

A NEW HILBERT-TYPE INEQUALITY IN THE WHOLE PLANE

DONGMEI XIN, BICHENG YANG* AND LEPING HE

(Communicated by Q.-H. Ma)

Abstract. By means of the weight coefficients and the idea of introduced parameters, a new discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane is given, which is an extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality. The equivalent form is obtained. The equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters, the operator expressions and a few particular inequalities are considered.

1. Introduction

Suppose that p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_m, b_n \ge 0$, $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$. We have the following Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with the best possible constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [4], Theorem 315):

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{1}$$

For p = q = 2, inequality (1) reduces to the well known Hilbert's inequality.

If $f(x), g(y) \ge 0$, $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx < \infty$ and $0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy < \infty$, then we still have the integral analogue of (1) named in Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2}$$

with the same best constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [4], Theorem 316).

In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter $\lambda > 0$, Yang [31, 29] gave an extension of (2) (for p = q = 2) with the best possible constant factor. Inequalities (1) and (2) with their extensions play an important role in analysis and its applications (cf. [32, 30, 15, 20, 11, 5, 28, 25, 41, 26, 2, 1]).

^{*} Corresponding author.



Mathematics subject classification (2020): 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: Weight coefficient, Hilbert-type inequality, equivalent form, equivalent statement, parameter, operator expression.

The following half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality was provided in 1934 (cf. [4], Theorem 351): If K(x) (x > 0) is a decreasing function, p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $0 < \phi(s) = \int_0^\infty K(t) t^{s-1} dt < \infty$, then for $a_n \ge 0$, $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p < \infty$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty x^{p-2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty K(nx) a_n \right)^p dx < \phi^p \left(\frac{1}{q} \right) \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^p. \tag{3}$$

Some new extensions of (3) were provided by [23, 36, 21, 22, 14, 33].

In 2016, by the use of the technique of real analysis, Hong et al. [10] considered some equivalent statements of the extensions of (1) with the best possible constant factor related to several parameters. The other similar results about the extensions of (1)–(3) were given by [7, 9, 27, 8, 13, 6, 34, 39, 24, 38, 19, 12, 37, 18, 35].

In this paper, following the way of [10], by means of the weight coefficients and the idea of introduced parameters, a new discrete Hilbert-type in the whole plane is given as follows: for r > 1, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$,

$$\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{|m|+|n|} < \frac{2\pi}{\sin(\pi/r)} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} |m|^{\frac{p}{r}-1} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} |n|^{\frac{q}{s}-1} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{4}$$

which is an extension of (1). The general form as well as the equivalent form are obtained. The equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters, the operator expressions and a few particular inequalities are considered.

2. An example and some lemmas

EXAMPLE 1. (i) In view of the following expression (cf. [3]):

$$\cot x = \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x - \pi k} + \frac{1}{x + \pi k} \right) \quad (x \in (0, \infty),$$

for $b \in (0,1)$, by Lebesgue term by term theorem (cf. [17], we obtain

$$A_{b} := \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{b-1}}{1-u} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{v^{-b}}{1-v} dv = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{b-1}-u^{-b}}{1-u} du$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (u^{k+b-1} - u^{k-b}) du = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} (u^{k+b-1} - u^{k-b}) du$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+b} - \frac{1}{k+1-b} \right)$$

$$= \pi \left[\frac{1}{\pi b} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\pi b - \pi k} + \frac{1}{\pi b + \pi k} \right) \right]$$

$$= \pi \cot \pi b \in \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty).$$

Note. For $b \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $A_b > 0$; for $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $A_b < 0$. We also have $A_{1/2} = 0$. (ii) For $\lambda, \eta > 0$, we set the homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$ as follows:

$$k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y) := \frac{x^{\eta} - y^{\eta}}{x^{\lambda + \eta} - y^{\lambda + \eta}} \quad (x, y > 0),$$

satisfying $k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(ux, uy) = u^{-\lambda}k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x, y)$ (u, x, y > 0) and

$$k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(v,v) = \lim_{x \to v} \frac{x^{\eta} - v^{\eta}}{x^{\lambda + \eta} - v^{\lambda + \eta}} = \lim_{x \to v} \frac{\eta x^{\eta - 1}}{(\lambda + \eta)x^{\lambda + \eta - 1}}$$
$$= \frac{\eta}{(\lambda + \eta)v^{\lambda}} \quad (v > 0).$$

It follows that $k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y)$ is a positive and continuous function with respect to x,y>0. For $x\neq y$, we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x, y) = x^{-\eta} (x^{\lambda + \eta} - y^{\lambda + \eta}) \varphi(x, y),$$

where, we set the following differentiable function:

$$\varphi(x,y) := \lambda x^{\lambda+\eta} - (\lambda+\eta)x^{\lambda}y^{\eta} + \eta y^{\lambda+\eta} \quad (x,y > 0).$$

