

## THE $t$ -MODIFICATION OF HOMOGENEOUS SYMMETRIC MEANS CONCERNING COMPLETE ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS

MIAO-KUN WANG\* AND JIA-HUI WU

(Communicated by G. Nemes)

*Abstract.* In the article, we establish a monotonicity criterion for the  $t$ -modification of homogeneous symmetric mean, and provide several novel inequalities for certain homogeneous symmetric means concerning the complete elliptic integrals. Besides, we also give the answer to a conjecture recently proposed by Nishimura.

### 1. Introduction

As is well known, for  $r \in (0, 1)$ , Legendre's complete elliptic integrals  $\mathcal{K}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(r)$  of the first and second kinds are defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{-1/2} d\theta = \int_0^1 [(1-t^2)(1-r^2t^2)]^{-1/2} dt,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2} d\theta = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1-r^2t^2}{1-t^2} \right)^{1/2} dt$$

have always been playing an important role and wide applications in mathematics and physics as well as many other natural and human social sciences [1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20]. In the past few years, the complete elliptic integrals have been studied deeply in the theory of mean values, and some elegant inequalities for  $\mathcal{K}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(r)$  were derived in the literatures [2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 14, 23, 26].

Let  $M : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  be a continuous real-valued function. Then  $M$  is said to be a homogeneous symmetric mean (cf. [9, Definition 8.1]) if  $M$  satisfies

$$\min\{a, b\} \leq M(a, b) \leq \max\{a, b\}, \quad M(a, b) = M(b, a), \quad M(\lambda a, \lambda b) = \lambda M(a, b)$$

for all  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .  $M$  is said to be a strict homogeneous symmetric mean if  $\min\{a, b\} < M(a, b) < \max\{a, b\}$  for all  $a \neq b$ . Many classical means, for example, the

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 33E05, 26E60.

*Keywords and phrases:* Complete elliptic integrals, homogeneous symmetric means, monotonicity, inequality.

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11701176, 61673169, 11301127).

\* Corresponding author.

geometric mean  $G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ , arithmetic mean  $A(a, b) = (a + b)/2$ , contra-harmonic mean  $C(a, b) = (a^2 + b^2)/(a + b)$ , logarithmic mean

$$L(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{a - b}{\log a - \log b}, & a \neq b, \\ a, & a = b, \end{cases}$$

and arithmetic-geometric mean

$$AGM(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$$

of two positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  are the strict homogeneous symmetric means, where the sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a, & b_0 &= b, \\ a_{n+1} &= A(a_n, b_n) = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, & b_{n+1} &= G(a_n, b_n) = \sqrt{a_n b_n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Gauss identity [5, Theorem 4.4]

$$AGM(1, r) \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{1 - r^2}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{1.1}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} AGM(a, b) &= \frac{\pi/2}{\int_0^{\pi/2} (a^2 \cos^2 \theta + b^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{-1/2} d\theta} \\ &= \begin{cases} \pi a / \left[ 2 \mathcal{K} \left( \sqrt{1 - (b/a)^2} \right) \right], & a \geq b, \\ \pi b / \left[ 2 \mathcal{K} \left( \sqrt{1 - (a/b)^2} \right) \right], & a < b. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Due to the formula of the circumference of an ellipse, another important bivariate homogeneous symmetric mean  $T(a, b)$  (cf. [21] or [9, pp. 13]), named Toader mean, was reintroduced by Wang et al. in [24]:

$$T(a, b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (a^2 \cos^2 \theta + b^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2} d\theta = \begin{cases} 2a \mathcal{E} \left( \sqrt{1 - (b/a)^2} \right) / \pi, & a \geq b, \\ 2b \mathcal{E} \left( \sqrt{1 - (a/b)^2} \right) / \pi, & a < b. \end{cases}$$

By proving sharp bounds for Toader mean in terms of other classical means, Barnard, Pearce and Richards [7, 8], and Wang and Chu et. al [11, 12, 23, 25] have found many new inequalities for the complete elliptic integral  $\mathcal{E}(r)$ , as well as the perimeter of an ellipse.

