

THE SPITZER LAW FOR ψ -MIXING RANDOM VARIABLES

XIANGDONG LIU AND XIAOJIE JIN

(Communicated by X. Wang)

Abstract. The Spitzer law is obtained for the maximum partial sums of the identically distributed ψ -mixing random variables without any conditions on mixing rate, and another proof of the classical Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers is also given for them.

1. Introduction and main result

Let $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. The following theorem, related to the classical Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers (see Spitzer, 1965), is well-known.

THEOREM A. *The following statements are equivalent*

$$EX = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right| > \varepsilon n \right\} < \infty \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

One can label the formula (1.2) as the Spitzer law. The Spitzer law is a special case of the complete convergence, which first was established by Hsu and Robbins (1947), and then was extended to the general case by Baum and Katz (1965). In particular, Baum and Katz (1965) pointed out that (1.1), (1.2) and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m X_k \right| > \varepsilon n \right\} < \infty \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \tag{1.3}$$

are equivalent.

In general, it is possible that (1.3) is strictly stronger than (1.2) for the non-independent case. One can see the example that (1.2) does not imply (1.3) in the pairwise independent case in Bai et al. (2014). On the other hand, (1.3) can imply the classical Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{1.4}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 60F15.

Keywords and phrases: Spitzer law, strong law of large number, ψ -mixing.

by the same argument as Chen et al. (2006). So, it is more interesting to obtain (1.3) than (1.2).

For the sake of clarity, let us recall the concept of the ψ -mixing random variables.

DEFINITION 1.1. Define the ψ -mixing coefficient for $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$, a sequence of random variables, as

$$\psi(n) = \sup_{m \geq 1} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_1^m, B \in \mathcal{F}_{m+n}^\infty, P(A)P(B) \neq 0} \left| \frac{P(AB)}{P(A)P(B)} - 1 \right|,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n^m = \sigma(X_i : n \leq i \leq m)$. Then $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be ψ -mixing or $*$ -mixing, if $\psi(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The concept of ψ -mixing is introduced by Blum et al. (1963). They obtained the classical Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers for identically distributed ψ -mixing random variables without any conditions on mixing rate. Recently, Hu et al. (2017) generalized the result of Blum et al. (1963) to the weighted sums of the identically distributed ψ -mixing random variables without any conditions on mixing rate and gave some applications in EV models.

Due to the works of Blum et al. (1963) and Hu et al. (2017), we will obtain the equivalence of (1.1), (1.3) and (1.4) for the kind of mixing random variables without any conditions on mixing rate.

We now state the main result.

THEOREM 1.1. $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed ψ -mixing random variables. Then (1.1), (1.3) and (1.4) are equivalent.

Throughout this paper, the symbol C denotes a positive constant which is not necessarily the same one in each appearance. The symbol $I(A)$ denotes the indicator function of the event A , and $[x]$ denotes the integer part of the real number x .

2. Lemmas and proof of main result

The first lemmas is about the property of the ψ -mixing random variables, and modifies Lemma 3.3.1 in Stout (1974) slightly.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of ψ -mixing random variables with the mixing coefficient $\psi(\cdot)$. Suppose $E|Y_n| < \infty$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then

$$|E(Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{G}) - EY_{n+1}| \leq \psi(1)E|Y_{n+1}| \tag{2.1}$$

for each σ field $\mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$, and each $n \geq 1$.

Proof. It is trivial that (2.1) holds if $\psi(1) = \infty$. Now we assume that $0 \leq \psi(1) < \infty$. By the definition of the ψ -mixing coefficient, for any $n \geq 1$, $A \in \sigma(Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $B \in \sigma(Y_i : i \geq n + 1)$, we have

$$|P(AB) - P(A)P(B)| \leq \psi(1)P(A)P(B).$$

Then by the same argument as Lemma 3.3.1 in Stout (1974), (2.1) holds. \square

To prove Theorem 1.2, the following two lemmas on φ -mixing random variables are needed. The first one is a Rosenthal type inequality for φ -mixing random variables (see Shao, 1988). The second one shows that the Spitzer law holds for uniformly bounded φ -mixing random variables without any conditions on mixing rate and is interesting in itself. Since ψ -mixing is φ -mixing (see, for example, Lin and Lu, 1997), Lemma 2.3 also holds for ψ -mixing random variables.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of φ -mixing random variables with $E|Y_n|^s < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$ and for some $s \geq 2$. Then there exists a positive constant C depending only on s and the φ -mixing coefficient $\varphi(\cdot)$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$E \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (Y_k - EY_k) \right|^s \leq C \left\{ \left[\exp \left(6 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \varphi^{1/2}(2^i) \right) \cdot n \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} EY_k^2 \right]^{s/2} + \sum_{k=1}^n E|Y_k|^s \right\}.$$

REMARK 2.1. Set $a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log x \rfloor} \varphi^{1/2}(2^i)$, $x > 0$. Then by $\varphi(2^n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a(x)/\log x = 0$ and hence $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-\delta} \exp(sa(x)) = 0$ for any $s > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\lambda} \exp(sa(n))$ converges for any $s > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of φ -mixing random variables with $\sup_{n \geq 1} |Y_n| \leq M$ a.s. for some constant $M > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (Y_k - EY_k) \right| > \varepsilon n \right\} < \infty \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. By the Markov inequality, Lemma 2.1, and $\sup_{n \geq 1} |Y_n| \leq M$ a.s., we have for any $s > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (Y_k - EY_k) \right| > \varepsilon n \right\} \\ & \leq Cn^{-s} E \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (Y_k - EY_k) \right|^s \\ & \leq Cn^{-s} \left\{ \left[\exp \left(6 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \varphi^{1/2}(2^i) \right) \cdot n \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} EY_k^2 \right]^{s/2} + \sum_{k=1}^n E|Y_k|^s \right\} \\ & \leq Cn^{-s/2} \exp(3sa(n)) + Cn^{1-s}. \end{aligned}$$

