

## FURTHER PROPERTIES OF 2-INNER PRODUCT SPACES

ABBAS ALIPOUR AND MOHAMMAD HADI AKHBARI

(Communicated by M. Sababheh)

*Abstract.* This paper aims to establish some results on the structure of fixed point sets for mappings in 2-inner product spaces. To this end, we employ some well-known techniques of 2-inner product spaces.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of 2-metric spaces, linear 2-normed spaces, and 2-inner product spaces was introduced by Gähler [6]. After that, several authors like White [17], Lewandowska [11, 12], Freese [5], and Diminnie [3], worked on possible applications of Metric Geometry, Functional Analysis, and Topology in these settings. Some other related results are also concerned in [2, 8, 10, 14].

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear space of dimension greater than one over the field  $K = \mathbb{R}$  of real numbers or the field  $K = \mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers and let  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . Suppose that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  is a  $K$ -valued function defined on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  satisfying the subsequent conditions:

$$(I1) \quad \langle x, x | z \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \langle x, x | z \rangle = 0, \text{ if and only if } x \text{ and } z \text{ are linearly dependent};$$

$$(I2) \quad \langle x, x | z \rangle = \langle z, z | x \rangle;$$

$$(I3) \quad \langle y, x | z \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y | z \rangle};$$

$$(I4) \quad \langle \alpha x, y | z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y | z \rangle \text{ for any scalar } \alpha \in K;$$

$$(I5) \quad \langle x + x', y | z \rangle = \langle x, y | z \rangle + \langle x', y | z \rangle.$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  is called a 2-inner product on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  is called a 2-inner product space (or 2-pre-Hilbert space). Some basic properties of 2-inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  can be immediately obtained as follows:

$$(P1) \quad \langle 0, y | z \rangle = \langle x, 0 | z \rangle = \langle x, y | 0 \rangle = 0;$$

$$(P2) \quad \langle x, \alpha y | z \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y | z \rangle \text{ for any } \alpha \in K;$$

$$(P3) \quad \langle x, y | \alpha z \rangle = |\alpha|^2 \langle x, y | z \rangle, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } \alpha \in K.$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): Primary 46L08; Secondary 15A39, 26D15.

*Keywords and phrases:* 2-inner product space, Hilbert space, fixed point.

By the above properties, we can prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\langle x, y|z \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x|z \rangle \langle y, y|z \rangle.$$

The most common example for a linear 2-inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  is defined on  $\mathcal{X}$  by

$$\langle x, y|z \rangle := \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . In [3], it is shown that, in any given 2-inner product space  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ , we can define a function

$$\|x, z\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x|z \rangle} \tag{1.1}$$

for all  $x, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . It is easy to see that this function satisfies the following conditions:

- (N1)  $\|x, y\| = 0$ , if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent;
- (N2)  $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$ ;
- (N3)  $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$  for any real number  $\alpha$ ;
- (N4)  $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$ .

Any function  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  defined on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  and satisfying the above conditions is called a 2-norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is called linear 2-normed space.

Whenever a 2-inner product space  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  is given, we consider it as a linear 2-normed space  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  with the 2-norm represented by (1.1).

An operator  $A$  is said to be bounded, if there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|Ax, y\| \leq M \|x, y\|,$$

for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  (we write  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ ).

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  be a 2-inner product space, and  $z \in \mathcal{X}$ . A sequence  $\{x_n, z\}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $z$ -Cauchy sequence if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N > 0, \text{ s.t } \forall m, n \geq N \ 0 < \|x_m - x_n, z\| < \varepsilon.$$

Meanwhile,  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  $z$ -Hilbert if every  $z$ -Cauchy sequence is converges in the semi normed  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, z\|)$ .

### 2. Main properties

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a 2-inner product space. A mapping  $A : C \rightarrow C$  is named non spreading if

$$2\|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \leq \|Ax - y, z\|^2 + \|Ay - x, z\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ .

We say  $A : C \rightarrow C$  is an asymptotic non-spreading mapping if there exists two functions  $\alpha : C \rightarrow [0, 2)$  and  $\beta : C \rightarrow [0, k]$ ,  $k < 2$ , such that

- (a)  $2 \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \leq \alpha(x) \|Ax - y, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - x, z\|^2$ , for all  $x, y, z \in C$ .
- (b)  $0 < \alpha(x) + \beta(x) \leq 2$ , for all  $x \in C$ .

