

BOUNDEDNESS FOR THE GENERALIZED COMMUTATOR OF SJÖLIN TYPE OPERATORS

XIAO YU AND SHANZHEN LU

(Communicated by V. Stepanov)

Abstract. In this paper, we study the generalized commutators of Sjölin type operator $T_{\alpha, A}^{a, m}$ defined by

$$T_{\alpha, A}^{a, m} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{\alpha}^a(x-y) \frac{R_m(A; x, y)}{|x-y|^{m-1}} f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^{\alpha}}}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \frac{R_m(A; x, y)}{|x-y|^{m-1}} f(y) dy,$$

where $R_m(A; x, y) = A(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha} A(y) (x-y)^{\alpha}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

By using the scale changing method, we prove that if $D^{\gamma} A \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ ($0 < \beta < 1$) with $|\gamma| = m-1$, $m \geq 2$ or $A \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ ($0 < \beta < 1$) when $m = 1$, $T_{\alpha, A}^{a, m}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for certain range of p .

1. Introduction

In 1976, Janson [4] studied the commutator T_b generated by the Lipschitz function and the singular integrals as follows.

$$T_b f(x) = bT(f)(x) - T(bf)(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where T is the classical C-Z singular integral operator and Janson [4] proved that T_b is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1/p - 1/q = \beta/n$ if and only if $b \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ ($0 < \beta < 1$). Here $\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$ is the homogeneous Lipschitz space with its definition defined by

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}} = \sup_{x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n, h \neq 0} \frac{\Delta_h^{[\beta]+1} f(x)}{|h|^{\beta}} < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Delta_h^1 f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$ and $\Delta_h^{k+1} f(x) = \Delta_h^k f(x+h) - \Delta_h^k f(x)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). Obviously, when $0 < \beta < 1$ and $f \in \dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}$, we have $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x-y|^{\beta} \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_{\beta}}$ for $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47B38, 26D10, 47A30.

Keywords and phrases: Sjölin operator, generalized commutator, Lipschitz space.

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11561057, 11471309, 11271175), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (20151BAB211002) and the Science and Technology Project of Jiangxi Education Department (GJJ151054, GJJ151061).

In 2003, Lu, Wu and Zhang [9] studied the following generalized commutator T_A^m defined as

$$T_A^m f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+m-1}} R_m(A;x,y) f(y) dy, \tag{1.3}$$

where $R_m(A;x,y) = A(x) - \sum_{|\gamma| < m} \frac{1}{\gamma!} D^\gamma A(y) (x-y)^\gamma$, the m -th remainder of Taylor series of the function A at y about x . Lu, Wu and Zhang [9] proved that if A has derivatives of order $m-1$ ($m \geq 2$) in $\dot{\Lambda}_\beta$ ($0 < \beta < 1$), then T_A^m is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1/p - 1/q = \beta/n$ and $1 < p < \frac{n}{\beta}$.

Here we would like to point out that the operator T_A^m was first studied by Cohen and Gosselin [2]. In [2], Cohen and Gosselin proved that if A has derivatives of order $m-1$ in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the bounded mean oscillation space, then the operator T_A^m is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. For the study of the Cohen-Gosselin type operators, one may see [10, 15, 19] et. al. for more details. In 2012, Wang and Zhang [16] gave a new and simpler proof of Wu’s theorem in [17] by using some results about the generalized commutator of Cohen-Gosselin type proved by Yan [18] (or see [10]).

In 1980, Sjölin [12] introduced the convolution operator T_α^a with oscillating kernels defined by

$$T_\alpha^a f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\alpha^a(x-y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} f(y) dy, \tag{1.4}$$

where $a > 0, a \neq 1$ and $\alpha < n$.

Sjölin [12] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM A. ([12]) *If $\alpha \geq n(1 - a/2)$, then the Sjölin type operator T_α^a is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $p_0 \leq p \leq p'_0$ with $p_0 = \frac{na}{na-n+\alpha}$. If $\alpha < n(1 - \frac{a}{2})$, then T_α^a is not bounded on any $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).*

The operator T_α^a was also studied by many other authors, one may see [5] or [13] for more details. Especially in [5], Li proved the boundedness of T_α^a by using the scale changing method which was proposed by Carleson and Sjölin [1]. Moreover, Li [5] also studied the following generalized commutator of the Sjölin type operator $T_{\alpha,A}^{a,m}$ with its definition defined by

$$T_{\alpha,A}^{a,m} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\alpha^a(x-y) \frac{R_m(A;x,y)}{|x-y|^{m-1}} f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} \frac{R_m(A;x,y)}{|x-y|^{m-1}} f(y) dy. \tag{1.5}$$

Li [5] proved that if A has derivatives of order $m-1$ in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $m \geq 1$, then the operator $T_{\alpha,A}^{a,m}$ is bounded on the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p > 1$.