We find that for 0 < x < y,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\varphi(x,y) = \lambda(\lambda + \eta)x^{\lambda - 1}(x^{\eta} - y^{\eta}) < 0;$$

for x>y. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\varphi(x,y)$. It follows that $\varphi(x,y)$ is strictly decreasing (resp. increasing) with respect to x< y (resp. x>y). Since $\varphi(y,y)=\min_{x>0}\varphi(x,y)=0$ (y>0), then $\varphi(x,y)>0$ $(x\neq y)$, namely $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y)<0$ $(x\neq y)$. Therefore, in view of $k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y)$ is continuous at x=y, we conform that $k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y)$ (y>0) is strictly decreasing with respect to x>0. In the same way, we can show that $k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y)$ (x>0) is also strictly decreasing with respect to y>0.

(iii) For $\lambda_i \in (0,\lambda) \subset (0,\lambda+\eta)$ (i=1,2), since $k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(x,y) > 0$, by (i), we obtain

$$k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{i}) := \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,u)u^{\lambda_{i}-1}du = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-u^{\eta}}{1-u^{\lambda+\eta}}u^{\lambda_{i}-1}du$$

$$\stackrel{v=u^{\lambda+\eta}}{=} \frac{1}{\lambda+\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda+\eta}-1}dv}{1-v} - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda_{i}+\eta}{\lambda+\eta}-1}dv}{1-v} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\lambda+\eta} \left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_{i}}{\lambda+\eta}\right) - \cot\left(\frac{\pi(\lambda_{i}+\eta)}{\lambda+\eta}\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\lambda+\eta} \left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_{i}}{\lambda+\eta}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{\pi(\lambda-\lambda_{i})}{\lambda+\eta}\right) \right] \in \mathbf{R}_{+} = (0,\infty). \quad (5)$$

In what follows, we suppose that p>1 (q>1), $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, $-1<\alpha,\beta<1$, $\lambda,\eta>0$, $\lambda_i\in(0,1]\cap(0,\lambda)$ (i=1,2), $k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_i)$ is indicated by (5). We still assume that $a_m,b_n\geqslant 0$ $(|m|,|n|\in {\bf N}=\{1,2,\cdots\})$, such that for $c:=\lambda-\lambda_1-\lambda_2,\ 0<\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty}(|m|+\alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_1)-c-1}a_m^p<\infty$ and

$$0 < \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_2)-c-1} b_n^q < \infty,$$

where, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \cdots = \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \cdots (j=m,n)$.

LEMMA 1. For $\gamma > 0$, we have the following inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1+\alpha)^{-\gamma-1} \right] < \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\gamma-1}
< \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha)^{-\gamma-1} + (1+\alpha)^{-\gamma-1} \right] (\gamma+1).$$
(6)

Proof. By the decreasing property of series, we find

$$\begin{split} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\gamma - 1} &= \sum_{m=-1}^{-\infty} \left[(1 - \alpha)(-m) \right]^{-\gamma - 1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 + \alpha)m \right]^{-\gamma - 1} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - \alpha)m \right]^{-\gamma - 1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 + \alpha)m \right]^{-\gamma - 1} \\ &= \left[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} \right] \left(1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{-\gamma - 1} \right) \\ &< \left[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} \right] \left(1 + \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-\gamma - 1} dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} \right] (\gamma + 1), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\gamma - 1} &= \sum_{m=-1}^{-\infty} [(1 - \alpha)(-m)]^{-\gamma - 1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \alpha)m]^{-\gamma - 1} \\ &= [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1}] \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\gamma - 1} \\ &> [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1}] \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-\gamma - 1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} [(1 - \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\gamma - 1}]. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have (6).

The lemma is proved. \Box

DEFINITION 1. We set

$$\begin{split} k(m,n) &:= k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(|m| + \alpha m, |n| + \beta n) \\ &= \frac{(|m| + \alpha m)^{\eta} - (|n| + \beta n)^{\eta}}{(|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda + \eta} - (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda + \eta}} \ \ (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}), \end{split}$$

and define the following weight coefficients:

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi}(\lambda_{2}, m) := (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_{2}} \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) (|n + \beta n|)^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \ (|m| \in \mathbf{N}). \tag{7}$$

$$\omega(\lambda_1, n) := (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda - \lambda_1} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda_1 - 1} \ (|n| \in \mathbf{N}). \tag{8}$$