It was proved in [5, Subsection 4.11] and [11, Theorem 3.1] that the inequalities

$$G(a, b) < L(a, b) < AGM(a, b) < A(a, b) < T(a, b) < C(a, b) \tag{1.2}$$

hold for all  $a, b > 0$ , and each inequality becomes equality if and only if  $a = b$ .

In 1994, in order to research the asymptotic behavior for  $AGM(a, b)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(r)$ , Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen [22] introduced the  $t$ -modification  $M(a, b; t)$  (called  $t$ -th  $M$  mean) of a strict homogeneous symmetric mean  $M(a, b)$  as follows

$$M(a, b; t) = \begin{cases} [M(a', b')]^{1/t}, & t \neq 0, \\ G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}, & t = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

It is apparent from (1.3) that  $M(a, b; 0) = G(a, b)$  and  $M(a, b; 1) = M(a, b)$  for any strict homogeneous symmetric mean  $M$ , and  $G(a, b; t) = G(a, b)$  and  $A(a, b; t)$  is the classical power (Hölder) mean of order  $t$ . Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen [22] also proved that

**THEOREM 1.1.** ([22, Theorem 1.2]) (1) *The  $t$ -th arithmetic-geometric mean  $AGM(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;*

(2) *The  $t$ -th logarithmic mean  $L(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2.** ([22, Theorems 1.1, 1.3, 3.4 and 3.6]) *The double inequalities*

$$A(a, b; 0) < AGM(a, b) < A\left(a, b; \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$L(a, b; 1) < AGM(a, b) < L\left(a, b; \frac{3}{2}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

hold for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  with the optimal parameters 0,  $1/2$ , 1 and  $3/2$ . Moreover, for  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ , one has

$$AGM(a, b) < \frac{\pi}{2}L(a, b).$$

Ten years later, Alzer and Qiu [2] proved that the double inequality

$$A(a, b; \lambda) < T(a, b) < A(a, b; \mu) \quad (1.6)$$

holds for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if and only if  $\lambda \leq 3/2$  and  $\mu \geq \log 2 / \log(\pi/2) = 1.5349\dots$ .

Inspired by inequalities (1.2) and (1.6) together with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, it is natural to propose the following Question 1.3.

**QUESTION 1.3.** What is the simple and practical criterion for the homogeneous symmetric mean  $M$  to distinguish the monotonicity of the function  $t \mapsto M(a, b; t)$  for fixed  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ ? What are the best possible parameters  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the double inequality  $C(a, b; \alpha) < T(a, b) < C(a, b; \beta)$  holds for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if  $C(a, b; t)$  is strict monotone with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for fixed  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ ?

The authors [22] also gave the Question 1.4 as follows.

QUESTION 1.4. ([22, Problems 3.6(1)]) Is it true that  $AGM(a, b; t) \geq L(a, b)$  for some  $t \in (0, 1)$ ?

The main purpose of this paper is to answer the Questions 1.3 and 1.4. In what follows, we denote  $r' = \sqrt{1-r^2}$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(r)$ .

Recall that the complete elliptic integrals  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfy the differential formulas and Landen identity (cf. [5, Appendix E, pp. 474–475])

$$\frac{d\mathcal{K}}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}}{r r'^2}, \quad \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{K}}{r}, \quad \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) = \frac{2\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}}{1+r}.$$

In order to prove our main results, we need two lemmas which we present at the end of this section.

LEMMA 1.5. ([5, Theorem 1.25]) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$  and  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and differentiable on  $(a, b)$  such that  $g' \neq 0$  on  $(a, b)$ . If the derivative ratio  $f'/g'$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) on  $(a, b)$ , then the functions

$$\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)}, \quad \frac{f(x) - f(b)}{g(x) - g(b)}$$

are also strictly increasing (decreasing, respectively) on  $(a, b)$ .

