on the weighted Orlicz spaces, pacific J. Appl. Math., 1 (1), 95–103 (2007).
- [15] W. Y. ZHONG, The Hilbert-type integral inequality with a homogeneous kernel of Lambda-degree, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2008, no. 917392.
- [16] Y. HONG, On Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities with some parameters, J. Ineq. in Pure & Applied Math., 6 (4), Art. 92, 1–10 (2005).
- [17] W. Y. ZHONG, B. C. YANG, On multiple Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality with kernel, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2007, Art. ID 27962, 17 pages, doi: 10.1155/2007/27.
- [18] B. C. YANG, M. KRNIĆ, On the Norm of a Mult-dimensional Hilbert-type Operator, Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics, 7 (20), 223–243 (2011).
- [19] M. KRNIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, P. VUKOVIĆ, On some higher-dimensional Hilbert's and Hardy-Hilbert's type integral inequalities with parameters, Math. Inequal. Appl., 11, 701–716 (2008).

- [20] M. KRNIĆ, P. VUKOVIĆ, On a multidimensional version of the Hilbert-type inequality, Analysis Mathematica, 38, 291–303 (2012).
- [21] Y. J. Li, B. He, On inequalities of Hilbert's type, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 76 (1), 1–13 (2007).
- [22] B. C. YANG, A mixed Hilbert-type inequality with a best constant factor, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematcs, 20 (3), 319–328 (2005).
- [23] B. C. YANG, *A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality*, Journal of Guangdong University of Education, **31** (3), 1–7 (2011).
- [24] W. Y. ZHONG, A mixed Hilbert-type inequality and its equivalent forms, Journal of Guangdong University of Education, 31 (5), 18–22 (2011).
- [25] W. Y. ZHONG, A half discrete Hilbert-type inequality and its equivalent forms, Journal of Guangdong University of Education, 32 (5), 8–12 (2012).
- [26] J. H. ZHONG, B. C. YANG, On an extension of a more accurate Hilbert-type inequality, Journal of Zhejiang University (Science Edition), 35 (2), 121–124 (2008).
- [27] J. H. ZHONG, Two classes of half-discrete reverse Hilbert-type inequalities with a non-homogeneous kernel, Journal of Guangdong University of Education, 32 (5), 11–20 (2012).
- [28] W. Y. ZHONG, B. C. YANG, A best extension of Hilbert inequality involving several parameters, Journal of Jinan University (Natural Science), 28 (1), 20–23 (2007).
- [29] W. Y. ZHONG, B. C. YANG, A reverse Hilbert's type integral inequality with some parameters and the equivalent forms, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24 (2), 401–407 (2008).
- [30] B. C. YANG, Q. CHEN, A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a homogeneous kernel and an extension, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 124 (2011), doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-124.
- [31] B. C. YANG, A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a non-homogeneous kernel and two variables, Mediterranean Journal of Methematics, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s00009- 012- 0213-50 online first.
- [32] B. C. YANG, Hilbert-type integral operators: norms and inequalities (In Chapter 42 of "Nonlinear nalysis, stability, approximation, and inequalities" (P. M. Paralos et al.)). Springer, New York, 771–859 (2012).
- [33] J. C. KUANG, Applied inequalities, Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan, China (2004).

(Received March 18, 2013)

Bicheng Yang

Department of Mathematics

Guangdong University of Education

Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China

e-mail: bcyang@gdei.edu.cn, bcyang@18@163.com

Qiang Chen
Department of Computer Science
Guangdong University of Education
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China
e-mail: cg\_c@gdei.edu.cn