Journal of Mathematical Inequalities
Volume 11, Number 2 (2017), 429–439 doi:10.7153/jmi-11-36

SOME COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES RELATED TO THE HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR STRONGLY STARLIKE FUNCTIONS OF ORDER ALPHA

N. E. CHO, B. KOWALCZYK, O. S. KWON, A. LECKO AND Y. J. SIM

(*Communicated by J. Pečarić*)

Abstract. In the present paper, the estimate of the Hankel determinant

$$H_{3,1}(f) := \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix}$$

over the class $\mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leqslant 1$, of analytic functions f with $a_n := f^{(n)}(0)/n!$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, such that $|\arg(zf'(z)/f(z))| < \alpha\pi/2$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, is examined.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the class of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let $\mathscr{A}$ be its subclass of f normalized by $f(0) := 0$ and $f'(0) := 1$, so of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{1.1}$$

Given $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{q,n}(f)$ of a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ of the form (1.1) is defined as

$$H_{q,n}(f) := \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $a_1 := 1$. To find the growth of the Hankel determinant $H_{q,n}(f)$ dependent on q and n for the whole class $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{A}$ of univalent functions as well as for its subclasses is one of the main problem to study. For the class $\mathscr{S}$ some important result was shown by Pommerenke [16]. For fixed q and n the growth problem is reduced to find the bound of the Hankel determinant over selected compact subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$. Recently many authors examined the Hankel determinant $H_{2,2}(f)$ of order 2 as well as the Hankel determinant

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C45.
Keywords and phrases: Univalent functions, strongly starlike functions of order alpha, Hankel determinant.

Paper JMI-11-36

$H_{3,1}(f)$ of order 3 (see e.g., [8], [15], [10], [3]). Note that $H_{2,1}(f) = |a_3 - a_2^2|$. Thus the Hankel determinant $H_{2,1}(f)$ of order 2 reduces to the well known coefficient functional which for $\mathscr{S}$ was estimated in 1916 by Bieberbach (see e.g., [7, Vol. I, p. 35]).

Given $\alpha \in (0,1]$, by $\mathscr{S}_\alpha^*$ we denote a subclass of $\mathscr{A}$ of functions f such that

$$\left|\arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right| < \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.2}$$

called *strongly starlike of order* α. The class $\mathscr{S}_\alpha^*$ was independently introduced by Brannan and Kirwan [5] and Stankiewicz [17], [18] (see also [7, Vol. I, pp. 138–139]). Clearly, $\mathscr{S}^* := \mathscr{S}_1^*$ is the class of *starlike functions.*

In this paper we estimate the Hankel determinant $H_{3,1}(f)$ over the class $\mathscr{S}_\alpha^*$.

Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the class of Carathéodory functions $p \in \mathscr{H}$ of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1.3}$$

having a positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$. The results below for the class $\mathscr{P}$ will be used in further considerations.

LEMMA 1.1. [6, p. 41] *If $p \in \mathscr{P}$ is of the form* (1.3)*, then*

$$|c_n| \leqslant 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{1.4}$$

The inequality (1.4) *is sharp and the equality holds for for the function*

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} =: L(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{1.5}$$

LEMMA 1.2. ([11],[12]) *If $p \in \mathscr{P}$ is of the form* (1.3) *with $c_1 > 0$, then*

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + \zeta(4 - c_1^2) \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2c_1(4-c_1^2)\zeta - c_1(4-c_1^2)\zeta^2 + 2(4-c_1^2)(1-|\zeta|^2)\eta \tag{1.7}$$

for some ζ and η such that $|\zeta| \leqslant 1$ and $|\eta| \leqslant 1$.

LEMMA 1.3. ([13]) *If $p \in \mathscr{P}$ is of the form* (1.3)*, then*

$$|c_2 - \lambda c_1^2| \leqslant 2, \quad 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1. \tag{1.8}$$

The inequality (1.8) *is sharp and the equality holds for the function $p(z) := L(z^2)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$.*

