

AN ALMOST SURE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR SELF-NORMALIZED WEIGHTED SUMS OF THE ϕ MIXING RANDOM VARIABLES

FENGXIANG FENG, DINGCHENG WANG AND QUNYING WU

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper, an almost sure central limit theorem is obtained for self-normalized weighted sums of the ϕ mixing random variables. Our results extend and give substantial improvement for the result obtained by Zhang [12] and our results also extend the earlier work on almost sure central limit theorem such as Wu [13].

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables and define $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$. In recent years, many results about the limit theory for self-normalized sums S_n/V_n were obtained. We can refer to Griffin and Kuelbs [1] for laws of iterated logarithm, Bentkus and Götze [2] for Berry-Esseen bound, Lin [3] for Chung-type laws of iterated logarithm, Gine and Götze [4] for the asymptotic normality, Shao [5] for large deviations, Hu et al. [6] for the Cramer type moderate deviations, Csörgő et al. [7], [8] for Darling-Erdős theorem and Donsker's theorem, Liu and Lin [9] and Pang et al. [10] for asymptotics for self-normalized random products of sums for i.i.d. and mixing sequences. We can also refer to Liu et al. [11] for central limit theorem (CLT) for self-normalized weighted sums of mixing sequences, Zhang [12] for almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) for self-normalized sums of mixing random variables and Wu [13] for ASCLT about self-normalized partial sums for i.i.d. random variables. However, there are few results about ASCLT for self-normalized weighted sums of mixing random variables. Therefore, in the paper we shall investigate ASCLT for self-normalized weighted sums of mixing random variables.

The almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) was first introduced independently by Brosamler [14] and Schatte [15]. Since then many results about ASCLT have been discovered. The classical ASCLT (Lacey and Philipp [16]) for $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$, a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with zero means, states that when $\text{Var}(X_n) = \sigma^2$,

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 60F15.

Keywords and phrases: ϕ mixing random variables; self-normalized; weighted sums; almost sure central limit theorem.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I \left\{ \frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}\sigma} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here and in the following, $I\{\cdot\}$ denotes the indicator function, and $\Phi(x)$ is the distribution function of the standard normal random variable. We refer the readers to Gonchigdanzan and Rempala [17], Li and Wang [18], Huang and Pang [19], Peng et al. [20] for some ASCLT results with logarithmic averages. The purpose of this article is to study and establish the ASCLT for self-normalized weighted sums of ϕ mixing random variables. We will prove that the ASCLT still holds with other sequence $\{D_n; n \geq 1\}$ tending faster to infinity than the logarithmic averages.

In the following, C denotes a positive constant which may differ from one place to another. The notation $a_n \sim b_n$ means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 1$. We first introduce the notation of ϕ mixing random variables sequence.

DEFINITION 1. A sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of random variables is said to be ϕ mixing if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(n) = 0$, where

$$\phi(n) = \sup_{k \geq 1} \phi(\mathcal{F}_1^k, \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty)$$

with

$$\phi(\mathcal{F}_1^k, \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty) = \sup_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{F}_1^k, B \in \mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty \\ P(A) > 0}} \{|P(B|A) - P(B)|\},$$

and \mathcal{F}_a^b denotes the σ -field generated by X_a, X_{a+1}, \dots, X_b .

In this paper, we consider a strictly stationary sequence $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ϕ mixing random variables that satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(2^n) < \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of real numbers that satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}| = O(n), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2}{n} = A > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$n|a_{n,i+j} - a_{ni}| \leq Cj, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{1.4}$$

And let

$$l(x) = \mathbb{E}X^2 I\{|X| \leq x\}, \quad A_n^2 = \text{Var} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j I\{|X_j| \leq \eta_n\} \right), \quad B_n^2 = n \mathbb{E}X_1^2 I\{|X_1| \leq \eta_n\},$$

where

$$\eta_j = \inf \left\{ s : s \geq b + 1, \frac{l(s)}{s^2} \leq \frac{1}{j} \right\}, \quad b = \inf \{x \geq 1 : l(x) > 0\}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We can easily get that $B_n^2 = nl(\eta_n) \sim \eta_n^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. And we assume that

$$A_n^2 \sim \beta B_n^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } l(x) = \mathbb{E}X^2I\{|X| \leq x\} \text{ is slowly varying at } \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

for some $0 < \beta < \infty$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of ϕ mixing random variables with zero means. Assume that (1.1) and (1.5) are satisfied, and $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of real numbers that satisfies (1.2)–(1.4). Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$ and denote $d_k = \frac{1}{k} \exp((\log k)^\alpha)$, $D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k$, let*

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta V_k}} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.6}$$