It is required to remark that

- (a') If  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = 1$ , for all  $x \in C$ , then  $A$  is a non-spreading mapping.
- (b') If  $\alpha(x) = \frac{4}{3}$  and  $\beta(x) = \frac{2}{3}$  for all  $x \in C$ , then  $A$  is a  $AJ$ -2 mapping.

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real 2-inner product space and  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{X}$ . A mapping  $A : C \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is named symmetric generalized hybrid if there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 + \beta \left( \|x - Ay, z\|^2 + \|Ax - y, z\|^2 \right) + \gamma \|x - y, z\|^2 + \delta \left( \|x - Ax, z\|^2 + \|y - Ay, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ . Such mapping  $A$  is also called  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ - symmetric generalized hybrid.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a 2-inner product space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be the same as in the above. Then  $A : C \rightarrow C$  is an asymptotic non-spreading mapping if*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha(x) - \beta(x)}{2 - \beta(x)} \|Ax - x, z\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|}{2 - \beta(x)} \frac{2 \langle Ax - x, \alpha(x)(x - y) + \beta(x)(Ay - x) | z \rangle}{2 - \beta(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have that for  $x, y, z \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 & \leq \alpha(x) \|Ax - y, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - x, z\|^2 \\ & = \alpha(x) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2\alpha(x) \langle Ax - x, x - y | z \rangle \\ & \quad + \alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - Ax, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\beta(x) \langle Ay - Ax, Ax - x | z \rangle + \beta(x) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 \\ & = (\alpha(x) + \beta(x)) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - Ax, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + \alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|^2 + 2\alpha(x) \langle Ax - x, x - y | z \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta(x) \langle Ay - x + x - Ax, Ax - x | z \rangle \\ & = (\alpha(x) - \beta(x)) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + \beta(x) \|Ay - Ax, z\|^2 \\ & \quad + \alpha(x) \|x - y, z\|^2 + \langle Ax - x, 2\alpha(x)(x - y) \\ & \quad \quad + 2\beta(x)Ay - x | z \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and this indicates the desired result.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  be a real 2-inner product space, let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $A$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -symmetric generalized hybrid mapping from  $C$  into itself such that the conditions*

- (i)  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma \geq 0$
- (ii)  $\alpha + \beta + \delta > 0$
- (iii)  $\delta \geq 0$

*hold. Then  $A$  has a fixed point if and only if there exists  $y \in C$  such that  $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$  is bounded. In particular, a fixed point of  $A$  is unique in the case of  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$  on the condition.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  has a fixed point  $y$ . Then  $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\} = \{y\}$  and hence  $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$  is bounded. Conversely, suppose that there exists  $y \in C$  such that  $\{A^n y : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}\}$  is bounded. Since  $A$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -symmetric generalized hybrid mapping of  $C$  into itself, we have that

$$\alpha \|Ax - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 + \beta \left( \|x - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 + \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 \right) + \gamma \|x - A^n y, z\|^2 + \delta \left( \|x - Ax, z\|^2 + \|A^n y - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $x \in C$ . Since  $\{A^n y\}$  is bounded, we can apply Banach limit  $\mu$  to both sides of the inequality. Since  $\mu_n \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 = \mu_n \|Ax - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2$  and  $\mu_n \|x - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 = \mu_n \|x - A^n y, z\|^2$ , we have that

$$(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 + (\beta + \gamma) \mu_n \|x - A^n y, z\|^2 + \delta \left( \|x - Ax, z\|^2 + \mu_n \|A^n y - A^{n+1}y, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0.$$

Also, since

$$\mu_n \|Ax - A^n y, z\|^2 = \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2\mu_n (Ax - x, x - A^n, z) + \mu_n \|x - A^n x, z\|^2$$

we have that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n (Ax - x, x - A^n, z) + (\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma) \mu_n \|x - A^n y, z\|^2 + \delta \mu_n \|A^n x - A^{n+1}x, z\|^2 \leq 0.$$

From (i) and (iii) we have

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n (Ax - x, x - A^n, z) \leq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since there exists  $p \in \mathcal{X}$  such that

$$\mu_n (w, A^n y, z) = (w, p, z)$$

for all  $w \in \mathcal{X}$ . We have from (2.1) that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ax - x, z\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta) \mu_n(Ax - x, x - p, z) \leq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Since  $C$  is closed and convex, we have that

$$p \in \overline{\text{co}}\{A^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C.$$

Placing  $x = p$  we receive from (2.2) that

$$(\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|Ap - p, z\|^2 \leq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We have from (ii) that  $\|Ap - p, b\|^2 \leq 0$ . This means that  $p$  is a fixed point in  $A$ .

