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Abstract. In this paper, using hypergeometric functions, we provide sharp estimates of the remainder of the alternating $p$-series, $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{p}}$, where $p \geqslant 2$ is an integer. We show that the largest $\rho$ and the largest $\sigma$ such that the inequalities

$$
\frac{1}{2(n+1)^{p}-\rho} \leqslant\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{p}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 n^{p}+\sigma}
$$

hold for any integer $n \geqslant 1$ are

$$
\rho(p)=2^{p+1}-\frac{1}{1-\left(1-2^{1-p}\right) \zeta(p)} \text { and } \sigma(p)=\frac{1}{1-\left(1-2^{1-p}\right) \zeta(p)}-2
$$

where $\zeta(p)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{p}}$, the Riemann zeta function.

## 1. Introduction

Let $f:[1, \infty) \longrightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a function, satisfying the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(n+1)<f(n), \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}  \tag{1.1a}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)=0  \tag{1.1b}\\
& \Delta f(n)<\Delta f(n+1), \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.1c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(n):=f(n+1)-f(n) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(n):=\frac{1}{f(n)} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Consider the Leibniz series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} f(n)$, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}:=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1} f(k) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

its remainder of order $n$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\right|+\left|R_{n+1}\right|=f(n+1), \text { for } n \geqslant 1, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and according to $[2,3]\left(\left|R_{n}\right|\right)_{n}$ is decreasing. Therefore the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(n+1)}{2}<\left|R_{n}\right|<\frac{f(n)}{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequalities can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)}<\left|R_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{2 g(n)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more information about estimates of the remainder of some alternating series, see for instance $[5,7,8]$.

It is natural to ask the following question: which are the best constants $\rho$ and $\sigma$ (the largest $\rho$ and the largest $\sigma$ ) such that the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)-\rho}<\left|R_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{2 g(n)+\sigma} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, for every $n \geqslant 1$ ?
Similar questions have been stated (cf. [6]) for the alternating harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n}$ and the Gregory-Leibniz series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2 n-1}$.

The aim of this paper is to give a positive answer for the previous question for $g(n)=n^{p}$, where $p \geqslant 2$ is an integer. Indeed the best constants are

$$
\rho(p)=2^{p+1}-\frac{1}{1-\left(1-2^{1-p}\right) \zeta(p)} \text { and } \sigma(p)=\frac{1}{1-\left(1-2^{1-p}\right) \zeta(p)}-2,
$$

where $\zeta(p)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{p}}$ is the Riemann zeta function.
In order to achieve this goal, we introduce the following sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{2 g(n)+x_{n}}=\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)-y_{n}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [9], the author has introduced the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ by the implicit relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{2 g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\theta_{n}<1 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Immediately, we can derive the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n}=2\left(g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)\right)  \tag{1.12a}\\
& y_{n}=2\left(g(n+1)-g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)\right) \tag{1.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

In section 2 , we give some preliminary results regarding the monotonicity of the sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ in the general setting. In section 3 , we focus on the particular case of $p$-series.

## 2. Preliminary results

Thanks to Equations (1.11), (1.12a) and (1.12b), we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. The sequences $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ satisfy the following properties.
(i) $x_{n}+y_{n}=2 \Delta g(n)$ for all $n \geqslant 1$.
(ii) $0<x_{n}, y_{n}<2 \Delta g(n)$, for all $n \geqslant 1$.
(iii) The best constants in (1.8) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\inf _{n \geqslant 1}\left(y_{n}\right), \\
\sigma & =\inf _{n \geqslant 1}\left(x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to find $\sigma$, we discuss the monotonicity of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$. First, we introduce the sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}:=\sqrt{(\Delta g(n))^{2}+g(n+1)^{2}}-g(n) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geqslant 1$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $x_{n+1}>x_{n}$;
(ii) $x_{n}<t_{n}$;
(iii) $x_{n+1}>t_{n}$.

Proof. The equality (1.5) means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 g(n)+x_{n}}+\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)+x_{n+1}}=\frac{1}{g(n+1)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $x_{n+1}>x_{n}$ is equivalent to each of the following inequalities.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2 g(n)+x_{n}}+\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)+x_{n}}>\frac{1}{g(n+1)}  \tag{2.3}\\
\frac{1}{2 g(n)+x_{n+1}}+\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)+x_{n+1}}<\frac{1}{g(n+1)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Direct computations show that Inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) are equivalent to

$$
\left(x_{n}+g(n)\right)^{2}<2 g^{2}(n+1)-2 g(n+1) g(n)+g^{2}(n)=\left(t_{n}+g(n)\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left(x_{n+1}+g(n)\right)^{2}>2 g^{2}(n+1)-2 g(n+1) g(n)+g^{2}(n)=\left(t_{n}+g(n)\right)^{2}
$$

respectively. This completes the proof, as $x_{n}, x_{n+1}, t_{n}$ and $g(n)$ are positive real numbers.

