

ON APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTION IN GENERALIZED
ZYGmund CLASS USING $C^\eta T$ OPERATOR

H. K. NIGAM AND MD. HADISH

(Communicated by M. Mursaleen)

Abstract. In the present work, we, for the first time, study the error estimates of a function h (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class Z_r^μ ($r \geq 1$) by Cesàro-Matrix ($C^\eta T$) product means of its Fourier series (F. S.). The results obtained in the paper provide the best approximation of the function h in Z_q^μ ($q \geq 1$) class. Our Theorem 2.1 generalizes eight previously known results. Thus, the results of Singh and Srivastava [29], Lal and Kushwaha [25], Lal [23], Nigam and Sharma [10], Nigam [8], Lal [22] and Uğur Değ̃er [28] become the particular cases of our Theorem 2.1. Several useful results in the form of corollaries are also achieved from the main theorems.

1. Introduction

The study of error approximation of a function h in Lipschitz classes and Hölder classes using different single means have been a centre of creative study for the researchers [2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 14, 16, 15, 17, 21, 24] in past few decades.

The study of error approximation of a function h in Lipschitz classes and Hölder class using different product means have also been a centre of creative study among the researchers [8, 9, 11, 23, 24, 25].

Here, it is important to note that the results obtained in all the above works could not provide the best approximation of the function. This fact strongly motivates us to consider a more advanced class of a function in order to obtain the best error approximation of a function h by a trigonometric polynomial of degree, not more than j .

Therefore, in the present work, we, for the first time, obtain the results on the best error approximations of the function h in generalized Zygmund class Z_r^μ , ($r \geq 1$) by Cesàro-Matrix ($C^\eta T$) product means of F. S. It is worthwhile to mention here that we have used the most generalized product operator for Cesàro-Matrix operator. In fact, we establish two theorems on the degree of approximation of a function h (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class Z_r^μ ($r \geq 1$) by Cesàro-Matrix ($C^\eta T$) product means of its F. S. Our Theorem 2.1 generalizes several previously known results. Thus, the results of [8, 10, 22, 23, 25, 28, 29] become the particular cases of our Theorem 2.1. Since $C^\eta T$ is the most generalized product means so we also deduce several corollaries from our main theorems.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 41A10, 41A25, 42B05, 42A50, 40G05, 40C05.

Keywords and phrases: Error estimates, generalized Zygmund class, Cesàro-Matrix operator, Fourier series.

Let $C_{2\pi}$ is a Banach space of all periodic functions with period 2π and continuous on the interval $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ under the supremum norm.

The best j -order error approximation of a function $h \in C_{2\pi}$ (Bernstein [26]) is defined by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j} \|h - t_j\|,$$

where t_j is a trigonometric polynomial of degree j .

Let

$$L^q[0, 2\pi] := \left\{ h : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_0^{2\pi} |h(x)|^q dx < \infty \right\}, q \geq 1,$$

be the space of all functions (2π -periodic and integrable).

We define $\|\cdot\|_q$ by

$$\|h\|_q = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(x)|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{for } 1 \leq q < \infty \\ \text{ess sup}_{h \in (0, 2\pi)} |h(x)|, & \text{for } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

As defined in Zygmund [1] $u : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function with $u(\xi) > 0$ for $0 < \xi \leq 2\pi$ and $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} u(\xi) = u(0) = 0$.

Now we define,

$$Z_q^{(u)} := \left\{ h \in L^q[0, 2\pi] : q \geq 1, \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\|h(\cdot + \xi) + h(\cdot - \xi) - 2h(\cdot)\|_q}{u(\xi)} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|h\|_q^{(u)} := \|h\|_q + \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\|h(\cdot + \xi) + h(\cdot - \xi) - 2h(\cdot)\|_q}{u(\xi)}, q \geq 1.$$

Here, the space $Z_q^{(u)}$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_q^{(u)}$.

One can discuss the completeness of the space $Z_q^{(u)}$ by considering the completeness of L^q ; $q \geq 1$.

We define

$$Z_q^{(v)} := \left\{ h \in L^q[0, 2\pi] : q \geq 1, \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\|h(\cdot + \xi) + h(\cdot - \xi) - 2h(\cdot)\|_q}{v(\xi)} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|h\|_q^{(v)} := \|h\|_q + \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\|h(\cdot + \xi) + h(\cdot - \xi) - 2h(\cdot)\|_q}{v(\xi)}, q \geq 1.$$

REMARK 1. $u(\xi)$ and $v(\xi)$ denote moduli of continuity of order 2 [1].

Considering $\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}$ as non-negative and non-decreasing, then

$$\|h\|_q^{(v)} \leq \max \left(1, \frac{u(2\pi)}{v(2\pi)} \right) \|h\|_q^{(u)} < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$Z_q^{(u)} \subset Z_q^{(v)} \subset L^q, q \geq 1.$$

REMARK 2.

