

INITIAL COEFFICIENT BOUND FOR m -FOLD SYMMETRIC BI- λ -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN AND R. SIVAKUMAR

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. Let the functions $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ and its inverse f^{-1} be analytic and univalent in the unit disk. Such class of functions are called bi-univalent and denoted by σ [9]. In an article, Pommerenke [10] remarked that, for an m -fold symmetric functions in the class \mathcal{S} , the well known lemma stated by Caratheodary for a one fold symmetric functions in \mathcal{S} still holds good. Making use of this remark, we introduce two new subclasses of *bi-univalent* functions in which both f and $f^{-1} = g$ are m -fold symmetric analytic functions with $(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)$ and $(1 - \lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right)$ in \mathcal{S} and obtain coefficient bounds for functions in this new classes.

1. Introduction and definitions

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Further, by \mathcal{S} , we mean the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathbb{U} . For more details on univalent functions, see [3]. It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f); \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right). \quad (1.3)$$

Indeed, the inverse function may have an analytic continuation to \mathbb{U} , with

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (1.4)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Analytic functions, univalent functions, *bi-univalent* functions, m -fold symmetric functions, m -fold symmetric *bi-univalent* functions, λ -convex functions, *bi- λ -convex* functions.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *bi-univalent* in \mathbb{U} if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

Let σ denote the class of *bi-univalent* functions in \mathbb{U} , given by equation (1.1). Lewin [9] investigated the class of *bi-univalent* functions σ and obtained a bound $|a_2| \leq 1.51$. Motivated by the work of Lewin [9], Brannan and Clunie [1] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Some examples of bi-univalent functions are $\frac{z}{1-z}$, $\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$ and $-\log(1-z)$ (see also the work of Srivastava et al. [12]). The coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients: $|a_n|$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$) is still open ([12]).

In recent times, the study of *bi-univalent* functions gained momentum mainly due to the work of Srivastava et al. [12]. Motivated by this, many researchers (see [4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19]) recently investigated several interesting subclasses of the class σ and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. In a very recent article, Sivasubramanian et al. [11] obtained covering theorem, distortion theorem, growth theorem and the radius of convexity for the functions of the class σ which answered some of the questions raised by Goodman [6, pages 170–172, question number 2].

For each function f in \mathcal{S} , the function

$$h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)}$$

is univalent and maps the unit disk \mathbb{U} into a region with m -fold symmetry.

A function is m -fold symmetric (see [10]) if it has the normalized form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk+1} z^{mk+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1.5)$$

and we denote the class of m -fold symmetric univalent functions by \mathcal{S}_m , which are normalized by the above series expansion. In fact, the functions in the class \mathcal{S} are one fold symmetric.

Analogous to the concept of m -fold symmetric univalent functions, we here introduce the concept of m -fold symmetric *bi-univalent* functions. For details of the the concept of m -fold symmetric *bi-univalent* functions one may refer to the work of Srivastava et al. [15]. Each function in the class f in σ , generates an m -fold symmetric *bi-univalent* function for each integer m . The normalized form of f is given as in (1.5) and f^{-1} is given as follows. The series expansion for f^{-1} is given by

$$g(w) = w - a_{m+1} w^{m+1} + [(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}] w^{2m+1} - \left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3m+2)a_{m+1}^3 - (3m+2)a_{m+1}a_{2m+1} + a_{3m+1} \right] w^{3m+1} + \dots \quad (1.6)$$

where $f^{-1} = g$. We denote the class of m -fold symmetric *bi-univalent* functions by σ_m . For $m = 1$, the formula (1.6) coincides with the formula (1.4) of the class σ .

Some examples of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are $\left(\frac{z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$,

$\left(\frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $(-\log(1-z^m))^{\frac{1}{m}}$ with the corresponding inverse functions $\left(\frac{w^m}{1+w^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$, $\left(\frac{e^{2w^m}-1}{e^{2w^m}+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $\left(\frac{e^{w^m}-1}{e^{w^m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ respectively.

Denote also, by \mathcal{P} , the class of analytic functions of the form $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ such that $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ in \mathbb{U} .

Pommerenke [10] remarked that, for an m -fold symmetric functions in the class \mathcal{P} , the well known lemma stated by Caratheodary for a one fold symmetric functions in \mathcal{P} still holds good. As an application of this remark, we introduce some new subclasses of *bi-univalent* functions in which both f and f^{-1} are m -fold symmetric analytic functions with $(1-\lambda)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$ and $(1-\lambda)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right)$ in \mathcal{P} and obtain coefficient bounds of $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions in this new classes.

We use the following lemma of Caratheodary class to derive our main result.

LEMMA 1.1. *If $h \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each k , where \mathcal{P} is the family of all functions h analytic in \mathbb{U} for which $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ and*

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{1.7}$$

and the extremal is obtained for the function $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$.