Motivated by the above background, it is natural to ask whether we can prove the L^p boundedness of $T_{\alpha,A}^{a,m}$ if $D^\gamma A \in \dot{\Lambda}_\beta$ ($|\gamma| = m-1$) with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$? In this paper, we will give a positive answer to this question. Moreover, when $m = 1$, we simply denote $T_{A,\alpha}^{a,1}$ by $T_{A,\alpha}^a$, that is

$$T_{A,\alpha}^a f(x) := T_{A,\alpha}^{a,1} f(x) = A(x) T_\alpha^a f(x) - T_\alpha^a (Af)(x). \tag{1.6}$$

Our results can be stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that $a > 0$, $a \neq 1$ and $an < 2$. If $\beta + n - an < \alpha < n + \beta - \frac{an}{2}$ and $A \in \dot{\Lambda}_\beta$ with $\frac{an}{2} < \beta < 1$, then $T_{A,\alpha}^a$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_0 < p < p'_0$ where $1 < p_0 = \frac{an}{\beta+n-\alpha} < 2$.*

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that $a > 0$, $a \neq 1$ and $an < 2$. If $\beta + n - an < \alpha < n + \beta - \frac{an}{2}$ and $D^\gamma A \in \dot{\Lambda}_\beta$ ($|\gamma| = m - 1$) with $\frac{an}{2} < \beta < 1$ and $m \geq 2$, then $T_{A,\alpha}^{a,m}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_0 < p < p'_0$ where $1 < p_0 = \frac{an}{\beta+n-\alpha} < 2$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1. The basic idea of proving Theorem 1.1 is the scale changing method which was introduced by Carleson and Sjölin in [1]. In [1], Carleson and Sjölin used this method to prove the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ boundedness of the Bochner-Riesz operators below the critical index. Later, the scale changing method was used to study the boundedness of strongly singular integral and its commutators, please see [6, 7, 8] for details.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, we introduce some lemmas and notations. Let Ψ be a smooth function of compact support in x and ξ , and Φ be real valued and smooth. We assume that the support of Ψ , the Hessian determinant of Φ is nonvanishing, i.e.

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi(x, \xi)}{\partial x_i \partial \xi_j} \right) \neq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. ([7] or [14]) *Denote $T_\lambda f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \Phi(x, \xi)} \Psi(x, \xi) f(x) dx$, where Φ and Ψ satisfy (2.1). Then we have*

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^2} \leq C\lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Obviously, we also have $\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^1} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}$. Then using interpolation, we obtain (see [7])

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^p} \leq C\lambda^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^p} \leq C\lambda^{-\frac{n}{p'}} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad 1 \leq p < 2. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only need to prove that for any fixed $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a positive constant C independent of f and A , such that

$$\int_{[0,N]^n} \left| \int_{[0,N]^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} (A(x) - A(y)) f(y) dy \right|^p dx \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p \int_{[0,N]^n} |f(x)|^p dx. \quad (2.4)$$

By the scale changing method, (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\int_I \left| N^{n-\alpha} \int_I (A(Nx) - A(Ny)) \frac{e^{iN^\alpha|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} f(Ny) dy \right|^p dx \leq CN^{\beta p} \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p \int_I |f(Nx)|^p dx. \quad (2.5)$$

where $I = [0, 1]^n$ is the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^n .

Now, we take some notations from [7].