LEMMA 1.6. ([5, Theorem 3.21(8), Exercises 3.43(13),(46)], [2, Theorem 15] and [19, Theorem 3.3]) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Then the following statements are true:

- (1) The function  $r \mapsto r'[\mathcal{K}(r) - \mathcal{E}(r)]/r^2$  is strictly decreasing on  $(0, 1)$ ;
- (2) The function  $r \mapsto r'^c \mathcal{E}(r)$  is strictly increasing from  $(0, 1)$  onto  $(\pi/2, \infty)$  if  $c \leq -1/2$ ;
- (3) The function  $r \mapsto [\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)]/[r^2 \mathcal{K}(r)]$  is strictly decreasing from  $(0, 1)$  onto  $(0, 1/2)$ ;
- (4) The function  $r \mapsto r'^{1/4}(\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r))/r^2$  is strictly decreasing from  $(0, 1)$  onto  $(0, \pi/4)$ ;
- (5) The function  $r \mapsto 2\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)$  is strictly increasing from  $(0, 1)$  onto  $(\pi/2, 2)$ .

## 2. Answers to Questions 1.3 and 1.4

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $M(a, b)$  be a strict homogeneous symmetric mean of two positive numbers  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $M(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for fixed  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if the function  $r \mapsto [\log M(1, r)]/[\log G(1, r)]$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) on  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M(a, b; -t) = 1/M(1/a, 1/b; t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , hence it suffices to prove that the function  $t \mapsto M(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) on  $(0, \infty)$  for fixed  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if the function  $r \mapsto [\log M(1, r)]/[\log G(1, r)]$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) on  $(0, 1)$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $0 < a < b$ . Let  $r = (a/b)^t$ . Then the function  $t \mapsto r = (a/b)^t$  is strictly decreasing from  $(0, \infty)$  onto  $(0, 1)$ , and

$$\frac{\log b - \log M(a, b; t)}{\log b - \log a} = \frac{\log b^t - \log M(a^t, b^t)}{t \log(b/a)} = \frac{\log M(1, (a/b)^t)}{\log(a/b)^t} = \frac{1 \log M(1, r)}{2 \log G(1, r)}.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.1 directly follows from the above identity and monotonicity of the function  $t \mapsto r = (a/b)^t$ .  $\square$

Making use of Theorem 2.1, we get Corollary 2.2 as follows.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ . Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *The function  $t \mapsto C(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;*
- (2) *The function  $t \mapsto L(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;*
- (3) *The function  $t \mapsto T(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;*
- (4) *The function  $t \mapsto AGM(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  and

$$f(r) = \frac{1 \log C(1, r)}{2 \log G(1, r)} = \frac{\log(1 + r^2) - \log(1 + r)}{\log r}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(r) &= \frac{[2r/(1 + r^2) - 1/(1 + r)] \log r - (1/r)[\log(1 + r^2) - \log(1 + r)]}{(\log r)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{r(\log r)^2} f_1(r), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$f_1(r) = \frac{r(r^2 + 2r - 1)}{(1 + r^2)(1 + r)} \log r + \log \left( \frac{1 + r}{1 + r^2} \right).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$f_1(0^+) = f_1(1^-) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$f_1'(r) = \frac{\log(1/r)}{(1 + r^2)^2(1 + r)^2} f_2(r), \tag{2.4}$$

$$f_2(r) = r^4 - 4r^3 - 6r^2 - 4r + 1,$$

$$f_2(0^+) = 1, \quad f_2(1^-) = -12, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$f_2'(r) = 4r^3 - 12r^2 - 12r - 4 < 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

Equations (2.5) and (2.6) imply that exists  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f_2(r) > 0$  for  $r \in (0, r_0)$  and  $f_2(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (r_0, 1)$ , so that  $f_1(r)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, r_0)$  and strictly decreasing on  $(r_0, 1)$  by (2.4). This together with (2.3) leads to the conclusion that  $f_1(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Then from (2.2) we know that  $f(r)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore, part (1) follows from the monotonicity of  $f(r)$  and Theorem 2.1.

