

ON BOUNDARY DOMINATION IN THE JENSEN-MERCER INEQUALITY

IVAN PERIĆ

(Communicated by S. Varošanec)

Abstract. The main purpose of this, mainly expository, paper is to give various arguments that the boundary domination is a crucial property for the Jensen-Mercer inequality. Although this is an obvious property of convex functions and it is already expressed in the Jensen inequality it seems that the Jensen-Mercer inequality contains this information in a more vivid, explicit sense. This domination is presented using Steffensen-Popoviciu measures, The Majorization Theorem and a crude domination of weights of vertices in the multidimensional case (polytopes, simplices).

1. Some basic ideas

An interesting Jensen-type inequality was proved in [16].

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $x_i \in [a,b]$, $w_i \ge 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i > 0$. Then

$$f\left(a+b-\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right)$$

$$\leqslant f(a)+f(b)-\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f\left(x_i\right)\dots \text{theJensen}-\text{Mercer inequality}.$$
(1.1)

Proof. Set $W_n = 1$. Then

$$f\left(a+b-\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}x_{i}\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}(a+b-x_{i})\right)$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}f(a+b-x_{i}) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}(f(a)+f(b)-f(x_{i}))$$

$$= f(a)+f(b)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}f(x_{i}).$$

Keywords and phrases: Jensen-Mercer inequality, Jensen inequality, Steffensen-Popoviciu measures, Majorization Theorem, functionals, polytopes.



Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26A51, 26D15.

The weighted Jensen inequality and the positivity of weights are crucial for this proof. The inequality

$$f(a+b-x) \leqslant f(a) + f(b) - f(x) \tag{1.2}$$

is also used in the proof. It is instructive to see possible proofs of inequality (1.2). We briefly overview some of the possible proofs:

1. The Wright convexity:

$$f(a+b-x) + f(x)$$

$$= f\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}a + \frac{b-x}{b-a}b\right) + f\left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}a + \frac{x-a}{b-a}b\right)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(b) + \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b)$$

$$= f(a) + f(b)$$

- 2. The increasing increments property: Obvious, writing inequality (1.2) in the form $f(a+b-x)-f(a) \le f(b)-f(x)$.
- 3. The Majorization Theorem: Obvious, writing inequality (1.2) in the form

$$f(a+b-x) + f(x) \le f(a) + f(b).$$
 (1.3)

4. The Jensen-Steffensen inequality: Obvious, writing inequality (1.2) in the form $f(a-x+b) \le f(a) - f(x) + f(b)$ and using weights $w_1 = 1$, $w_2 = -1$, $w_3 = 1$.

It is apparent from the proof of inequality (1.2), using the Wright convexity, that actually the secant inequality

$$f(x) \le \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b)$$
, for all $x \in [a,b]$,

is applied twice. An analogous method is applied to prove functional forms of Jensen-Mercer inequality (see [4]). A general form is given in [3] as follows:

THEOREM 1.2. Let L be a vector space of real functions on a non-empty set E which contains constant functions and let $A: L \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive linear functional such that A(1) = 1. If $\phi: [m,M] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous convex function, then

$$\begin{split} \phi\left(m+M-A(g)\right) &\leqslant A\left(\phi\left(m+M-g\right)\right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{M-A(g)}{M-m}\phi(M) + \frac{A(g)-m}{M-m}\phi(m) \\ &\leqslant \phi(m) + \phi(M) - A\left(\phi(g)\right)\dots \text{the Jessen - Mercer inequality,} \end{split} \tag{1.4}$$

where g, $\phi(g)$, $\phi(m+M-g) \in L$.

A similar arguing with application of functional calculus gives Jensen type operator inequality without operator convexity assumptions. The following theorem is proved in [14].

THEOREM 1.3. Let $A_1, ..., A_k$ be self-adjoint operators whose spectra are in $[m,M] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let $\Phi_1, ..., \Phi_k$ be positive linear mappings such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \Phi_k(I) = I$. If $f: [m,M] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then

$$\begin{split} f\left(m+M-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{M-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}f(M)+\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m}{M-m}f(m) \\ &\leqslant f(m)+f(M)-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.3 enables to give a comparison of operator power Mercer means for broader range of exponents than in usual operator convexity case.

As application of Theorem 1.3 and interesting characterization of operator convexity obtained in [25] the following theorem is given in [9].

THEOREM 1.4. Let A_i , i = 1,...,n be positive operators acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert space with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i = I$. If f is convex on an interval [m,M] containing 0, then

$$f\left(m+M-\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}A_{i}\right) \leqslant f(m)+f(M)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(x_{i})A_{i},$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [m, M]$.

2. The Jensen-Mercer inequality as the Jensen inequality for Steffensen-Popoviciu measures

In the previous section the Jensen-Mercer inequality was obtained by using the Jensen inequality and some specific properties of convex functions (the Wright convexity, the increasing increment property) or applying the Lah-Ribarič inequality twice or some characteristic method for convex functions (Jensen-Steffensen's inequality or The Majorization Theorem). Each of the mentioned methods reveals a different inner property of the Jensen-Mercer inequality. The purpose of this section is to show that boundary weights domination is crucial property of the Jensen-Mercer inequality. From this point of view the Jensen-Mercer inequality (in the form presented in the introduction) is just a special case of Jensen's inequality for Steffensen-Popoviciu measures (see [19]).

The first inequality in the following theorem is the classical Jensen-Steffensen inequality (see [24]).