In Theorem 1.1, letting $a_{ni} = 1, (1 \leq i \leq n)$, we can obtain the following result:

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of ϕ mixing random variables with zero means. Assume that (1.1) and (1.5) are satisfied. Let*

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{S_k}{\sqrt{\beta V_k}} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.7}$$

In Theorem 1.1, letting $a_{ni} = (n + 1 - i)/n, (1 \leq i \leq n)$, we have

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of ϕ mixing random variables with zero means. Assume that (1.1) and (1.5) are satisfied. Let*

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n+1-i}{n} X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3}T_k}{\sqrt{\beta V_k}} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.8}$$

In Theorem 1.1, letting $a_{ni} = i/n, (1 \leq i \leq n)$, we can get

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of ϕ mixing random variables with zero means. Assume that (1.1) and (1.5) are satisfied. Let*

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i}{n} X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3}T_k}{\sqrt{\beta}V_k} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.9}$$

REMARKS 1. Our results give substantial improvement for the result obtained in Theorem 1 of Zhang [12] and extend the work done by him. In fact our Corollary 1.2 is Theorem 1 of Zhang [12].

REMARKS 2. Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries remain valid if we replace the weight sequence d_k by d_k^* with $0 < d_k^* \leq d_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n d_k^* \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

In order to prove the Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 is due to Csörgő et al. [8].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $l(x) = \mathbb{E}X^2 I\{|X| \leq x\}$ is a slowly varying function at ∞ ;
- (b) $x^2 \mathbb{P}(|X| > x) = o(l(x))$;
- (c) $x \mathbb{E}|X| I\{|X| > x\} = o(l(x))$;
- (d) $\mathbb{E}|X|^\alpha I\{|X| \leq x\} = o(x^{\alpha-2} l(x))$ for $\alpha > 2$.

Lemma 2.2 is due to Ibragimov [21].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of ϕ mixing random variables, $X \in L_p(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^k), Y \in L_q(\mathcal{F}_{k+n}^\infty)$, and $p, q > 1$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then*

$$|\mathbb{E}XY - \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y| \leq 2\phi^{1/p}(n) \|X\|_p \|Y\|_q.$$

Lemma 2.3 is due to Zhang [12].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded random variables and $\{d_k\}$ and $\{D_n\}$ be defined as in Theorem 1.1. If there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\{a(k)\}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a(2^n) < \infty$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}|\xi_k \xi_j| \leq C \left(\left(\frac{k}{j} \right)^\delta + a(k) \right) \text{ for } \frac{j}{k} > (\log D_n)^{2/\delta},$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \xi_k = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

We will use the following notations. For every $1 \leq i \leq k$, let

$$\bar{X}_{ki} = a_{ki}X_iI\{|X_i| \leq \eta_k\}, \quad \tilde{X}_{ki} = a_{ki}X_iI\{|X_i| > \eta_k\}, \quad X_{ki}^* = \bar{X}_{ki} - \mathbb{E}\bar{X}_{ki},$$

$$\tilde{X}_{ki}^* = \tilde{X}_{ki} - \mathbb{E}\tilde{X}_{ki}, \quad T_k^* = \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ki}^*, \quad \tilde{T}_k^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{X}_{ki}^*, \quad \bar{V}_k^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2I\{|X_i| \leq \eta_k\}.$$

LEMMA 2.4. *Let f be a non-negative, bounded Lipschitz function and suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold, then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) - \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) \right] = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right) - \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right) \right] = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[I\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k)\right\} - \mathbb{E}I\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k)\right\} \right] = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let

$$\xi_k = f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) - \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right),$$

for any $j/k > (\log D_n)^{2/\delta} > 2$. Noting the fact that f be a non-negative, bounded Lipschitz function and $kl(\eta_k) \sim \eta_k^2$, then by Lemma 2.1 , Lemma 2.2 and (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}\xi_k \xi_j| &= \left| \text{cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right), f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_j^*}{\sqrt{A\beta jl(\eta_j)}}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right), f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_j^*}{\sqrt{A\beta jl(\eta_j)}}\right) - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^j \tilde{X}_{ji}^*}{\sqrt{A\beta jl(\eta_j)}}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right), f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^j \tilde{X}_{ji}^*}{\sqrt{A\beta jl(\eta_j)}}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left|f\left(\frac{\tilde{T}_j^*}{\sqrt{A\beta jl(\eta_j)}}\right) - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^j \tilde{X}_{ji}^*}{\sqrt{A\beta jl(\eta_j)}}\right)\right| + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \\ &\leq C\frac{\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \tilde{X}_{ji}^*\right|}{\sqrt{jl(\eta_j)}} + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \\ &= C\frac{\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_{ji}X_iI\{|X_i| > \eta_j\} - \mathbb{E}X_iI\{|X_i| > \eta_j\}\right|}{\sqrt{jl(\eta_j)}} + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2k} |a_{jj}| \mathbb{E}|X| I\{|X| > \eta_j\}}{\sqrt{j l(\eta_j)}} + C \phi^{1/2}(k) \leq C \frac{2k \mathbb{E}X I\{|X| > \eta_j\}}{\sqrt{j l(\eta_j)}} + C \phi^{1/2}(k) \\ &\leq C \frac{k}{\sqrt{j l(\eta_j)}} \frac{o(l(\eta_j))}{\eta_j} + C \phi^{1/2}(k) \leq C \left[\frac{k}{j} + \phi^{1/2}(k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (1.1) and Lemma 2.3 with $\delta = 1$ we obtain (2.1).