Let Ω_μ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots$) be the set of all dyadic cubes in $(-2, 2)^n$ with side length $2^{-\mu}$, and let Ω^* be the set of all cubes which satisfy the union of 2^n cubes in Ω_μ . For $x \in I$ and x does not belong to the boundary of any dyadic cubes, we denote that $\omega_\mu^*(x)$ is the unique element of Ω_μ^* satisfying $x \in \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^*(x)$. Moreover, we set $\omega_{-1}^*(x) = (-2, 2)^n$. Then for a measurable set $D \subset I$, we denote

$$E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, D) = N^{n-\alpha} \int_D (A(Nx) - A(Ny)) \frac{e^{iN^a|x-y|^a}}{|x-y|^\alpha} f(Ny) dy, \quad x \in I,$$

and

$$E_\mu(x) = E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega_{\mu-1}^*(x) \setminus \omega_\mu^*(x) \cap I), \quad \mu \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, we may denote

$$S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(Nx) = N^{n-\alpha} \int_I \frac{e^{iN^a|x-y|^a}}{|x-y|^\alpha} (A(Nx) - A(Ny)) f(Ny) dy.$$

Thus, we conclude that Theorem 1.1 reduces to prove the following inequality,

$$\|S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)} \leq CN^\beta \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}. \tag{2.6}$$

To prove (2.6), by the definition of E_μ , we can decompose $S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha}$ as

$$S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(Nx) \leq \sum_{\mu=0}^{\mu_N} E_\mu(x) + \sum_{\mu=\mu_N+1}^{\infty} E_\mu(x),$$

where μ_N belong to \mathbb{Z}^+ and satisfies $2^{-\mu_N-1} < N^{-1} \leq 2^{-\mu_N}$. By the fact that when μ is big enough, there is $\omega_{\mu-1}^* \setminus \omega_\mu^* \subset I$.

Thus, we may assume that $E_\mu(x) = E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega_{\mu-1}^*(x) \setminus \omega_\mu^*(x))$, $\mu \geq 0$. By the construction of $\omega_{\mu-1}^*(x) \setminus \omega_\mu^*(x)$, we have $E_\mu(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\mu} E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega) \chi_{F(\omega)}(x)$ where $\chi_{F(\omega)}(x)$ is the characteristic function of $F(\omega)$. As $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\mu} \chi_{F(\omega)}(x) \leq 6^n - 2^n$ and $F(\omega) = 6\omega \setminus 2\omega$ is the union of cubes in $\Omega_{\mu+1}$, with the property that the distance from each cube to ω is approximately $2^{-\mu}$. Then by the Hölder inequality, we conclude that $|E_\mu(x)|^p \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\mu} |E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p \chi_{F(\omega)}(x)$. Thus, we get

$$\int_I |E_\mu(x)|^p dx \leq C \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\mu} \int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx.$$

For any fixed $\omega \in \Omega_\mu$, we denote $x_\omega = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point in ω , such that for any $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in \omega$, $x_i \leq y_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Recall the fact that the side

length of ω is $2^{-\mu}$. Then for any $\mu < \mu_N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx \\ &= \int_{F(\omega)} \left| \int_{\omega} N^{n-\alpha} \frac{e^{iN^a|x-y|^a} (A(Nx) - A(Ny))f(Ny)}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy \right|^p dx \\ &= \int_{F(\omega)-x_\omega} \left| \int_{[0, 2^{-\mu}]^n} N^{n-\alpha} \frac{e^{iN^a|x-y|^a} [A(Nx+x_\omega) - A(Ny+x_\omega)]f(Ny)}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy \right|^p dx \\ &= \int_{F(I)} 2^{-\mu n} \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha} 2^{\mu\alpha} 2^{-\mu n} \frac{e^{i(N2^{-\mu})^a|x-y|^a}}{|x-y|^\alpha} [A(2^{-\mu}Nx+x_\omega) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - A(2^{-\mu}Ny+x_\omega)]f(2^{-\mu}Ny+x_\omega) dy \right|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider the case $\mu \leq \mu_N$. As $x \in F(I)$, $y \in I$, we obtain

$$|x-y| \leq |x-y_0| + |y-y_0| \leq C|y-x_0| \leq C2^{-kn},$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(Nx) &\leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} N^\beta N^{n-\alpha} \int_I |x-y|^{\beta-\alpha} |f(Ny)| dy \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} N^{n+\beta-\alpha} M(f(N\cdot)\chi_I)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Then we have

$$\|S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(F(I))} \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} N^{n+\beta-\alpha} \|M(f(N\cdot)\chi_I(\cdot))\|_{L^p(F(I))},$$

which implies

$$\|S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(F(I))} \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} N^{\beta+n-\alpha} \|f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}.$$

