

PARABOLIC FRACTIONAL MAXIMAL AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH ROUGH KERNELS IN PARABOLIC GENERALIZED MORREY SPACES

VAGIF S. GULIYEV AND AYDIN S. BALAKISHIYEV

(Communicated by S. Samko)

Abstract. Let P be a real $n \times n$ matrix, whose all the eigenvalues have positive real part, $A_t = t^P$, $t > 0$, $\gamma = \text{tr}P$ is the homogeneous dimension on \mathbb{R}^n and Ω is an A_t -homogeneous of degree zero function, integrable to a power $s > 1$ on the unit sphere generated by the corresponding parabolic metric. We study the parabolic fractional maximal and integral operators $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ and $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$, $0 < \alpha < \gamma$ with rough kernels in the parabolic generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We find conditions on the pair (φ_1, φ_2) for the boundedness of the operators $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ and $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ from the space $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi_1, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to another one $\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi_2, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < q < \infty$, $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$, and from the space $\mathcal{M}_{1, \varphi_1, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the weak space $W\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi_2, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $1 - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$. We also find conditions on φ for the validity of the Adams type theorems $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P, I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P: \mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}, P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi^{\frac{1}{q}}, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < q < \infty$.

1. Introduction

The boundedness of classical operators of the real analysis, such as the maximal operator, fractional maximal operators, fractional integral operators and singular integral operators etc, from one weighted Lebesgue space to another one is well studied by now, and there are well known various applications of such results in partial differential equations. Besides Lebesgue spaces, Morrey spaces, both the classical ones (the idea of their definition having appeared in [22]) and generalized ones, also play an important role in the theory of partial differential equations, see [12, 19, 20, 29, 30].

In this paper, we find conditions for the boundedness of the parabolic fractional maximal and integral operators with rough kernel from a parabolic generalized Morrey space to another one, including also the case of weak boundedness, and prove Adams type boundedness theorems for these operators. To precisely formulate the results of this paper, we need the notions given below.

Note that we deal not exactly with the parabolic metric, but with a general anisotropic metric ρ of generalized homogeneity, the parabolic metric being its particular

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 42B20, 42B25, 42B35.

Keywords and phrases: Parabolic fractional maximal function, parabolic fractional integral, parabolic generalized Morrey space.

The research of V. Guliyev was partially supported by the grant of Ahi Evran University Scientific Research Projects (PYO.FEN.4003.13.003) and (PYO.FEN.4001.13.012).

case, but we keep the term parabolic in the title and text of the paper, following the existing tradition, see for instance [7].

Everywhere in the sequel $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq CB$ with some positive constant C independent of appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are equivalent.

1.1. Parabolic homogeneous space $\{\mathbb{R}^n, \rho, dx\}$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, we denote the open ball centered at x of radius r by $B(x, r)$, its complement by $^c B(x, r)$ and $|B(x, r)|$ will stand for the Lebesgue measure of $B(x, r)$.

Let P be a real $n \times n$ matrix, whose all the eigenvalues have positive real part. Let $A_t = t^P$ ($t > 0$), and set

$$\gamma = \text{tr}P.$$

Then, there exists a quasi-distance ρ associated with P such that (see [8])

- (a) $\rho(A_t x) = t\rho(x)$, $t > 0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (b) $\rho(0) = 0$, $\rho(x) = \rho(-x) \geq 0$
and $\rho(x - y) \leq k(\rho(x - z) + \rho(y - z))$;
- (c) $dx = \rho^{\gamma-1} d\sigma(w) d\rho$, where $\rho = \rho(x)$, $w = A_{\rho^{-1}} x$
and $d\sigma(w)$ is a measure on the unit ellipsoid $S_\rho = \{w : \rho(w) = 1\}$.

Then, $\{\mathbb{R}^n, \rho, dx\}$ becomes a space of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman-Weiss (see [8]) and a homogeneous group in the sense of Folland-Stein (see [10]). Moreover, we always assume that there hold the following properties of the quasidistance ρ :

(d) For every x ,

$$c_1|x|^{\alpha_1} \leq \rho(x) \leq c_2|x|^{\alpha_2}, \text{ if } \rho(x) \geq 1;$$

$$c_3|x|^{\alpha_3} \leq \rho(x) \leq c_4|x|^{\alpha_4}, \text{ if } \rho(x) \leq 1$$

and

$$\rho(\theta x) \leq \rho(x) \text{ for } 0 < \theta < 1,$$

with some positive constants α_i and c_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$). Similar properties hold also for the quasimetric ρ^* associated with the adjoint matrix P^* .

The following are some important examples of the above defined matrices P and distances ρ .

1. Let $(Px, x) \geq (x, x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, $\rho(x)$ is defined as the unique solution $\rho(x) = t$ of $|A_{t^{-1}} x| = 1$, and $k = 1$. This is the case studied by Calderon and Torchinsky in [7].

2. Let P be a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries, and $t = \rho(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unique solution of $|A_{t^{-1}} x| = 1$.

2a) When all the diagonal entries are greater than or equal to 1, O. V. Besov, V. P. Il'in, P. I. Lizorkin in [3] and E. B. Fabes and N. M. Rivi\ere in [9] studied the weak $(1, 1)$ and strong (p, p) estimates of singular integral operators.

2_b) If there are diagonal entries smaller than 1, then ρ satisfies the above (a) – (d) with $k > 1$.

3. In [28] Stein and Wainger defined and studied some problems in harmonic analysis on this kind of spaces. Consider a one parameter group of dilations on \mathbb{R}^n , $A_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $t > 0$ with the following properties:

- (i) $A_{st} = A_s A_t$ and A_1 is the identity;
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} A_t x = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (iii) $A_t x = t^P x = \exp\{P \log t\}x$.