(2) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ , and

$$g(r) = \frac{1 \log L(1, r)}{2 \log G(1, r)} = \frac{\log [(r - 1)/\log r]}{\log r}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$g_1(r) = \log \left[ \frac{\log(1/r)}{1 - r} \right], \quad g_2(r) = \log \frac{1}{r}, \quad g_3(r) = \frac{g_1'(r)}{g_2'(r)}.$$

Then  $g(r) = g_1(r)/g_2(r)$ ,  $g_1(1^-) = g_2(1^-) = 0$  and

$$g_3(r) = \frac{r \log r - (r - 1)}{(r - 1) \log r}.$$

Differentiating  $g_3$  leads to

$$g_3'(r) = \frac{-r[\log r]^2 + r^2 - 2r + 1}{r(r - 1)^2(\log r)^2} = \frac{[(1 - r)/\log(1/r)]^2 - r}{r(1 - r)^2} > 0$$

due to  $L(1, r) = (1 - r)/[\log(1/r)] > G(1, r) = \sqrt{r}$  for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Hence  $g_3(r)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, 1)$ , so is  $g$  by application of Lemma 1.7. Therefore, part (2) directly follows from (2.7) and Theorem 2.1.

(3) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ , and

$$h(r) = \frac{1 \log T(1, r)}{2 \log G(1, r)} = \frac{\log(2/\pi) + \log \mathcal{E}(r')}{\log r},$$

$$h_1(r) = -[\log(2/\pi) + \log \mathcal{E}(r')], \quad h_2(r) = \log(1/r).$$

Then  $h(r) = h_1(r)/h_2(r)$ ,  $h_1(1^-) = h_2(1^-) = 0$ , and

$$\frac{h_1'(r)}{h_2'(r)} = \frac{r^2[\mathcal{K}(r') - \mathcal{E}(r')]}{r^2 \mathcal{E}(r')} = \frac{r[\mathcal{K}(r') - \mathcal{E}(r')]}{r^2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(r')/r}.$$

It follows from Lemma 1.6(1) and (2) that  $h_1'(r)/h_2'(r)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, 1)$ , so is  $h(r)$  by Lemma 1.5. Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain that the function  $t \mapsto T(a, b; t)$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(4) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Then the identity (1.1) leads to

$$\frac{\log AGM(1, r)}{\log G(1, r)} = \frac{\log(\pi/2) - \log \mathcal{K}(r')}{\log \sqrt{r}}.$$

Let

$$J(r) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log AGM(1, r)}{\log G(1, r)}, \quad J_1(r) = \log \mathcal{K}(r') - \log \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad J_2(r) = \log \frac{1}{r}.$$

Then  $J(r) = J_1(r)/J_2(r)$ ,  $J_1(1^-) = J_2(1^-) = 0$  and

$$\frac{J'_1(r)}{J'_2(r)} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(r') - r^2 \mathcal{K}(r')}{r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r')}$$

is strictly increasing in  $(0, 1)$  due to Lemma 1.6(3), so is  $J(r)$  by Lemma 1.5. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, we obtain the assented result.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ . Then one has*

- (1)  $T(a, b) < C(a, b; 1/2)$ ;
- (2)  $T(a, b) > (2/\pi)C(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T(a, b)$  and  $C(a, b)$  as well as  $C(a, b; t)$  are strict homogeneous symmetric means, without loss of generality, we assume that  $a = 1 + r$ ,  $b = 1 - r$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(1+r, 1-r) &= \frac{2}{\pi}(1+r)\mathcal{E}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) = \frac{2}{\pi}(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K}), \\ C\left(1+r, 1-r; \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+r} + \sqrt{1-r}}\right)^2 = \frac{2}{1+r'}, \\ C(1+r, 1-r) &= \frac{(1+r)^2 + (1-r)^2}{(1+r) + (1-r)} = 1+r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f(r) = \frac{T(1+r, 1-r)}{C(1+r, 1-r; 1/2)} = \frac{1}{\pi}(1+r')(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K}),$$

and

$$g(r) = \frac{T(1+r, 1-r)}{C(1+r, 1-r)} = \frac{2(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K})}{\pi(1+r^2)}.$$