We denote by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{n}:=2 \Delta g(n)-t_{n},  \tag{2.5a}\\
& \beta_{n}:=2 \Delta g(n+1)-t_{n} \tag{2.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, combining Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we get the following corollary.
COROLLARY 2.3. For any positive integer $n$, the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $x_{n+1}>x_{n}$;
(ii) $y_{n}>\delta_{n}$;
(iii) $y_{n+1}<\beta_{n}$.

In order to discuss the monotonicity of the sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$, we introduce the sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}:=g(n+2)-\sqrt{(\Delta g(n+1))^{2}+g(n+1)^{2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geqslant 1$.
Proposition 2.4. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $y_{n+1}>y_{n}$;
(ii) $y_{n}<\lambda_{n}$;
(iii) $y_{n+1}>\lambda_{n}$.

Proof. Here, considering (1.5) and (1.9), we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)-y_{n+1}}+\frac{1}{2 g(n+2)-y_{n+1}}=\frac{1}{g(n+1)} .
$$

So $y_{n}<y_{n+1}$ is equivalent to each of the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)-y_{n}}+\frac{1}{2 g(n+2)-y_{n}}>\frac{1}{g(n+1)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 g(n+1)-y_{n+1}}+\frac{1}{2 g(n+2)-y_{n+1}}<\frac{1}{g(n+1)} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, direct computations show that Inequalities 2.7 and 2.8 are equivalent to

$$
\left(g(n+2)-y_{n}\right)^{2}>2 g^{2}(n+1)-2 g(n+1) g(n+2)+g^{2}(n+2)=\left(\lambda_{n}+g(n+2)\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left(g(n+2)-y_{n+1}\right)^{2}<2 g^{2}(n+1)-2 g(n+1) g(n+2)+g^{2}(n+2)=\left(\lambda_{n}+g(n+2)\right)^{2}
$$

respectively. As, in addition, $g(n+2)-y_{n}>0$ and $g(n+2)-y_{n+1}>0$, these inequalities are equivalent to $y_{n}<\lambda_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n}<y_{n+1}$, respectively, completing the proof.

If we denote by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{n}:=2 \Delta g(n+1)-\lambda_{n}  \tag{2.9a}\\
& \alpha_{n}:=2 \Delta g(n)-\lambda_{n}, \tag{2.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

then combining Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.4, we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.5. For any positive integer $n$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $y_{n+1}<y_{n}$;
(ii) $x_{n}<\alpha_{n}$;
(iii) $x_{n+1}>\mu_{n}$.

REMARK 2.6. The equivalences between the reversed inequalities in Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.3, Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, remain true.

Now, we will discuss how the monotonicity of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ influences that of $\left(y_{n}\right)$ and vice versa.

## PROPOSITION 2.7.

(i) If $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is increasing, then so is $\left(y_{n}\right)$.
(ii) If $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is decreasing, then so is $\left(x_{n}\right)$.

For the proof, we need a straightforward lemma.
LEMMA 2.8. For each $n \geqslant 2$, the following inequalities hold.
(i) $\lambda_{n-1}<\delta_{n}$.
(ii) $t_{n}<\mu_{n-1}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Assume that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is increasing. Then, according to Corollary 2.3, $y_{n}>\delta_{n}$ for every positive integer $n$. Hence, by Lemma 2.8, $y_{n}>\lambda_{n-1}$ for every integer $n \geqslant 2$. Thus, using Proposition 2.4, we conclude that $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is increasing.

Now, suppose that $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is decreasing. Then by Lemma 2.4, $y_{n}<\lambda_{n-1}$ for all $n \geqslant$ 2. So, again, by Lemma 2.8, we obtain $y_{n}<\delta_{n}$. Consequently, combining Corollary 2.3 and Remark 2.6, we get $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is decreasing.

REMARK 2.9. The converse of each statement in Proposition 2.7 does not hold. It suffices to consider $g(n)=n$; then $x_{n}=2 \theta_{n}$ and $y_{n}=2\left(1-\theta_{n}\right)$. As $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ is decreasing (see [10]), the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is decreasing and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is increasing.

In the next result, we use the convexity of the function $g$ and the monotonicity of the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ to derive the monotonicity of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ or $\left(y_{n}\right)$.

PROPOSITION 2.10. The following properties hold.
(i) If $g$ is strictly concave and $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ is decreasing, then $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is decreasing.
(ii) If $g$ is strictly convex and $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ is decreasing, then $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is increasing.

Proof.
(i) As $x_{n}=2\left(g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)\right)$, it suffices to show that the sequence $(g(n+$ $\left.\left.\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)\right)$ is decreasing.