2. Main results

Since for $f \in \mathscr{A}$,

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \leqslant |a_3||a_2a_4 - a_3^2| + |a_4||a_4 - a_2a_3| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|, \tag{2.1}$$

we will estimate each part on the right side of (2.1).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. If $f \in \mathscr{S}_\alpha^*$ is the form (1.1), then*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \tag{2.2}$$

$$\leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}\alpha, & 0 < \alpha \leqslant \alpha_0, \\ \frac{2}{9}\alpha(2\alpha+1)\sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{(1-\alpha)(5\alpha+2)}}, & \alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \frac{1}{10}\left(2+\sqrt{34}\right), \\ \frac{2}{9}\alpha(10\alpha^2-1), & \frac{1}{10}\left(2+\sqrt{34}\right) \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_0 = 0.559376\ldots$ is the unique root in $(0,1)$ of the equation

$$16\alpha^3 + 69\alpha^2 - 15\alpha - 16 = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

The inequality (2.2) is sharp and the equality holds: when $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0]$ for the function

$$f_1(z) := z\exp\left[\int_0^z \frac{(L(u^3))^\alpha - 1}{u}\mathrm{d}u\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}; \tag{2.4}$$

when $\alpha \in \left[\left(2+\sqrt{34}\right)/10, 1\right]$ for the function

$$f_2(z) := z\exp\left[\int_0^z \frac{(L(u))^\alpha - 1}{u}\mathrm{d}u\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}; \tag{2.5}$$

when $\alpha \in \left[\alpha_0, \left(2+\sqrt{34}\right)/10\right]$ for the function

$$f_3(z) := z\exp\left[\int_0^z \frac{(K(u))^\alpha - 1}{u}\mathrm{d}u\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.6}$$

where the function L is defined by (1.5) and

$$K(z) := \frac{1-z^2}{1-t_0z+z^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.7}$$

with

$$t_0 := \sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{(1-\alpha)(5\alpha+2)}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and let $f \in \mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$ be of the form (1.1). Then by (1.2) we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = (p(z))^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.9}$$

for some function $p \in \mathscr{P}$ of the form (1.3). Putting the series (1.1) and (1.3) into (2.9) by equating the coefficients we get

$$a_2 = \alpha c_1, \quad a_3 = \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(c_2 - \frac{1-3\alpha}{2}c_1^2\right) \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$a_4 = \frac{\alpha}{3}\left(c_3 + \frac{5\alpha-2}{2}c_1c_2 + \frac{17\alpha^2-15\alpha+4}{12}c_1^3\right). \tag{2.11}$$

Hence

$$a_2a_3 - a_4 = \frac{1}{36}\alpha\left[12(1-\alpha)c_1c_2 + 2(\alpha+1)(5\alpha-2)c_1^3 - 12c_3\right]. \tag{2.12}$$

Now by using the equalities (1.6) and (1.7) we have

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| = \frac{1}{36}\alpha\left|(10\alpha^2-1)c_1^3 + (4-c_1^2)(-6\alpha c_1\zeta + 3c_1\zeta^2 - 6(1-|\zeta|^2)\eta)\right|, \tag{2.13}$$

where $|\zeta| \leqslant 1$ and $|\eta| \leqslant 1$. Since the class $\mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$ is invariant under the rotations, by (1.4) we may assume that $c_1 =: t \in [0,2]$. Thus applying the triangle inequality in the right hand side of (2.13) with $x := |\zeta|$ and $y := |\eta|$ we obtain

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leqslant \frac{1}{36}\alpha T(t,x,y), \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$T(t,x,y) := |10\alpha^2-1|t^3 + (4-t^2)(6\alpha tx + 3tx^2 + 6(1-x^2)y)$$

for $(t,x,y) \in [0,2]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]$. But

$$T(t,x,y) \leqslant T(t,x,1) =: F(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \Delta := [0,2]\times[0,1],$$

so we will find the maximum of the function F on Δ.

(i) On the vertices of Δ we have

$$F(0,0) = 24, \quad F(0,1) = 0, \quad F(2,0) = F(2,1) = 8|10\alpha^2-1|.$$

(ii) On the side $x = 0$ the function F becomes

$$G(t) := |10\alpha^2-1|t^3 + 6(4-t^2), \quad t \in (0,2).$$

For $0 < \alpha \leqslant \sqrt{3/10}$ we have

$$G'(t) = 3t(|10\alpha^2-1|t-4) \leqslant 0, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

