

SINGULAR VALUE INEQUALITIES FOR ACCRETIVE–DISSIPATIVE NORMAL OPERATORS

WASIM AUDEH

(Communicated by T. Burić)

Abstract. In this paper, we present singular value inequalities relevant to accretive-dissipative normal compact operators. In particular, we showed that if $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$ are accretive-dissipative normal compact operators, where $A \leq C$ and $B \leq D$. Then

$$s_j(X - Y) \leq \sqrt{2}s_j(X \oplus Y)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, if $\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is accretive-dissipative normal compact operator. Then

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(Z) \leq s_j(X \oplus Y)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. We showed that these inequalities are equivalent. Also, we provide several singular value inequalities relevant to accretive- dissipative normal compact operators.

1. Introduction

Let $B(H)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space H , and let $K(H)$ denote the two-sided ideal of compact operators in $B(H)$. The operator $A \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ is called normal operator if $A^*A = AA^*$. The absolute value of A is the positive operator $|A|$, where $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2}$. The singular values of the compact operator A are the eigenvalues of the positive compact operator $|A|$, denoted by $s_1(A) \geq s_2(A) \geq \dots$ and repeated according to multiplicity. Some basic properties for singular values of compact operators are listed below:

(a)

$$s_j(UAV) = s_j(A) = s_j(A^*) = s_j(|A|) = s_j(|A^*|) \quad (1)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ where U and V are unitary.

(b) $s_j(AA^*) = s_j(A^*A)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 15A18, 15A42, 47A63, 47B07, 47B15.

Keywords and phrases: Singular value, compact operator, accretive-dissipative operator, normal operator.

(c)

$$s_j \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} = s_j \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and they consist of those of A together with those of B , where $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ is defined on $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$.

By applying Weyl’s monotonicity principle (see, e.g., [10, p.63] or [13, p.26]), if $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ are positive and $A \leq B$, then

$$s_j(A) \leq s_j(B) \tag{3}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ Moreover, for $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$,

$$s_j(A) \leq s_j(B) \text{ if and only if } s_j(A \oplus A) \leq s_j(B \oplus B) \tag{4}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ Every $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ can be written uniquely as $T = A + iB$, where $A = \frac{T+T^*}{2}$ and $B = \frac{T-T^*}{2i}$ are the real and imaginary parts of T , respectively, and they are self-adjoint operators. This is the cartesian decomposition of T . An operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ is called accretive-dissipative if in its cartesian decomposition, the operators A and B are positive.

Bhatia and Kittaneh in [11] have been proven that if $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$, then

$$2s_j(AB^*) \leq s_j(A^*A + B^*B) \tag{5}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ Zhan in [17] has been proven that if $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ are positive, then

$$s_j(A - B) \leq s_j(A \oplus B) \tag{6}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ Tao has been proven in [16] that if $A, B, C \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$, then

$$2s_j(B) \leq s_j \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ Moreover, Bhatia and Kittaneh have been proven in [12] that if $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ such that A is self-adjoint, $B \geq 0$ and $\pm A \leq B$, then

$$s_j(A) \leq s_j(B \oplus B) \tag{8}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Many authors have conducted many studies to find effective inequalities on the subject of singular value inequalities, some of them succeed in that, Audeh and Kittaneh pointed out in [9] an equivalent form of inequality (7), which asserts that if $A, B, C \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$, where A is self-adjoint, $B \geq 0$ and $\pm A \leq B$, then

$$2s_j(A) \leq s_j((B + A) \oplus (B - A)) \tag{9}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. It should be noted that inequalities in [6, 10] are proven for matrices, but we can ordinarily expand these inequalities to include all compact operators. While inequalities (6), (7), (8), and (9) are well-known for positive operators, we give related singular value inequalities for accretive-dissipative normal operators. For more general and comprehensive results related to singular value inequalities, we refer to [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [14] and [15] and the references therein.

2. Main results

To reach our findings, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is a consequence of the min-max principle (see, e.g., [10, p. 75], or [13, p. 27]).

LEMMA 1. *Let $A, B, X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ such that X is compact. Then*

$$s_j(AXB) \leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j(X) \tag{10}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

LEMMA 2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ and let $X = A + iB$ be accretive-dissipative normal operator. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} s_j(A + B) \leq s_j(X) \leq s_j(A + B) \tag{11}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. $|A + B|^2 \leq 2(|A|^2 + |B|^2)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(A + B) &= s_j(|A + B|) \leq \sqrt{2} s_j\left(\sqrt{|A|^2 + |B|^2}\right) \\ &\quad \text{(by inequalities (1) and (3)),} \\ &= \sqrt{2} s_j(|X|) = \sqrt{2} s_j(X) \text{ (since } A, B \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first inequality. Moreover, since X is normal, we have $|X| = \sqrt{X^*X} = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \leq |A| + |B|$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(X) &= s_j(|X|) \leq s_j(|A| + |B|) = s_j(A + B), \\ &\quad \text{(by inequalities (1) and (3)),} \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second inequality. \square

