

## COMMUTATORS OF GENERALIZED CALDERÓN–ZYGMUND OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED HERZ–TYPE HARDY SPACES

YAN LIN AND GUOMING ZHANG

(Communicated by A. Meskhi)

*Abstract.* In this paper, the authors establish the boundedness of commutators generated by the generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators and weighted BMO functions or weighted Lipschitz functions on weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces.

### 1. Introduction

The research of the generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator is motivated by the classical Calderón-Zygmund operator, whose theory is one of the greatest results of classical analysis in the last century.

Suppose that  $T$  is a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator, whose accurate definition will be given later, and  $b$  is a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The commutator  $[b, T]$  generated by  $b$  and  $T$  is defined as following:

$$[b, T](f)(x) = b(x)Tf(x) - T(bf)(x).$$

In 1995, Pérez [17] studied the boundedness of the commutators generated by classical Calderón-Zygmund operators and BMO functions from Hardy type spaces to Lebesgue spaces. Lu, Wu and Yang in [11] established the boundedness of the commutators generated by classical Calderón-Zygmund operators and Lipschitz functions from Hardy spaces to Lebesgue spaces. Since Herz spaces and Herz-type Hardy spaces cover, respectively, the Lebesgue spaces and the Hardy spaces and their weighted versions with power weights, it is a natural idea to generalize the above results to the corresponding boundedness from Herz-type Hardy spaces to Herz spaces. The boundedness of the commutators generated by classical Calderón-Zygmund operators and BMO functions or Lipschitz functions from Herz-type Hardy spaces to Herz spaces was established in [14, 11], respectively.

The authors in [1] discussed the boundedness of generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces and weighted Hardy spaces. In 2011, Lin [6] proved the boundedness of  $[b, T]$  on Morrey spaces when  $b$  is a BMO function or a

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 42B20, 42B35.

*Keywords and phrases:* Generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator, weighted BMO function, weighted Lipschitz function, commutator.

Lipschitz function respectively. Lin and Sun [8] showed the boundedness of the generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator and its commutators with weighted BMO functions on weighted Morrey spaces. In 2015, Lin, Liu and Cong [7] obtained the boundedness of commutators generated by the generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators and weighted Lipschitz functions on weighted Morrey spaces. Liu and Li [10] established the boundedness of the commutators generated by generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators and BMO functions or Lipschitz functions from Herz-type Hardy spaces to Herz spaces, respectively.

Inspired by the above results, with further development, it is naturally interesting to consider the boundedness of commutators generated by the generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator and a weighted BMO function or a weighted Lipschitz function from weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces to weighted Herz spaces in this paper.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $S$  be the space of all Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S'$  its dual space, the class of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $T : S \rightarrow S'$  is a linear operator with  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined initially by

$$T(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)f(y)dy, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad x \notin \text{supp}f.$$

The operator  $T$  is called a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator provided the following three conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $T$  can be extended into a continuous operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (2)  $K$  is smooth away from the diagonal  $\{(x, y) : x = y\}$  with

$$\int_{|x-y|>2|z-y|} (|K(x, y) - K(x, z)| + |K(y, x) - K(z, x)|)dx \leq C,$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $y$  and  $z$ .

- (3) There is a sequence of positive constant numbers  $\{C_j\}$  such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left( \int_{2^j|z-y| \leq |x-y| < 2^{j+1}|z-y|} |K(x, y) - K(x, z)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \leq C_j (2^j|z-y|)^{-\frac{n}{q_0}},$$

and

$$\left( \int_{2^j|y-z| \leq |y-x| < 2^{j+1}|y-z|} |K(y, x) - K(z, x)|^{q'_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'_0}} \leq C_j (2^j|z-y|)^{-\frac{n}{q'_0}},$$

where  $(q_0, q'_0)$  is a fixed pair of positive numbers with  $1/q_0 + 1/q'_0 = 1$  and  $1 < q'_0 < 2$ .

Comparing the generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator with the classical Calderón-Zygmund operator, whose kernel  $K(x, y)$  enjoys the conditions:

$$|K(x, y)| \leq C|x-y|^{-n}$$

and

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, z)| + |K(y, x) - K(z, x)| \leq C|x-y|^{-n} \left( \frac{|z-y|}{|x-y|} \right)^\delta,$$

where  $|x - y| > 2|z - y|$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , we can find out that the classical Calderón-Zygmund operator is actually a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator defined as in Definition 1 with  $C_j = 2^{-j\delta}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and any  $1 < q_0 < \infty$ .

DEFINITION 2. ([15]) A non-negative measurable function  $\omega$  is said to be in the Muckenhoupt class  $A_p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$  if for every cube  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $Q$  such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

where  $Q$  denotes a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the side parallel to the coordinate axes and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . When  $p = 1$ , a non-negative measurable function  $\omega$  is said to belong to  $A_1$ , if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any cube  $Q$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(y) dy \leq C\omega(x), \text{ a.e. } x \in Q.$$

It is well known that if  $\omega \in A_p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $\omega \in A_r$  for all  $r > p$ , and  $\omega \in A_q$  for some  $1 < q < p$ . In particular,  $A_\infty = \cup_{1 \leq p < \infty} A_p$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 2^k\}$ ,  $E_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$  and  $\chi_k$  denote the characteristic function of the set  $E_k$ . For any given weight function  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , we denote by  $L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of all functions  $f$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

DEFINITION 3. ([12]) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two weight functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The homogeneous weighted Herz space  $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is defined by

$$\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \left\{ f \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \omega_2) : \|f\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_1(B_k)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^q(\omega_2)}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

DEFINITION 4. ([13]) Let  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two weight functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $G(f)$  is the grand maximal function of  $f$  defined by

$$Gf(x) = \sup_{\varphi \in A_N} \sup_{|x-y| < t} |f * \varphi_t(y)|,$$

where  $A_N = \{\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq N} |x^\alpha D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq 1\}$  and  $N > n + 1$ .

The homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy space  $H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is defined by

$$H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \left\{ f \in S' : G(f) \in \dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right\},$$

and we define  $\|f\|_{H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2)} = \|G(f)\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\omega_1, \omega_2)}$ .

DEFINITION 5. ([13]) Let  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $n(1 - 1/q) \leq \alpha < \infty$  and  $s \geq [\alpha + n(1/q - 1)]$ .

- (i) A function  $a(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a central  $(\alpha, q, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ -atom if it satisfies
  - (a)  $\text{supp } a \subset B(0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}, r > 0$ ;
  - (b)  $\|a\|_{L^q(\omega_2)} \leq (\omega_1(B(0, r)))^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$ ;
  - (c)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)x^\beta dx = 0$  for every multi-index  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq s$ .

Here and in what follows, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $[t]$  is the largest integer no more than  $t$ .

(ii) Let  $b(x)$  be a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $a(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a central  $(\alpha, q, b, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ -atom if it satisfies

- (d)  $a$  is a  $(\alpha, q, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ -atom;
- (e)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)b(x)dx = 0$ .

DEFINITION 6. Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $n(1 - 1/q) \leq \alpha < \infty$  and  $b$  be a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define

$$HK_{q,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \left\{ f : f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_j a_j \text{ in } S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty \right\},$$

where each  $a_j$  is a central  $(\alpha, q, b, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ -atom supported on  $B_j = B(0, 2^j)$ . Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{HK_{q,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega_1, \omega_2)} \sim \inf \left\{ \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\}.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all decompositions of  $f$  as above.

DEFINITION 7. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega$  be a weighted function. A locally integrable function  $b$  is said to be in the weighted  $BMO$  space  $BMO_p(\omega)$  if

$$\|b\|_{BMO_p(\omega)} = \sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q|^p \omega(x)^{1-p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where  $b_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q b(y)dy$ ,  $\omega(Q) = \int_Q \omega(y)dy$  and the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, we denote simply by  $BMO(\omega)$  when  $p = 1$ .

DEFINITION 8. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\omega$  be a weighted function. A locally integrable function  $b$  is said to be in the weighted Lipschitz space  $Lip_\beta^p(\omega)$  if

$$\|b\|_{Lip_\beta^p(\omega)} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{\omega(Q)^{\frac{\beta}{n}}} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q|^p \omega(x)^{1-p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where  $b_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q b(y)dy$ ,  $\omega(Q) = \int_Q \omega(y)dy$  and the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, we denote simply by  $Lip_\beta(\omega)$  when  $p = 1$ .

DEFINITION 9. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M$  is defined by

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

We set  $M_s(f) = M(|f|^s)^{\frac{1}{s}}$ , where  $0 < s < \infty$ .

The sharp maximal operator  $M^\sharp$  is defined by

$$M^\sharp(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy \sim \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - a| dy.$$

We define the  $t$ -sharp maximal operator  $M_t^\sharp(f) = M^\sharp(|f|^t)^{\frac{1}{t}}$ , where  $0 < t < 1$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a weight. The weighted maximal operator  $M_\omega$  is defined by

$$M_\omega(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.$$

We also set  $M_{s,\omega}(f) = M_\omega(|f|^s)^{\frac{1}{s}}$ , where  $0 < s < \infty$ .

DEFINITION 10. ([3]) A weighted function  $\omega$  belongs to the reverse Hölder class  $RH_r$  if there exists two constants  $r > 1$  and  $C > 0$  such that the following reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \right)$$

holds for every cube  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote  $r_\omega = \sup\{r > 1 : \omega \in RH_r\}$ .

DEFINITION 11. For  $0 < \alpha < n, 1 \leq l < \infty$ , the fractional maximal operator  $M_{\alpha,l}$  is defined by

$$M_{\alpha,l}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha l}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|^l dy \right)^{\frac{1}{l}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q$  containing  $x$ .

DEFINITION 12. For  $0 < \beta < n, 1 \leq r < \infty$  and a weight  $\omega$ , the weighted fractional maximal operator  $M_{\beta,r,\omega}$  is defined by

$$M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(Q)^{1-\frac{\beta r}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|^r \omega(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q$  containing  $x$ .

It follows from [18] that  $M_{\beta,r,\omega}$  is bounded from  $L^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\omega)$ , where  $0 < \beta < n, 1 < r < p < n/\beta, 1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$  and  $\omega \in A_1$ .