To prove (2.2), let

$$Y_k = f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right) - \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right),$$

Note that $j/k > (\log D_n)^{2/\delta} > 2$ and the fact that f be a non-negative, bounded Lipschitz function. Then by Lemma 2.2 and (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}Y_k Y_j| &= \left| \text{cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right), f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_j^2}{jl(\eta_j)}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right), f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_j^2}{jl(\eta_j)}\right) - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^j X_i^2 I\{|X_i| \leq \eta_j\}}{jl(\eta_j)}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_k^2}{kl(\eta_k)}\right), f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^j X_i^2 I\{|X_i| \leq \eta_j\}}{jl(\eta_j)}\right)\right) \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \mathbb{E}X^2 I\{|X| \leq \eta_j\}}{jl(\eta_j)} + C \phi^{1/2}(k) \\ &\leq C \frac{2k \mathbb{E}X^2 I\{|X| \leq \eta_j\}}{jl(\eta_j)} + C \phi^{1/2}(k) \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{k}{j} + \phi^{1/2}(k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (1.1) and Lemma 2.3 with $\delta = 1$ we obtain (2.2).

Now we prove (2.3). Let

$$Z_k = I\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k)\right\} - \mathbb{E}I\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k)\right\},$$

Note that $j/k > (\log D_n)^{2/\delta} > 2$ and $I(A \cup B) - I(B) \leq I(A)$ for any sets A and B. Then by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}Z_k Z_j| &= \left| \text{cov}\left(I\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k)\right\}, I\left\{\bigcup_{m=1}^j (|X_m| > \eta_j)\right\}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{cov}\left(I\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k)\right\}, I\left\{\bigcup_{m=1}^j (|X_m| > \eta_j)\right\} - I\left\{\bigcup_{m=2k+1}^j (|X_m| > \eta_j)\right\}\right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left| \text{cov} \left(I \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k) \right\}, I \left\{ \bigcup_{m=2k+1}^j (|X_m| > \eta_j) \right\} \right) \right| \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left| I \left\{ \bigcup_{m=1}^j (|X_m| > \eta_j) \right\} - I \left\{ \bigcup_{m=2k+1}^j (|X_m| > \eta_j) \right\} \right| + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left| I \left\{ \bigcup_{m=1}^{2k} (|X_m| > \eta_j) \right\} \right| + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \leq 2kP(|X_l| > \eta_j) + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \\
 & \leq Ck \frac{1}{\eta_j^2} o(l(\eta_j)) + C\phi^{1/2}(k) \leq C \left[\frac{k}{j} + \phi^{1/2}(k) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (1.1) and Lemma 2.3 with $\delta = 1$ we obtain (2.3). \square

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. Then we have*

$$\frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here and in the sequel, \mathcal{N} is a standard normal random variable, and \xrightarrow{d} denotes the convergence in distribution.

Proof. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. Liu et al. [11] obtained

$$\frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta V_k}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}.$$

On the other hand, suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. Liu and Lin [9] obtained

$$\frac{V_k^2}{B_k^2} \xrightarrow{P} 1.$$

Here $B_k^2 = kl(\eta_k)$. By Slutsky theorem, hence we can obtain (2.4). \square

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, by Markov’s inequality and lemma 2.1, there exists sufficient large N such that when $k > N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{|T_k - T_k^*|}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \geq \varepsilon \right) & \leq C \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k |a_{ki}| \mathbb{E}|X| I\{|X| > \eta_k\}}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \\
 & \leq C \frac{ko(l(\eta_k))}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)} \eta_k} \leq C \frac{ko(l(\eta_k))}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} = o(1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