- (i) If we take $q \rightarrow \infty$ in $Z_q^{(u)}$ then $Z_q^{(u)}$ reduces to $Z^{(u)}$.
- (ii) If we take $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ in $Z^{(u)}$ then $Z^{(u)}$ reduces to Z_α .
- (iii) If we take $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ in $Z_q^{(u)}$ then $Z_q^{(u)}$ reduces to $Z_{\alpha,q}$.
- (iv) If we take $q \rightarrow \infty$ in $Z_{\alpha,q}$ then $Z_{\alpha,q}$ reduces to Z_α .
- (v) Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 1$. If $u(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_2}$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$, then $\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}$ is increasing, but $\frac{u(\xi)}{\xi v(\xi)}$ is a decreasing function of ξ .

One can find the detailed work on F. S. in [1].

Let $\sum_{j=0}^\infty d_j$ be an infinite series such that $s_k = \sum_{v=0}^k d_v$.

The j^{th} partial sum of the F. S. is denoted by $s_j(h;x)$ and is given by

$$s_j(h;x) - h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(x, \xi) \frac{\sin(j + \frac{1}{2})i}{\sin(\frac{\xi}{2})} d\xi$$

[1].

Let $T = (a_{j,k})$ be an infinite triangular matrix satisfying the conditions of regularity [20] i.e.,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=0}^j a_{j,k} = 1, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \\ a_{j,k} = 0, \quad \text{for } j < k \\ \sum_{k=0}^j |a_{j,k}| \leq M, \quad \text{a finite constant.} \end{array} \right. \tag{1}$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$t_j^T(h; \cdot) := \sum_{k=0}^j a_{j,k} s_k = \sum_{k=0}^j a_{j,j-k} s_{j-k}$$

defines the sequence $t_j^T(h; \cdot)$ of a triangular matrix means of the sequence $\{s_j\}$ generated by the sequence of coefficients $(a_{j,k})$.

If $t_j^T(h;x) \rightarrow s$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ then the infinite series $\sum_{j=0}^\infty d_j$ or the sequence $\{s_j\}$ is summable to s by a triangular matrix (T -method) [1].

Following [6], let us write $S_j^0 = s_j$, $S_j^\eta = S_0^1 + S_1^{\eta-1} + \dots + S_j^{\eta-1}$ and E_j^η for the value of S_j^η when $d_0 = 1$ and $d_j = 0$ for $j > 0$, that is, when $s_j = 1$ for all j .

If $C_j^\eta = \frac{S_j^\eta}{E_j^\eta} \rightarrow s$, when $j \rightarrow \infty$, then we say that $\sum d_j$ is summable C^η (Cesàro means of order $\eta > -1$) to sum s , where $S_j^\eta = \sum (\frac{j-v+\eta-1}{\eta-1}) s_v$ and $E_j^\eta = (\frac{j+\eta}{\eta})$.

NOTE 1. $(C, 0)$ means is an ordinary convergence.

The product of C^η means with T means defines $C^\eta T$ means and is given by

$$t_j^{C^\eta.T}(h; x) := \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,k} s_k(h; x). \tag{2}$$

If $t_j^{C^\eta T}(h; x) \rightarrow s$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j=0}^\infty d_j$ is said to be summable to s by $C^\eta T$ method.

NOTE 2. The regularity of C^η and T methods implies the regularity of $C^\eta T$ product method.

Particular cases of $C^\eta T$ means: $C^\eta T$ means reduces to

- (i) $C^\eta(H, \frac{1}{r+1})$ or $C^\eta H$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{(r-m+1)\log(r+1)}$.
- (ii) $C^\eta(N, p_r)$ or $C^\eta N_p$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_{r-m}}{P_r}$ where $P_r = \sum_{m=0}^r p_m \neq 0$.
- (iii) $(C, \eta)(\bar{N}, p_r)$ or $C^\eta \bar{N}_p$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_m}{P_r}$.
- (iv) $(C, \eta)(E, q)$ or $C^\eta E^q$ means when $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \binom{r}{m} q^{r-m}$
- (v) $(C, \eta)(E, 1)$ or $C^\eta E^1$ when $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{2^r} \binom{r}{m}$
- (vi) $(C, \eta)(N, p, q)$ or $C^\eta N_{pq}$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_{r-m} q_m}{R_r}$ where $R_r = \sum_{m=0}^r p_m q_{r-m}$.

In above particular case (ii), (iii), and (vi) p_r and q_r are two non-negative monotonic non-increasing sequences of real constants.

REMARK 3. $C^1 H, C^1 N_p, C^1 N_{pq}, C^1 E^q$ and $C^1 E^1$ are also the particular cases of $C^\eta T$ for $\eta = 1$.