### 2. Main results

Firstly, we establish the boundedness of commutators of generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{jC_j\} \in l^1$ . Suppose  $q'_0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in A_1$ , and  $r_\omega > \frac{(p-1)q'_0}{p-q'_0}$ . If  $b \in BMO(\omega)$ , then  $[b, T]$  is bounded from  $L^p(\omega)$  to  $L^p(\omega^{1-p})$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{jC_j\} \in l^1$ . Suppose  $0 < \beta < \min\{1, n/q'_0\}$ ,  $q'_0 < p < n/\beta$ ,  $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$ ,  $\omega^{q/p} \in A_1$  and  $r_\omega > \frac{(p-1)q'_0}{p-q'_0}$ . If  $b \in Lip_\beta(\omega)$ , then  $[b, T]$  is bounded from  $L^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\omega^{1-q})$ .*

Secondly, we can also obtain the boundedness of the commutators on weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1. Suppose  $q'_0 < q < q_0$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $n(1 - 1/q) \leq \alpha < \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon > \alpha + n/q$ ,  $\omega \in A_1$ ,  $r_\omega > \max\left\{\frac{(q-1)q'_0}{q-q'_0}, \frac{q_0}{q_0-q}\right\}$  and the sequence  $\{C_j 2^{j\varepsilon}\} \in l^1$ . If  $b \in BMO(\omega)$ , then  $[b, T]$  is continuous from  $HK_{q,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega)$  to  $\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q})$ .*

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1. Suppose  $0 < \beta < \min\{n(1 - 2/q_0), 1\}$ ,  $q'_0 < q_1 < \frac{1}{1/q_0 + \beta/n}$ ,  $1/q_2 = 1/q_1 - \beta/n$ ,  $\omega^{q_2/q_1} \in A_1$ ,  $r_\omega > \max\left\{\frac{(q_1-1)q'_0}{q_1-q'_0}, \frac{q_0}{q_0-q_2}\right\}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $n(1 - 1/q_1) \leq \alpha < \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon > \alpha + n/q_1$  and the sequence  $\{C_j 2^{j\varepsilon}\} \in l^1$ . If  $b \in Lip_\beta(\omega)$ , then  $[b, T]$  is continuous from  $HK_{q_1,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega)$  to  $\dot{K}_{q_2}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q_2})$ .*

**REMARK 1.** The corresponding results of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 on non-homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces can also be obtained. we omit the details since their similarity.

### 3. Preliminaries

Before giving the proof of our main results, we need some lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** ([13]) *Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $n(1 - 1/q) \leq \alpha < \infty$  and  $s \geq [\alpha + n(1/q - 1)]$ . Then  $f \in HK_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  if and only if  $f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_j a_j(x)$  in the sense of  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$  with each  $a_j$  a central  $(\alpha, q, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ -atom supported on  $B_j = B(0, 2^j)$ . Moreover,*

$$\|f\|_{HK_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega_1, \omega_2)} \sim \inf \left\{ \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of  $f$ .

REMARK 2. By Definition 6 and Lemma 1, it is easy to see  $H\dot{K}_{q,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \subset H\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ .

LEMMA 2. ([16, 2]) *Let  $\omega \in A_1$ . Then for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists an absolute constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|b\|_{BMO_p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}$ .*

LEMMA 3. ([16, 2]) *Let  $\omega \in A_1$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Then for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists an absolute constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|b\|_{Lip_\beta^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}$ .*

LEMMA 4. ([4]) *Let  $\omega \in A_1$ , then there are constants  $C_1, C_2$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$  depending only on  $A_1$ -constant of  $\omega$ , such that for any measurable subset  $E$  of a ball  $B$ ,*

$$C_1 \frac{|E|}{|B|} \leq \frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(B)} \leq C_2 \left( \frac{|E|}{|B|} \right)^\eta.$$

LEMMA 5. ([9]) *If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_\infty$ , then for any  $1 < s < p < \infty$ ,*

$$\|M_{s,\omega}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

LEMMA 6. ([8]) *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{C_j\} \in l^1$ . If  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $q'_0 \leq s < \infty$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$M_\delta^\sharp(Tf)(x) \leq CM_s(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for every bounded and compactly supported function  $f$ .

LEMMA 7. *If  $1 < r < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/r}$ , then,*

$$\|M_r(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

*Proof.* Because of the  $(L^{p/r}(\omega), L^{p/r}(\omega))$  bandedness of  $M$ , we have

$$\|M_r(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} = \|M(|f|^r)\|_{L^{p/r}(\omega)}^{1/r} \leq C\| |f|^r \|_{L^{p/r}(\omega)}^{1/r} = C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 8. *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{C_j\} \in l^1$ . Suppose  $q'_0 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/q'_0}$ , then we have*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $q'_0 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/q'_0}$ , there exists an  $l$  such that  $1 \leq l < p/q'_0$  and  $\omega \in A_l$ . So  $q'_0 < p/l \leq p$ , and there exists an  $s$  such that  $q'_0 < s < p/l \leq p$ . By Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we can get

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq \|M_\delta(Tf)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|M_\delta^\sharp(Tf)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|M_s(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 9. ([5]) *If  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $1 < l < p < n/\alpha$ ,  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$  and  $\omega \in A_1$ , then there is a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $f$ , such that*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_{\alpha,l}f(x))^q \omega(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

LEMMA 10. ([8]) *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{jC_j\} \in l^1$ . Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\omega \in A_1 \cap RH_r$  with  $r > q'_0$  and  $b \in BMO(\omega)$ , then for all  $s > \frac{(r-1)q'_0}{r-q'_0}$  and a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have*

$$M_\delta^\sharp([b, T]f)(x) \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}(\omega(x)M_{s,\omega}(Tf)(x) + \omega(x)M_{s,\omega}(f)(x) + \omega(x)M_s(f)(x)).$$

LEMMA 11. ([7]) *Let  $T$  be a generalized Calderón-Zygmund operator,  $q'_0$  be the same as in Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{jC_j\} \in l^1$ . Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\omega \in A_1$ ,  $r_\omega > q'_0$  and  $b \in Lip_\beta(\omega)$ , then for all  $s > \frac{(r_\omega-1)q'_0}{r_\omega-q'_0}$  and a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have*

$$M_\delta^\sharp([b, T]f)(x) \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}(\omega(x)M_{\beta,s,\omega}(Tf)(x) + \omega(x)M_{\beta,s,\omega}(f)(x) + \omega(x)^{1+\frac{\beta}{n}}M_{\beta,s}(f)(x)).$$