THEOREM 2.1. Let $x_i \in I$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval, $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, ..., n be such that

$$0 \leqslant W_k \leqslant W_n, \ k = 1, \dots, n - 1, \ W_k = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i, \ W_n > 0.$$
 (2.1)

If $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function and x_1, \ldots, x_n a monotonic sequence, then

$$f\left(\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f\left(x_i\right),\,$$

$$f\left(a+b-\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \le f(a)+f(b)-\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i),$$

where $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}\subset [a,b]\subseteq I$.

Proof. (see [1]) Suppose that $a \le x_1 \le \cdots \le x_n$. The weights

$$v_0 = 1, v_1 = -\frac{w_1}{W_n}, \dots, v_n = -\frac{w_n}{W_n}, v_{n+1} = 1$$

obviously satisfy (2.1).

An interesting feature of the Jensen-Mercer inequality, a kind of robust property with the respect to weights, is given in the following theorem (see [11]). Again, the first part is the classical Reverse Jensen's inequality. Although the proof is a simple one, the form of the inequality is, in some sense, unexpected, since it has the same direction as the "usual" Jensen-Mercer inequality.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $x_i \in [a,b]$, $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, ..., n, be such that $w_1 > 0$, $w_i \le 0$, i = 2, ..., n, $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i > 0$ and $\frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \in [a,b]$. Then

$$f\left(\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f\left(x_i\right),\tag{2.2}$$

$$f\left(a+b-\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \leqslant f(a)+f(b)-\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i).$$
 (2.3)

Proof. Using $f(a+b-x) \le f(a) + f(b) - f(x)$ (the increasing increments property, the Wright convexity) (2.3) immediately follows from (2.2).

REMARK 2.3. If $a \le x_{(1)} \le x_{(2)} \le \cdots \le x_{(n)} \le b$ is the increasing rearrangement of the given sequence in the previous theorem, then the weights

$$v_0 = 1, v_1 = -\frac{w_{(1)}}{W_n}, \dots, v_n = -\frac{w_{(n)}}{W_n}, v_{n+1} = 1$$

"never" satisfy the Jensen-Steffensen conditions (2.1). This can be seen directly. Of course this is obvious since the Jensen-Steffensen conditions imply Jensen's inequality and the conditions in Theorem 2.2 give reverse Jensen's inequality.

A natural question arises from the previous remark: Is it possible to give a unified approach to both of the weights conditions? It is obvious from the either cases that a boundary weights domination is crucial for the Jensen-Mercer inequality. This domination can be also expressed using Steffensen-Popoviciu measures (see [19]).

DEFINITION 2.4. A Steffensen-Popoviciu measure is any signed Borel measure μ on K (compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff real vector space) such that

$$\mu(K) > 0, \ \int_K f^+(x) d\mu(x) \geqslant 0$$

for any continuous convex function f on K.

The following theorem is proved in [19].

Theorem 2.5. (Jensen's inequality for Steffensen-Popoviciu measures) Suppose that μ is a signed Borel measure on K with $\mu(K)>0$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) μ is a Steffensen-Popoviciu measure;
- (ii) μ admits a barycenter $x_{\mu} \in K$ and

$$f(x_{\mu}) \leqslant \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_{K} f(x) d\mu(x)$$

for all continuous convex functions f on K.

The following characterization of discrete Steffensen-Popoviciu measures is given in the same book.

THEOREM 2.6. Suppose that $x_i, p_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, ..., n, such that $x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$. Then the discrete measure $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \delta_{x_k}$ is a Steffensen-Popoviciu measure if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k > 0, \ \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k (x_m - x_k) \geqslant 0, \ \sum_{k=m}^{n} p_k (x_k - x_m) \geqslant 0$$
 (2.4)

for all m = 1, ..., n.

If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k > 0, \ 0 \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \tag{2.5}$$

for every m = 1, ..., n, then (2.4) holds.

We use this characterization of discrete Steffensen-Popoviciu measure to prove that all previous conditions on weights (positive weights, Jensen-Steffensen weights, Reverse Jensen weights) generate Steffensen-Popoviciu measures.

THEOREM 2.7. Let $x_i \in [a,b]$, i = 1,...,n be such that $x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$. Suppose that weights w_i , i = 1,...,n, are either non-negative with $W_n > 0$ or satisfy Jensen-Steffensen conditions (2.1) or satisfy conditions for the reverse Jensen's inequality (see Theorem 2.2). Then

$$\mu = \delta_a + \delta_b - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_{x_i}$$

is a Steffensen-Popoviciu measure.

Proof. Set $W_n = 1$. Set $y_0 = a$, $y_i = x_i$, i = 1, ..., n, $y_{n+1} = b$ and $v_0 = v_{n+1}$, $v_i = -w_i$, i = 1, ..., n. Then

$$\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} v_i \delta_{y_i}.$$

In the cases where $w_i \ge 0$ or $0 \le W_k \le W_n = 1$ obviously $V_k = 1 - W_k$, $V_0 = V_{n+1} = 1$ satisfy (2.5), so μ is a Steffensen-Popoviciu measure.

