

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR GENERAL L_p -HARMONIC BLASCHKE BODIES

BO WEI AND WEIDONG WANG

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. Feng and Wang gave the extremum value of volume for the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies. In this paper, associated with the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies, we obtain the extremum value of dual quermassintegrals and the L_p -dual affine surface area, respectively. Further, two monotonic inequalities for the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies are given.

1. Introduction

If K is a compact star-shaped (about the origin) in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , its radial function, $\rho_K = \rho(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, is defined by (see [5, 10])

$$\rho(K, x) = \max\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda x \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

If ρ_K is positive and continuous, K will be called a star body (about the origin). Let \mathcal{S}_o^n denote the set of star bodies (about the origin) in \mathbb{R}^n . For the set of origin-symmetric star bodies, we write \mathcal{S}_{os}^n . Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates (of one another) if $\rho_K(u)/\rho_L(u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$, where S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lutwak ([8]) introduced the notion of harmonic Blaschke combination for star bodies. For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the harmonic Blaschke combination, $\lambda K \hat{+} \mu L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, of K and L is defined by

$$\frac{\rho(\lambda K \hat{+} \mu L, \cdot)^{n+1}}{V(\lambda K \hat{+} \mu L)} = \lambda \frac{\rho(K, \cdot)^{n+1}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\rho(L, \cdot)^{n+1}}{V(L)}. \quad (1.1)$$

From definition (1.1), Lutwak ([8]) proved the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the harmonic Blaschke combination as follows:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 52A40 52A20 52A39.

Keywords and phrases: General L_p -harmonic Blaschke body, dual quermassintegrals, L_p -dual affine surface area.

Research is supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371224) and the Foundation of Degree Dissertation of Master of China Three Gorges University (Grant No. 2013PY069).

THEOREM 1.A. *If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), then*

$$V(\lambda K \hat{+}_p \mu L)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \lambda V(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \mu V(L)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (1.2)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

Based on definition (1.1) of harmonic Blaschke combination, Feng and Wang in [2] introduced the notion of L_p -harmonic Blaschke combination: For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the L_p -harmonic Blaschke combination, $\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, of K and L is given by

$$\frac{\rho(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} = \lambda \frac{\rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\rho(L, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(L)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the operation " $\hat{+}_p$ " is called L_p -harmonic Blaschke addition. From (1.3), we easily know the harmonic Blaschke scalar multiplication and the usual scalar multiplication are related by $\lambda \cdot K = \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} K$.

Let $\lambda = \mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $L = -K$ in (1.3), the L_p -harmonic Blaschke body, $\widehat{V}_p K$, of $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ is given by (see [2])

$$\widehat{V}_p K = \frac{1}{2} \cdot K \hat{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-K). \quad (1.4)$$

From (1.3), Feng and Wang in [2] gave the following an extension of inequality (1.2).

THEOREM 1.B. *If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), then*

$$V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)^{\frac{p}{n}} \geq \lambda V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} + \mu V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}}, \quad (1.5)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

Obviously, from (1.4) and (1.5), we have that if $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, then (see [2])

$$V(\widehat{V}_p K) \geq V(K), \quad (1.6)$$

with equality if and only if K is origin-symmetric.

Recently, Feng and Wang in [3] extended the notion of the L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies and defined the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke body, $\widehat{V}_p^\tau K$, of K is defined by

$$\frac{\rho(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)} = f_1(\tau) \frac{\rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\rho(-K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(-K)}. \quad (1.7)$$

Associated with the definition of the L_p -harmonic Blaschke combination, it easily follows that

$$\widehat{V}_p^\tau K = f_1(\tau) \cdot K \hat{+}_p f_2(\tau) \cdot (-K), \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$f_1(\tau) = \frac{(1 + \tau)^p}{(1 + \tau)^p + (1 - \tau)^p}, \quad f_2(\tau) = \frac{(1 - \tau)^p}{(1 + \tau)^p + (1 - \tau)^p}. \quad (1.9)$$

From (1.8) and (1.9), we easily get that if $\tau = 0$ then $\widehat{V}_p^0 K = \widehat{V}_p K$; if $\tau = \pm 1$, then $\widehat{V}_p^{+1} K = K$, $\widehat{V}_p^{-1} K = -K$.