Then all eigenvalues of P have positive real part. Then in this case there exist $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$ and $0 < c'_1 < c'_2$ such that A_t has the following properties:

- (iv) for every x

$$c'_1 t^{\beta_1} |x| < |A_t x| < c'_2 t^{\beta_2} |x|, \text{ for } t \geq 1$$

and

$$(c'_2)^{-1} t^{\beta_2} |x| < |A_t x| < (c'_1)^{-1} t^{\beta_1} |x|, \text{ for } t \leq 1.$$

By [28], if $|A_t x|$ were strictly monotonic, then we might define the unique solutions of $|A_t x| = 1$ by $\rho(x)$. Otherwise, there is a positive definite symmetric matrix B such that

$$\langle A_t x \rangle = \langle A_t x \rangle_B = (B A_t x, A_t x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is strictly increasing and thus ρ can be defined as follows: For $x \neq 0$, $\rho(x)$ is the unique positive t such that $\langle A_t^{-1}(x) \rangle = 1$. For $x = 0$, set $\rho(x) = 0$. Then for $x \neq 0$

$$x = A_{\rho(x)} w(x),$$

where $\langle w(x) \rangle = 1$ and $w(x)$ is unique. Let $\rho^*(\xi)$ be the quasi-distance function corresponding to the group $A_t^* = t^{P^*} = \exp(P^* \log t)$. Then $\xi = A_{\rho^*(\xi)}^*(w^*(\xi))$ where

$$\langle w^*(\xi) \rangle = (B_1 w^*(\xi), w^*(\xi))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for an appropriate positive definite symmetric matrix B .

It was pointed out in [28] that both ρ and ρ^* satisfy (1.1)–(1.4), and one can easily see that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{\beta_2}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{\beta_1}$, and c_i depends on on the matrices P and B . Moreover, in this case

$$dx = \rho^{\gamma-1} d\sigma(w) d\rho,$$

where $d\sigma(w)$ is a C^∞ measure on the ellipsoid $\rho(w)^2 = (Bw, w) = 1$.

In the standard parabolic case $P_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2)$ we have

$$\rho(x) = \sqrt{\frac{|x'|^2 + \sqrt{|x'|^4 + x_n^2}}{2}}, \quad x = (x', x_n).$$

The balls $\mathcal{E}(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho(x - y) < r\}$ with respect to the quasidistance ρ are ellipsoids. For its Lebesgue measure one has

$$|\mathcal{E}(x, r)| = v_p r^\gamma,$$

where v_p is the volume of the unit ellipsoid. By $\mathcal{E}^c(x, r) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{E}(x, r)$ we denote the complement of $\mathcal{E}(x, r)$.

1.2. Parabolic generalized Morrey spaces

We define the parabolic Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} \left(t^{-\lambda} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,t)} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq \gamma$.

If $\lambda = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,0,P}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$; if $\lambda = \gamma$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,\gamma,P}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$; if $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > \gamma$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P} = \Theta$, where Θ is the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on \mathbb{R}^n .

We also denote by $W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the weak parabolic Morrey space of functions $f \in WL_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \|f\|_{WL_p(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} < \infty,$$

where $WL_p(\mathcal{E}(x, r))$ denotes the weak L_p -space of measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{WL_p(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} = \sup_{t > 0} t |\{y \in \mathcal{E}(x, r) : |f(y)| > t\}|^{1/p}.$$

Note that $WL_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = W\mathcal{M}_{p,0,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}}.$$

If $P = I$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,I}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the classical Morrey space.

We introduce the parabolic generalized Morrey spaces following the known ideas of defining generalized Morrey spaces ([15, 24, 26] etc).

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $\varphi(x, r)$ be a positive measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The space $\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, called the parabolic generalized Morrey space, is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} \varphi(x, t)^{-1} |\mathcal{E}(x, t)|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}.$$

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $\varphi(x, r)$ be a positive measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The space $W\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P} \equiv W\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, called the weak parabolic generalized Morrey space, is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} \varphi(x, t)^{-1} |\mathcal{E}(x, t)|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{WL_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}.$$

If $P = I$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,I}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv W\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,I}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are the generalized Morrey space and the weak generalized Morrey space, respectively.

According to this definition, we recover the space $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the choice $\varphi(x, r) = r^{\frac{\lambda-\gamma}{p}}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi,P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Big|_{\varphi(x,r)=r^{\frac{\lambda-\gamma}{p}}}.$$

1.3. Operators under consideration

Let $S_\rho = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho(w) = 1\}$ be the unit ρ -sphere (ellipsoid) in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) equipped with the normalized Lebesgue surface measure $d\sigma$ and Ω be A_t -homogeneous of degree zero, i.e. $\Omega(A_t x) \equiv \Omega(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$. The parabolic fractional maximal function $M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f$ and the parabolic fractional integral $I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f$ by with rough kernels, $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, of a function $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined by

$$M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |\mathcal{E}(x, t)|^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,t)} |\Omega(x-y)| |f(y)| dy,$$

$$I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y) f(y)}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} dy.$$

If $\Omega \equiv 1$, then $M_\alpha^P \equiv M_{1,\alpha}^P$ and $I_\alpha^P \equiv I_{1,\alpha}^P$ are the parabolic fractional maximal operator and the parabolic fractional integral operator, respectively. If $\alpha = 0$, then $M_\Omega^P \equiv M_{\Omega,0}^P$ is the parabolic maximal operator with rough kernel. If $P = I$, then $M_{\Omega,\alpha} \equiv M_{\Omega,\alpha}^I$ is the fractional maximal operator with rough kernel, and $M \equiv M_{\Omega,0}^I$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator with rough kernel. It is well known that the parabolic fractional maximal operators play an important role in harmonic analysis (see [10, 27]).