Then differentiating  $f$  and  $g$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned} f'(r) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ -\frac{r}{r'}(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K}) + (1+r')\frac{\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K}}{r} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r}{r'} \left[ -(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K}) + \frac{r'(\mathcal{E} - r'^2\mathcal{K})}{r^2}(1+r') \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g'(r) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(1+r^2)(\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K})/r - 2r(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K})}{(1+r^2)^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{r'^2(\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}) - 2r^2 \mathcal{E}}{r(1+r^2)^2} \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{r\mathcal{E}}{(1+r^2)^2} \left[ \frac{r'^2(\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K})}{r^2 \mathcal{E}} - 2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.6(2), (4) and (5) show that the function  $r \mapsto r'^2(\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K})/(r^2 \mathcal{E}) = [r'^{1/4}(\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K})/r^2] / [r'^{-7/4} \mathcal{E}]$  is strictly decreasing from  $(0, 1)$  onto  $(0, 1/2)$ , and the function  $r \mapsto -(2\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K}) + (1+r')r'(\mathcal{E} - r'^2 \mathcal{K})/r^2$  is strictly decreasing from  $(0, 1)$  onto  $(-2, 0)$ , so that both  $f$  and  $g$  are strictly decreasing on  $(0, 1)$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} f(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} g(r) = 1, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} g(r) = \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Consequently, for  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ ,

$$\max \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} C(a, b), \frac{2}{\pi} C(a, b; 1/2) \right\} < T(a, b) < \min \{ C(a, b), C(a, b; 1/2) \}.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.3 follows.  $\square$

REMARK 2.4. Inequality (1.2) shows that

$$C(a, b; 0) = G(a, b) < T(a, b) < C(a, b) = C(a, b; 1)$$

for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ . Noting that

$$T(1, r') = \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{4} r'^2 - \frac{3}{64} r'^4 + o(r'^4)$$

and

$$C(1, r'; t) = \left[ \frac{1+r'^{2t}}{1+r'^t} \right]^{1/t} = 1 - \frac{1}{4} r'^2 + \frac{3(t-1)}{32} r'^4 + o(r'^4).$$

It follows from Corollary 2.2(1) and Theorem 2.3(1) together with

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{C(1, r'; t) - T(1, r')}{r'^4} = \frac{3(2t-1)}{64}$$

that the inequality  $C(a, b, t) > T(a, b)$  for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if and only if  $t \geq 1/2$ .

On the other hand, the limiting value

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{T(1, r')}{C(1, r'; t)} = \frac{2}{\pi}$$

for  $t > 0$  implies that there does not exist  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $T(a, b) > C(a, b; t)$  for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$ .

By Corollary 2.2(1) and Remark 2.4, we obtain the following Corollary 2.5 immediately.



COROLLARY 2.5. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the double inequality

$$C(a, b; \alpha) < T(a, b) < C(a, b; \beta)$$

holds for all  $a, b > 0$  with  $a \neq b$  if and only if  $\alpha \leq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 1/2$ .