Further, Feng and Wang in [3] got the following extremum value of volume for the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies.

THEOREM 1.C. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$V(\widehat{V}_p K) \geq V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K) \geq V(K). \quad (1.10)$$

If $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then equality holds in the right inequality of (1.10) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body, and if $\tau \neq 0$, then equality holds in the left inequality of (1.10) if and only if K is also an origin-symmetric star body.

In this article, from definition (1.7), we first give the extremum value of dual quermassintegrals for the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, i is any real and $i \neq n$, then for $i > -p$,*

$$\frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{V}_p K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{V}_p K)} \geq \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)} \geq \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(K)}. \quad (1.11)$$

If $\tau \neq \pm 1$, equality holds in the right inequality of (1.11) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body, and if $\tau \neq 0$, with equality in the left inequality of (1.11) if and only if K is also an origin-symmetric star body. For $i < -p$, inequality (1.11) is reversed. For $i = -p$, (1.11) is identic.

Here $\widetilde{W}_i(K)$ denotes the dual quermassintegrals of $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ which be defined by (see [7])

$$\widetilde{W}_i(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-i} du, \quad (1.12)$$

for any real i .

Obviously, let $i = 0$ in inequality (1.11) and notice that $\widetilde{W}_0(K) = V(K)$, we immediately obtain Theorem 1.C.

Secondly, associated with the L_p -dual affine surface area (see (2.5)), we obtain its extremum value for the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies.

THEOREM 1.2. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $1 \leq p < n$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p K) \geq \widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K) \geq \widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K). \quad (1.13)$$

If $\tau \neq \pm 1$, equality holds in the right inequality of (1.13) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body, and if $\tau \neq 0$, equality holds in the left inequality of (1.13) if and only if K is also an origin-symmetric star body.

Recall that Feng and Wang in [2] proved that

If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $1 \leq p < n$, then

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p K) \geq \tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K), \quad (1.14)$$

with equality if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body.

Obviously, inequality (1.13) is an isolation of inequality (1.14).

In addition, we also give two monotonic inequalities for the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies as follows:

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$. If $\widehat{V}_p^\tau K \subseteq \widehat{V}_p^\tau L$ and $L \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$, then*

$$V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L)V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}}, \quad (1.15)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilatant origin-symmetric star bodies.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$. If $K \subseteq L$, then*

$$V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{p}{n}}V(K) \leq V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L)^{\frac{p}{n}}V(L), \quad (1.16)$$

with equality if and only if $K = L$.

Actually, Theorem 1.3 may be regard as the Shephard problem of the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. L_p -dual mixed volume

For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the L_p -harmonic radial combination, $\lambda \star K +_{-p} \mu \star L$, of K and L is defined by (see [4, 9])

$$\rho(\lambda \star K +_{-p} \mu \star L, \cdot)^{-p} = \lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^{-p} + \mu \rho(L, \cdot)^{-p}.$$

The notion of L_p -dual mixed volume was introduced by Lutwak (see [9]). For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the L_p -dual mixed volume, $\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L)$, of the K and L is defined by

$$\frac{n}{-p} \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(K +_{-p} \varepsilon \star L) - V(K)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Further, Lutwak ([9]) proved that the L_p -dual mixed volume has the following integral representation:

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n+p} \rho(L, u)^{-p} du. \quad (2.1)$$

From (2.1), we easily know

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^n du = V(K). \quad (2.2)$$

The L_p -dual Minkowski inequality may be stated that (see [9]): For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, then

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) \geq V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}}, \quad (2.3)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

2.2. L_p -dual affine surface

In 2008, Wang and He ([11]) gave the notion of L_p -dual affine surface area associated with the L_p -dual mixed volume. For $K \in S_o^n$ and $1 \leq p < n$, the L_p -dual affine surface area, $\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)$, of K is defined by

$$n^{\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} = \inf\{n\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q^*)V(Q)^{-\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\}. \tag{2.4}$$