We prove the boundedness of the parabolic fractional maximal and integral operators $M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$, $I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$ with rough kernel from one parabolic generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi_1,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to another one $\mathcal{M}_{q,\varphi_2,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < q < \infty$, $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$, and from the space $\mathcal{M}_{1,\varphi_1,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the weak space $W\mathcal{M}_{q,\varphi_2,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $1 - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$. We also prove the Adams type boundedness of the operators $M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$, $I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$ from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{q,\varphi^{\frac{1}{q}},P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < q < \infty$ and from $\mathcal{M}_{1,\varphi,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}_{q,\varphi^{\frac{1}{q}},P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < q < \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

In the papers [24, 25], where the maximal and other operator were studied in generalized Morrey spaces, the following condition was imposed on $\varphi(x, r)$:

$$c^{-1}\varphi(x, r) \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq c\varphi(x, r), \quad (2.1)$$

whenever $r \leq t \leq 2r$, jointly with the condition:

$$\int_r^\infty \varphi(x, t)^p \frac{dt}{t} \leq C\varphi(x, r)^p$$

for the maximal or singular operators and the condition

$$\int_r^\infty t^{\alpha p} \varphi(x, t)^p \frac{dt}{t} \leq Cr^{\alpha p} \varphi(x, r)^p \quad (2.2)$$

for potential and fractional maximal operators, where c and C do not depend on r and x .

The results of [24, 25] imply the following statement.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{\gamma}{p}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ and $\varphi(x, t)$ satisfy the conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Then M_α^p and I_α^p are bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > 1$ and from $\mathcal{M}_{1, \varphi, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p = 1$.*

In [11] the following statement was proved by fractional integral operator with rough kernels $I_{\Omega, \alpha}$, containing the result in [21, 24].

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\Omega \in L_s(S^{n-1})$, $1 < s \leq \infty$, be homogeneous of degree zero. Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $s' < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and $\varphi(x, r)$ satisfy the condition (2.1) and*

$$\int_r^\infty t^{\alpha p} \varphi(x, t)^p \frac{dt}{t} \leq Cr^{\alpha p} \varphi(x, r)^p, \quad (2.3)$$

where C does not depend on x and r . Then the operator $I_{\Omega, \alpha}$ is bounded from $M_{p, \varphi}$ to $M_{q, \varphi}$.

The following statements, containing results obtained in [21], [24] was proved in [13, 15] (see also [4]–[6], [14]–[17]).

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ and (φ_1, φ_2) satisfy the condition*

$$\int_r^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \varphi_1(x, t) dt \leq C\varphi_2(x, r), \quad (2.4)$$

where C does not depend on x and r . Then the operator I_α^p is bounded from $M_{p, \varphi_1, P}$ to $M_{q, \varphi_2, P}$ for $p > 1$ and from $M_{1, \varphi_1, P}$ to WLM_{q, φ_2} for $p = 1$.

Let ν be a weight on $(0, \infty)$. We denote by $L_{\infty, \nu}(0, \infty)$ the space of all functions $g(t)$, $t > 0$ with finite norm

$$\|g\|_{L_{\infty, \nu}(0, \infty)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \nu(t)|g(t)|$$

and write $L_{\infty}(0, \infty) \equiv L_{\infty, 1}(0, \infty)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}(0, \infty)$ be the set of all Lebesgue-measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty)$ its subset of all nonnegative functions. By $\mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty; \uparrow)$ we denote the cone of all functions in $\mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty)$ non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and introduce also the set

$$\mathbb{A} = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty; \uparrow) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(t) = 0 \right\}.$$

Let u be a non-negative continuous function on $(0, \infty)$. We define the supremal operator \overline{S}_u on $g \in \mathfrak{M}(0, \infty)$ by

$$(\overline{S}_u g)(t) := \|u g\|_{L_{\infty}(t, \infty)}, \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

The following theorem was proved in [5].

THEOREM 2.4. *Let ν_1, ν_2 be non-negative measurable functions satisfying $0 < \| \nu_1 \|_{L_{\infty}(t, \infty)} < \infty$ for any $t > 0$ and let u be a continuous non-negative function on $(0, \infty)$. Then the operator \overline{S}_u is bounded from $L_{\infty, \nu_1}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\infty, \nu_2}(0, \infty)$ on the cone \mathbb{A} if and only if*

$$\left\| \nu_2 \overline{S}_u \left(\| \nu_1 \|_{L_{\infty}(\cdot, \infty)}^{-1} \right) \right\|_{L_{\infty}(0, \infty)} < \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

We are going to use the following statement on the boundedness of the weighted Hardy operator

$$H_w^* g(t) := \int_t^{\infty} g(s) w(s) ds, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where w is a fixed function non-negative and measurable on $(0, \infty)$.

The following theorem was proved in [18].

THEOREM 2.5. *Let ν_1, ν_2 and w be positive almost everywhere and measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$. The inequality*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \nu_2(t) H_w^* g(t) \leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \nu_1(t) g(t) \tag{2.6}$$

holds for some $C > 0$ for all non-negative and non-decreasing g on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$B := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \nu_2(t) \int_t^{\infty} \frac{w(s) ds}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s<\tau<\infty} \nu_1(\tau)} < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, if C^* is the minimal value of C in (2.6), then $C^* = B$.