By lemma 2.5, (2.6) and Slutsky theorem we have

$$\frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}. \tag{2.7}$$

Let f be a bounded Lipschitz function having a derivative h bounded by C . From (2.7) we have

$$\mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}f(\mathcal{N}). \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, noting that (2.5) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k f\left(\frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) = \mathbb{E}f(\mathcal{N}) \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.9}$$

Hence, to prove (2.5), it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[f\left(\frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) - \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}}\right) \right] = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{2.10}$$

The proof of (2.10) is similar to that of (2.1). So we omit it here. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove (1.6), it suffices to prove the following two inequalities:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta V_k}} \leq x \right\} \leq \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.1}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta V_k}} \leq x \right\} \geq \Phi(x) \text{ a.s. for any } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

To prove (3.1), noting that for $x \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta V_k}} \leq x \right\} \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq x\sqrt{(1+\delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & \quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \{V_k^2 > (1+\delta)kl(\eta_k)\} \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq \left(x + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1+\delta}}\right) \sqrt{(1+\delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & \quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \left| \frac{T_k - T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \right| > \delta \sqrt{kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \delta)kl(\eta_k) \right\} \\
 & + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k) \right\} \\
 & = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k (M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4). \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $x < 0$, similar to (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}V_k} \leq x \right\} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq x\sqrt{(1 - \delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\
 & + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ V_k^2 < (1 - \delta)kl(\eta_k) \right\} \\
 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq \left(x + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1 - \delta}} \right) \sqrt{(1 - \delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\
 & + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \left| \frac{T_k - T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \right| > \delta\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\
 & + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \bar{V}_k^2 < (1 - \delta)kl(\eta_k) \right\} \\
 & + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k) \right\} \\
 & = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k (K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4). \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

We only need to prove that (3.1) holds when $x \geq 0$ since for $x < 0$ we have the same conclusion. By Lemma 2.6 we can easily get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq \left(x + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1 + \delta}} \right) \sqrt{(1 + \delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} = \Phi(\sqrt{1 + \delta}x + \delta) \text{ a.s.} \tag{3.5}$$

Let f_1 be a real-valued functions such that

$$I\{|x| \geq \delta\} \leq f_1(x) \leq I\{|x| \geq \delta/2\} \text{ and } \sup_x |f_1'(x)| < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and $kl(\eta_k) \sim \eta_k^2$, for arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists K_1 such that when $k > K_1$

$$\mathbb{E}|X|I\{|X| > \eta_k\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1 l(\eta_k)}{\eta_k}, \quad kl(\eta_k) \leq 2\eta_k^2, \tag{3.6}$$

for every $k > K_1$. Then by Markov's inequality, Lemma 2.4 and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k M_2 &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \left| \frac{T_k - T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \right| > \delta \sqrt{kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k f_1 \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[f_1 \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \right) - \mathbb{E} f_1 \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{E} f_1 \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{E} f_1 \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta kl(\eta_k)}} \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{E} I \left\{ \frac{|\tilde{T}_k^*|}{\sqrt{A\beta}} > \frac{\delta \sqrt{kl(\eta_k)}}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \frac{\mathbb{E} |\tilde{T}_k^*|}{\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k |a_{ki}| \mathbb{E}|X|I\{|X| > \eta_k\}}{\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \frac{k \mathbb{E}|X|I\{|X| > \eta_k\}}{\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^{K_1} \frac{kd_k}{\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)}} + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{D_n} \sum_{k=K_1+1}^n \frac{kd_k}{\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)}} \frac{\varepsilon_1 l(\eta_k)}{\eta_k} \\ &\leq 0 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{D_n} \sum_{k=K_1+1}^n \varepsilon_1 d_k = \varepsilon_1 \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, letting $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k M_2 = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{3.7}$$

By the same arguments as above we can get from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k M_3 = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k M_4 = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.3)–(3.9), letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we can get that (3.1) holds.

Now to prove (3.2). Similarly to (3.3) and (3.4), for $x \geq 0$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}V_k} \leq x \right\} \\ \geq & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq x\sqrt{(1-\delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \{V_k^2 < (1-\delta)kl(\eta_k)\} \\ \geq & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq \left(x - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta}}\right)\sqrt{(1-\delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \left| \frac{T_k - T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \right| > \delta\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \{V_k^2 < (1-\delta)kl(\eta_k)\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