By making use of lemma 2 incites, we here by present the following result. Throughout this paper, when will discuss accretive-dissipative normal operators $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$, we assume that $C \geq A$ and $D \geq B$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators where $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$. Then*

$$s_j(Y - X) \leq \sqrt{2} s_j(Y \oplus X) \tag{12}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Since $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$ are the cartesian decompositions of the accretive-dissipative normal operators X and Y respectively, it follows that A, B, C and D are positive operators. Now

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(Y - X) &= s_j((C + iD) - (A + iB)) \\ &= s_j((C - A) + i(D - B)) \\ &\leq s_j((C - A) + (D - B)) \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &= s_j((C + D) - (A + B)) \\ &\leq s_j((C + D) \oplus (A + B)) \text{ (by inequality (6))} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}s_j((C + iD) \oplus (A + iB)) \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &= \sqrt{2}s_j(Y \oplus X), \end{aligned}$$

which is the conclusion of inequality (12). \square

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 + i \end{bmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2i & 0 \\ 0 & 4 + 4i \end{bmatrix}$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators. Then

$$s_j(Y - X) = \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{5}, 0, 0$$

and

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(Y \oplus X) = 8, 4, \sqrt{10}, \sqrt{2}.$$

The following theorem is a generalization of inequality (12).

THEOREM 2. Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators and let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then

$$s_j(A(Y - X)B) \leq \sqrt{2} \|A\| \|B\| s_j(Y \oplus X) \tag{13}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(A(Y - X)B) &\leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j(Y - X), \text{ (by inequality (10))} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|A\| \|B\| s_j(Y \oplus X), \text{ (by inequality (12)). } \square \end{aligned}$$

By making use of Theorem 1, we here by present the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is accretive-dissipative normal block matrix. Then

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(Z) \leq s_j \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ be accretive-dissipative normal block matrix, note that $\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$. To show this, note that $\begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} = UAU^*$, where $U = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$. It follows that $\begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is accretive-dissipative normal block matrix. Apply the result of Theorem 1, we have

$$s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \sqrt{2}s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

This implies that

$$2s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Z \\ Z^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \sqrt{2}s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

which is equivalent to saying that

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(Z \oplus Z^*) \leq s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} X & -Z \\ -Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \right), \text{ (by inequality (2))},$$

which implies that

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(Z) \leq s_j \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \text{ (by inequality (4)). } \quad \square$$

The following theorem is a generalization of inequality (3).

THEOREM 4. *Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is accretive-dissipative normal block matrix, $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then*

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(AZB) \leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}s_j(AZB) &\leq \sqrt{2}\|A\| \|B\| s_j(Z) \text{ (by using inequality (10))} \\ &\leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \text{ (by inequality (4))}, \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely inequality (15). \square

At this stage of our discussion, we provide the following singular value inequality for accretive-dissipative normal operators.

THEOREM 5. Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ where $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators. Then

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(X) \leq s_j((Y+X) \oplus (Y-X)) \quad (16)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} s_j \begin{bmatrix} Y & X \\ X & Y \end{bmatrix} &= s_j \begin{bmatrix} C+iD & A+iB \\ A+iB & C+iD \end{bmatrix} \\ &= s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C & A \\ A & C \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} D & B \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\leq s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C & A \\ A & C \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D & B \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right), \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &= s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C+D & A+B \\ A+B & C+D \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2s_j(X) &= 2s_j(A+iB) \\ &\leq 2s_j(A+B), \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &\leq s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C+D & A+B \\ A+B & C+D \end{bmatrix} \right), \text{ (by inequality (7))} \\ &= s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C & A \\ A & C \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D & B \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C & A \\ A & C \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} D & B \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right), \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &= \sqrt{2}s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} C+iD & A+iB \\ A+iB & C+iD \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{2}s_j \left(\begin{bmatrix} Y & X \\ X & Y \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Our inequality has thus been substantiated. \square

EXAMPLE 2. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} 2i & 0 \\ 0 & 3+i \end{bmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1+4i & 0 \\ 0 & 4+3i \end{bmatrix}$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators. Then

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(X) = 2\sqrt{5}, 2\sqrt{2}, 0, 0$$

and

$$s_j((Y+X) \oplus (Y-X)) = \sqrt{65}, \sqrt{37}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5}.$$

The following theorem is a generalization of inequality (16).