New assume that  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$ . Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be fixed points of  $A$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \|Ap_1 - Ap_2, z\|^2 + \beta \left( \|p_1 - Ap_2, z\|^2 + \|Ap_1 - p_2, z\|^2 \right) \\ &+ \gamma \|p_1 - p_2, z\|^2 + \delta \left( \|p_1 - Ap_1, z\|^2 + \|p_2 - Ap_2, z\|^2 \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $(\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma) \|p_1 - p_2, z\|^2 \leq 0$ . We have from  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$  that  $p_1 = p_2$ . Consequently, a fixed point of  $A$  is unique. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  be a real 2-inner product space, let  $C$  be a non-empty closed convex subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $A$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ -symmetric generalized hybrid mapping from  $C$  into itself such that the conditions*

1.  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma \geq 0$
2.  $\alpha + \beta + \delta > 0$
3.  $\delta \geq 0$

*hold. Then  $A$  has a fixed. In particular, a fixed point of  $A$  is unique in the case of  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma > 0$  on the condition.*

The next theorem is the generalization of the Banach contraction principle in the 2-inner product space, involving four rational square terms in the inequality.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a self-mapping satisfying the following condition*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - Ay, z\|^2 \leq &a_1 \frac{\|y - Ay, z\|^2 \left( 1 + \|x - Ax, z\|^2 \right)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\ &+ a_2 \frac{\|x - Ax, z\|^2 \left( 1 + \|y - Ay, z\|^2 \right)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_3 \frac{\|x - Ay, z\|^2 \left(1 + \|y - Ax, z\|^2\right)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\
 &+ a_4 \frac{\|y - Ax, z\|^2 \left(1 + \|x - Ay, z\|^2\right)}{1 + \|x - y, z\|^2} \\
 &+ a_5 \|x - y, z\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $x \neq y$ , where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  are non negative reals with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 4a_4 + a_5 < 1$ . Therefore,  $A$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* For some  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ , we define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of iterates of  $A$  as follows

$$x_1 = Ax_0, z, \quad x_2 = Ax_1, z, \quad x_3 = Ax_2, z, \dots, \quad x_{n+1} = Ax_n, z$$

for  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ .

Now, we demonstrate that  $\{x_n, z\}$  is a  $z$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Z}$ . For this, consider

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 = \|Ax_n - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2.$$

Then by utilizing the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 &\leq a_1 \frac{\|x_{n-1} - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2 \left(1 + \|x_n - Ax_n, z\|^2\right)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\
 &+ a_2 \frac{\|x_n - Ax_n, z\|^2 \left(1 + \|x_{n-1} - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2\right)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\
 &+ a_3 \frac{\|x_n - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2 \left(1 + \|x_{n-1} - Ax_n, z\|^2\right)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\
 &+ a_4 \frac{\|x_{n-1} - Ax_n, z\|^2 \left(1 + \|x_n - Ax_{n-1}, z\|^2\right)}{1 + \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2} \\
 &+ a_5 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1 - a_2 - 2a_4) \|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \\
 &+ (1 - a_1 - a_2) \|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2 \\
 &\leq \left( (a_1 + 2a_4 + a_5) + a_5 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2 \right) \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Resulting in