If $x < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}V_k} \leq x \right\} \\ \geq & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq x\sqrt{(1+\delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \{V_k^2 > (1+\delta)kl(\eta_k)\} \\ \geq & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \frac{T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \leq \left(x - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1+\delta}}\right)\sqrt{(1-\delta)kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \left| \frac{T_k - T_k^*}{\sqrt{A\beta}} \right| > \delta\sqrt{kl(\eta_k)} \right\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \{V_k^2 > (1+\delta)kl(\eta_k)\} \\ & - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^k (|X_l| > \eta_k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The remaining proof is similar to that of (3.1), so we omit it here. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (71271042 and 11361019) and the Guangxi China Science Foundation (2013GXNSFAA278003). This is also supported by Research Project of Guangxi High Institution (YB2014150).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. S. GRIFFIN, J. D. KUELBS, *Self-normalized laws of the iterated logarithm*, Ann Probab. **17**, 1571–1601, (1989).
- [2] V. BENTKUS, F. GÖTZE, *The Berry-Esseen bound for student's statistic*, Ann Probab. **24**, 466–490, (1996).
- [3] Z. Y. LIN, *A self-normalized Chung-type law of iterated logarithm*, Theory Prob Appl. **41**, 791–798, (1996).
- [4] E. GINE, F. GÖTZE, D. M. MASON, *When is the Student t -statistic asymptotically standard normal?*, Ann Probab. **25**, 1514–1531, (1997).
- [5] Q. M. SHAO, *Self-normalized large deviations*, Ann Probab. **25**, 285–328, (1997).
- [6] Z. S. HU, Q. M. SHAO, Q. Y. WANG, *Cramr type moderate deviations for the maximum of self-normalized sums*, Elect J Probab. **14**, 1181–1197, (2009).
- [7] M. CSÖRGÓ, B. SZYSZKOWICZ, Q. Y. WANG, *Darling-Erdős theorem for self-normalized partial sums*, Ann Probab. **31**, 676–692, (2003 a).
- [8] M. CSÖRGÓ, B. SZYSZKOWICZ, Q. Y. WANG, *Donsker's theorem for self-normalized partial sums processes*, Ann Probab. **31**, 1228–1240, (2003 b).
- [9] W. D. LIU, Z. Y. LIN, *Asymptotics for self-normalized random products of sums for mixing sequences*, Stochastic Analysis and Applications **25**, 293–315, (2007).
- [10] T. X. PANG, Z. Y. LIN, K. S. HWANG, *Asymptotics for self-normalized random products of sums of i.i.d. random variables*, J. Math Anal Appl. **334**, 1246–1259, (2007).
- [11] Y. LIU, Y. ZHANG, Z. S. DONG, *Central limit theorem for self-normalized weighted sums of ϕ mixing sequences*, Journal of Jilin University (Science Edition) **46**, 643–648, (2008).
- [12] Y. ZHANG, *A general result on almost sure central limit theorem for self-normalized sums for mixing sequences*, Lithuanian Mathematical Journal. **53**, 471–483, (2013).
- [13] Q. Y. WU, *A note on the almost sure limit theorem for self-normalized partial sums of random variables in the domain of attraction of the normal law*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **17**, 1–10, (2012).
- [14] G. A. BROSAMLER, *An almost everywhere central limit theorem [J]*, Math Proc Camb Phil Soc. **104**, 561–574, (1988).
- [15] P. SCHATTE, *On strong versions of the central limit theorem [J]*, Math Nachr **137**, 249–256, (1988).
- [16] M. T. LACEY, W. PHILIPP, *A note on the almost sure central limit theorem [J]*, Statist Probab Lett. **9**, 201–205, (1990).
- [17] K. GONCHIGDANZAN, G. REMPALA, *A note on the almost sure limit theorem for the product of partial sums*, Appl Math Lett. **19**, 191–196, (2006).
- [18] Y. X. LI, J. F. WANG, *An almost sure central limit theorem for products of sums under association*, Stat Probab Lett. **78**, 367–375, (2008).
- [19] S. H. HUANG, T. X. PANG, *An almost sure central limit theorem for self-normalized partial sums*, Comput Math Appl. **60**, 2639–2644, (2010).

- [20] Z. X. PENG, Z. Q. TAN, S. NADARAJAH, *Almost sure central limit theorem for the products of U -statistics*, *Metrika* **73**, 61–76, (2011).
- [21] I. A. IBRAGIMOV, *Some limit theorems for stationary process*, *Theory Probab Appl.* **7**, 349–382, (1962).

(Received September 26, 2014)

Fengxiang Feng
School of Mathematical Science
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China
Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, PR China
and
College of Science
Guilin University of Technology
Guilin, Guangxi 541004, PR China
e-mail: fengfengxiang2013@163.com

Dingcheng Wang
School of Mathematical Science
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China
Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, PR China
e-mail: wangdc@uestc.edu.cn

Qunying Wu
College of Science
Guilin University of Technology
Guilin, Guangxi 541004, PR China
e-mail: wqy666@glut.edu.cn