REMARK 4: (EXAMPLE). We consider

$$1 - 4038 \sum_{j=1}^\infty (-4037)^{j-1}. \tag{3}$$

The j^{th} partial sum of the above series is given by

$$s_j = (-4037)^j$$

we take $a_{j,k} = \frac{1}{(2019)^j} \binom{j}{k} 2018^{j-k}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} t_j^T &= a_{j,0} s_0 + a_{j,1} s_1 + \dots + a_{j,j} s_j \\ &= \frac{1}{(2019)^j} \left[\binom{j}{0} 2018^j - \binom{j}{1} (2018)^{j-1} .4037 + \dots + \binom{j}{j} (-4037)^j \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2019)^j} (-2019)^j = (-1)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$(-1)^j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } j \text{ is even number} \\ -1, & \text{when } j \text{ is odd number.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Then, the series (3) is not summable by T means. The series (3) is also not summable by C^η means for $\eta = 1$. But the series (3) is summable by $C^\eta T$ means for $\eta = 1$ as (4) is summable by C^η for $\eta = 1$. Thus, we can observe that product means are more effective than the individual single means.

We write,

$$K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} \frac{\sin(r-k+\frac{1}{2})\xi}{\sin\frac{\xi}{2}}$$

$$\tau = \text{integral part of } \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)$$

$$\phi(x, \xi) = h(x + \xi) + h(x - \xi) - 2h(x).$$

We mention here some standard inequalities which are used in the paper.

$$\frac{1}{\sin(\frac{\xi}{2})} \leq \frac{\pi}{\xi}, \quad 0 < \xi \leq \pi \tag{5}$$

$$\sin \xi \leq \xi, \quad \xi \geq 0 \tag{6}$$

Zygmund ([1]).

NOTE 3. We also use the following condition in our main theorems

$$\begin{cases} a_{j,j-k} - a_{j+1,j+1-k} \geq 0, & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq j \\ A_{j,k} = \sum_{r=k}^j a_{j,j-r} \text{ and } A_{j,0} = 1, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

REMARK 5. Considering the matrix $T = (a_{j,k})$ as

$$a_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{2 \times 3^k}{3^{j+1} - 1}, & 0 \leq k \leq j \\ 0, & k > j, \end{cases}$$

we can check all conditions of T method as defined in (1) and also satisfies condition (7).

2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. *If a function h is 2π -periodic and Lebesgue integrable then the error approximation of h in $Z_q^{(u)}$, $q \geq 1$ class by $C^\eta T$ product means of its F. S. is given by*

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta T}} \|t_j^{C^\eta T}(h;x) - h(x)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^{2v}(\xi)} d\xi \right],$$

where $C^\eta T$ is as defined in (2), u and v denote moduli of continuity of order two such that $\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing provided (7) holds.

THEOREM 2.2. *If a function h is 2π -periodic and Lebesgue integrable then the error approximation of h in $Z_q^{(u)}$, $q \geq 1$ class by $C^\eta T$ product means of its F. S. is given by*

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta T}} \|t_j^{C^\eta T}(h;x) - h(x)\|_q^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{u\left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)} \log(j+1)\right),$$

where $C^\eta T$ is as defined in (2), u and v denote moduli of continuity of order two such that $\frac{u(\xi)}{\xi v(\xi)}$ is non-negative and decreasing provided (7) holds.

3. Lemmas

LEMMA 3.1. *If the conditions of (1) and (7) holds for $\{a_{j,k}\}$, then*

$$|K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi)| = O(j+1) \quad \forall \eta \geq 1, \quad 0 < \xi \leq \frac{\pi}{j+1}.$$

Proof. For $0 < \xi \leq \frac{\pi}{j+1}$, using (5) and (6)

$$\begin{aligned} |K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} \frac{\sin(r-k+\frac{1}{2})\xi}{\sin\frac{\xi}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} \left| \frac{\sin(r-k+\frac{1}{2})\xi}{\sin\frac{\xi}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} (2r-2k+1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} (2r+1) A_{r,0} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{(r+\eta-1)!}{(\eta-1)! r!} \times \frac{\eta! j!}{(\eta+j)!} (2r+1) \quad \text{since } A_{r,0} = 1 \\ &= \frac{j!}{4(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \times \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{(2r+1)(r+\eta-1)! \eta}{r!} \\ &= \frac{j!}{4(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{(2r+1)\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+r-1)}{r!} \\ &= \frac{j!}{4(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \left[1 + 3\eta + \dots + \frac{(2j+1)\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j-1)}{j!} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{j!}{4(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \left[(j+1) \times \frac{(2j+1)\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j-1)}{j!} \right] \\ &= \frac{(j+1)(2j+1)\eta}{4(\eta+j)} \leq \frac{(2j+1)\eta}{4} \leq \frac{(j+1)\eta}{2} = O(j+1) \text{ for all } \eta \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If the conditions of (1) and (7) holds for $\{a_{j,k}\}$, then*

$$\left| K_j^{C^{\eta T}}(\xi) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{(j+1)\xi^2} \right) \quad \forall \eta \geq 1, \quad \frac{\pi}{n+1} \leq \xi \leq \pi.$$