Therefore the function G is decreasing and consequently

$$G(t) \leqslant 24, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

For $\sqrt{3/10} < \alpha \leqslant 1$ the function G has a unique critical point in $(0,2)$, namely, a minimum at $t = 4/(10\alpha^2 - 1)$ since $G''(4/(10\alpha^2-1)) = 12 > 0$. Because $8(10\alpha^2 - 1) \geqslant 24$ for $2/\sqrt{10} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ and $8(10\alpha^2-1) \leqslant 24$ for $0 < \alpha \leqslant 2/\sqrt{10}$, we see that for all $t \in (0,2)$,

$$G(t) \leqslant \begin{cases} 24, & 0 < \alpha \leqslant 2/\sqrt{10}, \\ 8(10\alpha^2 - 1), & 2/\sqrt{10} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

(iii) On the side $x = 1$ the function F becomes

$$H(t) := |10\alpha^2 - 1|t^3 + t(4 - t^2)(6\alpha + 3), \quad t \in (0,2). \tag{2.16}$$

For $(2+\sqrt{34})/10 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ the function H is increasing since

$$H'(t) = 6(\alpha - 1)(5\alpha + 2)t^2 + 12(2\alpha + 1) \geqslant 0, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

Thus $H(t) \leqslant 8(10\alpha^2 - 1)$ for $t \in (0,2)$.

For $1/\sqrt{10} < \alpha < (2+\sqrt{34})/10$ the function H has a unique critical point in $(0,2)$, namely, a maximum at

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{(1-\alpha)(5\alpha+2)}} =: t_0$$

because

$$H''(t_0) = 12(\alpha - 1)(5\alpha + 2)t_0 < 0.$$

Thus for all $0 < t < 2$,

$$H(t) \leqslant H(t_0) = 8(2\alpha + 1)\sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{(1-\alpha)(5\alpha+2)}}.$$

Note now that

$$H(t_0) \leqslant 24, \quad 1/\sqrt{10} < \alpha \leqslant \alpha_0,$$

and

$$H(t_0) > 24, \quad \alpha_0 < \alpha \leqslant (2+\sqrt{34})/10,$$

where α_0 is the unique root in $(0,1)$ of the equation (2.3).

For $0 < \alpha < 1/\sqrt{10}$ the function H has a unique critical point in $(0,2)$, namely, a maximum at

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{5\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1}} =: t_1$$

because

$$H''(t_1) = -12(5\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)t_1 < 0.$$

Thus for all $0 < t < 2$,

$$H(t) \leqslant H(t_1) = 8(2\alpha+1)\sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{5\alpha^2+3\alpha+1}}.$$

Note that the inequality

$$H(t_1) \leqslant 24, \quad 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1/\sqrt{10},$$

is equivalent to the inequality

$$16\alpha^3 - 21\alpha^2 - 15\alpha - 7 \leqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha < 1/\sqrt{10},$$

which as easy to see is true.

(iv) We can easily compute that

$$F(2,x) = 8|10\alpha^2 - 1|, \quad F(0,x) \leqslant 24.$$

(v) It remains to consider the interior of Δ. Solving the equations

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 3|10\alpha^2 - 1|t^2 \tag{2.17}$$

$$-2t(6\alpha t x + (3t-6)x^2 + 6) + (4-t^2)(6\alpha x + 3x^2) = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 6(4-t^2)[\alpha t + (t-2)x] = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

we get for $\alpha \neq 1/\sqrt{5}$ a unique critical point (t_2, x_2), where

$$x_2 := \frac{2\alpha(1-\alpha^2)}{|10\alpha^2 - 1| + 5\alpha^2 - 2}$$

and

$$t_2 := \frac{4(1-\alpha^2)}{|10\alpha^2 - 1| + 3\alpha^2}$$

which possible lies in Δ. Since $t_2 \geqslant 2$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1/\sqrt{5}$ and $x_2 < 0$ for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, so $(t_2, x_2) \in \Delta$ when $\alpha \geqslant 1/2$. As by (2.17) with $t := t_2$ we have $x_2 = \alpha t_2/(2-t_2)$, so hence and from (2.16) we get

$$F(t_2, x_2) = 2(\alpha^2 - 1)t_2^2 + 24 \leqslant 24$$

for all $\alpha > 1/2$.

At the end observe that for $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{5}$ the system of equations (2.17) and (2.18) has no solution in Δ.