LEMMA 2. The following inequalities are valid:

$$0 < \frac{2}{1 - \beta^2} k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_2) (1 - \theta(\lambda_2, m)) < \overline{\omega}(\lambda_2, m)$$

$$< \frac{2}{1 - \beta^2} k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_2) \ (|m| \in \mathbf{N}), \tag{9}$$

where, we indicate that

$$\theta(\lambda_2, m) := \frac{1}{k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m|}} \frac{(1-u^\eta)u^{\lambda_2-1}}{1-u^{\lambda+\eta}} du = O\left(\frac{1}{(|m|+\alpha m)^{\lambda_2}}\right) > 0.$$

Proof. For fixed $|m| \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\begin{split} k^{(1)}(m,y) &:= k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(|m| + \alpha m, (1-\beta)(-y)), \ y < 0, \\ k^{(2)}(m,y) &:= k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(|m| + \alpha m, (1+\beta)y), \ y > 0. \end{split}$$

where from, for y > 0, $k^{(1)}(m, -y) = k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(|m| + \alpha m, (1 - \beta)y)$. We find

$$\varpi(\lambda_{2}, m) = (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} k^{(1)}(m, n) [(1 - \beta)(-n)]^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, n) [(1 + \beta)n]^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \right\} \\
= (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, -n) [(1 - \beta)n]^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, n) [(1 + \beta)n]^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \right\}$$

$$= (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_2} \left[(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, -n) n^{\lambda_2 - 1} + (1 + \beta^{\lambda_2 - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, n) n^{\lambda_2 - 1} \right].$$

It is evident that for fixed $|m| \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_2 \le 1$, by Example 1 (ii), both $k^{(1)}(m,-y)y^{\lambda_2-1}$ and $k^{(2)}(m,y)y^{\lambda_2-1}$ are strictly decreasing with respect to $y \in (0,\infty)$. By the decreasing property of series, we have

$$\varpi(\lambda_{2},m) < (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_{2}} \left[(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, -y) y^{\lambda_{2} - 1} dy + (1 + \beta^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, y) y^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \right],$$

$$\varpi(\lambda_{2},m) > (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda - \lambda_{2}} \left[(1 - \beta)^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \int_{1}^{\infty} k^{(1)}(m, -y) y^{\lambda_{2} - 1} dy + (1 + \beta^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{(2)}(m, y) y^{\lambda_{2} - 1} \right].$$

Setting $u = \frac{(1-\beta)y}{|m| + \alpha m}$ (resp. $u = \frac{(1+\beta)y}{|m| + \alpha m}$) in the above first (resp. second) integrals, we obtain

$$\varpi(\lambda_{2},m) < [(1-\beta)^{-1} + (1+\beta)^{-1}] \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,u)u^{\lambda_{2}-1}du = \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{2})}{1-\beta^{2}},$$

$$\varpi(\lambda_{2},m) > \frac{1}{1-\beta} \int_{\frac{1-\beta}{|m|+\alpha m}}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,u)u^{\lambda_{2}-1}du$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1+\beta} \int_{\frac{1+\beta}{|m|+\alpha m}}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,u)u^{\lambda_{2}-1}du$$

$$> \frac{2}{1-\beta^{2}} \int_{\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m}}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,u)u^{\lambda_{2}-1}du$$

$$= \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{2})}{1-\beta^{2}} (1-\theta(\lambda_{2},m)) > 0,$$

where,
$$\theta(\lambda_2, m) = \frac{1}{k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m|}} \frac{(1-u^{\eta})u^{\lambda_2-1}}{1-u^{\lambda+\eta}} du$$
, satisfying
$$0 < \int_0^{\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m|}} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,u)u^{\lambda_2-1} du$$
$$\leqslant \int_0^{\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m|}} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,0)u^{\lambda_2-1} du$$
$$= \int_0^{\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m|}} u^{\lambda_2-1} du = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{1+|\beta|}{|m|+\alpha m}\right)^{\lambda_2}.$$

Hence, we have (9).

The lemma is proved. \Box

Note. In the same way, by the symmetric property, we still have

$$0 < \frac{2}{1 - \alpha^2} k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_1) (1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n)) < \varpi(\lambda_1, n)$$

$$< \frac{2}{1 - \alpha^2} k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_1) \ (|n| \in \mathbf{N}), \tag{10}$$

where, we indicate that

$$\vartheta(\lambda_{1},n) := \frac{1}{k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{1})} \int_{0}^{\frac{1+|\alpha|}{|n|+\beta n}} \frac{(1-u^{\eta})u^{\lambda_{2}-1}}{1-u^{\lambda+\eta}} du = O\left(\frac{1}{(|n|+\beta n)^{\lambda_{1}}}\right) > 0. \quad \Box \quad (11)$$