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \leq p(n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}, b\|^2$$

where

$$p(n) = \frac{(a_1 + 2a_4 + a_5) + a_5 \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2}{(1 - a_2 - 2a_4) + (1 - a_1 - a_2) \|x_n - x_{n-1}, z\|^2}$$

for  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . Obviously  $p(n) < 1$ , for all  $n$  as  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 4a_4 + a_5 < 1$ . Repeating the same argument, we find some  $S < 1$ , such that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\|^2 \leq \lambda^n \|x_1 - x_0, z\|^2$$

where  $\lambda = S^2$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\|x_{n+1} - x_n, z\| \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that  $\{x_n, z\}$  is a  $z$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$ . So by the completeness of  $\mathcal{X}$  there exists a point  $\mu \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow \mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also  $\{x_{n+1}, z\} = \{Ax_n, z\}$  is sub sequence of  $\{x_n, z\}$  converges to the same limit  $\mu$ . Since  $A$  is continuous, we get

$$A(\mu) = A\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \mu.$$

Thus  $\mu$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Now, we establish the uniqueness of  $\mu$ . If  $A$  has another fixed point  $\gamma$  and  $\mu \neq \gamma$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|p - p', z\|^2 &= \|Ap - Ap', z\|^2 \\ &\leq a_1 \frac{\|p' - Ap', z\|^2 (1 + \|p - Ap, z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', b\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_2 \frac{\|p - Ap, z\|^2 (1 + \|p' - Ap', z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', z\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_3 \frac{\|p - Ap', z\|^2 (1 + \|p' - Ap, z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', z\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_4 \frac{\|p' - Ap, z\|^2 (1 + \|p - Ap', z\|^2)}{1 + \|p - p', z\|^2} \\ &\quad + a_5 \|p - p', z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, indicates that

$$\|p - p', z\|^2 \leq (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \|p - p', z\|^2.$$

This provides a contradiction, for  $a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ . Accordingly,  $p$  is a unique fixed point of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Frame in 2-Hilbert space

This section introduces frame notions in a 2-Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Some results concerning these notions are surveyed. We refer the reader to [16] for other fresh generalizations of the frame.

Many authors have obtained significant results on the classical definition of the 2-inner product (e.g., see [1, 4, 5]). However, the most important fault in the classical definition of a 2-inner product space was that they could not define 2-Hilbert spaces. Gordji [7] presented the best-generalized definition of the 2-inner product space, which includes all the previous definitions and the geometric approach to the concept of a more appropriate. In this section, we recall some fundamental definitions of generalized 2-inner product spaces that will be used in the sequel.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** A complex vector space  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a 2-inner product space if there exists a complex-valued function  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, (\cdot, \cdot) \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{X}^2 \times \mathcal{X}^2$  such that, for all  $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\langle\langle x, y \rangle, (z, w) \rangle = \overline{\langle\langle z, w \rangle, (x, y) \rangle}$ ;
- (b) If  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent in  $X$ , then  $\langle\langle x, y \rangle, (x, y) \rangle > 0$ ;
- (c)  $\langle\langle x, y \rangle, (z, w) \rangle = -\langle\langle y, x \rangle, (w, z) \rangle$ ;
- (d)  $\langle\langle \alpha x + x', y \rangle, (z, w) \rangle = \alpha \langle\langle x, y \rangle, (z, w) \rangle + \langle\langle x', y \rangle, (z, w) \rangle$ .

In any given 2-inner product space  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, (\cdot, \cdot) \rangle)$ , we can define a function  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{X}^2 \times \mathcal{X}^2$  by

$$\|x, y\| = \langle\langle x, y \rangle, (x, y) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear space of dimension greater than 1 and let  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  be a real-valued function on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\|x, y\| = 0$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent;
- (b)  $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$ ;
- (c)  $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$ , where  $\alpha$  is real;
- (d)  $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$ .

The function  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  is called a 2-norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  a linear 2-normed space. Note that in the definition of 2-norm, if the following condition replaces the condition (d):

- (e)  $\|x, y\| = \|x, y - x\|$

then the function  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  is called a semi 2-norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  a semi 2-normed space. Some basic properties of 2-inner product  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, (\cdot, \cdot) \rangle$  can be immediately obtained as follows:



(I)  $\langle (x, y), (x, y) \rangle \geq 0,$

(II)  $\langle (x, y), (z, \beta w + w') \rangle = \overline{\beta} \langle (x, y), (z, w) \rangle + \langle (x, y), (z, w') \rangle,$

(III)  $\langle (x, y), (x, y) \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly,

for all  $x, y, z, w, w' \in X$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}.$