From the above estimates and the definition of $E_A^{a,\alpha}$, there is

$$\int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p (N2^{-\mu})^{(n+\beta-\alpha)p} \int_{\omega} |f(Nx)|^p dx.$$

By the above inequality and the definition of E_μ , we obtain

$$\|E_\mu\|_{L^p(I)} \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} (N2^{-\mu})^{n+\beta-\alpha} \|f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}.$$

Now, we conclude that for the case $\mu \leq \mu_N$, we have

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{\mu_N} \|E_\mu\|_{L^p(I)} \leq CN^\beta \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} \|f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Next, we will consider the case $\mu \geq \mu_N$. In order to use Lemma 2.1, we would like to mention that from [8, p. 45–p. 46], we know both $\Phi(x, y) = |x - y|^\alpha$ and $\Psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x - y|^\alpha}$ satisfy (2.1) on $F(I) \times I$. Then, let $\Psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x - y|^\alpha}$ be a smooth function supported on $F(I) \times I$, otherwise we may choose a class of smooth function defined on $F(I) \times I$ and approximating to it.

When $p \geq 2$, using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F(\omega)} \left| \int_{\omega} N^{n-\alpha} \frac{e^{iN^\alpha|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} (A(Nx) - A(Ny))f(Ny)dy \right|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_{F(I)} 2^{-\mu n} |A(2^{-\mu}Nx + x_\omega) - A(2^{-\mu}Nx_0 + x_\omega)|^p \\ & \quad \times \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha} 2^{\mu\alpha - \mu n} \frac{e^{i(2^{-\mu}N)^\alpha|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)dy \right|^p dx \\ & \quad + \int_{F(I)} 2^{-\mu n} \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha} 2^{\mu\alpha - \mu n} \frac{e^{i(2^{-\mu}N)^\alpha|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} \right. \\ & \quad \times |A(2^{-\mu}Nx_0 + x_\omega) - A(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)| f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)dy \Big|^p dx \\ & \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{\beta p} N^{n p - p\alpha} 2^{-\mu n} 2^{(\mu\alpha - \mu n)p} 2^{-\mu\beta p} (2^{-\mu}N)^{-\frac{an}{p}} \int_I |f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)|^p dy \\ & \quad + CN^{(n-\alpha)p} 2^{-\mu n} 2^{(n-\alpha)p} 2^{(\mu\alpha - \mu n)p} (2^{-\mu}N)^{-\frac{an}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \int_I |A(2^{-\mu}Nx_0 + x_\omega) - A(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)| f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)|^p dx \\ & \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{(n+\beta-\alpha)p} 2^{(\mu\alpha - \mu n)p} 2^{-\mu\beta p} (2^{-\mu}N)^{-an} 2^{-\mu n} \int_I |f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega)|^p dy \\ & \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{(n+\beta-\alpha)p - an} 2^{p\mu\alpha - \mu n p - \mu\beta p + \mu an} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \\ & \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{(n+\beta-\alpha)p - an} 2^{-p\mu(n+\beta-\alpha - \frac{an}{p})} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $1 < p \leq 2$, by a similar argument as in the above case, we may get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F(\omega)} \left| \int_{\omega} N^{n-\alpha} \frac{e^{iN^\alpha|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^\alpha} [A(Nx) - A(Ny)]f(Ny)dy \right|^p dx \\ & \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p(n+\beta-\alpha) - \frac{anp}{p'}} 2^{(\mu\alpha - \mu n)p} 2^{-\mu\beta p} (2^{-\mu})^{-\frac{an}{p'}} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \\ & \leq C \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p(n+\beta-\alpha - \frac{an}{p'})} 2^{\mu p(\alpha - n - \beta + \frac{an}{p'})} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

By the above two estimates and the condition $p_0 < p < p'_0$ with $1 < p_0 = \frac{an}{\beta+n-\alpha} < 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\mu \geq \mu_n} \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\mu} \int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{\alpha, \alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq CN^\beta \|A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Combining (2.7)–(2.8), we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.2, we give some lemmas that will be very useful throughout this section.