REMARK 2.1. In (2.6) and (2.7) it is assumed that $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ and $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

3. Boundedness of the parabolic fractional operators in the spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section we prove the (p, p) -boundedness of the operator M_Ω^P and the (p, q) -boundedness of the operators $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ and $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\Omega \in L_s(S_\rho)$, $1 < s \leq \infty$, be A_1 -homogeneous of degree zero. Then the operator M_Ω^P is bounded in the space $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p > s'$.*

Proof. In the case $s = \infty$ the statement of Theorem 3.1 is known and may be found in [8] and [27]. So we assume that $1 < s < \infty$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Omega(x - \cdot)\|_{L_s(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} &= \left(\int_{\mathcal{E}(0,t)} |\Omega(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\ &= \left(\int_0^t r^{\gamma-1} dr \int_{S_\rho} |\Omega(\omega)|^s d\sigma(\omega) \right)^{1/s} \\ &= c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{1/s}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $c_0 = (\gamma v_\rho)^{-1/s}$ and $v_\rho = |\mathcal{E}(0, 1)|$.

The case $p = \infty$ is easy. Indeed, making use of (3.1), we get

$$\|M_\Omega^P f\|_{L_\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty} \sup_{t>0} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-1+\frac{1}{s'}} \|\Omega(x - \cdot)\|_{L_s(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \leq c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} \|f\|_{L_\infty}.$$

So we assume that $s' < p < \infty$. Applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$M_\Omega^P f(x) \leq \sup_{t>0} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-1} \|\Omega(x - \cdot)\|_{L_s(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \|f\|_{L_{s'}(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then from (3.2) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Omega^P f(x) &\leq c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} \sup_{t>0} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-1+1/s'} \|f\|_{L_{s'}(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \\ &= c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} \left(\sup_{t>0} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-1} \| |f|^{s'} \|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} \left(M^P(|f|^{s'})(x) \right)^{1/s'}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, from (3.3) for $1 \leq s' < p < \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\Omega^P f\|_{L_p} &\leq c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} \left\| \left(M^P(|f|^{s'})(x) \right)^{1/s'} \right\|_{L_p} \\ &= c_0 \|\Omega\|_{L_s(S_\rho)} \|M^P |f|^{s'}\|_{L_{p/s'}}^{1/s'} \lesssim \| |f|^{s'} \|_{L_{p/s'}}^{1/s'} = \|f\|_{L_p}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \gamma$ and the function $\Omega \in L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(S_\rho)$, is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ and $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$. Then the fractional integral operator $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^p$ is bounded from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > 1$ and from $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $WL_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p = 1$.*

Proof. We denote

$$K(x) := \frac{\Omega(x)}{\rho(x)^{\gamma-\alpha}}$$

for brevity, and may assume that $K(x) \geq 0$. We have

$$\left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : I_{\Omega, \alpha}^p f(x) > \lambda\} \right| \leq \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : I_{\Omega, \alpha}^p f(x) > C_{\gamma, \alpha}^{-1} \lambda\} \right| \leq I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 := \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |K_\mu^1 * f(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|, \quad I_2 := \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |K_\mu^2 * f(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|,$$

$$K_\mu^1(x) = (K(x) - \mu)\chi_{E(\mu)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\mu^2(x) = K(x) - K_\mu^1(x),$$

$\mu > 0$ and $E(\mu) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : K(x) > \mu\}$. Note that

$$|E(\mu)| \leq B\mu^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}. \tag{3.4}$$

where $B = \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\Omega\|_{L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(S_\rho)}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}$ as seen from the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\mu)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{E(\mu)} \frac{|\Omega(x)|}{\rho(x)^{\gamma-\alpha}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{S_\rho} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') \int_0^{\left(\frac{|\Omega(x')|}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-\alpha}}} r^{\alpha-1} dr = B\mu^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

By means of (3.4) we can prove the estimate

$$\|K_\mu^2\|_{L_{p'}} \leq \left(\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{\gamma} Bq\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mu^{\frac{\gamma}{(\gamma-\alpha)q}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}.$$

For $p = 1$ it easily follows from (3.4), and for $p > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\mu^2(x)|^{p'} dx &= p' \int_0^\mu t^{p'-1} |E(t)| dt \\ &\leq p' B \int_0^\mu t^{p'-1 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}} dt \\ &= \frac{\gamma-\alpha}{\gamma} Bq\mu^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha} \frac{p'}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Young inequality we obtain

$$\|K_\mu^2 * f\|_{L_\infty} \leq \|K_\mu^2\|_{L_{p'}} \|f\|_{L_p} \leq \left(\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\gamma} Bq\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mu^{\frac{\gamma}{(\gamma - \alpha)q}} \|f\|_{L_p}.$$

Now for a $\lambda > 0$, we choose μ such that

$$\left(\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\gamma} Bq\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mu^{\frac{\gamma}{(\gamma - \alpha)q}} \|f\|_{L_p} = \frac{\lambda}{2},$$

then

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |K_\mu^2 * f(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right| = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : I_\alpha^p f(x) > \lambda\} \right| &\leq \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |K_\mu^1 * f(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} \|K_\mu^1 * f\|_{L_p}\right)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The following estimations take (3.4) into account:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\mu^1(x)| dx &= \int_{E(\mu)} (|K(x)| - \mu) dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |E(t + \mu)| dt \\ &\leq B \int_\mu^\infty t^{-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - \alpha}} dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha B}{\gamma - \alpha} \mu^{-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma - \alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