Proof. For $\frac{\pi}{j+1} \leq \xi \leq \pi$, using (5)

$$\begin{aligned} |K_j^{C^{\eta T}}(\xi)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} \frac{\sin(r-k+\frac{1}{2})\xi}{\sin \frac{\xi}{2}} \right| \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\xi} \right) \left| \operatorname{Im} \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} e^{i(r-k+\frac{1}{2})\xi} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now we take,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} e^{i(r-k+\frac{1}{2})\xi} \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{r=0}^r \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} a_{r,r-k} e^{i(r-k)\xi} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} a_{r,r-k} e^{i(r-k)\xi} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=\tau+1}^r a_{r,r-k} e^{i(r-k)\xi} \right| \\ &\leq M_1 + M_2 + M_3, \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\tau} \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} \left| e^{i(r-k)\xi} \right| \leq \sum_{r=0}^{\tau} \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} A_{r,0} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\tau} \frac{(r+\eta-1)!}{(\eta-1)! r!} \times \frac{\eta! j!}{(\eta+j)!} \quad \text{since, } A_{r,0} = 1 \\ &= \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \sum_{r=0}^{\tau} \frac{(r+\eta-1)! \eta}{r!} \\ &= \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+\tau)\dots(\eta+j)} \left[1 + \eta + \frac{\eta(\eta+1)}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\tau+\eta-1}{\tau!(\eta-1)!} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+\tau)\dots(\eta+j)} \left[(\tau+1) \frac{\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+\tau-1)}{\tau!} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\tau!(\tau+1)\dots j}{(\eta+\tau)\dots(\eta+j-1)} \times \frac{\eta}{\eta+j} \times \frac{\tau+1}{\tau!} \leq \frac{\eta}{\eta+j} \times (\tau+1) \leq \frac{\eta}{\eta+j} \times \left(\frac{1}{\xi} + 1\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1+\xi}{\xi(j+1)}\right) \text{ for all } \eta \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation and using Abel's transformation in M_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} a_{r,r-k} e^{i(r-k)\xi} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \left[\left\{ \sum_{r=\tau+1}^{j-1} \left(\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1} a_{r,r-k} - \binom{r+\eta}{\eta-1} a_{r+1,r+1-k} \right) \sum_{v=0}^r e^{i(v-k)\xi} \right\} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \binom{j+\eta-1}{\eta-1} a_{j,j-k} \sum_{v=0}^j e^{i(v-k)\xi} - \binom{\eta+\tau}{\eta-1} a_{\tau+1,\tau+1-k} \sum_{v=0}^{\tau} e^{i(v-k)\xi} \right] \right| \\
&= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{1}{\binom{\eta+n}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \left[\left| \sum_{r=\tau+1}^{j-1} \left(\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1} a_{r,r-k} - \binom{r+\eta}{\eta-1} a_{r+1,r+1-k} \right) \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \binom{j+\eta-1}{\eta-1} a_{j,j-k} \right| + \left| \binom{\eta+\tau}{\eta-1} a_{\tau+1,\tau+1-k} \right| \right] \\
&= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{1}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \left[\binom{\eta+\tau}{\eta-1} a_{\tau+1,\tau+1-k} + \binom{\eta+j-1}{\eta-1} a_{j,j-k} + \binom{\eta+j-1}{\eta-1} a_{j,j-k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{\eta+\tau}{\eta-1} a_{\tau+1,\tau+1-k} \right] \\
&= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{1}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \left[\binom{\eta+\tau}{\eta-1} a_{\tau+1,\tau+1-k} + \binom{\eta+j-1}{\eta-1} a_{j,j-k} \right] \\
&= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \left[\frac{\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+\tau)\dots(\eta+j)}{(\eta+\tau+1)\dots(\eta+j)(\tau+1)!} a_{\tau+1,\tau+1-k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j-1)(\eta+j)}{(\eta+j)j!} a_{j,j-k} \right] \\
&= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \times \frac{\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)}{(j+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} (a_{\tau,\tau-k} + a_{j,j-k}) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)} (A_{\tau,0} + A_{j,0})\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Abel's transformation in M_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3 &= \left| \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \left[\sum_{k=\tau+1}^{r-1} (a_{r,r-k} - a_{r,r-k+1}) \sum_{v=0}^k e^{i(r-v)\xi} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + a_{r,0} \sum_{v=0}^r e^{i(r-v)\xi} - a_{r,r-\tau-1} \sum_{v=0}^{\tau} e^{i(r-v)\xi} \right] \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(\xi^{-1}) \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \left[\left| \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{r-1} (a_{r,r-k} - a_{r,r-k+1}) \right| + a_{r,0} + a_{r,r-\tau-1} \right] \\
 &= O(\xi^{-1}) \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} [| -a_{r,r-\tau} + a_{r,1} | + a_{r,0} + a_{r,r-\tau-1}] \\
 &= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{1}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{r=\tau+1}^j \binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1} a_{r,r-\tau} \\
 &= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \times \frac{\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)}{j!(\eta+j)} \left[\frac{\eta\dots(\eta+\tau)}{(\tau+1)!} a_{\tau+1,1} + \dots \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \frac{\eta\dots(\eta+j-1)}{j!} a_{j,j-\tau} \right] \\
 &= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{j!}{(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)} \times \frac{\eta(\eta+1)\dots(\eta+j)}{j!(\eta+j)} [a_{\tau+1,1} + a_{\tau+2,2} + \dots + a_{j,j-\tau}] \\
 &= O(\xi^{-1}) \frac{\eta}{\eta+j} [a_{\tau+1,1} + a_{\tau+1,2} + \dots + a_{\tau+1,j-\tau}] = O\left(\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)}\right) A_{\tau+1,1} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(In view of $a_{r,r-k} \geq a_{r+1,r+1-k} \geq a_{r+1,r-k}$ and $A_{\tau+1,0} = 1$.)