LEMMA 3.1. ([2]) *Let b be a function on \mathbb{R}^n with $m(m \geq 2)$ -th order derivatives in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q > n$, then there exists a positive constant C independent of b , such that*

$$|R_m(b; x, y)| \leq C_{m,n} |x - y|^m \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}(x, y)|} \int_{\tilde{Q}(x, y)} |D^\gamma b(z)|^q dz \right)^{1/q},$$

where $\tilde{Q}(x, y)$ is the cube centered at x and having diameter $5\sqrt{n}|x - y|$.

LEMMA 3.2. ([11]) *Let $0 < \beta < 1$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} &\approx \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|^{1+\beta/n}} \int_Q |f(x) - m_Q(f)| dx \\ &\approx \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|^{\beta/n}} \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - m_Q(f)|^q dx \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.3. ([3]) *Letting $Q^* \subset Q$ and $g \in \dot{\Lambda}_\beta (0 < \beta < 1)$, we have*

$$|m_{Q^*}(g) - m_Q(g)| \leq C |Q|^{\beta/n} \|g\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the same argument as in the above section, it suffices to prove

$$\int_{[0, N]^n} \left| \int_{[0, N]^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^a}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A; x, y) f(y) dy \right|^p dx \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p \int_{[0, N]^n} |f(x)|^p dx, \tag{3.1}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha-m+1} \frac{e^{iN|x-y|^a}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A; Nx, Ny) f(Ny) dy \right|^p dx \\ &\leq CN^{\beta p+(m-1)p} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p \int_I |f(Ny)|^p dy \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for any fixed $N \geq 1$.

Now, we define

$$E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, D) = N^{n-\alpha-m+1} \int_D \frac{e^{iN|x-y|^a}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A; Nx, Ny) f(Ny) dy$$

and

$$S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(Nx) = N^{n-\alpha-m+1} \int_I \frac{e^{i|N(x-y)|^a}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A; Nx, Ny) f(Ny) dy.$$

Furthermore, we denote

$$E_\mu(x) = E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega_{\mu-1}^* \setminus \omega_\mu^* \cap I), \quad \mu \geq 0.$$

Then we have

$$S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(Nx) \leq \sum_{\mu=0}^{\mu_N} E_\mu(x) + \sum_{\mu=\mu_N+1}^{\infty} E_\mu(x).$$

When $\mu \leq \mu_N$, denote $\tilde{A}(Nz) = [A(Nz) - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{z^\gamma}{\gamma!} m_{J_x}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))] \phi(z)$, where J_x is a cube centered at x with its side length equals 4 and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, we assume that when $|x| < 10$, $\phi \equiv 1$ and when $|x| > 20$, $\phi \equiv 0$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{N,A}^{a,\alpha} f(x) &\leq CN^{n-\alpha-m+1} \int_I |R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N \cdot); x, y)| |f(Ny)| dy \\ &\quad + CN^{n-\alpha-m+1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \int_I |D^\gamma A(Nx) - m_{J_x}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))| |f(Ny)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 3.1–3.3, we know that for any $x \in F(I)$ and $y \in I$, there is

$$\begin{aligned} &|R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N \cdot); x, y)| \\ &\leq C|x-y|^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q(x, y)|} \int_{Q(x, y)} |D^\gamma A(Nz) - m_{Q(x, y)}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))|^q dz \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\quad + C|x-y|^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} |m_{Q(x, y)}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot)) - m_{J_x}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A(N \cdot)\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} = CN^\beta N^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

As $p > 1$, we may choose a positive real number r satisfying $1 < r < p$. Moreover, we denote $M_r(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{1/r}$. Then by the Hölder inequality and Lemmas 3.2–3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \int_I |D^\gamma A(Ny) - m_{J_x}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))| |f(Ny)| dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \left(\int_I |D^\gamma A(Ny) - m_{J_x}(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))|^{r'} dy \right)^{1/r'} \left(\int_I |f(Ny)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq CN^\beta N^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} M_r(f(N \cdot) \chi_I)(x), \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in F(I)$ and $y \in I$.