First, let us recall the Chordal Slope Lemma for a strictly convex function $\alpha$ : if $x<y<z$, then

$$
\frac{\alpha(y)-\alpha(x)}{y-x}<\frac{\alpha(z)-\alpha(x)}{z-x}<\frac{\alpha(z)-\alpha(y)}{z-y}
$$

Now, as $n<n+\theta_{n}<n+1<n+1+\theta_{n+1}$ and $-g$ is convex, we get

$$
\frac{g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)}{\theta_{n}}>\frac{g\left(n+1+\theta_{n+1}\right)-g(n+1)}{\theta_{n+1}}
$$

As a result, we obtain

$$
\frac{g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)}{g\left(n+1+\theta_{n+1}\right)-g(n+1)}>\frac{\theta_{n}}{\theta_{n+1}}>1
$$

showing that $\left(g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)\right)$ is decreasing. Therefore $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is decreasing.
(ii) As $y_{n}=2\left(g(n+1)-g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)\right)$, it is sufficient to show that the sequence $\left(g(n+1)-g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)\right)$ is increasing. Applying the Chordal Slope Lemma to the inequalities: $n+\theta_{n}<n+1<n+1+\theta_{n+1}<n+2$, we obtain

$$
\frac{g(n+1)-g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)}{1-\theta_{n}}<\frac{g(n+2)-g\left(n+1+\theta_{n+1}\right)}{1-\theta_{n+1}}
$$

Thus $g(n+1)-g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)<\left(g(n+2)-g\left(n+1+\theta_{n+1}\right)\right) \frac{1-\theta_{n}}{1-\theta_{n+1}}$. As the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ is decreasing, we get $g(n+1)-g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)<g(n+2)-g\left(n+1+\theta_{n+1}\right)$, as desired.

For the $p$-series, using the fact that $\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ is decreasing (see [10]) and that $g(x)=x^{p}$ is strictly convex for $p>1$ and strictly concave for $p<1$, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.11. Let $p$ be a positive real number and $g(n)=n^{p}$.
(i) If $p>1$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is decreasing.
(ii) If $p<1$, then $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is increasing.


Figure 1: According to Corollary 2.11, $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is decreasing for $p>1$. However, there is no similar conclusion for the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$. This figure illustrates some particular values of $p$. For $p=1.01,1.02$ or 1.03 , plotting the exact values of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ shows that it is neither increasing nor decreasing.


Figure 2: According to Corollary 2.11, $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is decreasing for $p<1$. However, there is no similar conclusion for the sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$. This figure illustrates some particular values of $p$. For $p=0.97,0.98$ or 0.99 , plotting the exact values of the sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$ shows that it is neither increasing nor decreasing.

## 3. Alternating $p$-series

In this section, we focus on the alternating $p$-series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{p}}$, for any integer $p \geqslant 2$. The main result of this paper is the following.

THEOREM 3.1. The best constants $\rho$ and $\sigma$ such that the inequalities

$$
\frac{1}{2(n+1)^{p}-\rho} \leqslant\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{p}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 n^{p}+\sigma}
$$

hold for any integer $n \geqslant 1$ are

$$
\rho(p)=2^{p+1}-\frac{1}{1-\left(1-2^{1-p}\right) \zeta(p)}
$$

and

$$
\sigma(p)=\frac{1}{1-\left(1-2^{1-p}\right) \zeta(p)}-2
$$

where $\zeta(p)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{p}}$ is the zeta Riemann function.
We break the proof of this theorem into a sequence of lemmas.
First, let us recall the hypergeometric function ${ }_{\mathrm{q}} F_{\mathrm{p}}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{q}} F_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\left(a_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{q} ;\left(b_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{p} ; x\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{n} \cdots\left(a_{q}\right)_{n}}{\left(b_{1}\right)_{n} \cdots\left(b_{p}\right)_{n}} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer's symbol defined by $(a)_{n}:=a(a+1) \ldots(a+n-1)$, for any $n \geqslant 1$ and $(a)_{0}=1$, see [1].

Lemma 3.2. Let $p \geqslant 1$ be an integer and $R_{n}=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{p}}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{(n+1)^{p}} \mathrm{p}+1 F_{\mathrm{p}}(1, n+1, \ldots, n+1 ; n+2, \ldots, n+2 ;-1) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The series $\left|R_{n}\right|$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{n}\right| & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(n+k)^{p}} \\
& =\frac{1}{(n+1)^{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{p}}{(n+k)^{p}}(-1)^{k-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{(n+1)^{p}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_{l}(n+1)_{l} \cdots(n+1)_{l}}{(n+2)_{l} \cdots(n+2)_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{l}}{l!} \\
& =\frac{1}{(n+1)^{p}} \mathrm{p}+1 F_{\mathrm{p}}(1, n+1, \ldots, n+1 ; n+2, \ldots, n+2 ;-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In [4], the authors gave an estimation of ${ }_{\mathrm{p}+1} F_{\mathrm{p}}$. Indeed, for $b_{k}>a_{k}>1$, with $k=1, \ldots, p$ and $x>0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{1+x \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}}}<{ }_{\mathrm{p}+1} F_{\mathrm{p}}\left(1,\left(a_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{p} ;\left(b_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{p} ;-x\right)<\frac{1}{1+x \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{a_{i}-1}{b_{i}-1}}
$$