Suppose that $w_1 > 0$, $w_i < 0$, $W_n = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \in [a,b]$. In this case $w_1 > 1$ and $V_1 = 1 - w_1 < 0$ so Jensen-Steffensen conditions are not satisfied. We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i (y_m - y_i) \ge 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_m - a - w_1 (x_m - x_1) - \dots - w_{m-1} (x_m - x_{m-1}) \ge 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_{m-1} x_{m-1} + (1 - w_1 - \dots - w_{m-1}) x_m \ge a,$$

which follows from

$$w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_{m-1} x_{m-1} + (1 - w_1 - \dots - w_{m-1}) x_m$$

$$= w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_{m-1} x_{m-1} + (w_m + \dots + w_n) x_m$$

$$\geqslant w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_{m-1} x_{m-1} + w_m x_m + \dots + w_n x_n \geqslant a.$$

Analogously

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n+1} v_i (y_i - y_m) \ge 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1 - w_{m+1} - \dots - w_n) x_m + w_{m+1} x_{m+1} + \dots + w_n x_n \le b, \tag{2.6}$$

which follows from

$$(1 - w_{m+1} - \dots - w_n) x_m + w_{m+1} x_{m+1} + \dots + w_n x_n$$

$$\leq (1 - w_{m+1} - \dots - w_n) x_m + w_{m+1} x_m + \dots + w_n x_m = x_m \leq b.$$

This holds for $m \ge 1$ (since $w_1 > 0$). For m = 0 the second line of (2.6) reduces to

$$w_1x_1 + \cdots + w_nx_n \leq b$$

which holds by an assumption.

Integral case is based on the Jensen-Boas variant of the He Jensen inequality. Since there is no continuous analogue of the Reverse Jensen's inequality, we can consider just Jensen-Steffensen conditions. THEOREM 2.8. Let $g: [\alpha, \beta] \to (a,b)$ be a continuous and monotonic function and let $\lambda: [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{R}$ be either continuous or of a bounded variation satisfying

$$\lambda(\alpha) \leqslant \lambda(t) \leqslant \lambda(\beta), \ \lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha) > 0.$$
 (2.7)

If $\phi:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda[a,b]}\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g(t)d\lambda(t)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda[a,b]}\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\phi\left(g(t)\right)d\lambda(t). \tag{2.8}$$

Boas conditions (2.7) are obviously equivalent to Jensen-Steffensen conditions for integrals in the sense that

$$0 \leqslant \int_{\alpha}^{x} d\lambda(t) \leqslant \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\lambda(t), \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\lambda(t) > 0, \tag{2.9}$$

and these conditions are exactly the conditions for a measure λ to be a Steffensen-Popoviciu measure (see also [19]).

Usually this is expressed in terms of absolutely continuous measures $d\lambda(t) = p(t)dt$, where p is an integrable function (not necessarily non-negative).

In [2] it was proved that if $\lambda : [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{R}$ is of a bounded variation satisfying (2.7) (or equivalently (2.9)), then the measure $\mu = \delta_{\alpha} + \delta_{\beta} + \lambda_{c}$, where $\lambda_{c}(x) = \frac{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(x)}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)}$ (and δ_{a} is the Dirac measure concentrated at a), also satisfies conditions (2.7). Inequality (2.8) for the measure μ gives:

$$\begin{split} \phi \left(g(a) + g(b) - \frac{1}{\lambda [a,b]} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) d\lambda(t) \right) \\ \leqslant \phi \left(g(a) \right) + \phi \left(g(b) \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda [a,b]} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi \left(g(t) \right) d\lambda(t). \end{split}$$

In this way, using Theorem 2.5, it was proved that the measure

$$\mu = \delta_{\alpha} + \delta_{\beta} + \lambda_{c}$$

is a Steffensen-Popoviciu measure.

3. Boundary domination in the Jensen-Mercer inequality via majorization

In the preceding section boundary weights domination, in some sense crucial for the Jensen-Mercer inequality, was expressed using Jensen-Popoviciu measures. Another way in describing boundary domination is in using the Majorization Theorem. Compare with (1.3). We give two typical results in this area with short proofs to keep the paper reasonably self-contained.

The following theorem is proved in [21]

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ $(I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ an interval})$ be a continuous convex function, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in I^m$, and $\mathbf{X} = (x_{ij})$ be a $n \times m$ matrix such that $x_{ij} \in I$ for all i, j.

If a majorizes each row of X, then

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_{ij}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{m} f\left(a_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f\left(x_{ij}\right),\tag{3.1}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$, $w_i \ge 0$ for all i.

Proof. Since $x_i \prec a$, i = 1, ..., n, it follows by the Majorization Theorem that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(a_j) \Leftrightarrow f(x_{im}) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(a_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} f(x_{ij}).$$

We have:

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{ij}\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} x_{ij}\right)\right)$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} x_{ij}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} f(a_{j}) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} f(x_{ij})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(a_{j}) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f(x_{ij}).$$

A continuous version was given in [8].

Theorem 3.2. (simplified version) Let $a=a_0 < a_1 < b_1 < b_2 = b$, $I=(a_1,b_1)$, $I^c=[a,b]\setminus I=[a,a_1]\cup [b_1,b]$. Let $\lambda:[a,b]\to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of bounded variation such that $\lambda(a)\leqslant \lambda(t)\leqslant \lambda$ (a_1) on (a,a_1) , λ $(b_1)\leqslant \lambda(t)\leqslant \lambda$ (b) on (b_1,b) and $L=\int_{I^c}d\lambda(t)>0$ and $g:[a,b]\to J$ be a decreasing continuous function. Let (X,Σ,μ) be a (positive) measure space with $\mu(X)>0$, $f:X\times [a,b]\to J$ be a measurable function such that $t\mapsto f(s,t)$ is decreasing and continuous for each $s\in X$ and $\int_a^x f(s,t)d\lambda(t)\leqslant \int_a^x g(t)d\lambda(t)$ for every $x\in [a,b]$, with equality for x=b. If $\phi:J\to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous convex function, then

$$\begin{split} \phi \left[\frac{1}{L} \left(\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_{I} \int_{X} f(s,t) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \right) \right] \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{L} \left(\int_{a}^{b} \phi\left(g(t)\right) d\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_{I} \int_{X} \phi\left(f(s,t)\right) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \right). \end{split}$$