For all $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, from (3.6) it follows that

$$|K_\mu^1 * f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\mu^1(x)| dx \leq \frac{\alpha B}{\gamma - \alpha} \mu^{-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma - \alpha}} \|f\|_{L_\infty}. \quad (3.7)$$

For all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from (3.6) follows

$$\|K_\mu^1 * f\|_{L_1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\mu^1(x - y)| |f(y)| dx dy \leq \frac{\alpha B}{\gamma - \alpha} \mu^{-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma - \alpha}} \|f\|_{L_1}. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus from (3.7) and (3.8) follows that the operator $T_1 : f \rightarrow K_\mu^1 * f$ is of (∞, ∞) and $(1, 1)$ -type. Then by the Riesz-Thorin theorem the operator T_1 is also of (p, p) -type, $1 < p < \infty$, and

$$\|T_1 f\|_{L_p} \leq \frac{\alpha B}{\gamma - \alpha} \mu^{-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma - \alpha}} \|f\|_{L_p}. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.5) and (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : I_{\alpha}^P f(x) > \lambda\} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} \|K_{\mu}^1 * f\|_{L_p} \right)^p \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p} \right)^q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where C is independent of λ and f .

To finish the proof, i.e. prove that the operator I_{α}^P is bounded from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ and $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$, observe that the inequality (3.10) tells us that I_{α}^P is bounded from $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $WL_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$. We choose any p_0 such that $p < p_0 < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, and put $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$. By (3.10) the operator I_{α}^P is of weak (p_0, q_0) -type. Since it is also of weak $(1, q)$ -type by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, we conclude that I_{α}^P is of (p, q) -type. \square

COROLLARY 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, the fractional maximal operator $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ is bounded from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > 1$ and from $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $WL_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p = 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to refer to the known fact that

$$M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f(x) \leq C_{\gamma, \alpha} I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f(x), \quad C_{\gamma, \alpha} = |\mathcal{E}(0, 1)|^{\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\gamma}}, \quad \square$$

Note that in the isotropic case $P = I$ Theorem 3.2 was proved in [23].

4. Parabolic fractional maximal operator with rough kernels in the spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Note that in the next Section 5 we obtain boundedness results of Spanne and Adams type for the fractional integral operator $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$. Although $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f$ is dominated by $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f$ and consequently from the results of Section 5 there may be derived the corresponding results for $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f$, we obtain here a Spanne type statement for the operator $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f$ separately from Section 5, because for this operator we are able to obtain the boundedness results under weaker assumptions than in Section 5, see Remark 5.3.

Recall that in the classical isotropic case, i.e. in the case of of the operator M_{α} , $0 \leq \alpha < n$ on \mathbb{R}^n with Euclidean distance, sufficient conditions on for the boundedness of this operator in generalized Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have been obtained in [2, 5, 15, 24].

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \gamma$ and the function $\Omega \in L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - \alpha}}(S_p)$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$. Then for any ball $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(x, r)$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there hold the inequalities*

$$\|M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E}(x, r))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x, 2kr))} + r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \sup_{t > 2kr} t^{-\gamma + \alpha} \|\Omega(x - \cdot) f(\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x, t))}, \quad p > 1,$$

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{WL_q(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))} + r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} t^{-\gamma+\alpha} \|\Omega(x-\cdot)f(\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}, \quad p = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Given a ball $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(x, r)$, we split the function f as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{\mathcal{E}(x,2kr)}$ and $f_2 = f\chi_{\mathcal{E}^c(x,2kr)}$, and then

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \leq \|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_1\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} + \|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_2\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})}.$$

Let $p > 1$. By Corollary 3.1

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_1\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))}.$$

To estimate $M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_2(y)$, observe that if $\mathcal{E}(y, t) \cap \mathcal{E}^c(x, 2kr) \neq \emptyset$, where $y \in \mathcal{E}$, then $t > r$. Indeed, if $z \in \mathcal{E}(y, t) \cap \mathcal{E}^c(x, 2kr)$, then $t > \rho(y-z) \geq \frac{1}{k}\rho(x-z) - \rho(x-y) > 2r - r = r$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}(y, t) \cap \mathcal{E}^c(x, 2kr) \subset \mathcal{E}(x, 2kt)$. Indeed, for $z \in \mathcal{E}(y, t) \cap \mathcal{E}^c(x, 2kr)$ we get $\rho(x-z) \leq k\rho(y-z) + k\rho(x-y) < k(t+r) < 2kt$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_2(y) &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(y,t)|^{1-\alpha/\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(y,t) \cap \mathcal{E}^c(x,2kr)} |f(z)| |\Omega(x-z)| dz \\ &\leq (2k)^{\gamma-\alpha} \sup_{t>r} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x,2kt)|^{1-\alpha/\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,2kt)} |f(z)| |\Omega(x-z)| dz \\ &= (2k)^{\gamma-\alpha} \sup_{t>2kr} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{1-\alpha/\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,t)} |f(z)| |\Omega(x-z)| dz. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $y \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_2(y) \leq (2k)^{\gamma-\alpha} \sup_{t>2kr} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{1-\alpha/\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,t)} |f(z)| |\Omega(x-z)| dz. \tag{4.2}$$

Thus

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))} + |\mathcal{E}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{1-\alpha/\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,t)} |f(z)| |\Omega(x-z)| dz.$$

Let $p = 1$. We have

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{WL_q(\mathcal{E})} \leq \|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_1\|_{WL_q(\mathcal{E})} + \|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_2\|_{WL_q(\mathcal{E})}.$$

By Corollary 3.1 we get

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_1\|_{WL_q(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))}.$$