For the L_p -dual affine surface area, except [11], Feng and Wang recently established some inequalities for L_p -dual affine surface area (see [1, 2]). In particular, Feng and Wang in [2] improved definition (2.4) as follows: For $K \in S_o^n$ and $1 \leq p < n$, the L_p -dual affine surface area, $\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)$, of K is defined by

$$n^{\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} = \inf\{n\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q^*)V(Q)^{-\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n\}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.5), we easily get that for $K \in S_o^n$ and $1 \leq p < n$,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(-K) = \tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K). \tag{2.6}$$

Associated with definition (2.5) and L_p -harmonic Blaschke addition (1.3), Feng and Wang ([2]) gave the following result:

THEOREM 2.A. *If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero) and $1 \leq p < n$, then*

$$\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} \geq \lambda \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(L)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(L)}, \tag{2.7}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

2.3. Properties of the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies

For the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies. Feng and Wang in [3] proved the following properties.

THEOREM 2.B. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$\hat{V}_p^{-\tau} K = \hat{V}_p^{\tau}(-K) = -\hat{V}_p^{\tau} K. \tag{2.8}$$

THEOREM 2.C. *Let $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$. If K is not an origin-symmetric star body, then $\hat{V}_p^{\tau} K = \hat{V}_p^{-\tau} K$ if and only if $\tau = 0$.*

THEOREM 2.D. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, then for $\tau \in [-1, 1]$,*

$$\hat{V}_p K = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \hat{V}_p^{\tau} K \hat{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \cdot \hat{V}_p^{-\tau} K. \tag{2.9}$$

3. Proofs of Theorems

In this section, we will complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.4. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first give the following Brunn-Minkowski inequality for dual quermassintegrals of the L_p -harmonic Blaschke combinations.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), i is any real and $i \neq n$, then for $i > -p$,*

$$\frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} \geq \lambda \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(L)}; \quad (3.1)$$

for $i < -p$,

$$\frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} \leq \lambda \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(L)}. \quad (3.2)$$

In each case, equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates. For $i = -p$, (3.1) (or (3.2)) is identic.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 requires the following Minkowski integral inequality (see [6]).

LEMMA 3.1. *Let f and g are nonnegative bounded Borel functions on measure space X . If $k > 1$, then*

$$\left(\int_X (f(x) + g(x))^k dx \right)^{1/k} \leq \left(\int_X f^k(x) dx \right)^{1/k} + \left(\int_X g^k(x) dx \right)^{1/k}; \quad (3.3)$$

if $0 < k < 1$ or $k < 0$, then

$$\left(\int_X (f(x) + g(x))^k dx \right)^{1/k} \geq \left(\int_X f^k(x) dx \right)^{1/k} + \left(\int_X g^k(x) dx \right)^{1/k}. \quad (3.4)$$

Equality holds in every inequality if and only if $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are effectively proportional or $f(x)g(x) = 0$ on X .

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $i > -p$, since $i \neq n$, thus $0 < (n-i)/(n+p) < 1$ when $-p < i < n$, or $(n-i)/(n+p) < 0$ when $i > n$. This together with (1.3), (1.12) and Minkowski integral inequality (3.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} &= \frac{1}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L, u)^{n-i} du \right]^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[\frac{\rho(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L, u)^{n+p}}{V(\lambda \cdot K \hat{+}_p \mu \cdot L)} \right]^{\frac{n-i}{n+p}} du \right\}^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[\lambda \frac{\rho_K^{n+p}(u)}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\rho_L^{n+p}(u)}{V(L)} \right]^{\frac{n-i}{n+p}} du \right\}^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{\lambda}{V(K)} \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-i}(u) du \right]^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}} + \frac{\mu}{V(L)} \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-i}(u) du \right]^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}} \\ &= \lambda \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(L)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From this, we get inequality (3.1). According to the condition of equality holds in Minkowski integral inequality (3.4), we know that with equality in (3.5) if and only if K and L are dilates, this means that equality holds in (3.1) if and only if K and L are dilates.

For $i < -p$, because of $(n-i)/(n+p) > 1$, thus inequality (3.5) is reversed by the Minkowski integral inequality (3.3). This shows that inequality (3.2) is true.