Combing the above two estimates, we may get

$$S_{N,A}^{\alpha,\alpha} f(Nx) \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} N^{n-\alpha+\beta} (M(f(N\cdot)\chi_I)(x) + M_r(f(N\cdot)\chi_I)(x)).$$

Then, by the boundedness of $M_r(f)(x)$ on $L^{\frac{p}{r}}$ ($p > r$) space, we obtain

$$\|S_{N,A}^{\alpha,\alpha} f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(F(I))} \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} N^{n-\alpha+\beta} \|f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}.$$

By a similar argument as in Section 2, we conclude that for the case $\mu \leq \mu_N$, there is

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{\mu_N} \|E_\mu\|_{L^p(I)} \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} N^{n-\alpha+\beta} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} \|f(N\cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, we will consider the case when $\mu \geq \mu_N$. First, we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{\alpha,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx \\ &= \int_{F(\omega)} \left| \int_{\omega} N^{n-\alpha-m+1} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^\alpha N^\alpha}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A(N\cdot); x, y) f(Ny) dy \right|^p dx \\ &= \int_{F(\omega)-\omega} \left| \int_{[0, 2^{-\mu}]^n} N^{n-\alpha-m+1} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^\alpha N^\alpha}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A(N\cdot); x+x_\omega, y+y_\omega) f(Ny+y_\omega) dy \right|^p dx \\ &= 2^{-\mu n} \int_{F(I)} \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha-m+1} 2^{\mu(\alpha+m-1)} 2^{-\mu n} \frac{e^{i2^{-\mu\alpha}|x-y|^\alpha N^\alpha}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times R_m(A(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x+x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y+y_\omega) f(2^{-\mu}Ny+y_\omega) dy \right|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\omega \in \Omega_\mu$ and any $x_0 \in 6I \setminus 5I$, there is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{\alpha,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx \\ & \leq C 2^{-\mu n} \int_{F(I)} \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha-m+1} 2^{\mu(\alpha+m-1)} 2^{-kn} \frac{e^{i|2^{-\mu}N(x-y)|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x+x_\omega, 2^{-k}y+x_\omega) f(2^{-k}Ny+x_\omega) dy \right|^p dx \\ & \quad + C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} 2^{-\mu n} \int_{F(I)} \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha-m+1} 2^{\mu\alpha} 2^{-\mu n} \frac{e^{i|2^{-\mu}N(x-y)|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} D^\gamma \tilde{A}(Ny) f(2^{-\mu}Ny+x_\omega) dy \right|^p dx \\ & \leq C 2^{-\mu n} \left| \int_{F(I)} N^{n-\alpha-m+1} 2^{\mu(\alpha+m-1-n)} \frac{e^{i|2^{-\mu}N(x-y)|^\alpha}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} [R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x+x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y+x_\omega) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x+x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}x_0+x_\omega)] f(2^{-\mu}Ny+x_\omega) dy \right|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ C2^{-\mu n} \int_{F(I)} |R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega)|^p \\
 &\times \left| \int_I N^{n-\alpha-m+1} 2^{\mu(\alpha+m-1-n)} \frac{e^{i|2^{-\mu}N(x-y)|^a}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega) dy \right|^p dx \\
 &+ C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} 2^{-\mu n} \int_{F(I)} \left| N^{n-\alpha-m+1} 2^{\mu\alpha-\mu n} \frac{e^{i|2^{-\mu}N(x-y)|^a}}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}} D^\gamma \tilde{A}(Ny) f(2^{-\mu}Ny + x_\omega) dy \right|^p dx \\
 &= C(I + II + III).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will estimate *I*, *II* and *III* respectively. Note that (see [2])

$$R_{m-1}(g; x, y) - R_{m-1}(g; \cdot, x_0) = \sum_{|\delta| < m-1} \frac{(x - x_0)^\delta}{\delta!} R_{m-1-|\delta|}(D^\delta g; x_0, y),$$