Then by (4.2) we arrive at (4.1) and complete the proof. \square

Similarly to Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1 the following lemma may be proved.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let the function $\Omega \in L_s(S_\rho)$, $1 < s \leq \infty$, be A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Then for $p > s'$ and any ball $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(x, r)$ the inequality*

$$\|M_{\Omega}^P f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))} + r^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \sup_{t>2kr} t^{-\gamma} \|\Omega(x-\cdot)f(\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}$$

holds for all $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Suppose that the function $\Omega \in L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(S_\rho)$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$. Then for $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there hold the inequalities*

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}, \quad p > 1, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{W L_q(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}, \quad p = 1. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $p > 1$ Denote

$$\mathcal{A}_1 := |\mathcal{E}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sup_{t>2kr} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{1-\alpha/\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x,t)} |f(z)| |\Omega(x-z)| dz \right),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 := \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))}.$$

Applying Hölder’s inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &\lesssim |\mathcal{E}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \|\Omega(x-\cdot)\|_{L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim |\mathcal{E}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{E}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \\ &\gtrsim |\mathcal{E}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{t>2kr} |\mathcal{E}(x,t)|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,2kr))} \approx \mathcal{A}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \leq \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$, by Lemma 4.1, we arrive at (4.3).

Let $p = 1$. The inequality (4.4) directly follows from (4.1). \square

Similarly to Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.1 the following lemma is also proved.

LEMMA 4.4. *Suppose that the function $\Omega \in L_s(S_\rho)$, $1 < s \leq \infty$, is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Then for $p > s'$ and any ball $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(x, r)$, the inequality*

$$\|M_{\Omega}^P f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \sup_{t>2kr} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}$$

holds for $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \gamma$ and the function $\Omega \in L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(S_\rho)$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$, and (φ_1, φ_2) satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_{r < t < \infty} t^{\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{p}} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \leq C \varphi_2(x, r), \tag{4.5}$$

where C does not depend on x and r . Then the operator $M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi_1, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi_2, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p > 1$ and from $\mathcal{M}_{1, \varphi_1, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi_2, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p = 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi_2, P}} &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi_2(x, r)^{-1} \sup_{t > r} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x, t))} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi_1(x, r)^{-1} r^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x, r))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi_1, P}}, \end{aligned}$$

if $p \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi_2, P}} &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi_2(x, r)^{-1} \sup_{t > r} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x, t))} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi_1(x, r)^{-1} r^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x, r))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1, \varphi_1, P}}, \end{aligned}$$

if $p = 1$. \square

In the same way, by means of Lemma 4.4 we can obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose that the function $\Omega \in L_s(S_\rho)$, $1 < s \leq \infty$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $p > s'$ and (φ_1, φ_2) satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_{r < t < \infty} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \leq C \varphi_2(x, r),$$

where C does not depend on x and r . Then the operator M_{Ω}^P is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi_1, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi_2, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

5. Parabolic fractional integral operator with rough kernels in the spaces $M_{p, \varphi, P}$

5.1. Spanne type result

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \gamma$ and the function $\Omega \in L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(S_\rho)$, is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$. Then for all $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there hold the inequalities*

$$\|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E}(x_0, r))} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}-1} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} dt, \quad p > 1$$

and

$$\|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{W L_q(\mathcal{E}(x_0, r))} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}-1} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} dt, \quad p = 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. For a given ball $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(x_0, r)$ f , we represent f as

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1(y) = f(y)\chi_{2k\mathcal{E}}(y), \quad f_2(y) = f(y)\chi_{\mathcal{E}^c(2k\mathcal{E})}(y), \quad r > 0,$$

and have

$$\|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \leq \|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_1\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} + \|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_2\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})}.$$

Since $f_1 \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the boundedness of $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that

$$\|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_1\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \leq \|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_1\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f_1\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C\|f\|_{L_p(2k\mathcal{E})}.$$

Observe that the conditions $x \in \mathcal{E}$, $y \in \mathcal{E}^c(2k\mathcal{E})$ imply

$$\frac{1}{2k}\rho(x_0 - y) \leq \rho(x - y) \leq \frac{3k}{2}\rho(x_0 - y).$$

We then get

$$|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_2(x)| \leq 2^{\gamma-\alpha} c_1 \int_{\mathcal{E}^c(2k\mathcal{E})} \frac{|f(y)||\Omega(x-y)|}{\rho(x_0 - y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} dy.$$

By Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{E}^c(2k\mathcal{E})} \frac{|f(y)||\Omega(x-y)|}{\rho(x_0 - y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} dy &\approx \int_{\mathcal{E}^c(2k\mathcal{E})} |f(y)||\Omega(x-y)| \int_{\rho(x_0 - y)}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} dy \\ &\approx \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \int_{2kr \leq \rho(x_0 - y) < t} |f(y)||\Omega(x-y)| dy \frac{dt}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x_0, t)} |f(y)||\Omega(x-y)| dy \frac{dt}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with (3.1) taken into account, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{E}^c(2k\mathcal{E})} \frac{|f(y)||\Omega(x-y)|}{\rho(x_0 - y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} \|\Omega(x - \cdot)\|_{L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} |\mathcal{E}(x_0, t)|^{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p}} \frac{dt}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q} + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ the inequality

$$\|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_2\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q} + 1}}. \tag{5.2}$$

is valid. Thus

$$\|I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(2k\mathcal{E})} + r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q} + 1}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_p(2k\mathcal{E})} &\approx r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \|f\|_{L_p(2k\mathcal{E})} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}} \\ &\leq r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Thus

$$\|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}}.$$

Finally, in the case $p = 1$ by the weak $(1, q)$ -boundedness of $I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$ and the inequality (5.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_1\|_{WL_q(\mathcal{E})} &\leq \|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f_1\|_{WL_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|f\|_{L_1(2k\mathcal{E})} \lesssim r^{\frac{\gamma}{q}} \int_{2kr}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x_0,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Then from (5.2) and (5.4) we get the inequality (5.1). \square