Proof. Obviously

$$\begin{split} &\int_a^b g(t)d\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_X f(s,t) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_X \left(\int_a^b g(t) d\lambda(t) - \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(s,t) d\lambda(t) \right) d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_X \int_{I^c} f(s,t) d\lambda(t) d\mu(s). \end{split}$$

Jensen's inequality gives:

$$\begin{split} \phi \left[\frac{1}{L} \left(\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d\lambda(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_{I} \int_{X} f(s,t) d\mu(s) d\lambda(t) \right) \right] \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_{X} \phi \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{I^{c}} f(s,t) d\lambda(t) \right) d\mu(s). \end{split}$$

Finally

$$\begin{split} \phi\left(\frac{1}{L}\int_{I^{c}}f(s,t)d\lambda(t)\right) &= \phi\left(\frac{1}{L}\left(\int_{a}^{a_{1}}f(s,t)d\lambda(t) + \int_{b_{1}}^{b}f(s,t)d\lambda(t)\right)\right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{L}\left(\int_{a}^{a_{1}}d\lambda(t)\phi\left(\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{a_{1}}d\lambda(t)}\int_{a}^{a_{1}}f(s,t)d\lambda(t)\right) \\ &+ \int_{b_{1}}^{b}d\lambda(t)\phi\left(\frac{1}{\int_{b_{1}}^{b}d\lambda(t)}\int_{b_{1}}^{b}f(s,t)d\lambda(t)\right)\right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{L}\left(\int_{a}^{a_{1}}\phi\left(f(s,t)\right)d\lambda(t) + \int_{b_{1}}^{b}\phi\left(f(s,t)\right)d\lambda(t)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{L}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\phi\left(f(s,t)\right)d\lambda(t) - \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}}\phi\left(f(s,t)\right)d\lambda(t)\right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{L}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\phi\left(g(t)\right)d\lambda(t) - \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}}\phi\left(f(s,t)\right)d\lambda(t)\right). \end{split}$$

4. Multidimensional Jensen-Mercer inequality

The following theorem was proved in [10]. Its setting is still one-dimensional but the proof is a model for similar proofs for polytopes with barycentric coordinates.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ (I an interval in \mathbb{R}) be a function and let (M_1, \ldots, M_m) , $m \ge 1$, be an m-tuple of fixed means of $n \ge 2$ variables on I. Inequality

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}p_{i}x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{m}w_{j}M_{j}(\mathbf{x})}{P_{n}-W_{m}}\right)\leqslant\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}p_{i}f\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{m}w_{j}f\left(M_{j}(\mathbf{x})\right)}{P_{n}-W_{m}}$$

holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in I^n$, where $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}_+$, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ are such that $p_i \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^m w_j$, i = 1, ...n, if and only if f is convex on I.

Proof. The crucial step in the proof is the existence of "barycentric" coordinates $\lambda_i^j \in [0,1]$ such that $M_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^j x_i, \ j=1,\ldots,m, \ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^j = 1$.

Mercer type inequality is not mentioned in this paper. It is easy to see that for n = 2, $p_1 = p_2 = 1$, $W_m = 1$, $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = b$, $M_j(a,b) = x_j \in [a,b]$, j = 1,...,m, Theorem 4.1 implies Theorem 1.1.

A multidimensional version was given in [7] as follows.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $A: L \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive linear functional (L a vector space of real functions on some set E which contains constant functions). Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = \text{conv}(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$. Let $f: K \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be barycentric coordinates on K. If $g \in L^k$ is such that $g(E) \subseteq K$ and p_1, \ldots, p_n with $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ satisfying $p_i \geqslant A(1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} - A[g]}{P_{n} - A(1)}\right) \\ \leqslant \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right) f\left(x_{i}\right)}{P_{n} - A(1)} \leqslant \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) - A\left(f(g)\right)}{P_{n} - A(1)},$$

where $A[g] = (A(g_1), ..., A(g_k)).$

An equivalent version was proved in [5]. We give a short proof of this theorem as a model for proving similar results in \mathbb{R}^k . The basic tool in such a type of proofs is an existence of barycentric coordinates.

THEOREM 4.3. Let Ω be a polytope in \mathbb{R}^k , $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ its vertices and $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Suppose that $A: L \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive normalized functional and $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in L^k$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(g) \subseteq \Omega$. If $0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha$, then

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{n} v_{i} - \beta A[g]}{(n+1)\alpha - \beta}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{(n+1)\alpha - \beta} \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(v_{i}) - \frac{\beta}{(n+1)\alpha - \beta} A[f(g)],$$

where $A[g] = (A(g_1), ..., A(g_k))$. More generally,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}v_{i}-\beta A[g]\right)\leqslant\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}f\left(v_{i}\right)-\beta A\left[f(g)\right],$$

provided $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i = 1 + \beta$, $\alpha_i \geqslant \beta \geqslant 0$, i = 0, ..., n.