### 4. Proof of main results

Now we are able to prove our main results.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since  $r_\omega > \frac{(p-1)q'_0}{p-q'_0}$ , there exists a  $r$  such that  $r > \frac{(p-1)q'_0}{p-q'_0}$  and  $\omega \in RH_r$ . It follows from  $r > \frac{(p-1)q'_0}{p-q'_0}$  that  $p > \frac{(r-1)q'_0}{r-q'_0}$ . Then we can choose an  $s$  such that  $p > s > \frac{(r-1)q'_0}{r-q'_0}$ . Since  $\omega \in A_1$ , then  $\omega^{1-p} \in A_p$ . Applying Lemma 10, Lemma 7, Lemma 5 and Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [b, T](f) \|_{L^p(\omega^{1-p})} \\ & \leq \| M_\delta([b, T]f) \|_{L^p(\omega^{1-p})} \\ & \leq C \| M_\delta^\sharp([b, T]f) \|_{L^p(\omega^{1-p})} \\ & \leq C \| b \|_{BMO(\omega)} \| \omega M_{s,\omega}(Tf) + \omega M_{s,\omega}(f) + \omega M_s(f) \|_{L^p(\omega^{1-p})} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}(\|M_{s,\omega}(Tf)\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \|M_{s,\omega}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \|M_s(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)}) \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}(\|Tf\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}) \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* It follows from  $r_\omega > \frac{(p-1)q'_0}{p-q'_0}$  that  $p > \frac{(r_\omega-1)q'_0}{r_\omega-q'_0}$ . Thus there exists a  $r$  such that  $p > r > \frac{(r_\omega-1)q'_0}{r_\omega-q'_0}$ . Since  $\omega^{q/p} \in A_1$ , then  $\omega \in A_1$  and  $\omega^{1-q} \in A_q$ . By Lemma 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|[b, T](f)\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \\ &\leq C\|M_\delta^\sharp([b, T]f)\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}\|\omega M_{\beta,r,\omega}(Tf) + \omega M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f) + \omega^{1+\frac{\beta}{n}}M_{\beta,r}(f)\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}(\|M_{\beta,r,\omega}(Tf)\|_{L^q(\omega)} + \|M_{\beta,r,\omega}(f)\|_{L^q(\omega)} + \|M_{\beta,r}(f)\|_{L^q(\omega^{\frac{q}{p}})}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M_{\beta,r,\omega}$  is bounded from  $L^p(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\omega)$ , then by Lemma 9 and Lemma 8, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|[b, T](f)\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}(\|Tf\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}) \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* For  $f \in HK_{q,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega)$ , we can write  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k a_k$  with each  $a_k$  a  $(\alpha, q, b, \omega, \omega)$ -atom supported on  $B_k = B(0, 2^k)$  and  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p < \infty$ . Then,  $\|a_k\|_{L^q(\omega)} \leq \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_k(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_k(x) b(x) dx = 0$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} &\|[b, T](f)\|_{K_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q})}^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} \lambda_k [b, T](a_k) \chi_j \right\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})}^p \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k [b, T](a_k) \chi_j \right\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})}^p \\ &:= V_1 + V_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate  $V_2$  first. Since  $\varepsilon > \alpha + n/q$ ,  $\alpha \geq n(1 - 1/q)$  and the sequence  $\{C_j 2^{j\varepsilon}\} \in l^1$ , then  $2^{j\varepsilon} \geq 2^{jn} \geq j$  and the sequence  $\{jC_j\} \in l^1$ . It follows from Theorem 1 that  $[b, T]$  is a continuous operator from  $L^q(\omega)$  to  $L^q(\omega^{1-q})$ .

By Lemma 4, we have  $\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C2^{(j-k)n\eta}$  for  $k \geq j - 1$ . It follows from Theorem 1 and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &\leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k| \| [b, T](a_k) \|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \right)^p \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k| \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \right)^p \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k| 2^{(j-k)\alpha\eta} \right)^p \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k|^p 2^{(j-k)\alpha\eta p} \right), & \text{if } 0 < p \leq 1 \\ C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k|^p 2^{(j-k)\alpha\eta} \right) \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} 2^{(j-k)\alpha\eta} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, & \text{if } p > 1 \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k+1} 2^{(j-k)\alpha\eta p}, & \text{if } 0 < p \leq 1 \\ C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k+1} 2^{(j-k)\alpha\eta}, & \text{if } p > 1 \end{cases} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate  $V_1$ . Observe that

$$[b, T](a_k)(x) = (b(x) - b_{B_j})T a_k(x) + T((b_{B_j} - b)a_k)(x),$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[b, T](a_k)\chi_j\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} &\leq \|(b - b_{B_j})T(a_k)\chi_j\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} + \|T((b_{B_j} - b)a_k)\chi_j\|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \\ &:= G_1 + G_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the cancellation condition of  $a_k$  and the Minkowski inequality, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \left( \int_{E_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^q \left| \int_{B_k} (K(x, y) - K(x, 0))a_k(y) dy \right|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^q |K(x, y) - K(x, 0)|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r_\omega > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q}$ , there exists an  $s$  such that  $\omega \in RH_s$  and  $s > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q}$ . Let  $r = (s - 1)\frac{q_0}{q}$ , then we can get  $s = 1 + \frac{r q}{q_0} > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q}$ . Furthermore, we have  $r > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q} = (\frac{q_0}{q})'$ . Since  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$ , there exists an  $l > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{l} = 1$ . It follows from  $r(1 - \frac{1}{l}) = \frac{r q}{q_0} + 1 = s$  that  $\omega \in RH_s = RH_{r(1 - \frac{1}{l})}$ .