In particular, for $a_{k}=n+1$ and $b_{k}=n+2$ for $k=1, \ldots, p$, Lemma 3.2 yields the following.

Lemma 3.3. For any integers $p \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(n+1)^{-p}}{1+\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)^{p}}<\left|R_{n}\right|<\frac{(n+1)^{-p}}{1+\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{p}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{1}{g(n+1)\left(1+\frac{g(n+1)}{g(n+2)}\right)}<\left|R_{n}\right|
$$

where $g(n)=n^{p}$. As $\left|R_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{2 g(n)+x_{n}}$, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}<\Delta g(n)-g(n)+\frac{g^{2}(n+1)}{g(n+2)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. The sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is increasing.
The proof follows immediately from Proposition 2.2, Inequality (3.4) and the next lemma.

LEmma 3.5. For any two real integers $p \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\Delta g(n)-g(n)+\frac{g^{2}(n+1)}{g(n+2)} \leqslant t_{n}
$$

Proof. Using the expression of $t_{n}$, we will show the inequality

$$
\Delta g(n)+\frac{g^{2}(n+1)}{g(n+2)} \leqslant \sqrt{(\Delta g(n))^{2}+g^{2}(n+1)}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{2 \Delta g(n)}{g(n+2)}+\frac{g^{2}(n+1)}{g^{2}(n+2)} \leqslant 1
$$

Now, letting

$$
H(n)=g^{2}(n+2)-2 \Delta g(n) g(n+2)-g^{2}(n+1)
$$

the above inequality is equivalent to $H(n) \geqslant 0$, for any two integers $p \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 1$.
Using Bernoulli inequality which states

$$
(1+x)^{r} \geqslant 1+r x
$$

for any two real numbers $r \geqslant 1$ and $x \geqslant-1$, we have two useful inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{p} \geqslant 1+\frac{p}{n+1} \\
& \left(1-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)^{p} \geqslant 1-\frac{p}{(n+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{H(n)}{(n+1)^{2 p}} & =\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^{2 p}-2\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^{p}-1+2\left(\frac{n(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)^{p} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{2 p}-2\left(1+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{p}-1+2\left[1-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right]^{p} \\
& =\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{p}-1\right]^{2}-2+2\left[1-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right]^{p} \\
& \geqslant\left[\frac{p}{n+1}\right]^{2}-2+2\left[1-\frac{p}{(n+1)^{2}}\right]=\frac{p(p-2)}{(n+1)^{2}} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as long as $p \geqslant 2$. This achieves the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Note that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ are increasing (see Corollary 2.11 and Proposition 3.4). By Lemma 2.1, we have $\rho(p)=\inf _{n \geqslant 1}\left(y_{n}\right)=y_{1}$ and $\sigma(p)=$ $\inf _{n \geqslant 1}\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{1}$.

Proposition 3.6. We have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=+\infty
$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem, there exists $c_{n}$ between $n$ and $n+\theta_{n}$ such that

$$
x_{n}=2\left(g\left(n+\theta_{n}\right)-g(n)\right)=2 g^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right) \theta_{n}=2 p c_{n}^{p-1} \theta_{n}
$$

As $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \theta_{n}=\frac{1}{2}$ (see [10]), we get $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x_{n}=+\infty$. With a similar argument, we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n}=+\infty$.

Example 3.7. The following table provides the values of $\rho(p)$ and $\sigma(p)$ for few values of $p$.

| $p$ | $\rho(p)$ | $\sigma(p)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\frac{4\left(21-2 \pi^{2}\right)}{12-\pi^{2}}$ | $\frac{2\left(\pi^{2}-6\right)}{12-\pi^{2}}$ |
| 4 | $\frac{16\left(1395-14 \pi^{4}\right)}{720-7 \pi^{4}}$ | $\frac{2\left(7 \pi^{4}-360\right)}{720-7 \pi^{4}}$ |
| 6 | $\frac{32\left(120015-124 \pi^{6}\right)}{30240-31 \pi^{6}}$ | $\frac{61 \pi^{6}-30240}{30240-31 \pi^{6}}$ |

Finally, we expect that the estimates of the remainder in Theorem 3.1 are valid for real numbers $p>1$.
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