THEOREM 5.1. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \gamma$ and the function $\Omega \in L_{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-\alpha}}(S_\rho)$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $1 \leq p < \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$, and the pair (φ_1, φ_2) satisfy the condition*

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{n}{p}}}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}} dt \leq C \varphi_2(x, r), \tag{5.5}$$

where C does not depend on x and r . Then the operator $I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$ is bounded from $M_{p,\varphi_1,P}$ to $M_{q,\varphi_2,P}$ for $p > 1$ and from $M_{1,\varphi_1,P}$ to $WM_{q,\varphi_2,P}$ for $p = 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 2.5 with $v_2(r) = \varphi_2(x_0, r)^{-1}$, $v_1(r) = \varphi_1(x_0, r)^{-1} r^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}}$ and $w(r) = r^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}}$ we have for $p > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{M_{q,\varphi_2,P}} &\lesssim \sup_{r>0} \varphi_2(x_0, r)^{-1} \int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{r>0} \varphi_1(x_0, r)^{-1} r^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0,r))} = \|f\|_{M_{p,\varphi_1,P}} \end{aligned}$$

and for $p = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{WM_{q,\varphi_2,P}} &\lesssim \sup_{r>0} \varphi_2(x_0, r)^{-1} \int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{E}(x_0,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{r>0} \varphi_1(x_0, r)^{-1} r^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x_0,r))} = \|f\|_{M_{1,\varphi_1,P}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 5.2. Note that, in the case $\Omega \equiv 1$ and $P = I$ Theorem 5.1 was proved in [17]. Also in the case $P = I$ Theorem 5.1 was proved in [18]. The condition (5.5) in Theorem 5.1 is weaker than condition (2.4) in Theorem 2.3 (see [17]).

REMARK 5.3. The condition (4.5) is weaker than (5.5). Indeed, (5.5) implies (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(x, r) &\gtrsim \int_r^\infty \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}} dt \\ &\gtrsim \int_s^\infty \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}+1}} dt \\ &\gtrsim \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{s < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \int_s^\infty t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}-1} dt \\ &\approx \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{s < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we took $s \in (r, \infty)$, so that

$$\sup_{s > r} \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{s < \tau < \infty} \varphi_1(x, \tau) \tau^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{q}}} \lesssim \varphi_2(x, r).$$

On the other hand the functions $\varphi_1(x, t) = t^{-\alpha}$ and $\varphi_2(x, t) = 1$ satisfy the condition (4.5), but do not satisfy the condition (5.5).

5.2. Adams type result

The following is a result of Adams type ([1]) for the fractional integral operator.

THEOREM 5.2. *Suppose that the function $\Omega \in L_s(S_{p'})$, $1 < s \leq \infty$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $s' < p < q < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{\gamma}{p}$ and let $\varphi(x, t)$ satisfy the conditions*

$$\sup_{r < t < \infty} t^{-\gamma} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < \tau < \infty} \varphi(x, \tau) \tau^\gamma \leq C \varphi(x, r) \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\int_r^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \varphi(x, t)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \leq Cr^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p}}, \tag{5.7}$$

where C does not depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. Then the operator $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P$ is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{q, \varphi^{\frac{1}{q}}, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p, \varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}, P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f \chi_{\mathcal{E}(x, 2kr)}$ and $f_2 = f \chi_{\mathcal{E}^c(x, 2kr)}$.

For $I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P f_2(y)$ with $y \in \mathcal{E}$ from (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Omega, \alpha}^P(f_2)(y) &\lesssim \int_{2kr}^\infty t^{\gamma-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, t)} |f(z)| dz \\ &\lesssim \int_{2kr}^\infty t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}-1} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x, t))} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Then from (5.8) by the condition (5.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f(y) &\lesssim r^\alpha M^P f(y) + \int_{2kr}^\infty t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}-1} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} dt \\ &\leq r^\alpha M_{\Omega}^P f(y) + \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}} \int_{2kr}^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \varphi(x,t)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \\ &\lesssim r^\alpha M_{\Omega}^P f(y) + r^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose now $r = \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{1/p},P}}}{M_{\Omega}^P f(y)}\right)^{\frac{q-p}{\alpha q}}$ and get

$$I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f(y) \lesssim (M_{\Omega}^P f(y))^{\frac{p}{q}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Hence the statement of the theorem follows in view of the boundedness of the maximal operator M_{Ω}^P in $\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided by Theorem 4.2 in virtue of condition (5.6).

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q,\varphi^{\frac{1}{q}},P}} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} \varphi(x,t)^{-\frac{1}{q}t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}}} \|I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P f\|_{L_q(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} \varphi(x,t)^{-\frac{1}{q}t^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}}} \|M_{\Omega}^P f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))}^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0} \varphi(x,t)^{-\frac{1}{p}t^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}}} \|M_{\Omega}^P f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{E}(x,t))} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \|M_{\Omega}^P f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}},P}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In the case $\varphi(x,r) = r^{\lambda-\gamma}$, $0 < \lambda < \gamma$ from Theorem 5.2 we get the following Adams type result [1] for the parabolic fractional maximal and integral operators with rough kernels.