THEOREM 2.6. There does not exist  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $AGM(a, b; t) \geq L(a, b)$  for all  $a, b > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the ratio  $AGM(a, b; t)/L(a, b)$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $a = 1, b = r \in (0, 1]$ . Then

$$AGM(1, r; t) = \left[ \frac{\pi/2}{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{1-r^{2t}})} \right]^{1/t}, \quad L(1, r) = \frac{1-r}{\log(1/r)}.$$

Making use of the limiting behavior of the function  $\mathcal{K}(r)$  (cf. [5, equation (3.4)])

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[ \mathcal{K}(r) - \log\left(\frac{4}{r'}\right) \right] = 0$$

and the L'Hôpital's rule we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{AGM(1, r; t)}{L(1, r)} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/t} \log(1/r)}{(1-r) \left[ \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{1-r^{2t}}) \right]^{1/t}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/t} \log(1/r)}{\left[ \frac{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{1-r^{2t}})}{\log(4/r')} \right]^{1/t}} \frac{1}{[\log(4/r')]^{1/t}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/t} \log(1/r)}{[\log(4/r')]^{1/t}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/t} (-1/r)}{[\log(4/r')]^{(1/t)-1} (-1/r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/t}}{[\log(4/r')]^{(1/t)-1}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

### 3. A note on sharp bounds for $\mathcal{K}(r)$

In 2018, by establishing a discrete monotonicity theorem for means, Nishimura [16] provided several bounds for the complete elliptic integral  $\mathcal{K}(r)$  of the first kind as follows:

THEOREM 3.1. ([16, Corollary 3.2, 3.3 and Theorem 3.6]) *Inequalities*

$$\frac{1}{A(1, r; 1/2)} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r'), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{1}{L(1, r; 2)} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r') < \frac{1}{L(1, r; 1)} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{A(1,r)^{1/4}L(1,r)^{3/4}} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r') \tag{3.3}$$

hold for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

Furthermore, Nishimura [16] conjectured that the inequalities (3.1)-(3.3) are the best possible in the sense of certain constants, and proposed the following Conjecture 3.2.

CONJECTURE 3.2. ([16, Conjectures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3]) (1) The constant  $1/2$  is the best possible constant such that the inequality (3.1) holds for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

(2) The double inequality

$$\frac{1}{L(1,r;\alpha)} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r') < \frac{1}{L(1,r;\beta)}$$

holds for all  $r \in (0, 1)$  with the best possible constants  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ .

(3) The inequality

$$\frac{1}{A(1,r)^\lambda L(1,r)^{1-\lambda}} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r')$$

holds for all  $r \in (0, 1)$  with the best possible constant  $\lambda = 1/4$ .

REMARK 3.3. According to (1.1), inequalities (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$AGM(1,r) < A(1,r;1/2), \tag{3.4}$$

$$L(1,r;1) < AGM(1,r) < L(1,r;2), \tag{3.5}$$

$$AGM(1,r) < A(1,r)^{1/4}L(1,r)^{3/4}, \tag{3.6}$$

respectively. Obviously, (1.4) shows that  $1/2$  in (3.4) is the optimal constant. Comparing (1.5) to (3.5), one has that, for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the double inequality

$$\frac{1}{L(1,r;\alpha)} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r') < \frac{1}{L(1,r;\beta)} \tag{3.7}$$

holds for all  $r \in (0, 1)$  with the best possible constant  $\alpha = 3/2$  and  $\beta = 1$ .

For the sharpness of the constant  $1/4$  in (3.6), if we let  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$AGM(1,r) = \frac{\pi/2}{\mathcal{K}(r')} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1-r) - \frac{1}{16}(1-r)^2 + o[(1-r)^2]$$

and

$$A(1,r)^t L(1,r)^{1-t} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1-r) + \frac{t-1}{12}(1-r)^2 + o(1-r)^2$$

as  $r \mapsto 1$ . Therefore, inequality

$$\frac{1}{A(1,r)^t L(1,r)^{1-t}} < \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{K}(r')$$