LEMMA 3. The following inequality follows:

$$H := \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) a_{m} b_{n}$$

$$< \frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{2}))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{1}))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^{2})^{1/p} (1-\alpha^{2})^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_{1})-c-1} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_{2})-c-1} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{12}$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality with weight (cf. [2]), we find

$$H = \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) \left[\frac{(|n|+\beta n)^{(\lambda_{2}-1)/p}}{(|m|+\alpha m)^{(\lambda_{1}-1)/q}} a_{m} \right] \left[\frac{(|m|+\alpha m)^{(\lambda_{1}-1)/q}}{(|n|+\beta n)^{(\lambda_{2}-1)/p}} b_{n} \right]$$

$$\leqslant \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) \frac{(|n|+\beta n)^{(\lambda_{2}-1)}}{(|m|+\alpha m)^{(\lambda_{1}-1)(p-1)}} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) \frac{(|m|+\alpha m)^{(\lambda_{1}-1)}}{(|n|+\beta n)^{(\lambda_{2}-1)(q-1)}} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} \varpi(\lambda_{2},m)(|m|+\alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_{1})-c-1} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda_{1},n)(|n|+\beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_{2})-c-1} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then by (9) and (10), we have (12).

The lemma is proved. \Box

REMARK 1. (i) By (12), for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1) &= k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2) = \frac{\pi}{\lambda + \eta} \left[\cot \left(\frac{\pi \lambda_1}{\lambda + \eta} \right) + \cot \left(\frac{\pi \lambda_2}{\lambda + \eta} \right) \right], \\ 0 &< \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p < \infty, \\ 0 &< \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and the following inequality:

$$\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) a_{m} b_{n} < \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{1})}{(1-\beta^{2})^{1/p} (1-\alpha^{2})^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m|+\alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_{1})-1} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n|+\beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_{2})-1} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(13)

In particular, for $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $a_{-m} = a_m$, $b_{-n} = b_n$ $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$ in (13), we have (cf. [40])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\eta} - n^{\eta}}{m^{\lambda + \eta} - n^{\lambda + \eta}} a_{m} b_{n}$$

$$< k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_{1}) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p[1 - \lambda_{1}) - 1} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q[1 - \lambda_{2}) - 1} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(14)

(ii) For $\lambda=1$, $\lambda_1=\frac{1}{q}$, $\lambda_2=\frac{1}{p}$ in (14), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\eta} - n^{\eta}}{m^{1+\eta} - n^{1+\eta}} a_{m} b_{n}$$

$$< \frac{\pi}{1+\eta} \left[\cot \frac{\pi}{q(1+\eta)} + \cot \frac{\pi}{p(1+\eta)} \right] \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m}^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}};$$
 (15)

for $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{p}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{q}$ in (14), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\eta} - n^{\eta}}{m^{1+\eta} - n^{1+\eta}} a_m b_n < \frac{\pi}{1+\eta} \left[\cot \frac{\pi}{q(1+\eta)} + \cot \frac{\pi}{p(1+\eta)} \right] \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p-2} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-2} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{16}$$

for p = q = 2, both (15) and (16) reduce to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\eta} - n^{\eta}}{m^{1+\eta} - n^{1+\eta}} a_{m} b_{n}$$

$$< \frac{2\pi}{1+\eta} \cot \frac{\pi}{2(1+\eta)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(17)

(iii) For $\lambda=N\in \mathbb{N},\ \eta=1,\ \lambda_1+\lambda_2=\lambda=N$ in (14), we have $k_{N,1}(\lambda_1)=\frac{\pi}{N+1}\left(\cot\frac{\lambda_1\pi}{N+1}+\cot\frac{\lambda_2\pi}{N+1}\right)$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\sum_{j=0}^{N} m^{N-j} n^j} < k_{N,1}(\lambda_1) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p[1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q[1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
 (18)

For N = 1, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$ in (18), we have (1). Hence, (18) is an extensions of (1).

(iv) For $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $\lambda = \eta = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{r}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{s}$ $(r > 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1)$, (12) reduces (5); for r = q, s = p, $a_{-m} = a_m$, $b_{-n} = b_n$ $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$, (5) reduces to (1). Hence, (12) is an extension of (1).

LEMMA 4. The constant factor $\frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (13) is the best possible.

