

## A NOTE ON "REMARKS ON SOME INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE MATRICES AND QUESTIONS FOR BOURIN"

JIANGUO ZHAO\* AND QI JIANG

(Communicated by Josip Pečarić)

*Abstract.* Let  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Then

$$\sigma\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i B_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^r \prec_{w\log} \sigma\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i\right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m B_i\right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i\right)^{\frac{r}{4}}\right),$$

where  $r \geq 1$ . This result is a refinement of M. Hayajneh, S. Hayajneh and F. Kittaneh's result.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout, let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the space of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. For  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , denotes  $\lambda_j(A)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) by the eigenvalues of  $A$  with  $|\lambda_1(A)| \geq |\lambda_2(A)| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n(A)|$ . The singular values of  $A$  denoted as  $\sigma_j(A)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), i.e., the eigenvalues of the positive semidefinite matrix  $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , arranged as  $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A)$ , where  $A^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $A$ . Let  $\lambda(A) := (\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$ , and  $\sigma(A) := (\sigma_1(A), \sigma_2(A), \dots, \sigma_n(A))$  be the vector of eigenvalues and the singular values of  $A$ , respectively. For two Hermitian matrices  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $A \leq (<) B$  means  $B - A$  is positive semidefinite (definite). A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is called a unitarily invariant norm if  $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$  for  $A, U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n$  with  $U, V$  are unitaries.  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

Let us recall some definitions of majorizations. Given a real vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ , we rearrange its components as  $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ . For  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ , if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then we say that  $x$  is weakly majorized by  $y$  and denotes by  $x \prec_w y$ . If  $x \prec_w y$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ , then we say that  $x$  is majorized by  $y$  and denotes by  $x \prec y$ . Further, if

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 15A60, 15A18.

*Keywords and phrases:* Weak log-majorization, unitarily invariant norms, positive definite matrices.

\* Corresponding author.

$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{R}_+^n$  and

$$\prod_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then we say that  $x$  is weakly log-majorized by  $y$  and denotes by  $x \prec_{wlog} y$ . If  $x \prec_{wlog} y$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$ , then we say that  $x$  is log-majorized by  $y$  and denotes by  $x \prec_{log} y$ . It is well-known that if  $x \prec_{wlog} y$ , then  $x \prec_w y$ .

For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the  $t$ -geometric mean of  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  with  $A, B > 0$  is defined as:

$$A \sharp_t B := A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^t A^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1)$$

When  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$  is the geometric mean of  $A$  and  $B$ . For convenience, we write  $A \sharp B$  instead of  $A \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$ .  $A \sharp B$  has an extremal property (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 4.1.3]):

$$A \sharp B = \max \left\{ X : X = X^*, \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Very recently, M. Hayajneh, S. Hayajneh and F. Kittaneh [4, Theorem 2] obtained: Let  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Then for all unitarily invariant norms,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \right\| \leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \quad (3)$$

Inequality (3) is a refinement of the following inequality obtained by Audenaert [1]: Let  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Then for all unitarily invariant norms,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \right\| \leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \right\|. \quad (4)$$

Lin [7] and Hoa [6] presented a different proof for inequality (4), respectively. Inequality (4) gave an affirmative answer to J. C. Bourin's question: Given two positive semidefinite matrices  $A, B$  and two positive real numbers  $p, q$ , is it true that

$$\|A^{p+q} + B^{p+q}\| \leq \|(A^p + B^p)(A^q + B^q)\| ? \quad (5)$$

In this short note, we will present an inequality for weak log-majorizations, which makes inequality (3) as a special case.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we mainly present an inequality for weak log-majorizations. To achieve our goal, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma was obtained by J. Matharu and J. Aujla [8, Theorem 2.10].

LEMMA 1. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  with  $A, B > 0$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\lambda(A \#_t B) \prec_{w \log} \lambda(A^{1-t} B^t).$$

The next lemma was given by Hiai [5, Theorem 3.4].

LEMMA 2. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  with  $A, B > 0$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\lambda\left(\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^r\right) \prec_{w \log} \lambda\left(A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^r A^{\frac{r}{2}}\right),$$

for  $r \geq 1$ .

In the sequel, we present the famous Fan dominance theorem (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 4.24]).

LEMMA 3. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . Then

$$\sigma(A) \prec_w \sigma(B) \Leftrightarrow \|A\| \leq \|B\|$$

for any unitarily invariant norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

The lemma 4 was due to Bourin and Uchiyama [3, Theorem 1.2].