Thus, by Hölder’s inequality for the three numbers  $\frac{q_0}{q}, l$  and  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &\leq \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^q \omega(x)^{\frac{1}{l}-q} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^q \omega(x)^{1-\frac{1}{l}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \left( \int_{E_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^{lq} \omega(x)^{1-lq} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{lq}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{E_j} \omega(x)^{r(1-\frac{1}{l})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

When  $x \in E_j, y \in B_k$  and  $k \leq j - 2$ , we have  $|x| \geq 2^{j-1} \geq 2^{k+1} \geq 2|y|$ . By the condition (3) of Definition 1 and the sequence  $\{C_j 2^{j\varepsilon}\} \in l^1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} |x|^{\frac{nq_0}{q_0} + q_0\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{2^i|y| \leq |x| < 2^{i+1}|y|} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} |x|^{\frac{nq_0}{q_0} + q_0\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (2^{i+1}|y|)^{\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon} \left( \int_{2^i|y| \leq |x| < 2^{i+1}|y|} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (2^{i+1}|y|)^{\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon} C_i (2^i|y|)^{-\frac{n}{q_0}} \\ &\leq C|y|^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\leq C 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon)} \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} |x|^{\frac{nq_0}{q_0} + q_0\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\leq C 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon)} |y|^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Using the definition of the weighted *BMO* function and Lemma 2, we can easily get

$$\left( \int_{B_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^{lq} \omega(x)^{1-lq} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{lq}} \leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1}{lq}}. \tag{2}$$

Since  $\omega \in RH_{r(1-\frac{1}{l})}$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{r(1-\frac{1}{l})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r(1-\frac{1}{l})}} \leq C \frac{\omega(B_j)}{|B_j|}.$$

Then,

$$\left(\int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{r(1-\frac{1}{r})} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1-\frac{1}{r}}{q}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1-\frac{1}{r}}{q}}. \tag{3}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| dy &\leq C \left(\int_{B_k} |a_k(y)|^q \omega(y) dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{B_k} \omega(y)^{-\frac{q'}{q}} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq C |B_k| \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By (1)–(4) and  $\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C 2^{(j-k)n}$  for  $k \leq j - 2$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon)} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon) + k\varepsilon} |B_k| \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1}{q}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon) + k\varepsilon + kn + jn(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r})} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left(\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon) + k\varepsilon + kn + jn(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}) + (j-k)\frac{n}{q}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \\ &= C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{(k-j)(\varepsilon + \frac{n}{q})} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Next we estimate  $G_2$ .

By the cancellation condition of  $a_k$  and the Minkowski inequality, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= \left(\int_{E_j} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x,y) - K(x,0))(b_{B_j} - b(y)) a_k(y) dy \right|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{E_j} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x,y) - K(x,0))(b_{B_j} - b_{B_k}) a_k(y) dy \right|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{E_j} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x,y) - K(x,0))(b_{B_k} - b(y)) a_k(y) dy \right|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &:= G_{21} + G_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(\frac{q_0}{q})' = \frac{q_0}{q_0-q}$  and  $(1-q)\frac{q_0}{q_0-q} = 1 - \frac{q(q_0-1)}{q_0-q}$ . Let  $u' = \frac{q(q_0-1)}{q_0-q}$ . The fact  $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u'} = 1$  implies  $u = \frac{q(q_0-1)}{q_0(q-1)}$ . It follows from  $\omega \in A_1$  that  $\omega \in A_u$ . Thus,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} \omega(x) dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{1-u'} dx\right)^{u-1} \leq C.$$

Note that  $u - 1 = \frac{q_0 - q}{q_0(q-1)}$  and

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{1-u'} dx \right)^{u-1} \leq C \frac{|B_j|}{\omega(B_j)}.$$

We have

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{(1-q)(\frac{q_0}{q})'} dx \right)^{\frac{q_0 - q}{q_0(q-1)}} \leq C \frac{|B_j|}{\omega(B_j)}.$$

Then,

$$\left( \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{(1-q)(\frac{q_0}{q})'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q(\frac{q_0}{q})'}} \leq C |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \omega(B_j)^{-(1-\frac{1}{q})}. \tag{6}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{B_{i+1}} - b_{B_i}| &\leq \frac{1}{|B_i|} \left( \int_{B_i} |b(y) - b_{B_{i+1}}|^q \omega(y)^{1-q} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{B_i} \omega(y)^{-\frac{(1-q)q'}{q}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} \frac{\omega(B_{i+1})}{|B_i|}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{B_j} - b_{B_k}| &\leq \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} |b_{B_{i+1}} - b_{B_i}| \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} (j-k) \omega(B_j) 2^{(j-k)n} \frac{1}{|B_j|}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The fact  $y \in B_k$  implies  $|y| \leq 2^k$ . By the estimate of (4), we have

$$\int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \leq C 2^{k\varepsilon} |B_k| \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \tag{8}$$