Summarizing all considered cases, we conclude that

$$F(t,x) \leqslant \begin{cases} 24, & 0 < \alpha \leqslant \alpha_0, \\ 8(2\alpha+1)\sqrt{\dfrac{2(2\alpha+1)}{(1-\alpha)(5\alpha+2)}}, & \alpha_0 < \alpha < \dfrac{1}{10}(2+\sqrt{34}), \\ 8(10\alpha^2-1), & \dfrac{1}{10}(2+\sqrt{34}) \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1 \end{cases}$$

on Δ, which together with (2.14) proves the inequality (2.2).

To show the sharpness for the case $0 < \alpha \leqslant \alpha_0$, set $c_1 := 0$, $\zeta := 0$, $\eta := 1$ into (1.6) and (1.7) which yield $c_2 = 0$ and $c_3 = 2$. Hence and by (2.10) and (2.11), $a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = 0$ and $a_4 = 2\alpha/3$ which holds for the function (2.4) and makes the equality in (2.2). For the case $(2+\sqrt{34})/10 < \alpha \leqslant 1$, set $c_1 := 2$, $\zeta := 0$ and $\eta := 1$ into (1.6) and (1.7) which yield $c_2 = c_3 = 2$. Hence and by (2.10) and (2.11), $a_2 = 2\alpha$, $a_3 = 3\alpha$ and $a_4 = 2\alpha(17\alpha^2+1)/9$ which holds for the function (2.5) and makes the equality in (2.2). For the case $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant (2+\sqrt{34})/10$ consider the function f_3 given by (2.6). Since the function K given by (2.7) is in $\mathscr{P}$ with $c_1 = t_0$, $c_2 = t_0^2 - 2$ and $c_3 = t_0^3 - 3t_0$, where t_0 is given by (2.8), from (2.12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_3 - a_4| &= \frac{1}{36}\alpha\left|12(1-\alpha)t_0(t_0^2-2) + 2(\alpha+1)(5\alpha-2)t_0^3 - 12t_0^3 + 36t_0\right| \\ &= \frac{2}{9}\alpha(2\alpha+1)\sqrt{\frac{2(2\alpha+1)}{(1-\alpha)(5\alpha+2)}}, \end{aligned}$$

which makes the equality in (2.2). $\square$

REMARK 2.2. *For $\alpha := 1$, i.e., for the class $\mathscr{S}^*$ the above theorem reduces to Theorem 2.2 of* [3].

The theorem below can be found in [10] as Corollary 1 Part 4 however the authors did not remark on the extremal function. To complete this paper we reprove it again.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. If $f \in \mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$ is the form (1.1), then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leqslant \alpha^2. \tag{2.19}$$

The inequality (2.19) is sharp and the equality holds for the function

$$f_4(z) := z\exp\left[\int_0^z \frac{(L(u^2))^\alpha - 1}{u}\mathrm{d}u\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.20}$$

where the function L is defined by (1.5).

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and let $f \in \mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$ be of the form (1.1). Then by (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$a_2a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{1}{144}\alpha^2[(-13\alpha^2+4)c_1^4 + (4-c_1^2)(6\alpha c_1^2\zeta - (36+3c_1^2)\zeta^2 + 24c_1(1-|\zeta|^2)\eta)] \tag{2.21}$$

for $|\zeta| \leqslant 1$ and $|\eta| \leqslant 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 setting $c_1 = t \in [0,2]$, $x := |\zeta|$ and $y := |\eta|$ from the above we obtain

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leqslant \frac{1}{144}\alpha^2 T(t,x,y), \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$T(t,x,y) := |4-13\alpha^2|t^4 + (4-t^2)(6\alpha t^2 x + (36+3t^2)x^2 + 24t(1-x^2)y),$$

with $(t,x,y) \in [0,2]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]$. But

$$T(t,x,y) \leqslant T(t,x,1) =: F(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \Delta := [0,2]\times[0,1],$$

so we will find the maximum of the function F on Δ.