Proof. For any $0 < \varepsilon < p\lambda_1$, we set

$$\widetilde{a}_m := (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1}, \ \widetilde{b}_n := (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} \ (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}).$$

If there exists a constant M $(\leq \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}})$, such that (13) is valid when we replace $\frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ by M, then in particular, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H} &:= \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) \widetilde{a}_m \widetilde{b}_n \\ &< M \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_1)-1} \widetilde{a}_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_2)-1} \widetilde{b}_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H} &< M \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{-\varepsilon - 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{-\varepsilon - 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &< \frac{M}{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon + 1) [(1 - \alpha)^{-\varepsilon - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\varepsilon - 1}]^{\frac{1}{p}} [(1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} + (1 + \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1}]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

By (8) (for (
$$\lambda_1-\frac{\epsilon}{p}$$
) + $(\lambda_2+\frac{\epsilon}{p})=\lambda$), (10) and Lemma 1, we find

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{H} &= \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) (|m| + \alpha m)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} (|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} \\ &= \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \varpi(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, n) (|n| + \beta n)^{-\varepsilon - 1} \\ &> \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta} (\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})}{1 - \alpha^2} \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - O(\frac{1}{(|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}}}) \right) (|n| + \beta n)^{-\varepsilon - 1} \\ &= \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta} (\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})}{1 - \alpha^2} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{-\varepsilon - 1} - \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} O(\frac{1}{(|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q} + 1}}) \right] \\ &= \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta} (\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})}{\varepsilon (1 - \alpha^2)} \left[(1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} + (1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} - \varepsilon O(1) \right]. \end{split}$$

In view of the above results, we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})}{1 - \alpha^2} \left[(1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} + (1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} - \varepsilon O(1) \right] < \varepsilon \widetilde{H} \\ &< M(\varepsilon + 1) \left[(1 - \alpha)^{-\varepsilon - 1} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\varepsilon - 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[(1 - \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} + (1 + \beta)^{-\varepsilon - 1} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

For $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, by Fatou lemma (cf. [17]), we find that

$$\frac{4k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\alpha^2)(1-\beta^2)} \leqslant \frac{2M}{(1-\alpha^2)^{1/p}(1-\beta^2)^{1/q}},$$

namely, $\frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}} \leqslant M$. Hence, $M = \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}}$ is the best possible constant factor of (13).

The lemma is proved. \Box

Remark 2. For
$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}$$
, $\widehat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}$, we find
$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} = \lambda,$$

$$0 < \widehat{\lambda}_1, \widehat{\lambda}_2 < \lambda.$$

and by Hölder's inequality (cf. [16]), we obtain

$$0 < k_{\lambda,\eta}(\widehat{\lambda}_{2}) = k_{\lambda,\eta}(\widehat{\lambda}_{1}) = k_{\lambda,\eta} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2}}{p} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{q} \right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2}}{p} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{q} - 1} du$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(u,1) (u^{\frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2} - 1}{p}}) (u^{\frac{\lambda_{1} - 1}{q}}) du$$

$$\leqslant \left(\int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(u,1)u^{\lambda-\lambda_2-1}du\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(u,1)u^{\lambda_1-1}du\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= \left(\int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(1,v)v^{\lambda_2-1}dv\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}^{(\eta)}(u,1)u^{\lambda_1-1}du\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= \left(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$
(19)

For $c \le \min\{p(1-\lambda_1), q(1-\lambda_2)\}$, we have $\widehat{\lambda}_i \le 1$ (i=1,2), and then we can reduce (12) as follows:

$$H < \frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{2}))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{1}))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^{2})^{1/p}(1-\alpha^{2})^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p[1-\widehat{\lambda}_{1})-1} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\widehat{\lambda}_{2})-1} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(20)

LEMMA 5. If $c \leq \min\{p(1-\lambda_1), q(1-\lambda_2)\}$, the constant factor

$$\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$$

in (12) (or (20) is the best possible, then we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

Proof. If the constant factor $\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (12) (or (20)) is the best possible, then in (20) and (13) (for $\lambda_i = \hat{\lambda}_i$ (i = 1, 2)), we have the following inequality:

$$\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}\leqslant \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\widehat{\lambda}_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}(\in\mathbf{R}_+)$$

namely, $(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant k_{\lambda,\eta}(\widehat{\lambda}_1)$, which follows that (19) keeps the form of equality.

We observe that (19) keeps the form of equality if and only if there exist constants A and B, such that they are not both zero and (cf. [16])

$$Au^{\lambda-\lambda_2-1} = Bu^{\lambda_1-1}$$
 a.e. in \mathbf{R}_+ .

Assuming that $A \neq 0$, it follows that $u^{\lambda - \lambda_2 - \lambda_1} = \frac{B}{A}$ a.e. in \mathbf{R}_+ , and $\lambda - \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = 0$, namely, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

The lemma is proved. \Box

3. Main results

THEOREM 1. Inequality (12) is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$L := \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{p(\lambda_2 + c) - c - 1} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< \frac{2(k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1 - \beta^2)^{1/p} (1 - \alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1 - \lambda_1) - c - 1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(21)

Proof. Suppose that (21) is valid. By Hölder's inequality (cf. [16]), we find

$$H = \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \left[(|n| + \beta n)^{\lambda_2 + \frac{c}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m \right] \left[(|n| + \beta n)^{\frac{1}{p} - \lambda_2 - \frac{c}{q}} b_n \right]$$

$$\leq L \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1 - \lambda_2) - c - 1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(22)

Then by (21), we obtain (12).