By (1), (6)–(8) and  $\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C 2^{(j-k)n}$  for  $k \leq j - 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{21} &\leq |b_{B_j} - b_{B_k}| \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dy \\ &\leq |b_{B_j} - b_{B_k}| \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{E_j} \omega(x)^{(1-q)(\frac{q_0}{q})'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q(\frac{q_0}{q})'}} dy \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} (j-k) \omega(B_j) 2^{(j-k)n} \frac{1}{|B_j|} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon)} \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q'}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} (j-k) 2^{(k-j)\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} (j-k) 2^{(j-k)(\frac{n}{q}-\varepsilon)} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Using  $\frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_j)} \leq C 2^{(k-j)n\eta}$  for  $k \leq j-2$ , (1), (6) and the Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{22} &\leq \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}| |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^q \omega(x)^{1-q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}| |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{E_j} \omega(x)^{(1-q)(\frac{q_0}{q})'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q(\frac{q_0}{q})'}} dy \\ &\leq C \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q'}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0}+\varepsilon)} \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}| |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \\ &\leq C \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q'}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} 2^{(k-j)\varepsilon} 2^{-j\frac{n}{q_0}} \left( \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}|^{q'} \omega(y)^{-\frac{q'}{q}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)|^q \omega(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q'}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} 2^{(k-j)\varepsilon} 2^{-j\frac{n}{q_0}} \left( \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}|^{q'} \omega(y)^{-\frac{q'}{q}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{(k-j)\varepsilon} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left(\frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_j)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{(k-j)(\varepsilon+\frac{n\eta}{q'})} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Finally, by (5), (9) and (10), we have for  $k \leq j-2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| [b, T](a_k) \chi_j \|_{L^q(\omega^{1-q})} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} 2^{(k-j)\varepsilon} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left( 2^{(k-j)\frac{n}{q'}} + (j-k) 2^{(j-k)\frac{n}{q'}} + 2^{(k-j)\frac{n\eta}{q'}} \right) \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} (j-k) 2^{(j-k)(\frac{n}{q}-\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the following estimate,

$$V_1 \leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} |\lambda_k| (j-k) 2^{(j-k)(\frac{n}{q}-\varepsilon)} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \right)^p.$$

It follows from  $\alpha + \frac{n}{q} - \varepsilon < 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_1 &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} |\lambda_k| (j-k) 2^{(j-k)(\alpha-\varepsilon+\frac{n}{q})} \right)^p \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} |\lambda_k|^p (j-k)^{2(j-k)(\alpha-\varepsilon+\frac{n}{q})p}, & \text{if } 0 < p \leq 1 \\ C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} |\lambda_k|^p (j-k)^{2(j-k)(\alpha-\varepsilon+\frac{n}{q})} \right) \\ \quad \times \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} 2^{(j-k)(\alpha-\varepsilon+\frac{n}{q})} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, & \text{if } p > 1 \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \sum_{j=k+2}^{+\infty} (j-k)^{2(j-k)(\alpha-\varepsilon+\frac{n}{q})p}, & \text{if } 0 < p \leq 1 \\ C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \sum_{j=k+2}^{+\infty} (j-k)^{2(j-k)(\alpha-\varepsilon+\frac{n}{q})}, & \text{if } p > 1 \end{cases} \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , we have

$$\| [b, T](f) \|_{\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q})}^p \leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)}^p \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \right).$$

By taking infimum over all decompositions of  $f$ , we get

$$\| [b, T](f) \|_{\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q})} \leq C \|b\|_{BMO(\omega)} \|f\|_{HK_{q,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* For  $f \in HK_{q_1,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega)$ , we can write  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k a_k$  with each  $a_k$  a  $(\alpha, q_1, b, \omega, \omega)$ -atom supported on  $B_k = B(0, 2^k)$  and  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p < \infty$ . Then,  $\|a_k\|_{L^{q_1}(\omega)} \leq \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_k(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_k(x) b(x) dx = 0$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\| [b, T](f) \|_{\dot{K}_{q_2}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q_2})}^p \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2} \lambda_k [b, T](a_k) \chi_j \right\|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})}^p \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k [b, T](a_k) \chi_j \right\|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})}^p \\
 &:= H_1 + H_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate  $H_2$  firstly. Since  $\varepsilon > \alpha + n/q_1$ ,  $\alpha \geq n(1 - 1/q_1)$  and the sequence  $\{C_j 2^{j\varepsilon}\} \in l^1$ , then  $2^{j\varepsilon} \geq 2^{jn} \geq j$  and the sequence  $\{jC_j\} \in l^1$ . It follows from Theorem 2 that  $[b, T]$  is a continuous operator from  $L^{q_1}(\omega)$  to  $L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})$ .

By Lemma 4, we have  $\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C 2^{(j-k)n\eta}$  for  $k \geq j - 1$ . As the estimate of  $V_2$  in the proof of Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &\leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k| \| [b, T](a_k) \|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})} \right)^p \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}^p \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}} \left( \sum_{k=j-1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_k| \|a_k\|_{L^{q_1}(\omega)} \right)^p \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}^p \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate  $H_1$ . Observe that

$$[b, T](a_k)(x) = (b(x) - b_{B_j})T a_k(x) + T((b_{B_j} - b)a_k)(x),$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\| [b, T](a_k) \chi_j \|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})}(x) \\ &\leq \| (b - b_{B_j})T(a_k) \chi_j \|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})} + \| T((b_{B_j} - b)a_k) \chi_j \|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})} \\ &:= F_1 + F_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the cancellation condition of  $a_k$  and the Minkowski inequality, similar to estimate  $G_1$ , we obtain that

$$F_1 \leq \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^{q_2} |K(x, y) - K(x, 0)|^{q_2} \omega(x)^{1-q_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} dy.$$

The fact  $q_1 < \frac{1}{\frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{\beta}{n}}$  and  $\frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{\beta}{n}$  implies  $q_2 < q_0$ . Since  $r\omega > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q_2}$ , there exists an  $s$  such that  $\omega \in RH_s$  and  $s > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q_2}$ . Let  $r = (s - 1)\frac{q_0}{q_2}$ , then we can get  $s = 1 + \frac{rq_2}{q_0} > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q_2}$ . Furthermore, we have  $r > \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q_2} = (\frac{q_0}{q_2})'$ . Since  $\frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$ , there exists an  $l > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{l} = 1$ . It follows from  $r(1 - \frac{1}{l}) = \frac{rq_2}{q_0} + 1 = s$  that  $\omega \in RH_s = RH_{r(1 - \frac{1}{l})}$ .