Proof. Using the Jensen and the Jessen inequality it follows:

$$\begin{split} f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}v_{i} - \beta A[g]\right) &= f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}v_{i} - \beta\sum_{i=0}^{n}A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)v_{i}\right) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i} - \beta A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)\right)v_{i}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i} - \beta A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)\right)f\left(v_{i}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}f\left(v_{i}\right) - \beta\sum_{i=0}^{n}A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)f\left(v_{i}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}f\left(v_{i}\right) - \beta A\left(f(g)\right). \end{split}$$

Boundary domination in these type of results is expressed through the simple domination of weights $\alpha_i \geqslant \beta$, i = 0, ..., n. Notice that from $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i = \beta + 1$ it follows that $\beta \leqslant \frac{1}{n}$.

The following corollary, using Theorem 2.5, gives a construction of Steffensen-Popoviciu measures on simplices.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a probability measure space and let $g: X \to \Omega$ be a measurable function. Let $0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha_i$, i = 0, 1, ..., n and $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function. Then

$$\begin{split} f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}v_{i}-\beta\int_{X}gd\mu}{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}-\beta}\right) \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}-\beta}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}f\left(v_{i}\right)-\frac{\beta}{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_{i}-\beta}\int_{X}f(g)d\mu. \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 4.5. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $x_i \in [a,b]$, $w_i \ge 0$, i = 1, ..., n, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. If $0 < \beta \le \alpha_i$, i = 1, 2, then

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}a + \alpha_{2}b - \beta\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}x_{i}}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} - \beta}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha_{1}f(a) + \alpha_{2}f(b)}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} - \beta} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} - \beta}\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}f(x_{i}).$$
(4.1)

The following lemma is given in [17]. Usually it is used as a tool in improving Jensen's type inequalities with weights.

LEMMA 4.6. Let $\phi: U \to \mathbb{R}$ (U convex set in a vector space) be a convex function, $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in U$, p_1, \ldots, p_n be non-negative with $P_n > 0$. Then

$$\min \left\{ p_1, \dots, p_n \right\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi \left(x_i \right) - n \phi \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \right]$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \phi \left(x_i \right) - P_n \phi \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right).$$

Set

$$S_{\phi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(x_i) - n\phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right).$$
 (4.2)

Typical application of Lemma 4.6 can be seen it the following theorem proved in [15].

THEOREM 4.7. Let L be a vector space of real functions on some non-empty set E containing constants, A be a positive linear functional on L. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = \operatorname{co}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Let ϕ be a convex function on K and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be barycentric coordinates over K. Then for all $g \in L^k$ such that $g(E) \subseteq K$ and $\phi(g)$, $\lambda_i(g) \in L$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and positive real numbers p_1, \ldots, p_n satisfying $p_i \geqslant A(1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\phi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i} - A[g]}{P_{n} - A(1)}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{P_{n} - A(1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\phi\left(x_{i}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)\phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right]$$

$$-\min_{i} \left\{p_{i} - A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)\right\} S_{\phi}\left(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right)\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{P_{n} - A(1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\phi\left(x_{i}\right) - A\left(\phi\left(\mathbf{g}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$-\left[\min_{i} \left\{p_{i} - A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)\right\} + A\left(\min_{i} \left\{\lambda_{i}(g)\right\}\right)\right] S_{\phi}\left(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right),$$
(4.3)

where $A[g] = (A(g_1), ..., A(g_k)).$

5. General functional Jensen-Mercer inequality with applications to simplices

All results in the previous section can be deduced from the following theorem. This theorem and similar are simple consequences of the classical Jensen inequality (in its various forms) and its improvements and the boundary domination in this case is expressed through the crude domination of weights. The problem is to find more subtle conditions to express boundary domination in the multidimensional case.

THEOREM 5.1. Let L_1, L_2 be vector spaces of real functions which contain constant functions over sets E_1, E_2 respectively. Let A, B be positive linear functionals on L_1, L_2 respectively. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = \operatorname{co}(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$. Let ϕ be a convex function on K and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ barycentric coordinates on K (generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n). If $g \in L_1^k$, $h \in L_2^k$ such that $g(E_1) \subseteq K$, $\phi(g)$, $\lambda_i(g) \in L_1$, $h(E_2) \subseteq K$,

$$\phi(h)$$
, $\lambda_i(h) \in L_2$, $i = 1, ..., n$, then

$$\phi\left(\frac{B[h] - A[g]}{B(1) - A(1)}\right)
\leqslant \frac{1}{B(1) - A(1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} B(\lambda_{i}(h)) \phi(x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(\lambda_{i}(g)) \phi(x_{i}) \right]
- \min_{i} \left\{ B(\lambda_{i}(h) - A(\lambda_{i}(g)) \right\} S_{\phi}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \right]
\leqslant \frac{1}{B(1) - A(1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} B(\lambda_{i}(h)) \phi(x_{i}) - A(\phi(g)) \right]
- \left[\min_{i} \left\{ B(\lambda_{i}(h)) - A(\lambda_{i}(g)) \right\} + A(\min_{i} \left\{ \lambda_{i}(g) \right\}) \right] S_{\phi}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \right],$$
(5.1)

providing B(1) > A(1) and $B(\lambda_i(h)) \ge A(\lambda_i(g))$, i = 1,...,n.