Combining M_1 , M_2 and M_3 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1 + M_2 + M_3 &= O\left[\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)} \times (1 + \xi)\right] + O\left[\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)}\right] + O\left[\frac{1}{\xi(j+1)}\right] \\
 &= O\left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \left(1 + \frac{3}{\xi}\right)\right] \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{j+1} \times \frac{3 + \pi}{\xi}\right) \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

(Let $1 + \frac{3}{\xi} \leq \frac{k}{\xi}$ for ξ fixed $\implies k^{min} = 3 + \pi$.)

Now, from (8), (9) and (10) we get

$$\left| K_j^{C^{\eta,T}}(\xi) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{(j+1)\xi^2}\right).$$

LEMMA 3.3. Let $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$, for $0 < \xi \leq \pi$,

If $u(\xi)$ and $v(xi)$ are defined as in Theorem 2.1, then $\|\phi(\cdot + y, \xi) + \phi(\cdot - y, \xi) - 2\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q = O\left(v(|y|) \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}\right)$.

Proof. We can prove this lemma along the same lines of the proof of [24, p.93].

4. Proof of the theorems

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. Following Zygmund [1], we have

$$s_j(h; x) - h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(x, \xi) \frac{\sin(j + \frac{1}{2})\xi}{\sin(\frac{\xi}{2})} d\xi.$$

Denoting $C^\eta T$ means of $\{s_j(h; x)\}$ by $t_j^{C^\eta T}(h; x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_j^{C^\eta T}(h; x) - h(x) &= \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,k} [s_k(h; x) - h(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(x, \xi) \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \sum_{k=0}^r a_{r,r-k} \frac{\sin(r-k + \frac{1}{2})\xi}{\sin(\frac{\xi}{2})} d\xi \\ &= \int_0^\pi \phi(x, \xi) K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let

$$R_j(x) = t_n^{C^\eta T}(h; x) - h(x) = \int_0^\pi \phi(x, \xi) K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (12)$$

Then,

$$R_j(x+y) + R_j(x-y) - 2R_j(x) = \int_0^\pi [\phi(x+y, \xi) + \phi(x-y, \xi) - 2\phi(x, \xi)] K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Using the generalized Minkowski inequality [4, p. 31], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|R_j(\cdot + y) + R_j(\cdot - y) - 2R_j(\cdot)\|_q \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |R_j(x+y) + R_j(x-y) - 2R_j(x)|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \int_0^\pi \|\phi(\cdot + y, \xi) + \phi(\cdot - y, \xi) - 2\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q |K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} \|\phi(\cdot + y, \xi) + \phi(\cdot - y, \xi) - 2\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q |K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^\pi \|\phi(\cdot + y, \xi) + \phi(\cdot - y, \xi) - 2\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q |K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &= I_1 + I_2 \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and the monotonicity of $\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}$ with respect to ξ , we have