THEOREM 6. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators and let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then*

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(AXB) \leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j((Y + X) \oplus (Y - X)) \tag{17}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}s_j(AXB) &\leq \sqrt{2}\|A\| \|B\| s_j(X), \text{ (by using inequality (10))} \\ &\leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j((Y + X) \oplus (Y - X)), \text{ (by inequality (16)).} \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (17) has thus been substantiated. \square

In the next theorem, we prove that inequalities (12), (14) and (16) are equivalent.

THEOREM 7. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *Let $X, Y \in K(H)$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators. Then*

$$s_j(Y - X) \leq \sqrt{2}s_j(Y \oplus X)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

(2) *Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ be such that $\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is accretive-dissipative normal block matrix. Then*

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(Z) \leq s_j \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{bmatrix}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

(3) *Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators. Then*

$$\sqrt{2}s_j(X) \leq s_j((Y + X) \oplus (Y - X))$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (2) This implication follows from the proof of Theorem 3.

(2) \rightarrow (1) To prove inequality (12), let X and Y be accretive-dissipative normal operators, which implies that $\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$ is also accretive-dissipative normal operator matrix. Define $D = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{X+Y}{2} & \frac{X-Y}{2} \\ \frac{X-Y}{2} & \frac{X+Y}{2} \end{bmatrix}$. Then D is unitarily equivalent to $\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}$, note

that $\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} = UDU^*$, where $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}$. Now, applying inequality (14) to the accretive-dissipative normal operator matrix D , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}s_j\left(\frac{X-Y}{2}\right) &\leq s_j\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{X+Y}{2} & \frac{X-Y}{2} \\ \frac{X-Y}{2} & \frac{X+Y}{2} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= s_j\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= s_j(X \oplus Y), \end{aligned}$$

which is inequality (14). Thus inequalities (12) and (14) are equivalent.

(1) \rightarrow (3) Let $Y - X$ and $Y + X$ substitute X and Y respectively in inequality (12). Then inequality (16) is satisfied.

(3) \rightarrow (1) Let $Y - X$ and $Y + X$ substitute X and Y respectively in inequality (16). Then inequality (12) is satisfied. Thus inequalities (12) and (16) are equivalent. Theorem 7 has thus been substantiated. \square

THEOREM 8. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{H})$ be accretive-dissipative normal operators, where $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$. Then*

$$s_j(X) \leq \sqrt{2}s_j(Y) \tag{18}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(X) &= s_j(A + iB) \\ &\leq s_j(A + B), \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &\leq s_j(C + D), \text{ (by inequality (3))} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}s_j(C + iD) \text{ (by inequality (11))} \\ &= \sqrt{2}s_j(Y). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to anonymous referee for his careful reading of the paper and for his valuable suggestions. The author is indebted to University of Petra for its support.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. AUDEH, *Singular value inequalities for operators and matrices*, Ann. Funct. Anal. (2022), new.
- [2] W. AUDEH, *Singular value inequalities with applications*, J. Math. Computer Sci. 24 (2022), 323–329.
- [3] W. AUDEH, *Singular value and norm inequalities of Davidson-Power type*, J. Math. Inequal. 15 (2021), 1311–1320.
- [4] W. AUDEH, *Some generalizations for singular value inequalities of compact operators*, Adv. Oper. Theory 6 (2021).

- [5] W. AUDEH, *Norm inequalities and applications*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 16 (2021), 809–817.
- [6] W. AUDEH, *Singular value inequalities and applications*, Positivity 25 (2020), 843–852.
- [7] W. AUDEH, *Generalizations for singular value and arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities of operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 489 (2020), 1–8.
- [8] W. AUDEH, *Generalizations for singular value inequalities of operators*, Adv. Oper. Theory 5 (2020), 371–381.
- [9] W. AUDEH AND F. KITTANEH, *Singular value inequalities for compact operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), 2516–2522.
- [10] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, GTM169, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [11] R. BHATIA, F. KITTANEH, *On the singular values of a product of operators*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11 (1990), 272–277.
- [12] R. BHATIA, F. KITTANEH, *The matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequality revisited*, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008), 2177–2191.
- [13] I. C. GOHBERG AND M. G. KREIN, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.
- [14] O. HIRZALLAH, *Commutator inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 1571–1578.
- [15] O. HIRZALLAH, *Inequalities for sums and products of operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 407 (2005), 32–42.
- [16] Y. TAO, *More results on singular value inequalities of matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 416 (2006), 724–729.
- [17] X. ZHAN, *Singular values of differences of positive semidefinite matrices*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 22 (3) (2000) 819–823.

(Received July 14, 2021)

Wasim Audeh
Department of Mathematics
University of Petra
Amman, Jordan
e-mail: waudeh@uop.edu.jo