By $s > 2$ and Remark 2.1, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s/2} \exp(3sa(n))$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-s}$ converge, and hence (2.2) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove that (1.1) implies (1.3). We can assume that $0 \leq \psi(1) < \infty$ by the subsequence method. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By $E|X| < \infty$, there exists a positive integer number $M = M(\varepsilon)$ such that $E|X|I(|X| > M) \leq \varepsilon/(8 + 8\psi(1))$. Note that by $EX = 0$,

$$\left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m X_k \right| > \varepsilon n \right\} \subset \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (X_k I(|X_k| \leq M) - EX_k I(|X_k| \leq M)) \right| > \varepsilon n/2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (X_k I(|X_k| > M) - EX_k I(|X_k| > M)) \right| > \varepsilon n/2 \right\},$$

and by $E|X|I(|X| > M) \leq \varepsilon/(8 + 8\psi(1)) \leq \varepsilon/8$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (X_k I(|X_k| > M) - EX_k I(|X_k| > M)) \right| > \varepsilon n/2 \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |X_k| I(|X_k| > M) > (\varepsilon/2 - E|X|I(|X| > M))n \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |X_k| I(|X_k| > M) > 3\varepsilon n/8 \right\} \\ & \subset \cup_{k=1}^n \{|X_k| > n\} \cup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |X_k| I(M < |X_k| \leq n) > 3\varepsilon n/8 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $n > M$. Since $|X_n I(|X_n| \leq M)| \leq M$ for all $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (X_k I(|X_k| \leq M) - EX_k I(|X_k| \leq M)) \right| > \varepsilon n/2 \right\} < \infty,$$

and by $E|X| < \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\cup_{k=1}^n \{|X_k| > n\}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > n\} \leq CE|X| < \infty.$$

Therefore, to prove (1.3), it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |X_k| I(M < |X_k| \leq n) > 3\varepsilon n/8 \right\} < \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

Set $X_{nk} = |X_k| I(M < |X_k| \leq n)$, $\mathcal{F}_{n,k} = \sigma(X_{ni} : 1 \leq i \leq k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\mathcal{F}_{n,0} =$

$\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. By Lemma 2.1 and $E|X|I(|X| > M) \leq \varepsilon/(8 + 8\psi(1))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n (|E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) - EX_{nk}| + |EX_{nk}|) \\ &\leq (1 + \psi(1)) \sum_{k=1}^n E|X_{nk}| \\ &\leq (1 + \psi(1)) \sum_{k=1}^n E|X_k|I(|X_k| > M) \\ &\leq \varepsilon n/8. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove (2.3), it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (X_{nk} - E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})) \right| > \varepsilon n/4 \right\} < \infty.$$

In fact, note that $\{X_{nk} - E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}), 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ is a sequence of martingale difference for any $n \geq M + 1$, then by the Markov inequality, the martingale property and using a standard method,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (X_{nk} - E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})) \right| > \varepsilon n/4 \right\} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (X_{nk} - E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})) \right)^2 \\ &= C \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_{nk} - E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}))^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n EX_{nk}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} EX^2 I(|X| \leq n) \\ &\leq CE|X| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (1.3) holds.

By the same argument as Chen et al. (2006), (1.3) implies (1.4). And (1.1) and (1.4) are equivalent by Corollary in Blum et al. (1963). So we complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. The research of Liu is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 71471075) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University (No. 15JNKY003). Humanities and social science Foundation of Ministry of Education, P. R. China 14YJAZH052.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. BAUM, M. KATZ, *Convergence rate in the law of large numbers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 108–123.
- [2] J. R. BLUM, D. L. HANSON, L. H. KOOPMANS, *On the strong law of large numbers for a class of stochastic processes*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **2** (1963), 1–11.
- [3] P. CHEN, T.-C. HU, AND A. VOLODIN, *A note on the rate of complete convergence for maximum of partial sums for moving average processes in Rademacher type Banach spaces*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **21** (2006), 45–55.
- [4] P. HSU, H. ROBBINS, *Complete convergence and the law of large numbers*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **33** (1947), 25–31.
- [5] D. HU, P. CHEN, H. S. SUNG, *Strong laws for weighted sums of ψ -mixing random variables and applications in errors-in-variables regression models*, Test **26** (2017), 600–617.
- [6] Z. Y. LIN, C. Y. LU, *Limit Theory for Mixing Random Variables*, Kluwer Academic Publishers/Science Press, Dordrecht/Beijing, 1997.
- [7] F. SPITZER, *A combinatorial lemma and its application to probability theory*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 323–339.
- [8] Q. SHAO, *A moment inequality and its application*, Acta Math. Sin. **31** (1988), 736–747 (in Chinese).
- [9] W. F. STOUT, *Almost Sure Convergence*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

(Received October 19, 2017)

Xiangdong Liu
Department of Statistics
Jinan University
Guangzhou, 510630, P. R. China
e-mail: tliuxd@jnu.edu.cn

Xiaojie Jin
Department of Statistics
Jinan University
Guangzhou, 510630, P. R. China