On the other hand, assuming that (12) is valid, we set

$$b_n := (|n| + \beta n)^{p(\lambda_2 + c) - c - 1} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m, n) a_m \right)^{p-1}, |n| \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we have

$$L^{p} = \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_{2})-c-1} b_{n}^{q} = H.$$
 (23)

If L = 0, then (21) is naturally valid; if $L = \infty$, then it is impossible that makes (21) valid, namely, $L < \infty$. Suppose that $0 < L < \infty$. By (12), it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_2)-c-1} b_n^q \\ &= L^p = H < \frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p} (1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}} \\ &\times \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_1)-c-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_2)-c-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ &L = \left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{q[1-\lambda_2)-c-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< \frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p} (1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p[1-\lambda_1)-c-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{split}$$

namely, (21) follows, which is equivalent to (12).

The theorem is proved. \Box

THEOREM 2. The following statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are equivalent:

(i) Both $k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1)$ and $k_{\lambda,\eta}(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p}+\frac{\lambda_1}{q})$ are independent of p,q; (ii)

$$k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1) \leqslant k_{\lambda,\eta}\left(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}\right);$$

(iii) $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$:

(iv) for $c \leq \min\{p(1-\lambda_1), q(1-\lambda_2)\}$, the constant factor $\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (12) is the best possible constant factor;

(v) for $c \leq \min\{p(1-\lambda_1), q(1-\lambda_2)\}$, the constant factor $\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (21) is the best possible constant factor.

If the statement (iv) follows, namely, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ (or c = 0), then we have (13) and the following equivalent inequality with the best possible constant factor $\frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$:

$$\left[\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} (|n| + \beta n)^{p\lambda_{2}-1} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n)a_{m}\right)^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_{1})}{(1-\beta^{2})^{1/p}(1-\alpha^{2})^{1/q}} \left[\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\lambda_{1})-1} a_{m}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(24)

In particular, for $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $a_{-m} = a_m$, $b_{-n} = b_n$ $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$ in (24), we have the following inequality equivalent to (14):

$$\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda_2 - 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\eta} - n^{\eta}}{m^{\lambda + \eta} - n^{\lambda + \eta}} a_m\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$< k_{\lambda, \eta}(\lambda_1) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p(1 - \lambda_1) - 1} a_m^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(25)

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Since both $k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1)$ and $k_{\lambda,\eta}(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p}+\frac{\lambda_1}{q})$ are independent of p,q, we find

$$k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \lim_{q \to 1^+} k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1) = k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1),$$

and by Fatou lemma, we have the following inequality:

$$k_{\lambda,\eta}\left(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p}+\frac{\lambda_1}{q}\right) = \lim_{p\to\infty} \lim_{q\to 1^+} k_{\lambda,\eta}\left(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p}+\frac{\lambda_1}{q}\right)$$
$$\geqslant k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1) = k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1).$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. If $k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1) \leqslant k_{\lambda,\eta}(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p}+\frac{\lambda_1}{q})$, then (19) keeps the form of equality. Based on the proof of Lemma 5, it follows that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$$
. If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then we have

$$k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1) = k_{\lambda,\eta}\left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}\right) = k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1).$$

Both $k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2)k_{\lambda,\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1)$ and $k_{\lambda,\eta}(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p}+\frac{\lambda_1}{q})$ are independent of p,q.

Hence, it follows that $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$.

 $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$. By Lemma 4, 5, we obtain the conclusions

 $(iv) \Leftrightarrow (v)$. If the constant factor in (12) is the best possible, then so is constant factor in (21). Otherwise, by (22), we would reach a contradiction that the constant factor in (12) is not the best possible. On the other-hand, If the constant factor in (21) is the best possible, then so is constant factor in (12). Otherwise, by (23), we would reach a contradiction that the constant factor in (21) is not the best possible.

Therefore, the statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are equivalent.