(i) On the vertices of Δ we have

$$F(0,0) = 0, \quad F(0,1,1) = 144, \quad F(2,0) = F(2,1) = 16|4-13\alpha^2|.$$

(ii) On the side $x = 1$ the function F becomes

$$G(t) := |4-13\alpha^2|t^4 + (4-t^2)[(6\alpha+3)t^2+36], \quad t \in (0,2). \tag{2.23}$$

We will show that G is decreasing. For $0 < \alpha \leqslant (-3+\sqrt{22})/13$ we have $13\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1 < 0$ and $4 - 13\alpha^2 \geqslant 0$. Therefore

$$G'(t) = 4t((-13\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 1)t^2 + 12(\alpha-1)) \leqslant -16t(13\alpha^2+3\alpha+2) \leqslant 0, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

For $(-3+\sqrt{22})/13 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 2/\sqrt{13}$ we have $-13\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1 \leqslant 0$ and $4 - 13\alpha^2 \geqslant 0$. Therefore

$$G'(t) = 4t((-13\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)t^2 + 12(\alpha-1)) \leqslant 0, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

For $2/\sqrt{13} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ we have $4 - 13\alpha^2 \leqslant 0$. Therefore

$$G'(t) = 4(\alpha-1)t((13\alpha+7)t^2+48) \leqslant 0, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

Summarizing, for each $\alpha \in (0,1]$,

$$G'(t) \leqslant 0, \quad t \in (0,2),$$

so G is decreasing and consequently

$$G(t) \leqslant 144, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

(iii) On the edge $x = 0$ the function F becomes

$$H(t) = |4-13\alpha^2|t^4 + 24t(4-t^2), \quad t \in (0,2). \tag{2.24}$$

We have

$$H'(t) = 0$$

if and only if

$$|4-13\alpha^2|t^4 = 18t^3 - 24t. \tag{2.25}$$

In case when the above equation has no solution in $(0,2)$ the function H is increasing. Then for $\alpha \in (0,1]$,

$$H(t) \leqslant 16|4-13\alpha^2| \leqslant 144.$$

In case when there exists a solution of the equation (2.24), say $t_0 \in (0,2)$, by using the the equation (2.25) with $t := t_0$ we have

$$H(t_0) = 6t_0(12 - t_0^2) < 144.$$

In consequence

$$H(t) \leqslant 144, \quad t \in (0,2).$$

(iv) We can easily compute that

$$F(2,x) = 8|10\alpha^2 - 1|, \quad F(0,x) \leqslant 144.$$

(v) It remains to consider the interior of Δ. Solving the equations Since $\alpha t^2 + (t-2)(t-6)x > 0$ for $0 < t < 2$ and $0 < x < 1$, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 6(4-t^2)(\alpha t^2 + (t-2)(t-6)x) > 0.$$

Thus the function F has has no critical point.

Summarizing all considered cases we conclude that

$$F(t,x) \leqslant 144$$

on Δ, which together with (2.22) proves the inequality (2.19).

To show the sharpness, set $c_1 := 0$, $\zeta := 1$ and $\eta = 1$ into (1.6) and (1.7) which yields $c_2 = 2$ and $c_3 = 0$. Hence and by (2.10) and (2.11), $a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = \alpha$ and $a_4 = 0$, which holds for the function (2.20) and makes the equality in (2.19). □

REMARK 2.4. *For $\alpha := 1$, i.e., for the class $\mathscr{S}^*$ the above theorem reduces to Theorem 3.1 of* [9].

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$. If $f \in \mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$ is the form (1.1), then*

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leqslant \alpha. \tag{2.26}$$

The inequality (2.26) is sharp and the equality holds for the function (2.20).

Proof. From (2.10) we have

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\alpha \left| c_2 - \frac{1+\alpha}{2} c_1^2 \right|.$$

The inequality (2.26) follows by applying Lemma 1.3 with $\lambda := (1+\alpha)/2 \in (1/2,1]$.

The sharpness of the inequality (2.26) follows from the sharpness of the inequality (1.8) and the equality holds for the function (2.20). □

REMARK 2.6. *For* $\alpha := 1$, *i.e., for the class* $\mathscr{S}^*$ *the above result is as in the class* $\mathscr{S}$, *so it reduces to the well known theorem due to Bieberbach (1916) (see e.g.,* [7, Vol. I, p. 35]*).*

REMARK 2.7. *Let* $\alpha \in (0,1]$ *and* $f \in \mathscr{S}^*_\alpha$ *be the form* (1.1)*. For the second and third coefficients of* f *the sharp estimates were given in* [4]*, namely,*

$$|a_2| \leqslant 2\alpha, \quad |a_3| \leqslant \begin{cases} \alpha, & 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1/3, \\ 3\alpha^2, & 1/3 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