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} \|\phi(\cdot + y, \xi) + \phi(\cdot - y, \xi) - 2\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q |K_j^{C^\eta T}(\xi)| d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O\left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} v(|y|) \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} (j+1) d\xi\right) = O\left((j+1) v(|y|) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi\right) \\
 &= O\left((j+1) v(|y|) \frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} 1 d\xi\right) \\
 &= O\left(v(|y|) \frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}\right). \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \|\phi(\cdot + y, \xi) + \phi(\cdot - y, \xi) - 2\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q \|K_j^{C^{\eta T}}(\xi)\| d\xi \\
 &= O\left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} v(|y|) \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} (j+1)^{-1} \xi^{-2} d\xi\right) \\
 &= O\left((j+1)^{-1} v(|y|) \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} \xi^{-2} d\xi\right). \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (13), (14) and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|R_j(\cdot + y) + R_j(\cdot - y) - 2R_j(\cdot)\|_q &= O\left(v(|y|) \frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}\right) \\
 &\quad + O\left((j+1)^{-1} v(|y|) \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} \xi^{-2} d\xi\right) \\
 \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|R_j(\cdot + y) + R_j(\cdot - y) - 2R_j(\cdot)\|_q}{v(|y|)} &= O\left(\frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}\right) + O\left((j+1)^{-1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} \xi^{-2} d\xi\right). \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and $\|\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q = O(u(\xi))$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|R_j(\cdot)\|_q &\leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi}\right) \|\phi(\cdot, \xi)\|_q \|K_j^{C^{\eta T}}(\xi)\| d\xi \\
 &= O\left((j+1) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{j+1}} u(\xi) d\xi\right) + O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} u(\xi) d\xi\right) \\
 &= O\left(u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} u(\xi) d\xi\right). \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\|R_j(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = \|R_j(\cdot)\|_q + \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|R_j(\cdot + y) + R_j(\cdot - y) - 2R_j(\cdot)\|_q}{v(y)}.$$

Using (16) and (17) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_j(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} &= O\left(u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} u(\xi) d\xi\right) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}\right) + O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi\right). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In view of the monotonicity of $v(\xi)$, we have

$$u(\xi) = \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} v(\xi) \leq v(\pi) \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} = O\left(\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}\right) \text{ for } 0 < \xi \leq \pi.$$

Hence, for $\xi = \frac{\pi}{j+1}$, we have

$$O\left(u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}\right) \tag{19}$$

and by the monotonicity of $v(\xi)$, we have

$$\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\xi^{-2} u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} v(\xi) d\xi \leq \frac{\pi}{j+1} v(\pi) \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\xi^{-2} u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi = O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi\right). \tag{20}$$

Now, using (19) and (20) in (18), we get

$$\|R_j(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}\right) + O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi\right). \tag{21}$$

Using the fact that $\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\xi^{-2} u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi &\geq \frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)} \frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \xi^{-2} d\xi \geq \frac{u\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right)} \\ &= O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\xi^{-2} u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} d\xi\right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From (20) and (21), we have

$$\|R_j(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{\pi}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^2 v(\xi)} d\xi\right).$$

Hence,

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^{\eta T}}} \|R_j(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{1}{j+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^2 v(\xi)} d\xi\right).$$

4.2. Proof of the Theorem 2.2

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\inf_{t_n^{C^\eta T}} \|t_j^{C^\eta T}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^\pi \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^2 v(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

For non-negative and non-increasing $\frac{u(\xi)}{\xi v(\xi)}$ with ξ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta T}} \|t_j^{C^\eta T}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} &= O \left(\frac{1}{j+1} (j+1) \frac{u(\frac{1}{j+1})}{v(\frac{1}{j+1})} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^\pi \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{u(\frac{1}{j+1})}{v(\frac{1}{j+1})} \log(j+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

5. Corollaries

COROLLARY 1. *If a function h is 2π -periodic and Lebesgue integrable then the error approximation of h in $Z_q^{(u)}$, $q \geq 1$ class by $C^\eta T$ product means of its F. S. is given by*

$$\inf_{t_n^{C^\eta T}} \|t_n^{C^\eta T}(h; x) - h(x)\|_q^{(v)} = \begin{cases} O((j+1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}), & 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 1 \\ O((j+1)^{-1}) \{\log(j+1)\}, & \alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $C^\eta T$ is as defined in (2), u and v denote the Zygmund moduli of continuity [1] such that $\frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing provided (7) holds.

Proof. Taking $u(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_2}, v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1, proof of this Corollary can be obtained.

COROLLARY 2. *The error approximation of a function $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$ by $C^\eta H$ means*

$$t_n^{C^\eta H} = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \frac{1}{\log(r+1)} \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{1}{(r-k+1)^{s_k}},$$

of the F. S. is given by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta H}} \|t_j^{C^\eta H}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^\pi \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^2 v(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

COROLLARY 3. The error approximation of a function $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$ by $C^\eta N_p$ means

$$t_j^{C^\eta N_p} = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \frac{1}{P_r} \sum_{k=0}^r P_{r-k} S_k,$$

of the F. S. is given by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta N_p}} \|t_j^{C^\eta N_p}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^{2\nu}(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