holds for large  $r$  which tends to 1 only for  $t \geq 1/4$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. ALMKVIST, B. BERNDT, *Gauss, Landen, Ramanujan, the arithmetic-geometric mean, ellipse,  $\pi$ , and the Ladies Diary*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **95**, 7 (1988), 585–608.
- [2] H. ALZER, S. L. QIU, *Monotonicity theorems and inequalities for the complete elliptic integrals*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **172**, 2 (2004), 289–312.
- [3] G. D. ANDERSON, S. L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, *Elliptic integral inequalities, with applications*, Constr. Approx., **14**, 2 (1998), 195–207.
- [4] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Distortion functions for plane quasi-conformal mappings*, Israel J. Math., **62**, 1(1988), 1–16.
- [5] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [6] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Topics in special functions*, in: Papers on Analysis, 5–26, Rep. Univ. Jyväskylä Dep. Math. Stat., **83**, Univ. Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2001.
- [7] R. W. BARNARD, K. PEARCE, K. C. RICHARDS, *An inequality involving the generalized hypergeometric function and the arc length of an ellipse*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **31**, 3 (2000), 693–699.
- [8] R. W. BARNARD, K. PEARCE, K. C. RICHARDS, *A monotonicity property involving  ${}_3F_2$  and comparisons of the classical approximations of elliptical arc length*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **32**, 2 (2000), 403–419.
- [9] J. M. BORWEIN, P. B. BORWEIN, *Pi and the AGM*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.
- [10] P. F. BYRD, M. D. FRIEDMAN, *Handbook of Elliptic Integrals of Engineer and Physicists*, Springer, New York, 1971.
- [11] Y. M. CHU, M. K. WANG, *Optimal Lehmer Mean Bounds for the Toader Mean*, Results in Mathematics, **61**, 3–4 (2012), 223–229.
- [12] Y. M. CHU, M. K. WANG, S. L. QIU, *Optimal combinations bounds of root-square and arithmetic means for Toader mean*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., **122**, 1 (2012), 41–51.
- [13] P. DUREN, J. PFALTZGRAFF, *Robin Capacity and Extremal Length*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **179**, 1 (1993), 110–119.
- [14] A. G. GREENHILL, *The Applications of Elliptic Functions*, Dover, New York, 1959.
- [15] D. F. LAWDEN, *Elliptic Functions and Applications*, Applied Mathematics Sciences **80**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [16] R. NISHIMURA, *Discrete monotonicity of means and its applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **146**, 5 (2018), 2091–2102.
- [17] O. LEHTO AND K. I. VIRTANEN, *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [18] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT, C. W. CLARK (Eds), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [19] Y. F. QIU, *Properties of complete elliptic integrals and inequalities of means with applications*, Thesis (Master Degree), Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, 2011, 49 pages.
- [20] S. TAKEUCHI, *A new form of the generalized complete elliptic integrals*, Kodai Math. J., **39**, 1 (2016), 202–226.
- [21] GH. TOADER, *Some mean values related to the arithmetic-geometric mean*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **218**, 2 (1998), 358–368.
- [22] M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Inequalities for means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **183**, 1 (1994), 155–166.
- [23] M. K. WANG, Y. M. CHU, *Asymptotical bounds for complete elliptic integrals of the second kind*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **402**, 1 (2013), 119–126.
- [24] M. K. WANG, Y. M. CHU, S. L. QIU, Y. P. JIANG, *Convexity of the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind with respect to Hölder means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **388**, 2 (2012), 1141–1146.

- [25] M. K. WANG, Y. M. CHU, S. L. QIU, Y. P. JIANG, *Bounds for the perimeter of an ellipse*, J. Approx. Theory, **164**, 7 (2012), 928–937.
- [26] T.-H. ZHAO, M.-K. WANG, Y.-M. CHU, *On the bounds of the perimeter of an ellipse*, Acta Math. Sci., **42B**, 2 (2022), 491–501.

(Received September 11, 2020)

*Miao-Kun Wang*  
Department of Mathematics  
Huzhou University  
Huzhou 313000, Zhejiang, China  
e-mail: wmk000@126.com

*Jia-Hui Wu*  
Department of Mathematics  
Huzhou University  
Huzhou 313000, Zhejiang, China  
e-mail: 192147633@qq.com