In view of Pommerenke [10], the m -fold symmetric functions in the class \mathcal{P} is of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + c_mz^m + c_{2m}z^{2m} + \dots$$

2. Coefficient bounds for the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}[\alpha, \lambda]$

DEFINITION 2.1. A function $f(z)$, given by (1.5), is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}[\alpha, \lambda]$ if the following conditions are satisfied;

$$f \in \sigma_m, \quad \left| \arg\left((1-\lambda)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha \leq 1) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\left| \arg\left((1-\lambda)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right) \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha \leq 1) \tag{2.2}$$

where the function $g(w)$ is given by (1.6).

For the case of one fold symmetric functions with $\lambda = 0$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}[\alpha, \lambda]$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^*[\alpha]$ introduced and studied by Brannan and Taha [2].

We first state and prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $f(z)$, given by (1.5), be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}[\alpha, \lambda]$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{(1+\lambda m)(\alpha - \lambda\alpha m + \lambda m + 1)}} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2(1+\lambda m)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{m(2\lambda m + 1)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. From (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) = [p(z)]^\alpha \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda\left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right) = [q(w)]^\alpha \quad (2.6)$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ in \mathcal{P} with the series representation

$$p(z) = 1 + p_m z^m + p_{2m} z^{2m} + p_{3m} z^{3m} + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_m w^m + q_{2m} w^{2m} + q_{3m} w^{3m} + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$(1+\lambda m)ma_{m+1} = \alpha p_m, \quad (2.9)$$

$$2m(2\lambda m + 1)a_{2m+1} - (1 + 2\lambda m + \lambda m^2)ma_{m+1}^2 = \alpha p_{2m} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}p_m^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$-(1+\lambda m)ma_{m+1} = \alpha q_m \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$(1+2m+2\lambda m+3\lambda m^2)ma_{m+1}^2 - 2m(2m\lambda+1)a_{2m+1} = \alpha q_{2m} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}q_m^2. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.9) and (2.11), we get

$$p_m = -q_m \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$2(1+\lambda m)^2 m^2 a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha^2 (p_m^2 + q_m^2). \quad (2.14)$$

Also from (2.10), (2.12) and (2.14), a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2m^2(1 + \lambda m)a_{m+1}^2 &= \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(p_m^2 + q_m^2) \\ &= \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} \frac{2(1 + \lambda m)^2 m^2}{\alpha^2} a_{m+1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(p_{2m} + q_{2m})}{(1 + \lambda m)m^2(\alpha - \lambda\alpha m + \lambda m + 1)}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients p_{2m} and q_{2m} , we get

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{(1 + \lambda m)(\alpha - \lambda\alpha m + \lambda m + 1)}}.$$

This gives the desired estimate on $|a_2|$ as asserted in (2.3).

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$, by a simple computation using (2.12), (2.10) and (2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &4m^2(2\lambda m + 1)(\lambda m + 1)a_{2m+1} \\ &= \alpha [(1 + 2m + 2\lambda m + 3\lambda m^2)p_{2m} + (1 + 2\lambda m + \lambda m^2)q_{2m}] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} [(1 + 2m + 2\lambda m + 3\lambda m^2)p_m^2 + (1 + 2\lambda m + \lambda m^2)q_m^2] \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 again for the coefficients p_m , p_{2m} , q_m and q_{2m} , we get

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{(m + 1)\alpha^2}{m^2(1 + \lambda m)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

For the case of one fold symmetric functions with $\lambda = 0$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to the results of Brannan and Taha [2].

COROLLARY 2.1. ([2]) *Let $f(z)$, given by (1.1), be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*[\alpha]$, ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha}} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq 2\alpha^2. \tag{2.17}$$

For the case of one fold symmetric functions with $\lambda = 1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to the results of Brannan and Taha [2].

COROLLARY 2.2. ([2]) *Let $f(z)$, given by (1.1), be in the class $\mathcal{C}_\sigma[\alpha]$, ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \alpha \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \alpha^2. \tag{2.19}$$

3. Coefficient bound for the function class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}^*(\beta, \lambda)$

DEFINITION 3.1. A function $f(z)$, given by (1.5), is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}^*(\beta, \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied;

$$f \in \sigma_m \text{ and } \Re \left((1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right) > \beta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\Re \left((1-\lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right) > \beta, \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1) \quad (3.2)$$

where the function g is given by (1.6).

It is easy that for the choice of one fold symmetric functions with $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}^*(\beta, \lambda)$ reduces to the classes $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(\beta)$ respectively. The later classes were introduced and studied by Brannan and Taha. ([2]).