COROLLARY 4. The error approximation of a function $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$ by $C^\delta .N_{pq}$ means

$$t_j^{C^\eta N_{pq}} = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \frac{1}{R_r} \sum_{k=0}^r P_{r-k} Q_k S_k,$$

of the F. S. is given by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta N_{pq}}} \|t_j^{C^\eta N_{pq}}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^{2\nu}(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

COROLLARY 5. The error approximation of a function $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$ by $C^\eta \bar{N}_p$ means

$$t_j^{C^\eta \bar{N}_p} = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\delta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \frac{1}{P_r} \sum_{k=0}^r P_k S_k,$$

of the F. S. is given by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta \bar{N}_p}} \|t_j^{C^\eta \bar{N}_p}(h; x) - h(x)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^{2\nu}(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

COROLLARY 6. The error approximation of a function $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$ by $C^\eta E_q$ means

$$t_j^{C^\eta E_q} = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} q^{r-k} S_k,$$

of the F. S. is given by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta E_q}} \|t_j^{C^\eta E_q}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^{2\nu}(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

COROLLARY 7. The error approximation of a function $h \in Z_q^{(u)}$ by $C^\eta.E^1$ means

$$t_j^{C^\eta E^1} = \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{\binom{j-r+\eta-1}{\eta-1}}{\binom{\eta+j}{\eta}} \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} s_k,$$

of the F. S. is given by

$$E_j(h) = \inf_{t_j^{C^\eta E^1}} \|t_j^{C^\eta E^1}(h; \cdot) - h(\cdot)\|_q^{(v)} = O \left[\frac{1}{(j+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{j+1}}^{\pi} \frac{u(\xi)}{\xi^{2\nu}(\xi)} d\xi \right].$$

REMARK 6. The above corollaries can also be obtained for the particular cases $C^1H, C^1N_p, C^1N_{pq}, C^1\tilde{N}_p, C^1E^q$ and C^1E^1 in view of Remark 3.

REMARK 7. If we take $\beta = 0, \xi(t) = t^\alpha$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$ then $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class reduces to $\text{Lip } \alpha$ class and thus, the results of [8] and [22] reduces for $\text{Lip } \alpha$ class.

6. Particular cases

Some particular cases of our main results are:

- (i) If $\eta = 1, u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1 and also if $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, then the Theorem 2.1 of [29] become a particular case of our result.
- (ii) Let us take $\eta = 1$ and reduce matrix means T to the (E, q) in Theorem 2.1. Further to this, if $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1 and also if $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, then the main Theorem of [25] become a particular case of our result.
- (iii) Let us take $\eta = 1$ and reduce matrix means T to the N_p in Theorem 2.1. Further to this, if $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1 and also if $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, then the Theorem 1 of [23] become a particular case of our result.
- (iv) Let us take $\eta = 1$ and reduce matrix means T to (E, q) means in Theorem 2.1. Further to this, if $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1 and also if $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, then in view of remark 7, the results of [8] become a particular case of our result.
- (v) Let us take $\eta = 1$ and reduce matrix means T to $(E, 1)$ means in Theorem 2.1. Further to this, if $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1 and also if $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, then in view of remark 7, the results of [22] become a particular case of our result.
- (vi) Let us take $\eta = 1$ in Theorem 2.1. Further to this, if $u(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ and $v(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha_1}$ in Theorem 2.1 and also if $q \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, then the Theorem 2.3 of [28] become a particular case of our result.

