

## ADDITIVE $\rho$ -FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITIES AND EQUATIONS

CHOONKIL PARK

(Communicated by A. Gilányi)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we investigate the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho \left( kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\| \quad (0.1)$$

and

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho \left( f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|, \quad (0.2)$$

where  $\rho$  is a fixed complex number with  $|\rho| < 1$ .

Furthermore, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities (0.1) and (0.2) in complex Banach spaces and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of additive  $\rho$ -functional equations associated with the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities (0.1) and (0.2) in complex Banach spaces.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [10] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the *Cauchy equation*. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*. Hyers [6] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [8] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [3] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

In [4], Gilányi showed that if  $f$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(xy^{-1})\| \leq \|f(xy)\| \quad (1.1)$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): Primary 39B62, 39B72, 39B52.

*Keywords and phrases:* Hyers-Ulam stability, additive  $\rho$ -functional equation, additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality.

then  $f$  satisfies the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}).$$

See also [9]. Gilányi [5] and Fechner [2] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality (1.1). Park, Cho and Han [7] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of additive functional inequalities.

In Section 2, we investigate the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.1) and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.1) in complex Banach spaces. We moreover prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of an additive  $\rho$ -functional equation associated with the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.1) in complex Banach spaces.

In Section 3, we investigate the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.2) and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.2) in complex Banach spaces. We moreover prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of an additive  $\rho$ -functional equation associated with the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.2) in complex Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, let  $k$  be a fixed integer with  $k \geq 2$  and let  $G$  be a  $k$ -divisible abelian group. Assume that  $X$  is a real or complex normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and that  $Y$  is a complex Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Assume that  $\rho$  is a fixed complex number with  $|\rho| < 1$ .

## 2. Additive $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.1)

In this section, we investigate the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.1) in complex Banach spaces.

LEMMA 2.1. *A mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  satisfies*

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho \left( kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\| \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in G$  if and only if  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

*Proof.* Assume that  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (2.1).

Letting  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0$  in (2.1), we get

$$\|(k-1)f(0)\| \leq 0.$$

So  $f(0) = 0$ .

Letting  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = x$  in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x)\| \leq 0$$

and so  $f(kx) = kf(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus

$$f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{k}f(x) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| &\leq \left\| \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \right\| \\ &= |\rho| \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in G$ . Hence  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

The converse is obviously true.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *A mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  satisfies*

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) = \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in G$  if and only if  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

The equation (2.3) is called an *additive  $\rho$ -functional equation*.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (2.1) in complex Banach spaces.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that*

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \right\| + \theta \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{k\theta}{k^r - k} \|x\|^r \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0$  in (2.4), we get

$$\|(k-1)f(0)\| \leq 0.$$

So  $f(0) = 0$ .

Letting  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_k = x$  in (2.4), we get

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x)\| \leq k\theta \|x\|^r \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . So

$$\left\| f(x) - kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{k}{k^r} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^m f\left(\frac{x}{k^m}\right) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| k^j f\left(\frac{x}{k^j}\right) - k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{k}{k^r} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^j}{k^{rj}} \theta \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (2.7) that the sequence  $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.7), we get (2.5).

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k h(x_j) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n \left\| f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k^n}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{k^n}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n \left\| \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k^{n+1}}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{k^n}\right)\right) \right\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n \theta}{k^{nr}} \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r \\ &= \left\| \rho\left(kh\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k h(x_j)\right) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ . So

$$\left\| h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k h(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho\left(kh\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k h(x_j)\right) \right\|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ . By Lemma 2.1, the mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

Now, let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be another additive mapping satisfying (2.5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x) - T(x)\| &= k^n \left\| h\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq k^n \left( \left\| h\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\| + \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right) \right\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2k^{n+1}}{(k^r - k)k^{nr}} \theta \|x\|^r, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . So we can conclude that  $h(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $h$ . Thus the mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (2.5).  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (2.4). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{k\theta}{k - k^r} \|x\|^r \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0$  in (2.4), we get

$$\|(k-1)f(0)\| \leq 0.$$

So  $f(0) = 0$ .