For $i = -p$, inequality (3.5) obviously is identic. This means that inequality (3.1) (or (3.2)) is identic. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $i > -p$, we first prove the right inequality of (1.11). According to (1.8) and inequality (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} &= \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(f_1(\tau) \cdot K \hat{+}_p f_2(\tau) \cdot (-K))^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(f_1(\tau) \cdot K \hat{+}_p f_2(\tau) \cdot (-K))} \\ &\geq f_1(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(-K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(-K)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From (1.9), we easily see

$$f_1(\tau) + f_2(\tau) = 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Notice that for $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and any real i , $\widetilde{W}_i(-K) = \widetilde{W}_i(K)$ and $V(-K) = V(K)$. This together with (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} \geq \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(K)}. \tag{3.8}$$

This is just the right inequality of (1.11).

Clearly, if $\tau = \pm 1$, then equality holds in (3.8). Besides, if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then by the condition of equality in (3.1), we see that equality holds in (3.8) if and only if K and $-K$ are dilates, this yields $K = -K$, i.e., K is an origin-symmetric star body. This means that if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then equality holds in the right inequality of (1.11) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body.

Next, we give the proof of left inequality of (1.11). Using (2.9), (2.8) and unequal-

ity (3.1), we may get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p K)} &= \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K \hat{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K \hat{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(-\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(-\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p K)} \geq \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-i}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)}. \quad (3.9)$$

This yields the left inequality of (1.11).

Obviously, if $\tau = 0$, then equality holds in (3.9). Hence, according to the equality condition of (3.1), we know that if $\tau \neq 0$, then equality holds in (3.9) if and only if $\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K$ and $\widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K$ are dilates, i.e., $\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K$ and $-\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K$ are dilates. This yields $\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K = -\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K$, thus $\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K = \widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K$. Therefore, using Theorem 2.C, we see that if $\tau \neq 0$, then equality holds in (3.9) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body. This gives the equality condition in the left inequality of (1.11).

For $i < -p$, similar to above the proof of case $i > -p$ and combine with inequality (3.2), we may prove inequality (1.11) is reversed.

For $i = -p$, inequality (1.11) is identic by Theorem 3.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (1.8), (2.7), (2.6) and (3.7), we have that for $n > p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\nabla_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\nabla_p^\tau K)} &= \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(f_1(\tau) \cdot K \hat{+}_p f_2(\tau) \cdot (-K))^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(f_1(\tau) \cdot K \hat{+}_p f_2(\tau) \cdot (-K))} \\
&\geq f_1(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(-K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(-K)} \\
&= f_1(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(K)} \\
&= \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(K)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\nabla_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{\widetilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}} \right) \geq \frac{V(\nabla_p^\tau K)}{V(K)},$$

this combine with the right inequality of (1.10) and notice that $1 \leq p < n$, we easily get

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\nabla_p^\tau K) \geq \tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(K).$$

Therefore, the right inequality of (1.13) is obtained.

According to the equality conditions in inequality (2.7) and the right inequality of (1.10), we see that if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then equality holds in the right inequality of (1.13) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body.

On the other hand, from inequality (2.7), equalities (2.9), (2.8) and (2.6), we obtain that for $n > p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\nabla_p K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\nabla_p K)} &= \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K \hat{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K \hat{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K\right)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^{-\tau} K)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(-\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(-\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\nabla_p K)}{\tilde{\Omega}_{-p}(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \geq \frac{V(\nabla_p K)}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)}.$$

This together with the left inequality of (1.10) and notice that $1 \leq p < n$, we immediately get the left inequality of (1.13).

From the equality conditions in inequality (2.7) and the left inequality of (1.10), we see that if $\tau \neq 0$, then equality holds in the left inequality of (1.13) if and only if K is an origin-symmetric star body. \square

Now we give the proofs of monotonic inequalities for the L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From (1.8) and (2.1), we have that for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$,

$$\frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K, M)}{V(\widehat{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} = f_1(\tau) \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, M)}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(-K, M)}{V(K)}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $L \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$, i.e., $L = -L$, thus (2.1) yields

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(L, M) = \tilde{V}_{-p}(-L, M), \tag{3.11}$$

for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$.