Acknowledgement. The first author expresses his gratitude towards his mother for her blessings. The first author also expresses his gratitude towards his father in heaven, whose soul is always guiding and encouraging him. The first author is also thankful to Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India for his support to the work under the project 25/(0225)/13/EMR-II. The second author is thankful to the University Grants Commission, India for providing Junior Research fellowship(JRF) to carry out the present work as a part of Ph.D. degree. The second author also expresses his gratitude towards his parents for their blessings. Both the authors are also grateful to the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya for motivation to this work. Both the authors are highly thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 3rd rev. ed., 2002.
- [2] B. E. RHAODES, *On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to Lipschitz class by Hausdorff means of its Fourier series*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 34 (3), 2003, 245–247.
- [3] B.N. SAHNEY AND D.S. GOEL, *On the degree of continuous functions*, Ranchi University Math. Jour. 4, 1973, 50–53.
- [4] C. K. CHUI, *An Introduction to Wavelets. Wavelet Analysis and Its Applications, vol. 1*, Academic Press, San Diego 1992.
- [5] G. ALEXITS, *Convergence problems of orthogonal series*, Translated from German by I Folder. International series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 20, 1961.
- [6] G. H. HARDY, *Divergent Series*, Oxford University Press, 1949.
- [7] H. H. KHAN, *On degree of approximation of functions belonging to the class $Lip(\alpha, p)$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 5 (3), 1974, 132–136.
- [8] H. K. NIGAM, *Degree of approximation of a function belonging to weighted $(L_r, \xi(t))$ class by $(C, 1)(E, q)$ means*, Tamkang J. of Mathematics, vol. 42 (1), 2011, 31–37.
- [9] H. K. NIGAM, *Degree of approximation of functions belonging to $Lip\alpha$ class and weighted $(L_r, \xi(t))$ class by product summability method*, Surveys Math. Appl. 5, 2010, 113–122.
- [10] H. K. NIGAM AND K. SHARMA, *Degree of approximation of a class of a function by $(C, 1)(E, q)$ means of Fourier series*, IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 41:2, 2011.
- [11] J. K. KUSHWAHA AND B. P. DHAKAL, *Approximation of a function belonging to $Lip(\alpha, r)$ class by $N_{p,q}.C_1$ summability method of its Fourier series*, Nepal Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 14 (2), 2013, 117–122.
- [12] K. J. ANSARI, M. MURSALEEN AND S. RAHMAN, *Approximation by Jakimovski-Leviatan operators of Durrmeyer type involving multiple Appel polynomials*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Series A. Matemáticas, vol-113(2), 1007–1024.
- [13] K. S. TIWARI AND C. S. BARIWAL, *The degree of approximation of functions in the Hölder metric by Triangular matrix method of Fourier series*, international J. of pure and applied Mathematics Volume 76 (2)2012, 227–232.
- [14] K. QURESHI, *On the degree of approximation of a function belonging to the class $Lip\alpha$* , Indian J. pure Appl. Math. 13 (8), 1982, 898–903.
- [15] K. QURESHI, *On the degree of approximation of a periodic function f by almost $N^{\prime}orlund$ means*, Tamkang J. of Mathematics 12 (1), 1981, 35–38.
- [16] K. QURESHI AND H. K. NEHA, *A class of functions and their degree of approximation*, Ganita. 41 (1), 1990, 37–42.
- [17] L. LEINDLER, *Trigonometric approximation of functions in L_p norm*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 302, 2005.
- [18] M. MURSALEEN, K. J. ANSARI AND M. NASIRUZZAMAN, *Approximation by q -analogue of Jakimovski-Leviatan operators involving q -Appell polynomials*, Iranian J. of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science, vol-41(4), Dec 2017, 891–900.

- [19] M. MURSALEEN, S. RAHMAN AND K. J. ANSARI, *Approximation by generalized Stancu type integral operators involving Sheffer polynomials*, Carpathian J. of Mathematics, 34(2), 2018, 215–228.
- [20] O. TÖEPLITZ, *Überallgemeine lineare Mittelbildungen* P.M.F.22, 1913, 113–119.
- [21] P. CHANDRA, *Trigonometric approximation of functions in L_p norm*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (1), 2002, 13–26.
- [22] S. LAL, *On degree of approximation of functions belonging to the weighted $(L^p, \xi(t))$ class by $(C, 1)(E, 1)$ means*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics Vol 30, 1999, 47–52.
- [23] S. LAL, *Approximation of functions belonging to the generalized Lipschitz class by $C^1.N_p$ summability method of Fourier series*, Appl. Math. Comput. 209, 2009, 346–350.
- [24] S. LAL AND A. MISHRA, *The method of summation $(E, 1)(N, p_n)$ and Trigonometric Approximation of function in generalized Holder metric*, J. of Indian Math. Soc. Vol 80 (1-2), 2013, 87–98.
- [25] S. LAL AND J. K. KUSHWAHA, *Degree of approximation of Lipschitz function by product summability method*, International Mathematical Forum, 4 (43), 2009, 2101–2107.
- [26] S. N. BERNSHTEIN, *On the best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials of given degree*, in: Collected Works [in Russian], Izd. Nauk SSSR, Moscow Vol. 1, 1952, pp. 11–104.
- [27] U. SINGH, M. L. MITTAL AND S. SONKAR, *Trigonometric approximation of signals (function) belonging to $W(L', \xi(t))$ -class by matrix $C^1.N_p$ operator*, Int. J. Math Sci 2012 (2012) 1–11.
- [28] U. DEĞER, *On approximation to the functions in the $W(L_p, \xi(t))$ class by a new matrix mean*, Novi Sad J. Math. Vol. 46, (1), 2016, 1–14.
- [29] U. SINGH AND S. K. SRIVASTAVA, *Trigonometric approximation of functions belonging to certain Lipschitz classes by C^1T operator*, Asian-European J. Math., Vol. 7(4), 2014, 1450064.

(Received November 7, 2018)

H. K. Nigam
Department of Mathematics
Central University of South Bihar
Gaya, Bihar (India)
e-mail: hknigam@cusb.ac.in

Md. Hadish
Department of Mathematics
Central University of South Bihar
Gaya, Bihar (India)
e-mail: hadish@cusb.ac.in