It follows from (2.6) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{k}f(kx) \right\| \leq \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{k^l}f(k^l x) - \frac{1}{k^m}f(k^m x) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{k^j}f(k^j x) - \frac{1}{k^{j+1}}f(k^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{rj}}{k^j} \theta \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (2.9) that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x)\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.9), we get (2.8).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| - \left\| \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

As corollaries of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain the Hyers-Ulam stability results for the additive  $\rho$ -functional equation (2.3) in complex Banach spaces.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that*

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \rho\left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \right\| \leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (2.5).

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (2.10). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (2.8).*

**REMARK 2.7.** If  $\rho$  is a real number such that  $-1 < \rho < 1$  and  $Y$  is a real Banach space, then all the assertions in this section remain valid.

### 3. Additive $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.2)

In this section, we investigate the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (0.2) in complex Banach spaces.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *A mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and*

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho\left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \right\| \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in G$  if and only if  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

*Proof.* Assume that  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (3.1).

Letting  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0$  in (3.1), we get

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq 0$$

and so

$$f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{k}f(x) \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| &= \left\| kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \\ &\leq |\rho| \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in G$ . Hence  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

The converse is obviously true.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *A mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and*

$$kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) = \rho\left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right) \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in G$  if and only if  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  is additive.

The equation (3.3) is called an *additive  $\rho$ -functional equation*.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive  $\rho$ -functional inequality (3.1) in complex Banach spaces.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| + \theta \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{k^r \theta}{k^r - k} \|x\|^r \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = \cdots = x_k = 0$  in (3.4), we get

$$\left\| kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \theta \|x\|^r \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| k^l f\left(\frac{x}{k^l}\right) - k^m f\left(\frac{x}{k^m}\right) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| k^j f\left(\frac{x}{k^j}\right) - k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^j}{k^{j+1}} \theta \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (3.7) that the sequence  $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{k^n f(\frac{x}{k^n})\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{x}{k^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7), we get (3.5).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (3.4). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{k^r \theta}{k - k^r} \|x\|^r \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (3.6) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{k} f(kx) \right\| \leq \frac{k^r \theta}{k} \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{k^l} f(k^l x) - \frac{1}{k^m} f(k^m x) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{k^j} f(k^j x) - \frac{1}{k^{j+1}} f(k^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{k^r}{k} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{k^{rj}}{k^j} \theta \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (3.9) that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)\}$  converges. So one can define the mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)$$



for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.9), we get (3.8).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| kf \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right\| - \left\| \rho \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| kf \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \rho \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

As corollaries of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain the Hyers-Ulam stability results for the additive  $\rho$ -functional equation (3.3) in complex Banach spaces.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\left\| kf \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j}{k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \rho \left( f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \right) \right\| \leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (3.5).

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (3.10). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (3.8).*

**REMARK 3.7.** If  $\rho$  is a real number such that  $-1 < \rho < 1$  and  $Y$  is a real Banach space, then all the assertions in this section remain valid.

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions on the previous manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. AOKI, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] W. FECHNER, *Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **71** (2006), 149–161.
- [3] P. GĂVRUTA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [4] A. GILÁNYI, *Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung*, Aequationes Math. **62** (2001), 303–309.
- [5] A. GILÁNYI, *On a problem by K. Nikodem*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), 707–710.
- [6] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.

- [7] C. PARK, Y. CHO AND M. HAN, *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2007** (2007), Article ID 41820, 13 pages.
- [8] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [9] J. RÄTZ, *On inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **66** (2003), 191–200.
- [10] S. M. ULAM, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.

(Received October 23, 2013)

*Choonkil Park*  
*Research Institute for Natural Sciences*  
*Hanyang University*  
*Seoul 133-791*  
*Republic of Korea*  
*e-mail: baak@hanyang.ac.kr*