Using the above properties, we can prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$|\langle (x, y), (z, w) \rangle|^2 \leq \langle (x, y), (x, y) \rangle \langle (z, w), (z, w) \rangle.$$

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a 2-normed space. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{X}, b \in \mathcal{D}$  be fixed, then a map  $A : \mathcal{D} \times \langle b \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  where  $\langle b \rangle$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$  generated by  $b$ , is called a  $b$ -operator on  $\mathcal{D} \times \langle b \rangle$  whenever for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  holds:

1.  $A(x + y, b) = A(x, b) + A(y, b).$

2.  $A(kx, b) = kA(x, b).$

A  $b$ -operator  $A : \mathcal{D} \times \langle b \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is said to be bounded if there exists a real number  $\mathcal{M} > 0$  such that  $|A(x, b)| \leq \mathcal{M} \|x, b\|$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{D}.$

The norm of the  $b$ -operator is defined by

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup \{ |A(x, b)| : \|x, b\| = 1 \}.$$

Also we have  $|A(x, b)| \leq \|A\| \|x, b\|,$  where  $A(x, b) = \langle x, y | b \rangle$  for every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}.$

Harikrishnan et al. [9] proved the Riesz theorem in a 2-inner product for  $b$ -operators (see also [13]). In addition, Riyas in [15], presented the notion of adjoint for  $b$ -operators as follows:

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a 2-normed space. Restricting  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}},$  define  $A^* : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$  by  $A^*(y) = z_y.$  By construction,

$$\langle (A^*(y), b), (x, b) \rangle = \langle (y, b), (A(x), b) \rangle,$$

or

$$\langle A^*(y), b \rangle_b = \langle y, A(x) \rangle_b.$$

We call  $A^*$  adjoint operator of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}).$

We refer the interested reader to [2] for the basic theory of 2-inner product spaces.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite-dimensional vector space equipped with a 2-inner product introduced in the previous section. A countable family of elements  $\{f_i, h_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  if there exists constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$A \|f, g\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle (f, g), (f_i, h_i) \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f, g\|^2, \quad ((f, g) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}). \tag{3.1}$$

The numbers  $A, B$  are called frame bounds. The frame is normalized if  $\|f_i, h_i\| = 1$  for each  $i \in I.$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n | \langle (f, g), (f_i, h_i) \rangle |^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|f, g\|^2 \|f_i, h_i\|^2, \quad ((f, g) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}). \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $\{f_i, b\}_{i=1}^n$  be a frame for  $\mathcal{V} \times \langle b \rangle$  and define a linear mapping

$$T : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \langle b \rangle, \quad T \{c_i\}_{i=1}^n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (f_i, b)$$

$T$  is usually called the pre-frame operator. Also, the adjoint operator is given

$$T^* : \mathcal{V} \times \langle b \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (T^* f, b) = \{ \langle (f, b), (f_i, b) \rangle \}_{i=1}^n,$$

and is named the analysis operator. By composing  $T$  with its adjoint  $T^*$ , we obtain the frame operator

$$S : \mathcal{V} \times \langle b \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \langle b \rangle, \quad S(f, b) = T(T^* f, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (f, b), (f_i, b) \rangle (f_i, b).$$

Note that in terms of the frame operator,

$$\langle (Sf, b)(f, b) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n | \langle (f, b), (f_i, b) \rangle |^2, \quad ((f, b) \in \mathcal{V} \times \langle b \rangle).$$

DEFINITION 3.3. A frame  $\{f_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is tight if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n | \langle (f, g), (f_i, h_i) \rangle |^2 = A \|f, g\|^2, \quad ((f, g) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}).$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let  $\{f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\{f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a frame for  $\text{span} \{f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that not all  $\{f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are zero. The upper frame condition is satisfied with

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i, h_i\|^2.$$

Now let

$$\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} := \text{span} \{f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n,$$

and consider the continuous mapping

$$\phi : \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^n | \langle (f, g), (f_i, h_i) \rangle |^2.$$