The theorem is proved. \Box

4. Operator expressions

We set functions

$$\varphi(m) := (|m| + \alpha m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-c-1}, \quad \psi(n) := (|n| + \beta n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-c-1},$$

where from,

$$\psi^{1-p}(n) = (|n| + \beta n)^{p(\lambda_2+c)-c-1} (|m|, |n| \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Define the following real normed spaces:

$$l_{p,\phi} := \left\{ a = \{a_m\}_{|m|=1}^{\infty}; ||a||_{p,\phi} := \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} \varphi(m)|a_m|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$l_{q,\psi} := \left\{ b = \{b_n\}_{|n|=1}^{\infty}; ||b||_{q,\psi} := \left(\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \psi(n)|b_n|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$l_{p,\psi^{1-p}} := \left\{ c = \{c_n\}_{|n|=1}^{\infty}; ||c||_{p,\psi^{1-p}} := \left(\sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \psi^{1-p}(n)|c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Assuming that $a \in l_{p,\phi}$, setting

$$c = \{c_n\}_{|n|=1}^{\infty}, \ c_n := \sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n)a_m, \ |n| \in \mathbb{N},$$

we can rewrite (21) as follows:

$$||c||_{p,\psi^{1-p}}<\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}||a||_{p,\varphi}<\infty,$$

namely, $c \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$.

DEFINITION 2. Define a Hilbert-type operator $T:l_{p,\phi}\to l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$ as follows: For any $a\in l_{p,\phi}$, there exists a unique representation $Ta=c\in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$, satisfying for any $|n|\in {\bf N},\ Ta(n)=c_n$. Define the formal inner product of Ta and $b\in l_{q,\psi}$, and the norm of T as follows:

$$(Ta,b) := \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|m|=1}^{\infty} k(m,n) a_m \right) b_n = H,$$
$$||T|| := \sup_{a(\neq 0) \in I_{p,\phi}} \frac{||Ta||_{p,\psi^{1-p}}}{||a||_{p,\phi}}.$$

By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we have

THEOREM 3. If $a \in l_{p,\phi}$, $b \in l_{q,\psi}$, $||a||_{p,\phi}$, $||b||_{q,\psi} > 0$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(Ta,b) < \frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}||a||_{p,\varphi}||b||_{q,\psi}, \tag{26}$$

$$||Ta||_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < \frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}||a||_{p,\varphi}. \tag{27}$$

Moreover, if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then the constant factor $\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (26) and (27) is the best possible, namely,

$$||T|| = \frac{2k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1)}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}} = \frac{2}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}} \times \frac{\pi}{\lambda+\eta} \left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda+\eta}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_2}{\lambda+\eta}\right) \right].$$
(28)

On the other hand, if the constant factor $\frac{2(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda,\eta}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-\beta^2)^{1/p}(1-\alpha^2)^{1/q}}$ in (26) or (27) is the best possible, then for $c \leqslant \min\{p(1-\lambda_1),q(1-\lambda_2)\}$, we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, by means of the weight coefficients and the idea of introduced parameters, a new discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane is obtained in Lemma 2, which is an extension of (1). The equivalent form is given in Theorem 1. The equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters are considered in Theorem 2. The operator expressions, some particular inequalities are provided in Theorem 3 and Remark 1. The lemmas and theorems provide an extensive account of this type of inequalities.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (Nos. 61772140), and the Characteristic Innovation Project of Guangdong Provincial Colleges and Universities in 2020 (No. 2020KTSCX088). We are grateful for this help.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. ADIYASUREN, T. BATBOLD, M. KRNIĆ, Hilbert-type inequalities involving differential operators, the best constants and applications, Math. Inequal. Appl., 18 (1) (2015), 111–124.
- [2] L. E. AZAR, The connection between Hilbert and Hardy inequalities, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013: 452, 2013.
- [3] M. FAYE HAJIN COYLE, Calculus Course (sec. vol.), Bingjin, Higher Education Press, 2006: 397.
- [4] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [5] B. HE, A multiple Hilbert-type discrete inequality with a new kernel and best possible constant factor, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 431 (2015), 990–902.
- [6] L. P. HE, H. Y. LIU, B. C. YANG, *Parametric Mulholland-type inequalities*, Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, **9** (5) (2019), 1973–1986.
- [7] Y. HONG, On the structure character of Hilbert's type integral inequality with homogeneous kernel and applications, Journal of Jilin University (Science Edition), **55** (2) (2017), 189–194.
- [8] Y. HONG, B. HE, B. C. YANG, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Hilbert type integral inequalities with a class of quasi-homogeneous kernels and its application in operator theory, Journal of Mathematics Inequalities, 12 (3) (2018), 777–788.
- [9] Y. HONG, Q. L. HUANG, B. C. YANG, J. Q. LIAO, The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a kind of Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with the non-homogeneous kernel and its applications, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2017), 2017: 316.
- [10] Y. HONG, Y. M. WEN, A necessary and Sufficient condition of that Hilbert type series inequality with homogeneous kernel has the best constant factor, Annals Mathematica, 37A (3) (2016), 329–336.
- [11] Q. L. HUANG, A new extension of Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2015), 2015: 397.
- [12] X. S. HUANG, R. C. LUO, B. C. YANG, On a new extended Half-discrete Hilbert's inequality involving partial sums, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2020) 2020: 16.
- [13] Z. X. HUANG, B. C. YANG, Equivalent property of a half-discrete Hilbert's inequality with parameters, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2018) 2018: 333.
- [14] Z. X. HUANG, B. C. YANG, On a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality similar to Mulholland's inequality, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013: 290, 2013.
- [15] M. KRNIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, General Hilbert's and Hardy's inequalities, Mathematical inequalities and applications, 8 (1) (2005), 29–51.