Proof. Since $A[g] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(\lambda_i(g)) x_i$ and $B[h] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B(\lambda_i(h)) x_i$, we have using Lemma 4.6 twice

$$\begin{split} \phi \left(\frac{B[h] - A[g]}{B(1) - A(1)} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{B(1) - A(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[B\left(\lambda_{i}(h)\right) - A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right) \right] \phi\left(x_{i}\right) \\ - \min_{i} \left\{ \frac{B\left(\lambda_{i}(h)\right) - A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right)}{B(1) - A(1)} \right\} S_{\phi}\left(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{B(1) - A(1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} B\left(\lambda_{i}(h)\right) \phi\left(x_{i}\right) - A\left(\phi(g)\right) \\ - \left(\min_{i} \left\{ B\left(\lambda_{i}(h)\right) - A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right) \right\} + A\left(\min_{i} \left\{ \lambda_{i}(g) \right\} \right) \right) S_{\phi}\left(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\right) \right]. \end{split}$$

Many applications of the previous theorem can be given. We give a case of simplices. Let K in Theorem 5.1 be a simplex with vertices x_1,\ldots,x_{k+1} , $E_2=[v_1,\ldots,v_{k+1}]$ be a simplex with vertices v_1,\ldots,v_{k+1} and $E_1=[w_1,\ldots,w_{k+1}]$ be a simplex with vertices w_1,\ldots,w_{k+1} such that $E_1\subset E_2\subseteq K\subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Let $g:E_1\to K$ and $h:E_2\to K$ be inclusion functions. For arbitrary $g:E_1\to\mathbb{R}$ set $A(g)=\int_{E_1}g(x)dx$ and for arbitrary $h:E_2\to\mathbb{R}$ set $B(h)=\int_{E_2}h(x)dx$. Obviously $A(1)=\operatorname{Vol}(E_1)$ and $B(1)=\operatorname{Vol}(E_2)$. Also,

$$A[g] = \int_{E_1} x dx = \text{Vol}(E_1) E_1^*, B[h] = \int_{E_2} x dx = \text{Vol}(E_2) E_2^*,$$

where E_1^* and E_2^* are barycentres of E_1 and E_2 respectively.

It is easy to see that

$$B(\lambda_i(h)) = \int_{E_{\lambda}} \lambda_i(x) dx = \operatorname{Vol}(E_2) \lambda_i(E_2^*),$$

996 Ivan Perić

and

$$A\left(\lambda_{i}(g)\right) = \int_{E_{1}} \lambda_{i}(x) dx = \operatorname{Vol}\left(E_{1}\right) \lambda_{i}\left(E_{1}^{*}\right), \ i = 1, \dots, k+1.$$

It is obvious that $B(1) - A(1) = \text{Vol}(E_2) - \text{Vol}(E_1) > 0$. The second condition $B(\lambda_i(h)) \ge A(\lambda_i(g))$, i = 1, ..., k+1, is obviously equivalent to

$$Vol(E_2) \lambda_i(E_2^*) \geqslant Vol(E_1) \lambda_i(E_1^*), i = 1,...,k+1,$$

which by $E_1^* = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} w_i$, $E_2^* = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} v_i$ is equivalent to

$$Vol(E_2) \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} Vol([x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, v_j, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}])$$

$$\geqslant Vol(E_1) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} Vol([x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, w_j, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}])$$

for i = 1, ..., k+1. If $E_2 = K$, then obviously

$$\operatorname{Vol}^{2}(E_{2}) \geqslant \operatorname{Vol}(E_{1}) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \operatorname{Vol}([v_{1}, \dots, v_{i-1}, w_{j}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{k+1}])$$

for $i=1,\ldots,k+1$. We extract this interesting inequality (although a simple consequence of $\int_{E_1} \lambda_i(x) dx \le \int_{E_2} \lambda_i(x) dx$, $E_1 \subseteq E_2$, $i=1,\ldots,k+1$).

THEOREM 5.2. Let $E_2 = [v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}]$, $E_1 = [w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}]$ be simplices in \mathbb{R}^k such that $E_1 \subseteq E_2$. Then

$$Vol^{2}(E_{2}) \geqslant Vol(E_{1}) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} Vol[v_{1}, \dots, v_{i-1}, w_{j}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{k+1}],$$
(5.2)

for every i = 1, ..., k+1 (with agreement $v_0 = v_{k+2} = \emptyset$). Inequality (5.2) is sharp and equality is obtained for $E_1 = E_2$.

In the rest of the text we denote Vol(E) by |E| for a measurable $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. It is easy to see that inequality (5.1) in the case of simplices gives

$$\begin{split} \phi \left(\frac{|E_{2}|E_{2}^{*} - |E_{1}|E_{1}^{*}}{|E_{2}| - |E_{1}|} \right) &= \phi \left((E_{2} \setminus E_{1})^{*} \right) \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_{2}| - |E_{1}|} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \int_{E_{2}} \lambda_{i}(x) dx \phi \left(x_{i} \right) - \int_{E_{1}} \phi(x) dx \right. \\ &\left. - \left(\min_{i} \left\{ \int_{E_{2}} \lambda_{i}(x) dx - \int_{E_{1}} \lambda_{i}(x) dx \right\} + \int_{E_{1}} \min_{i} \lambda_{i}(x) dx \right) (k+1) \left(\overline{\phi}_{V} - \phi \left(K^{*} \right) \right) \right], \end{split}$$

where $\overline{\phi}_V = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \phi(x_i)$, which in the case $E_1 \subset E_2 = K$ reduces to inequality

$$\phi\left((E_{2} \setminus E_{1})^{*}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_{2}| - |E_{1}|} \left[|E_{2}| \overline{\phi}_{V} - |E_{1}| \overline{\phi}_{E_{1}} - \left(\frac{|E_{2}|}{k+1} - |E_{1}| \max_{i} \lambda_{i}(E_{1}^{*}) + |E_{1}| \overline{\min_{i} \lambda_{i}}_{E_{1}}\right) (k+1) \left(\overline{\phi}_{V} - \phi(E_{2}^{*})\right) \right]$$
(5.3)