where δ is any n -tuple index with $|\delta| < m - 1$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|R_{m-1}(A(\tilde{N}\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y + x_\omega) - R_{m-1}(A(\tilde{N}\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega)| \\
 &= \sum_{|\delta| < m-1} \frac{(2^{-\mu}x - 2^{-\mu}x_0)^\delta}{\delta!} |R_{m-1-|\delta|}(D^\delta \tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y + x_\omega)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we denote $Q_{x_0, y}^{-\mu} = Q(2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y + x_\omega)$. Thus, using Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and the fact $|x_0 - y| \leq C$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|R_{m-1-|\delta|}(D^\delta \tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y + x_\omega)| \\
 &\leq 2^{-\mu(m-1-|\delta|)} |x_0 - y|^{m-1-|\delta|} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_{x_0, y}^{-\mu}|} \int_{Q_{x_0, y}^{-\mu}} |D^\gamma A(Nz) - m_\omega(D^\gamma A(N\cdot))|^q dz \right)^{1/q} \\
 &\leq C2^{-\mu(m-1-|\delta|)} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \left\{ \|D^\gamma A(N\cdot)\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} (2^{-\mu})^\beta + |m_{Q_{x_0, y}^{-\mu}}(D^\gamma A(N\cdot)) - m_\omega(D^\gamma A(N\cdot))| \right\} \\
 &\leq C2^{-\mu(m-1-|\delta|)} (2^{-\mu}N)^\beta N^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combing the above estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|R_{m-1}(A(\tilde{N}\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y + x_\omega) - R_{m-1}(A(\tilde{N}\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega)| \\
 &\leq C \sum_{|\delta| < m-1} 2^{-\mu|\delta|} 2^{-\mu(m-1-|\delta|)} (2^{-\mu}N)^\beta N^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $p \geq 2$ and an n -tuple index δ with $|\delta| < m - 1$, we may choose $\Psi(x, y)$ a smooth function approximating to $\frac{(x-x_0)^\delta}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}}$ on $F(I) \times I$. Then using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\leq C2^{-\mu n} (2^{-\mu}N)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{p}} 2^{\mu(\alpha+m-1-n)p} N^{(n-\alpha-m+1)p} \\
 &\times \left\| [R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}y + x_\omega) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N\cdot); 2^{-\mu}x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu}x_0 + x_\omega)] f(2^{-\mu}N\cdot + x_\omega) \right\|_{L^p(I)}^p
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{-na+p(n-\alpha-m+1)} \sum_{|\delta|<m-1} 2^{-\mu(m-1-2|\delta|)} \\
&\quad \times 2^{-\mu n} 2^{\mu(\alpha+m-1-n)} p 2^{\mu n a} N^{\beta p} 2^{-\mu \beta p} \|f(2^{-\mu} N \cdot + x_\omega)\|_{L^p(I)}^p \\
&\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{-na+p(n-\alpha-m+1+\beta)} N^{(m-1)p} 2^{\mu p(\alpha-n-\beta+\frac{m\alpha}{p})} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

For II , note the following fact

$$|R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}(N \cdot); 2^{-\mu} x + x_\omega, 2^{-\mu} x_0 + x_\omega)| \leq C 2^{-\mu(m-1)} N^\beta 2^{-\mu \beta} N^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}.$$

Thus, we can choose $\Psi(x, y)$ a smooth function approximating to $\frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}}$ on $F(I) \times I$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq C 2^{-\mu n} 2^{-\mu(m-1)p} N^{\beta p} 2^{-\mu \beta p} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p(n-\alpha-m+1)} \\
&\quad \times 2^{\mu p(\alpha+m-1-n)} (2^{-\mu} N)^{-\frac{m\alpha}{p} p} \|f(2^{-\mu} N \cdot + x_\omega)\|_{L^p(I)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p\beta+(n-\alpha-m+1)p-na} 2^{-\mu n} \|f(2^{-\mu} N \cdot + x_\omega)\|_{L^p(I)} 2^{-\mu(pn-na+\beta p-p\alpha)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p\beta+(n-\alpha-m+1)p-na} N^{(m-1)p} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}^p 2^{-\mu(pn-na+\beta p-p\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For III , as $\omega \in \Omega_\mu$ and by the definition of Ω_μ , there is

$$\begin{aligned}
|D^\gamma \tilde{A}(Ny)| &= |D^\gamma A(Ny) - m_\omega(D^\gamma A(N \cdot))| \\
&\leq C |\omega|^{\beta/n} \|D^\gamma A(N \cdot)\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} \leq C 2^{-k\beta} N^\beta N^{m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may choose $\Psi(x, y)$ a smooth function approximating to $\frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha+m-1}}$ on $F(I) \times I$ and we obtain from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
III &\leq C 2^{-\mu n} N^{p(n-\alpha-m+1)} 2^{p(\mu\alpha-\mu n)} 2^{-\mu \beta p} N^{\beta p} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p (2^{-\mu} N)^{-\frac{m\alpha}{p} p} \|f(2^{-\mu} N \cdot + x_\omega)\|_{L^p(I)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p(n-\alpha-m+1)+\beta p-na} N^{(m-1)p} 2^{\mu p(\alpha-n-\beta+\frac{m\alpha}{p})} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the case $1 < p \leq 2$, there is