COROLLARY 5.2. *Suppose that the function $\Omega \in L_s(S_p)$, $1 < s \leq \infty$ is A_t -homogeneous of degree zero. Let $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, $s' < p < q < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < \gamma - \alpha p$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma - \lambda}$. Then the operators $M_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$ and $I_{\Omega,\alpha}^P$ are bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{q,\lambda,P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for very valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. ADAMS, *A note on Riesz potentials*, Duke Math. **42** (1975), 765–778.
- [2] A. AKBULUT, V. S. GULIYEV, R. MUSTAFAYEV, *On the boundedness of the maximal operator and singular integral operators in generalized Morrey spaces*, Math. Bohem. **137**, 1 (2012), 27–43.
- [3] O. V. BESOV, V. P. IL'IN, P. I. LIZORKIN, *The L_p -estimates of a certain class of non-isotropically singular integrals*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **169** (1966), 1250–1253.
- [4] V. I. BURENKOV, H. V. GULIYEV, AND V. S. GULIYEV, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the fractional maximal operators in the local Morrey-type spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **208**, 1 (2007), 280–301.
- [5] V. BURENKOV, A. GOGATISHVILI, V. S. GULIYEV, R. MUSTAFAYEV, *Boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **55**, 8–10 (2010), 739–758.
- [6] V. BURENKOV, A. GOGATISHVILI, V. S. GULIYEV, R. MUSTAFAYEV, *Boundedness of the Riesz potential in local Morrey-type spaces*, Potential Anal. **35**, 1 (2011), 67–87.
- [7] A. P. CALDERÓN, A. TORCHINSKY, *Parabolic maximal function associated with a distribution, I*, Advances in Math. **16** (1975), 1–64.
- [8] R. R. COIFMAN, G. WEISS, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, (French) Étude de certaines intégrales singulières, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 242. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [9] E. B. FABES, N. RIVÈRE, *Singular integrals with mixed homogeneity*, Studia Math. **27** (1966), 19–38.
- [10] G. B. FOLLAND, E. M. STEIN, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Math. Notes, 28, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1982.
- [11] Y. DING, D. YANG, Z. ZHOU, *Boundedness of sublinear operators and commutators on $L^{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Yokohama Math. J. **46** (1998), 15–27.
- [12] M. GIAQUINTA, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [13] V. S. GULIYEV, *Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in \mathbb{R}^n* , Doctor's degree dissertation, Mat. Inst. Steklov, Moscow, 1994, 329 pp. (in Russian).
- [14] V. S. GULIYEV, *Function spaces, integral operators and two weighted inequalities on homogeneous groups. Some applications*, Casiöglu, Baku, 1999, 332 pp. (in Russian).
- [15] V. S. GULIYEV, *Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2009**, Art. ID 503948, 1–20.
- [16] V. S. GULIYEV, R. MUSTAFAYEV, *Boundedness of the anisotropic maximal and anisotropic singular integral operators in generalized Morrey spaces*, Acta Mathematica Sinica (English series) **27**, 12 (2011), 2361–2370.
- [17] V. S. GULIYEV, S. S. ALIYEV, T. KARAMAN, AND P. S. SHUKUROV, *Boundedness of sublinear operators and commutators on generalized Morrey Space*, Integral Equat. Oper. Theor. **71**, 3 (2011), 327–355.
- [18] V. S. GULIYEV, *Generalized local Morrey spaces and fractional integral operators with rough kernel*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **193**, 2 (2013), 211–227.
- [19] V. S. GULIYEV, L. SOFTOVA, *Global regularity in generalized Morrey spaces of solutions to nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Potential Anal. **38**, 4 (2013), 843–862.
- [20] A. MAUGERI, D. K. PALAGACHEV, L. G. SOFTOVA, *Elliptic and Parabolic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients*, Wiley-VCH, Berlin 2000.
- [21] T. MIZUHARA, *Boundedness of some classical operators on generalized Morrey spaces*, In: Harmonic Analysis, Proc. Conf., Sendai/Jap. 1990, ICM-90 Satell. Conf. Proc., pp. 183–189 (1991).
- [22] C. B. MORREY, *On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1938), 126–166.
- [23] B. MUKENHOUT AND R. L. WHEEDEN, *Weighted norm inequalities for singular and fractional integral*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **161** (1971), 249–258.
- [24] E. NAKAI, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces*, Math. Nachr. **166** (1994), 95–103.
- [25] E. NAKAI, *The Campanato, Morrey and Hölder spaces on spaces of homogeneous type*, Studia Math. **176**, 1 (2006), 1–19.
- [26] L.-E. PERSSON, N. SAMKO, *Weighted Hardy and potential operators in the generalized Morrey spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **377**, 2 (2011), 792–806.

- [27] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ, 1993.
- [28] E. M. STEIN AND S. WAINGER, *Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1239–1295.
- [29] NA WEI, PENGCHENG NIU, SUFANG TANG, MAOCHUN ZHU, *Estimates in generalized Morrey spaces for nondivergence degenerate elliptic operators with discontinuous coefficients*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM **106**, 1 (2012), 1–33.
- [30] LIN ZHANG, YIN SHENG JIANG, JIANG ZHOU, *Parabolic equations with VMO coefficients in generalized Morrey spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **26**, 1 (2010), 117–130.

(Received June 15, 2013)

Vagif S. Guliyev
Department of Mathematics
Ahi Evran University
Kirsehir, Turkey
and
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics
Baku, Azerbaijan
e-mail: vagif@guliyev.com

Aydin S. Balakishiyev
Baku State University
Baku, AZ 1148, Azerbaijan
e-mail: abalakishiyev@yahoo.com