For the fourth coefficient of f *the sharp estimate was found in* [14]*, namely,*

$$|a_4| \leqslant \begin{cases} 2\alpha/3, & 0 < \alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2/17}, \\ 2\alpha(1+17\alpha^2)/9, & \sqrt{2/17} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

For the fifth coefficient of f *the sharp result, however not complete for all* $\alpha \in (0,1]$*, was obtained in* [1]*, namely,*

$$|a_5| \leqslant \begin{cases} \alpha/2, & 228\alpha^4 - 194\alpha^3 + 2\alpha^2 + 39\alpha - 9 \leqslant 0, \\ \alpha^2(7+38\alpha^2)/9, & 76\alpha^3 - 60\alpha^2 + 32\alpha - 9 \geqslant 0. \end{cases}$$

Now from (2.1) *by using the above coefficient estimates with* (2.2)*,* (2.19) *and* (2.26) *we can obtain the bound of the Hankel determinant* $H_{3,1}(f)$*. Clearly, this bound is incomplete and also not sharp.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their thanks to the referees for many valuable advices regarding a previous version of this paper. This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2016R1D1A1A09916450).

REFERENCES

[1] R. M. ALI, V. SINGH, *On the fourth and fifth coefficients of strongly starlike functions*, Results in Math. **29** (1996), 197–202.

[2] K. O. BABALOLA, *On third order Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions*, Ineq. Theory Appl. **6** (2010), 1–7.

[3] D. BANSAL, S. MAHARANA, J. K. PRAJPAPAT, *Third order Hankel determinant for certain univalent functions*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 6, 1139–1148.

[4] D. A. BRANNAN, J. CLUNIE, W. E. KIRWAN, *Coeeficient estimates for a class of star-like functions*, Can. J. Math. **XXII** (1970), no. 3, 476–485.

[5] D. A. BRANNAN, W. E. KIRWAN, *On some classes of bounded univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **s2-1** (1969), no. 1, 431–443.

[6] P. T. DUREN, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1983.

[7] A. W. GOODMAN, *Univalent Functions*, Mariner, Tampa, Florida, 1983.

[8] A. JANTENG, S. A. HALIM, M. DARUS, *Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. **7** (2) Art. 50, (2006), 1–5.

[9] A. JANTENG, S. A. HALIM, M. DARUS, *Hankel Determinant for Starlike and Convex Functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **1** (2007), no. 13, 619–625.
[10] S. K. LEE, V. RAVICHANDRAN AND S. SUPRAMANIAN, *Bound for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2013**: 281 (2013), 1–17.
[11] R. J. LIBERA, E. J. ZLOTKIEWICZ, *Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), no. 2, 225–230.
[12] R. J. LIBERA, E. J. ZLOTKIEWICZ, *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivatives in* $\mathscr{P}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), no. 2, 251–257.
[13] W. MA, D. MINDA, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Analysis at the Nankai Institute of Mathematics, (1992), 157–169.
[14] W. MA, S. OWA, *Strongly starlike functions*, Panam. Math. J. **3** (1993), no. 2, 49–60.
[15] A. K. MISHRA, P. GOCHHAYAT, *Second hankel Determinat for a Class of Analytic Functions Defined by Fractional Derivative*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2008** (2008), Article ID 153280, 1–10.
[16] C. POMMERENKE, *On the coefficients and Hankel determinant of univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 111–122.
[17] J. STANKIEWICZ, *Quelques problèmes extrémaux dans les classes des fonctions* α*-angulairement étoilées*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **20** (1966), 59–75.
[18] J. STANKIEWICZ, *On a family of starlike functions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **22–24** (1968–1970), 175–181.

(Received February 21, 2016)

N. E. Cho
Department of Applied Mathematics
Pukyong National University
Busan 48513, Korea
e-mail: necho@pknu.ac.kr

B. Kowalczyk
Department of Complex Analysis
University of Warmia and Mazury
ul. Słoneczna 54, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland
e-mail: b.kowalczyk@matman.uwm.edu.pl

O. S. Kwon
Department of Mathematics
Kyungsung University
Busan 48434, Korea
e-mail: oskwon@ks.ac.kr

A. Lecko
Department of Complex Analysis
University of Warmia and Mazury
ul. Słoneczna 54, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland
e-mail: alecko@matman.uwm.edu.pl

Y. J. Sim
Department of Mathematics
Kyungsung University
Busan 48434, Korea
e-mail: yjsim@ks.ac.kr