$$\begin{aligned}
I + II + III &\leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta}^p N^{p(n+\beta-\alpha-m+1-\frac{m\alpha}{p})} N^{(m-1)p} \\
&\quad \times 2^{\mu p(\alpha-n-\beta+\frac{m\alpha}{p})} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

By the above estimates and the condition $p_0 < p < p'_0$ with $1 < p_0 = \frac{m\alpha}{\beta+n-\alpha} < 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\mu \geq \mu_n} \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\mu} \int_{F(\omega)} |E_A^{a,\alpha}(x, \omega)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq C N^\beta N^{m-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\dot{\Lambda}_\beta} \|f(N \cdot)\|_{L^p(I)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Combining (3.3)–(3.4), we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitudes to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. CARLESON AND P. SJÖLIN, *Oscillatory integrals and a multiplier problem for the disc*, *Studia Math.* **44**, (1972), 287–299.
- [2] J. COHEN AND J. GOSSELIN, *A BMO estimate for multilinear singular integrals*, *Illinois J. Math.* **30**, (1986), 445–464.
- [3] R. A. DEVORE AND R. C. SHARPLEY, *Maximal functions measuring smoothness*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47**, (1984).
- [4] S. JANSON, *Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators*, *Ark. Mat.* **16**, (1978), 263–270.
- [5] J. F. LI, *The boundedness of some operators and their commutator*, PhD Thesis. Beijing: Beijing Normal University, (2005).
- [6] J. F. LI, *The estimates for sharp maximal functions of Multilinear Strongly Singular Integrals*, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **21**, (2005), 1495–1508.
- [7] J. F. LI AND S. Z. LU, *Applications of the Scale Changing Method to Boundedness of Certain Commutators*, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Sci.* **1**, (2004), 1–12.
- [8] J. F. LI AND S. Z. LU, *L^p estimates of multilinear operators on strongly singular integral operators*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **181**, (2006), 41–62.
- [9] S. Z. LU, H. X. WU AND P. ZHANG, *Multilinear Singular Integrals with Rough Kernel*, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **19**, (2003), 51–62.
- [10] S. Z. LU AND D. Y. YAN, *L^p -boundedness of multilinear oscillatory singular integrals with Calderón-Zygmund kernel*, *Sci. in China, Ser. A*, **45**, (2002), 196–213.
- [11] M. PALUSZŹYŃSKI, *Characterization of the Besov spaces via the commutator operator of Coifman*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **44**, (1995), 1–17.
- [12] P. SJÖLIN, *Convolution with Oscillating Kernels*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **30**, (1981), 47–55.
- [13] P. SJÖLIN, *Convolution with Oscillating Kernels on H^p spaces*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, **23**, (1981), 442–454.
- [14] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press. N. J. 1993.
- [15] X. X. TAO AND Y. P. WU, *Boundedness for the multi-commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators*, *J. Math. Inequal.* **6**, (2012), 655–672.
- [16] C. WANG AND Z. F. ZHANG, *A new proof of Wu's theorem on vortex sheets*, *Sci. China Math.* **55**, (2012), 1449–1462.
- [17] S. J. WU, *Mathematical analysis of vortex sheets*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **59**, (2006), 1065–1206.
- [18] D. Y. YAN, *Some problems on multilinear singular integral operators and multilinear oscillatory singular integral operators*, PhD Thesis. Beijing: Beijing Normal University, 2001.
- [19] X. YU AND X. X. TAO, *Boundedness for a class of generalized commutators on λ -central Morrey space*, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **29**, (2013), 1917–1926.

(Received September 17, 2015)

Xiao Yu
Department of Mathematics, Shangrao Normal University
P. R. China, 334001
e-mail: yx2000s@163.com

Shanzhen Lu
School of Mathematical Science, Beijing Normal University
P. R. China, 100875
e-mail: luzs@bnu.edu.cn