Hence, if $\widehat{V}_p^\tau K \subseteq \widehat{V}_p^\tau L$, then by (2.1) we get for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$,

$$\widetilde{V}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K, M) \leq \widetilde{V}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L, M),$$

this together with (3.10), (3.11) and (3.7), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)}{V(K)} [f_1(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(K, M) + f_2(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(-K, M)] \\ & \leq \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L)}{V(L)} [f_1(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(L, M) + f_2(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(-L, M)] \\ & = \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L)}{V(L)} \widetilde{V}_{-p}(L, M). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Taking $M = L$ in (3.12), and using (2.2), inequality (2.3) and equality (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L) & \geq \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)}{V(K)} [f_1(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) + f_2(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(-K, L)] \\ & \geq \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)}{V(K)} [f_1(\tau) V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}} + f_2(\tau) V(-K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}}] \\ & = \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)}{V(K)} V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

this gives inequality (1.15).

According to the equality condition of (2.3), we see that equality holds in (1.15) if and only if K and L , $-K$ and L both are dilates. But $L \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$, i.e. L is an origin-symmetric star body, this means K also is an origin-symmetric star body. Therefore, equality holds in (1.15) if and only if K and L are dilates, and K is an origin-symmetric star body. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since $K \subseteq L$, thus $-K \subseteq -L$. So from (2.1), we know that for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$,

$$\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K, M) \leq \widetilde{V}_{-p}(L, M), \quad \widetilde{V}_{-p}(-K, M) \leq \widetilde{V}_{-p}(-L, M), \quad (3.13)$$

and with equality if and only if $K = L$. This together with (3.10), we have for any $M \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widetilde{V}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K, M)}{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)} & = f_1(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{V}_{-p}(K, M)}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{V}_{-p}(-K, M)}{V(K)} \\ & \leq \frac{V(L)}{V(K)} \left[f_1(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{V}_{-p}(L, M)}{V(L)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\widetilde{V}_{-p}(-L, M)}{V(L)} \right] \\ & = \frac{V(L) \widetilde{V}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L, M)}{V(K) V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Taking $M = \widehat{V}_p^\tau L$ in (3.14), and using (2.2) and inequality (2.3), we obtain

$$\frac{V(L)}{V(K)} \geq \frac{\widetilde{V}_{-p}(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K, \widehat{V}_p^\tau L)}{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)} \geq \frac{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau L)^{-\frac{p}{n}}}{V(\widehat{V}_p^\tau K)}, \quad (3.15)$$

with equality if and only if $\widehat{V}_p^\tau K$ and $\widehat{V}_p^\tau L$ are dilates. From this, inequality (1.16) is obtained.

Because $K = L$ implies that $\widehat{V}_p^\tau K$ and $\widehat{V}_p^\tau L$ are dilates, thus according to the equality conditions of (3.13) and (3.15), we see that equality holds in (1.16) if and only if $K = L$. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors are most grateful to the referees for the extraordinary attention and helpful comments and suggestions on our paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. B. FENG AND W. D. WANG, *Some Inequalities for L_p -dual affine surface area*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **17** (2014), 2: 431–441.
- [2] Y. B. FENG AND W. D. WANG, *Shephard type problems for L_p -centroid body*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **17** (2014), 3: 865–877.
- [3] Y. B. FENG AND W. D. WANG, *General L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), **124** (2014), 1: 109–119.
- [4] W. J. FIREY, *Polar means of convex bodies and a dual to the Brunn-Minkowski theorem*, Canad. J. Math., **13** (1961), 444–453.
- [5] R. J. GARDNER, *Geometric Tomography*, Second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [6] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.
- [7] E. LUTWAK, *Dual mixed volumes*, Pacific J. Math., **58** (1975), 531–538.
- [8] E. LUTWAK, *Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **60** (1990), 365–391.
- [9] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math., **118** (1996), 244–294.
- [10] R. SCHNEIDER, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [11] W. WANG AND B. W. HE, *L_p -dual affine surface area*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **348** (2008), 746–751.

(Received January 22, 2014)

Bo Wei

Department of Mathematics
China Three Gorges University
Yichang, 443002

Weidong Wang
Department of Mathematics
China Three Gorges University
Yichang, 443002

e-mail: wdwxh722@163.com