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f(z)$, given by (1.5), be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma,m}^*(\beta, \lambda)$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda m}} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)(m+1)}{m^2(1+\lambda m)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The argument inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) can be written in the following forms,

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) = \beta + (1-\beta)q(w) \quad (3.6)$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ in \mathcal{P} and have the forms (2.7) and (2.8) respectively. As in the proof of theorem 2.1, by equating the coefficients in (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$(1+\lambda m)ma_{m+1} = (1-\beta)p_m, \quad (3.7)$$

$$2m(2\lambda m+1)a_{2m+1} - (1+2\lambda m+\lambda m^2)ma_{m+1}^2 = (1-\beta)p_{2m}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$-(1+\lambda m)ma_{m+1} = (1-\beta)q_m \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$(1+2m+2\lambda m+3\lambda m^2)ma_{m+1}^2 - 2m(2m\lambda+1)a_{2m+1} = (1-\beta)q_{2m}. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.7) and (3.9), we get

$$p_m = -q_m \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$2(1 + \lambda m)^2 m^2 a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)^2 (p_m^2 + q_m^2). \quad (3.12)$$

Also from (3.8) and (3.10), we get

$$2m^2(1 + \lambda m)a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)(p_{2m} + q_{2m}).$$

Thus, we have

$$|a_{m+1}|^2 \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)(|p_{2m}| + |q_{2m}|)}{2m^2(1 + \lambda m)} \leq \frac{4(1 - \beta)}{2m^2(1 + \lambda m)}.$$

This gives the bound on $|a_{m+1}|$ as asserted in (3.3).

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$, by a simple computation using (3.10) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 4m^2(2\lambda m + 1)(\lambda m + 1)a_{2m+1} \\ &= (1 - \beta) \left((1 + 2m + 2\lambda m + 3\lambda m^2)p_{2m} + (1 + 2\lambda m + \lambda m^2)q_{2m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{(1 - \beta) \left((1 + 2m + 2\lambda m + 3\lambda m^2)p_{2m} + (1 + 2\lambda m + \lambda m^2)q_{2m} \right)}{4m^2(2\lambda m + 1)(\lambda m + 1)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients p_{2m} and q_{2m} , we get

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)(m + 1)}{m^2(1 + \lambda m)} \quad (3.14)$$

which is the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$ as asserted in (3.4). \square

For the case of one fold symmetric functions with $\lambda = 0$, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following results of Brannan and Taha ([2]).

COROLLARY 3.1. ([2]) *Let $f(z)$, given by (1.1), be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$, ($0 \leq \beta < 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \beta)} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq 2(1 - \beta). \quad (3.16)$$

For the case of one fold symmetric functions with $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following results of Brannan and Taha [2].

COROLLARY 3.2. ([2]) Let $f(z)$, given by (1.1), be in the class $\mathcal{C}_\sigma(\beta)$, ($0 \leq \beta < 1$). Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{(1-\beta)} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq (1-\beta). \quad (3.18)$$

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the reviewers of this article for their insightful suggestions which improved the quality of the article.

The work of the first author is supported by a grant from Anna University under young faculty Scheme and also a grant from Department of Science and Technology, Government of India vide ref: SR/FTP/MS-022/2012 under fast track scheme.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. A. BRANNAN AND J. G. CLUNIE, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [2] D. A. BRANNAN AND T. S. TAHA, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **31** (1986), no. 2, 70–77.
- [3] P. L. DUREN, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Hiedelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [4] B. A. FRASIN AND M. K. AOUF, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 1569–1573.
- [5] A. W. GOODMAN, *Univalent Functions*, Mariener Publications Inc, 1983.
- [6] A. W. GOODMAN, *An invitation to the study of univalent and multivalent functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2** (2) (1979), 163–186.
- [7] S. P. GOYAL AND P. GOSWAMI, *Estimate for initial Maclaurin coefficients of bi-univalent functions for a class defined by fractional derivatives*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **20** (2012), 179–182.
- [8] T. HAYAMI AND S. OWA, *Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions*, PanAmer. Math. J. **22** (4) (2012), 15–26.
- [9] M. LEWIN, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 63–68.
- [10] CH. POMMERENKE, *On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions*, Michigan. Math. J. **9** (1962), 259–269.
- [11] S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN, R. SIVAKUMAR, T. BULBOACĂ AND T. N. SHANMUGAM, *On the class of bi-univalent functions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **352** (2014), 895–890.
- [12] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. K. MISHRA AND P. GOCHHAYAT, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (10) (2010), 1188–1192.
- [13] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY AND N. MAGESH, *Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with Hoholov operator*, Global J. Math. Anal. **1** (2) (2013), 67–73.
- [14] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, S. BULUT, M. CAGLER AND N. YAGMUR, *Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat. **27** (2013), 831–842.
- [15] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN AND R. SIVAKUMAR, *Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, Tbilisi. Math. J. **7** (2) (2014), 1–10.
- [16] Q.-H. XU, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Z. LI, *A certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011) 396–401.
- [17] Q.-H. XU, Y.-C. GUI AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25**(6) (2012), 990–994.

- [18] Q.-H. XU, H.-G. XIAO AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (23) (2012), 11461–11465.
- [19] Q.-H. XU, C.-B. LV AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Coefficient estimates for the inverses of a certain general class of spirallike functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **219** (2013), 7000–7011.

(Received April 9, 2014)

S. Sivasubramanian
Department of Mathematics
University College of Engineering
Anna University, Chennai
Tindivanam-604 001, India
e-mail: sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com

R. Sivakumar
Department of Mathematics
University College of Engineering
Anna University, Chennai
Tindivanam-604 001, India
e-mail: mrsiva75@gmail.com