The unit ball is compact so

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &=: \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle (w, k), (f_i, h_i) \rangle|^2 \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |\langle (f, g), (f_i, h_i) \rangle|^2 : (f, g) \in \mathscr{W} \times \mathscr{W}, \|f, g\| = 1 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $A > 0$ . Now given  $(f, g) \in \mathscr{W} \times \mathscr{W}$  and  $(f, g) \neq 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle (f, g), (f_i, h_i) \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left\langle \frac{(f, g)}{\|f, g\|}, (f_i, h_i) \right\rangle \right|^2 \|f, g\|^2 \geq A \|f, g\|^2. \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *A family of elements  $\{f_i, h_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{V}$  is a frame for  $\mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{V}$  if and only if  $\text{span} \{f_i, h_i\}_{i \in I} = \mathscr{V} \times \mathscr{V}$ .*

*Acknowledgements.* The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. J. CHO, M. MATIC AND J. E. PECARIC, *On Gram's determinant in 2-inner product spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **38** (6) (2001): 1125–1156.
- [2] Y. J. CHO, P. C. S. LIN, S. S. KIM, AND A. MISIAK, *Theory of 2-inner product spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2001.
- [3] C. DIMINNIE, *2-inner product spaces*, Demonstratio Math., **6** (1973): 525–536.
- [4] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Y. J. CHO, S. S. KIM AND A. SOFO, *Some Boas-Bellman type inequalities in 2-inner product spaces*, J. Inequal. in Pure and Appl. Math., **6** (2) 55 (2005): 1–13.
- [5] R. W. FREESE, S. S. DRAGOMIR, Y. J. CHO, AND S. S. KIM, *Some companions of Gruss inequality in 2-inner product space and applications for determinantal integral inequalities*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., **20** (3) (2005): 487–503.
- [6] S. GÄHLER, *Linear 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr., **28** (1965): 1–45.
- [7] M. E. GORDJI, A. DIVANDARI, M. R. SAFI, AND Y. J. CHO, *On reformations of 2-Hilbert spaces*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **10** (1) (2013): 1–11.
- [8] P. HARIKRISHNAN, H. R. MORADI, AND M. E. OMIÐVAR, *Numerical radius inequalities in 2-inner product spaces*, Kragujevac J. Math., **44** (3) (2020): 415–421.
- [9] P. K. HARIKRISHNAN, P. RIYAS, AND K. T. RAVINDRAN, *Riesz Theorems In 2-Inner Product Spaces*, Novi Sad J. Math., **41** (2) (2011): 57–61.
- [10] P. K. HARIKRISHNAN, B. L. GUILLEN, R. P. AGARWAL, AND H. R. MORADI, *Strong and weak convergences in 2-probabilistic normed spaces*, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **5** (2021): 454–466.
- [11] Z. LEWANDOWSKA, *Banach-Steinhaus Theorems for bounded linear operators with values in a generalized 2-normed space*, Glasnik Mat., **38** (2003): 329–340.
- [12] Z. LEWANDOWSKA, *Bounded 2-linear operators on 2-normed sets*, Glasnik Mat., **39** (59) (2004): 303–314.
- [13] H. MAZAHERI, R. KAZEMI, *Some results on 2-inner product spaces*, Novi Sad J. Math., **37** (2) (2007): 35–40.
- [14] M. E. OMIÐVAR, H. R. MORADI, S. S. DRAGOMIR, AND Y. J. CHO, *Some reverses of the Cauchy-Schwarz and triangle inequalities in 2-inner product spaces*, Kragujevac J. Math., **41** (1) (2017): 81–92.

- [15] P. RIYAS, K. TRAVINDRAN, *Riesz Theorems and Adjoint Operators on Generalized 2-Inner Product Spaces*, Global Journal of Mathematics, **3** (1) (2015): 244–255.
- [16] M. TALEB ALFAKHR, M. E. OMIÐVAR, H. R. MORADI, P. K. HARIKRISHNAN, AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Properties and related inequalities of  $\phi$ -frames in normed spaces*, Appl. Math. E-Notes., **19** (2019), 419–432.
- [17] A. WHITE, *2-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr., **42** (1969): 43–60.

(Received February 4, 2023)

*Abbas Alipour*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Kerman Branch, Islamic Azad University*  
*Kerman, Iran*  
*e-mail: abbasalipour1983@yahoo.com*

*Mohammad Hadi Akhbari*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Estahban Branch, Islamic Azad University*  
*Estahban, Iran*  
*e-mail: mhakhbari20@gmail.com*