Note that the first part of the right-hand side of inequality (5.3)

$$\phi\left(\frac{|E_{2}|E_{2}^{*}-|E_{1}|E_{1}^{*}}{|E_{2}|-|E_{1}|}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_{2}|-|E_{1}|}\left(|E_{2}|\,\overline{\phi}_{V}-|E_{1}|\,\overline{\phi}_{E_{1}}\right) \tag{5.4}$$

is obtained without applying Lemma 4.6. It is easy to see (using discrete Jensen's inequality) that

$$\frac{|E_2|\,\phi\,(E_2^*) - |E_1|\,\phi\,(E_1^*)}{|E_2| - |E_1|} \leqslant \phi\left(\frac{|E_2|\,E_2^* - |E_1|\,E_1^*}{|E_2| - |E_1|}\right).$$

Decomposing $E_2 \setminus E_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^l S_i$ where S_i are convex sets with mutually disjoint interiors, we have

$$\phi\left((E_{2} \setminus E_{1})^{*}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{|E_{2}| - |E_{1}|} \int_{E_{2} \setminus E_{1}} x dx\right)$$

$$= \phi\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{l} |S_{i}|} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \int_{S_{i}} x dx\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{l} |S_{i}|} \sum_{i=1}^{l} |S_{i}| \phi\left(\frac{1}{|S_{i}|} \int_{S_{i}} x dx\right)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{l} |S_{i}|} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \int_{S_{i}} \phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{|E_{2}| - |E_{1}|} \int_{E_{2} \setminus E_{1}} \phi(x) dx. \tag{5.5}$$

It is easy to see (using the right-hand side of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for simplices) that estimation given in (5.5) is better than estimation obtained in (5.4).

In this way the following sequence of inequalities holds for simplices $E_1 \subset E_2$ and convex $\phi : E_2 \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{|E_{2}|\phi\left(E_{2}^{*}\right)-|E_{1}|\phi\left(E_{1}^{*}\right)}{|E_{2}|-|E_{1}|} \leqslant \phi\left(\frac{|E_{2}|E_{2}^{*}-|E_{1}|E_{1}^{*}}{|E_{2}|-|E_{1}|}\right) = \phi\left((E_{2} \setminus E_{1})^{*}\right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{|E_{2}|-|E_{1}|} \int_{E_{2} \setminus E_{1}} \phi(x) dx \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_{2}|-|E_{1}|} \left(|E_{2}|\overline{\phi}_{V}-|E_{1}|\overline{\phi}_{E_{1}}\right), \end{split}$$

where $\overline{\phi}_V = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \phi(v_i)$ (v_i vertices of E_2) and $\overline{\phi}_{E_1} = \frac{1}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} \phi(x) dx$. Rearranging (5.3) it follows

$$\overline{\phi}_{E_{1}} \leqslant \left(\frac{|E_{2}|}{|E_{1}|} - (k+1)\left(\max_{i}\lambda_{i}\left(E_{1}^{*}\right) - \overline{\min_{i}\lambda_{i}}_{E_{1}}\right)\right)\phi\left(E_{2}^{*}\right) \\
- \left(\frac{|E_{2}|}{|E_{1}|} - 1\right)\phi\left(\left(E_{2}\setminus E_{1}\right)^{*}\right) + (k+1)\left(\max_{i}\lambda_{i}\left(E_{1}^{*}\right) - \overline{\min_{i}\lambda_{i}}_{E_{1}}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

In the case $E_1^* = E_2^*$, which easily implies $(E_2 \setminus E_1)^* = E_2^*$ and $\lambda_i(E_1^*) = \frac{1}{k+1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$, estimation (5.6) implies

$$\overline{\phi}_{E_{1}} \leqslant (k+1)\overline{\min_{i}\lambda_{i}}_{E_{1}}\phi\left(E_{2}^{*}\right) + \left(1 - (k+1)\overline{\min_{i}\lambda_{i}}_{E_{1}}\right)\overline{\phi}_{V}.\tag{5.7}$$

Notice that inequality (5.7) holds also for $E_1 = E_2$. In this case, using $\overline{\min_i \lambda_i}_{E_2} = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$ (see [23]), it follows

$$\overline{\phi}_{E_2} \leqslant \frac{1}{k+1} \phi\left(E_2^*\right) + \frac{k}{k+1} \overline{\phi}_V,$$

which is Bullen-Hammer inequality proven by many authors (see for example [26], [18],[23]). In this sense inequalities (5.6), (5.7) are generalizations of the Bullen-Hammer inequality.

In some of the above estimations we presented several comparisons between different mean values of convex functions over simplices. Related to this and also giving another way of expressing boundary domination of a convex function (concentration convexity at endpoints in the terminology of C. Niculescu in [20]) the following conjecture it seems to hold.