By Hölder's inequality for the three numbers  $\frac{q_0}{q_2}, l$  and  $r$ , it is easy to estimate  $F_1$  similarly to  $G_1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\leq \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x, y) - K(x, 0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \left( \int_{E_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^{lq_2} \omega(x)^{1-lq_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{lq_2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{E_j} \omega(x)^{r(1 - \frac{1}{l})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{rq_2}} dy. \end{aligned}$$



Using the definition of the weighted Lipschitz function, we can easily get

$$\left( \int_{B_j} |b(x) - b_{B_j}|^{lq_2} \omega(x)^{1-lq_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{lq_2}} \leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1}{lq_2} + \frac{\beta}{n}}. \tag{11}$$

As in the estimate of (3), we can get

$$\left( \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{r(1-\frac{1}{l})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{rq_2}} \leq C \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1-\frac{1}{l}}{q_2}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{rq_2} - \frac{1-\frac{1}{l}}{q_2}}. \tag{12}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| dy &\leq C \left( \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)|^{q_1} \omega(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left( \int_{B_k} \omega(y)^{-\frac{q_1'}{q_1}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\leq C |B_k| \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{q_1}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By (1), (11)–(13) and  $\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C 2^{(j-k)n}$  for  $k \leq j - 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon)} \omega(B_j)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{rq_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{lq_2}} \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0} + \varepsilon) + k\varepsilon + kn + jn(\frac{1}{rq_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{lq_2})} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left( \frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} 2^{(k-j)(\varepsilon + \frac{n}{q_1})} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Next we will estimate  $F_2$ .

By the cancellation condition of  $a_k$  and the Minkowski inequality, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \left( \int_{E_j} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x, y) - K(x, 0))(b_{B_j} - b(y)) a_k(y) dy \right|^{q_2} \omega(x)^{1-q_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{E_j} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x, y) - K(x, 0))(b_{B_j} - b_{B_k}) a_k(y) dy \right|^{q_2} \omega(x)^{1-q_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{E_j} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K(x, y) - K(x, 0))(b_{B_k} - b(y)) a_k(y) dy \right|^{q_2} \omega(x)^{1-q_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &:= F_{21} + F_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(\frac{q_0}{q_2})' = \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q_2}$  and  $(1 - q_2) \frac{q_0}{q_0 - q_2} = 1 - \frac{q_2(q_0 - 1)}{q_0 - q_2}$ . Let  $v' = \frac{q_2(q_0 - 1)}{q_0 - q_2}$ . The fact  $\frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{v'} = 1$  implies  $v = \frac{q_2(q_0 - 1)}{q_0(q_2 - 1)}$ . It follows from  $\omega \in A_1$  that  $\omega \in A_v$ .

As in the estimate of (6), we have

$$\left( \int_{B_j} \omega(x)^{(1-q_2)(\frac{q_0}{q_2})'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2(\frac{q_0}{q_2})'}} \leq C |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \omega(B_j)^{-(1-\frac{1}{q_2})}. \tag{15}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{B_{i+1}} - b_{B_i}| &\leq \frac{1}{|B_i|} \left( \int_{B_i} |b(y) - b_{B_{i+1}}|^{q_2} \omega(y)^{1-q_2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \left( \int_{B_i} \omega(y)^{-\frac{(1-q_2)q_2'}{q_2}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} \frac{\omega(B_{i+1})^{1+\frac{\beta}{n}}}{|B_i|},
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{B_j} - b_{B_k}| &\leq \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} |b_{B_{i+1}} - b_{B_i}| \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} (j-k) \omega(B_j)^{1+\frac{\beta}{n}} 2^{(j-k)n} \frac{1}{|B_j|}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The fact  $y \in B_k$  implies  $|y| \leq 2^k$ . Using (13), we have

$$\int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \leq C 2^{k\varepsilon} |B_k| \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{q_1}} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}. \tag{17}$$

By (1), (15)–(17) and  $\frac{\omega(B_j)}{\omega(B_k)} \leq C 2^{(j-k)n}$  for  $k \leq j-2$ , similar to (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{21} &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} (j-k) \omega(B_j)^{1+\frac{\beta}{n}} 2^{(j-k)n} \frac{1}{|B_j|} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0}+\varepsilon)} \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q_2}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{B_k} |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy \\
 &\leq C \|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)} (j-k) 2^{(j-k)(\frac{n}{q_1}-\varepsilon)} \omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