- [16] J. C. KUANG, Applied inequalities, Shangdong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, China (2004).
- [17] J. C. Kuang, *Real analysis and functional analysis (continuation)* (sec. vol.), Higher Education Press, Beijing, China (2015).
- [18] J. Q. LIAO, S. H. WU, B. C. YANG, On a new half-discrete Hilbert-ttpe inequality involving the variable upper limit integral and the partial sum, Mathematics, 2020, 8, 229, doi:10.3390/math8020229.
- [19] H. M. Mo, B. C. YANG, On a new Hilbert-type integral inequality involving the upper limit functions, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2020) 2020: 5.
- [20] I. PERIĆ, P. VUKOVIĆ, Multiple Hilbert's type inequalities with a homogeneous kernel, Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 5 (2) (2011), 33–43.
- [21] M. TH. RASSIAS, B. C. YANG, A multidimensional half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality and the Riemann zeta function, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 225 (2013), 263–277.
- [22] M. TH. RASSIAS, B. C. YANG, On a multidimensional half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality related to the hyperbolic cotangent function, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 242 (2013), 800–813.
- [23] M. TH. RASSIAS, B. C. YANG, On half-discrete Hilbert's inequality, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 220 (2013), 75–93.
- [24] A. Z. WANG, B. C. YANG, Q. CHEN, Equivalent properties of a reverse's half-discret Hilbert's inequality, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2019) 2019: 279.
- [25] Z. T. XIE, Z. ZENG, Y. F. SUN, A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree -2, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, 12 (7) (2013), 391–401.
- [26] D. M. XIN, A Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel of zero degree, Mathematical Theory and Applications, 30 (2) (2010), 70–74.
- [27] D. M. XIN, B. C. YANG, A. Z. WANG, Equivalent property of a Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the beta function in the whole plane, Journal of Function Spaces, Vol. 2018, Article ID2691816, 8 pages.
- [28] J. S. Xu, Hardy-Hilbert's inequalities with two parameters, Advances in Mathematics, 36 (2) (2007), 63–76.
- [29] B. C. YANG, A note on Hilbert's integral inequality, Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 13 (4) (1998), 83–86.
- [30] B. C. YANG, Hilbert-type integral inequalities, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., The United Arab Emirates (2009).
- [31] B. C. YANG, On Hilbert's integral inequality, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., 220 (1998), 778–785.
- [32] B. C. YANG, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, China (2009).
- [33] B. C. YANG, L. DEBNATH, Half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (2014).
- [34] B. C. YANG, M. F. HAUANG, Y. R. ZHONG, On an extended Hardy-Hilbert's inequality in the whole plane, Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, 9 (6) (2019), 2124–2136.
- [35] B. C. YANG, M. F. HUANG, AND Y. R. ZHONG, Equivalent statements of a more accurate extended Mulholland's inequality with a best possible constant factor, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, 23 (1) (2020), 231–44.
- [36] B. C. YANG, M. KRNIC, A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a general homogeneous kernel of degree 0, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 6 (3) (2012), 401–417.
- [37] B. C. YANG, S. H. WU, Q. CHEN, On an extended Hardy-Littlewood-Polya's inequality, AIMS Mathematics, 5 (2) (2020), 1550–1561.
- [38] B. C. YANG, S. H. WU, J. Q. LIAO, On a new extended Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with parameters, Mathematics, 2020, 8, 73, doi:10.3390/math8010073.
- [39] B. C. YANG, S. H. Wu, A. Z. WANG, On a reverse half-discrete Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with parameters, Mathematics, 2019, 7, 1054.

- [40] M. F. YOU, On an extension of the discrete Hilbert inequality, Journal of Wuhan University (Nat. Sci. Ed.), doi:10.14188/j, 1671-8836, 2020, 0064.
- [41] Z. ZHEN, K. RAJA RAMA GANDHI, Z. T. XIE, A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree -2 and with the integral, Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 3 (1) (2014), 11-20.

(Received May 3, 2022)

Dongmei Xin School of Mathematics Guangdong University and of Education Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China e-mail: xdm77108@gdei.edu.cn

Bicheng Yang School of Mathematics Guangdong University and of Education Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China e-mail: bcyang818@163.com

Leping He
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Jishou University
Hunan Jishou, 416000, P. R. China
e-mail: jdheleping@163.com