CONJECTURE 5.3. Suppose that $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ are simplices with common barycentre and let $\phi : E_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then

$$\frac{1}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} \phi(x) dx \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_2|} \int_{E_2} \phi(x) dx. \tag{5.8}$$

In the one-dimensional case this conjecture is certainly true (see [20] for signed measure and [22]). For convex polytopes the conjecture doesn't hold (see also [22]). Notice that, since

$$\frac{1}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} \phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{|E_2|} \left[\int_{E_1} \phi(x) dx + \frac{|E_2| - |E_1|}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} \phi(x) dx \right],$$

inequality

$$\frac{1}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} \phi(x) dx \le \frac{1}{|E_2| - |E_1|} \int_{E_2 \setminus E_1} \phi(x) dx$$

implies (5.8). In an analogous way inequality (5.8) implies

$$\frac{1}{|E_2|} \int_{E_2} \phi(x) dx \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_2| - |E_1|} \int_{E_2 \setminus E_1} \phi(x) dx.$$

Some consequences:

1. (without refinement)

$$\phi\left(\frac{|E_2|E_2^* - |E_1|E_1^*}{|E_2| - |E_1|}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{|E_2| - |E_1|}\left(|E_2|\,\overline{\phi}_V - |E_1|\,\overline{\phi}_{E_1}\right)$$

2.
$$E_1^* = E_2^* \implies$$

$$\overline{\phi}_{E_1} \leqslant \left(1 - (k+1)\overline{\min_i\left\{\lambda_i\right\}_{E_1}}\right)\overline{\phi}_V + (k+1)\overline{\min_i\left\{\lambda_i\right\}_{E_1}}\phi\left(E_2^*\right).$$

Conjecture: $\overline{\phi}_{E_1} \leqslant \overline{\phi}_{E_2}$?

3.
$$E_1 = E_2 \Rightarrow \overline{\phi}_{E_2} - \phi(E_2^*) \leqslant k(\overline{\phi}_V - \overline{\phi}_{E_2})$$
.

Acknowledgement. The research was fully supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 5435.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ABRAMOVICH, M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, M. MATIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, A variant of Jensen-Steffensen's inequality and quasi-arithmetic means, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307 (2005), 370–386.
- [2] J. BARIĆ, A. MATKOVIĆ, Bounds for the normalized Jensen-Mercer functional, J. Math. Inequal. 3, 4 (2009), 529–541.
- [3] W. S. CHEUNG, A. MATKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, A variant of Jessen's inequality and generalized means, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 7, 1 (2006), Article 93.
- [4] I. GAVREA, Some considerations on the monotonicity property of power means, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 5, 4 (2004), Article 93.
- [5] A. GUESSAB, Direct and converse results for generalized multivariate Jensen-type inequalities, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 13 (2012), 777–797.
- [6] A. GUESSAB, O. NOUISSER, J. PEČARIĆ, A multivariate extension of an inequality of Brenner-Alzer, Arch. Math. (Basel) 98, 3 (2012), 277–287.
- [7] S. IVELIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, Generalizations of converse Jensen's inequality and related results, J. Math. Inequal. 5, 1 (2011), 43–60.
- [8] A. R. KHAN, M. PRALJAK, J. PEČARIĆ, Note on generalized Mercer's inequality, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., to appear
- [9] M. KIAN, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, Refinements of the operator Jensen-Mercer inequality, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 26 (2013), 742–753.
- [10] M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, Z. PALES, J. PEČARIĆ, On weighted L-conjugate means, Commun. Appl. Anal. 11, 1 (2007), 95–110.
- [11] ANITA MATKOVIĆ, The Jensen-Mercer Inequality, PhD thesis, University of Zagreb, 2006.
- [12] A. MATKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, A variant of Jensen's inequality in a Hilbert space, Toyama Math. J. 28 (2005), 93–103.
- [13] A. MATKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, A variant of Jensen's inequality for convex functions of several variables, J. Math. Inequal. 1, 1 (2007), 45–51.
- [14] A. MATKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, I. PERIĆ, A variant of Jensen's inequality of Mercer's type for operators with applications, Linear Algebra Appl. 418, 2-3 (2006), 551–564.
- [15] A. MATKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, J. PERIĆ, A refinement of the Jessen-Mercer inequality and a generalizations on convex hulls in \mathbb{R}^k , manuscript.
- [16] A. MCD. MERCER, A variant of Jensen's inequality, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 4, 4 (2003), Article 73.
- [17] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, Classical and new inequalities in analysis, Mathematics and its Applications, East European Series 61, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1993.
- [18] F.-C. MITROI, C. I. SPIRIDON, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequality on simplices, Math. Rep. (Bucur.) 15 (65)(1) (2013), 69–78.
- [19] C. P. NICULESCU, L.-E. PERSSON, Convex Functions and Their Applications: A Contemporary Approach, Springer, 2006.
- [20] C. P. NICULESCU, On a result of G. Bennett, Bull. math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N. S.) 54, 3 (102) (2011), 261–267.

[21] M. NIEZGODA, A generalization of Mercer's result on convex functions, Nonlinear Anal: Theory Methods Appl. 71 (2009), 2771–2779.

- [22] Z. PAVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, I. PERIĆ, Integral, discrete and functional variants of Jensen's inequality, J. Math. Inequal 5, 2 (2011), 253–264.
- [23] J. PEČARIĆ, J. PERIĆ, Generalizations and improvements of converse Jensen's inequality for convex hulls in \mathbb{R}^k , Math. Inequal. Appl. 17, 3 (2014), 1125–1137.
- [24] J. E. PEČARIĆ, F. PROSCHAN, Y. L. TONG, Convex Functions, Partial Orderings and Statistical Applications, Academic Press, Inc., 1992.
- [25] O. E. TIKHONOV, A note on definition of matrix convex functions, Linear Algebra Appl. 416 (2006), 773–775.
- [26] S. WASOWICZ, A. WITKOWSKI, On some inequality of Hermite-Hadamard type, Opuscula Math. 4, 3 (2012), 591–600.

(Received February 10, 2015)

Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology
University of Zagreb
Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: iperic@pbf.hr