It follows from (1), (15) and Hölder’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_2} \omega(x)^{1-q_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\
 &\leq \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \left( \int_{E_j} \omega(x)^{(1-q_2)(\frac{q_0}{q_2})'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2(\frac{q_0}{q_2})'}} \\
 &\leq C \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q_2}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0}+\varepsilon)} |y|^\varepsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By  $\frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_j)} \leq C 2^{(k-j)n}$  for  $k \leq j-2$ , (19) and the Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{22} &\leq \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}| |a_k(y)| \left( \int_{E_j} |K(x,y) - K(x,0)|^{q_2} \omega(x)^{1-q_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} dy \\
 &\leq C \omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q_2}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}} 2^{-j(\frac{n}{q_0}+\varepsilon)} \int_{B_k} |b(y) - b_{B_k}| |a_k(y)| |y|^\varepsilon dy
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C\omega(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{q_2}}|B_j|^{\frac{1}{q_0}}2^{(k-j)\varepsilon}2^{-j\frac{n}{q_0}}\left(\int_{B_k}|b(y)-b_{B_k}|^{q_1'}\omega(y)^{-\frac{q_1'}{q_1}}dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{B_k}|a_k(y)|^{q_1}\omega(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}2^{(k-j)\varepsilon}\omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}\left(\frac{\omega(B_k)}{\omega(B_j)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\
 &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}2^{(k-j)(\varepsilon+\frac{n\eta}{q_2})}\omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Finally, by (14), (18) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|[b, T](a_k)\chi_j\|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})} \\
 &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}2^{(k-j)\varepsilon}\omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}\left(2^{(k-j)\frac{n}{q_1}}+(j-k)2^{(j-k)\frac{n}{q_1}}+2^{(k-j)\frac{n\eta}{q_2}}\right) \\
 &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}(j-k)2^{(j-k)(\frac{n}{q_1}-\varepsilon)}\omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1 &\leq C\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}}\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2}|\lambda_k|\|[b, T](a_k)\chi_j\|_{L^{q_2}(\omega^{1-q_2})}\right)^p \\
 &\leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}^p\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega(B_j)^{\frac{\alpha p}{n}}\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-2}|\lambda_k|(j-k)2^{(j-k)(\frac{n}{q_1}-\varepsilon)}\omega(B_k)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}}\right)^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to estimate  $V_1$  in the proof of Theorem 3, it follows from  $\alpha + \frac{n}{q_1} - \varepsilon < 0$  that

$$H_1 \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}^p\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_k|^p\right).$$

Combining  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we have

$$\|[b, T](f)\|_{\dot{K}_{q_2}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q_2})}^p \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}^p\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_k|^p\right).$$

By taking infimum over all decompositions of  $f$ , we get

$$\|[b, T](f)\|_{\dot{K}_{q_2}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega^{1-q_2})} \leq C\|b\|_{Lip_\beta(\omega)}\|f\|_{H\dot{K}_{q_1,b}^{\alpha,p}(\omega, \omega)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* We thank the referees for their time and comments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11671397), the Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project (YETP0946), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2009QS16) and the State Scholarship Fund of China.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. C. CHANG, J. F. LI, J. XIAO, *Weighted scale estimates for Calderón-Zygmund type operators*, Contemporary Math., **446** (2007), 61–70.
- [2] J. GARCIA-CUERVA, *Weighted  $H^p$  spaces*, Dissertationes Math., **162** (1979), 1–63.
- [3] J. GARCIA-CUERVA, J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1985.
- [4] J. L. JOURNÉ, *Calderón operators, Pseudo-differential operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón*, Lecture Notes in Math., **994** (1983), 1–127.
- [5] Y. LIN, *Strongly singular Calderón-Zygmund operator and commutator on Morrey type spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), **23** (2007), 2097–2110.
- [6] Y. LIN, *Sharp maximal function estimates for Calderón-Zygmund type operators and commutators*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., **31** (A) (2011), 206–215.
- [7] Y. LIN, Z. G. LIU, W. L. CONG, *Weighted Lipschitz estimates for commutators on weighted Morrey spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **338** (2015), 19 pages.
- [8] Y. LIN, G. F. SUN, *Generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators and commutators on weighted Morrey spaces*, Panamer. Math. J., **25** (2015), 53–65.
- [9] Y. LIN, M. M. ZHANG, *Weighted BMO estimates for Toeplitz operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces, **349535** (2015), 10 pages.
- [10] Z. G. LIU, J. LI, *Boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund type operators and their commutators*, Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser.), **53** (2010), 541–550.
- [11] S. Z. LU, Q. WU, D. C. YANG, *Boundedness of commutators on Hardy type spaces*, Sci. China Ser. A, **45** (2002), 984–997.
- [12] S. Z. LU, D. C. YANG, *The decomposition of the weighted Herz spaces and its application*, Sci. China Ser. A, **38** (1995), 147–158.
- [13] S. Z. LU, D. C. YANG, *The weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces and its applications*, Sci. China Ser. A, **38** (1995), 662–673.
- [14] S. Z. LU, D. C. YANG, *The continuity of commutators on Herz-type spaces*, Michigan Math. J., **44** (1997), 255–281.
- [15] B. MUCKENHOUT, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [16] M. PALUSZYŃSKI, *Characterization of the Besov spaces via the commutator operator of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **44** (1995), 1–17.
- [17] C. PÉREZ, *Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **128** (1995), 163–185.
- [18] R. L. WHEEDEN, *A characterization of some weighted norm inequalities for the fractional maximal function*, Studia Math., **107** (1993), 257–272.

(Received March 7, 2016)

Yan Lin  
 School of Sciences  
 China University of Mining and Technology  
 Beijing, 100083, P. R. China  
 e-mail: linyan@cumb.edu.cn

Guoming Zhang  
 School of Sciences  
 China University of Mining and Technology  
 Beijing, 100083, P. R. China  
 e-mail: zhangguoming256@163.com