LEMMA 4. Let  $A, B \geq 0$  and  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a convex function with  $g(0) = 0$ . Then for every unitarily invariant norm  $\|\cdot\|$

$$\|g(A) + g(B)\| \leq \|g(A + B)\|.$$

It is now time to present the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Then

$$\sigma\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^r\right) \prec_{w \log} \sigma\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i\right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m B_i\right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i\right)^{\frac{r}{4}}\right), \tag{6}$$

where  $r \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We firstly consider the case  $A_i, B_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Since  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), we have  $A_i \# B_i = A_i^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^{\frac{1}{2}} = (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . By the relation (2), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_i & (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} & B_i \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , which implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i & \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Using the relation (2) again, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \sharp \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right),$$

which implies

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^r \right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \sharp \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \right)^r, \tag{7}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \sharp \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \right)^r &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^r \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^r \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^r \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Combining inequalities (7) and (8), we get the desired inequality (6).

For the general case, replacing  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  by  $A_i + \varepsilon I_n$  and  $B_i + \varepsilon I_n$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , respectively, and repeating the same process as above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i + \varepsilon I_n) (B_i + \varepsilon I_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^r \right) \\ &\prec_{wlog} \sigma \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i + \varepsilon I_n) \right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (B_i + \varepsilon I_n) \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i + \varepsilon I_n) \right)^{\frac{r}{4}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , by continuity, we can also get inequality (6).

This completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 1. Let  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Putting  $r = 2$  in inequality (6), we obtain

$$\sigma \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \right) \prec_{wlog} \sigma \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

which implies,

$$\sigma\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (A_i B_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2\right) \prec_w \sigma\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m B_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{9}$$

By Lemma 3, inequality (9) is equivalent to inequality (3). Therefore, inequality (6) is a refinement of inequality (3).

REMARK 2. Let  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$  be positive semidefinite matrices with  $A_i B_i = B_i A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). By Lemma 4, we have (taking  $g(x) = x^2$ )

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i B_i \right\| \leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \right\|. \tag{10}$$

Combining inequalities (9) and (10), we get

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i B_i \right\| \leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \tag{11}$$

Noting the fact: If  $X$  and  $Y$  are matrices with  $XY$  is Hermitian, then  $\|XY\| \leq \|YX\|$  for all unitarily invariant norms  $\|\cdot\|$ , inequality (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i B_i \right\| &\leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right) \right\|. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, let  $A$  and  $B$  be two positive semidefinite matrices and  $p, q$  be two positive real numbers. Taking  $m = 2, A_1 = A^p, B_1 = A^q, A_2 = B^p, B_2 = B^q$  in inequality (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| A^{p+q} + B^{p+q} \right\| &\leq \left\| (A^p + B^p)^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^q + B^q) (A^p + B^p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| (A^p + B^p) (A^q + B^q) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is just the inequality (5). So, we also get an affirmative answer to Bourin’s question.

*Acknowledgement.* Special thanks to the anonymous referee and the editor for their kind work.

This work is supported by the National Natural Foundation of China (Grant No. 11161040).

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. M. R. AUDENAERT, *A norm inequality for pairs of commuting positive semidefinite matrices*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra., **30**, (2015), 80–84.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton University Press, 2007.
- [3] J. BOURIN AND M. UCHIYAMA, *A matrix subadditivity inequality for  $f(A+B)$  and  $f(A)+f(B)$* , Linear Algebra Appl., **423**, (2007), 512–518.
- [4] M. HAYAJNEH, S. HAYAJNEH AND F. KITTANEH, *Remarks on some norm inequalities for positive semidefinite matrices and questions of Bourin*, Math. Inequal. Appl., Preprint.
- [5] F. HIAI, *Log-majorizations and norm inequalities for exponential operators*, In Linear Operators, Banach Center Publications **38**, (1997), 119–181.
- [6] D. HOA, *An inequality for  $t$ -geometric means*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **19(2)**, (2016), 765–768.
- [7] M. LIN, *Remarks on two recent results of Audenaert*, Linear Algebra Appl., **489**, (2016), 24–29.
- [8] J. S. MATHARU AND J. S. AUJLA, *Some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms*, Linear Algebra Appl., **436**, (2012), 1623–1631.
- [9] X. ZHAN, *Matrix Theory*, Higher Education Press, In Chinese, 2008.

(Received November 8, 2016)

*Jianguo Zhao*  
*School of Mathematics and Statistics*  
*Yangtze Normal University*  
*Fuling, 408100, Chongqing, P. R. China*  
*e-mail: jgzhaodj@163.com*

*Qi Jiang*  
*College of Science*  
*Shihezi University*  
*Shihezi, 832003, Xinjiang, P. R. China*  
*e-mail: jiangqi@shzu.edu.cn*