

SOME GENERALIZED INEQUALITIES FOR ACCRETIVE–DISSIPATIVE MATRICES

YONGHUI REN

(Communicated by M. Sababheh)

Abstract. In this paper, we present some generalized inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices involving convex and concave functions which extend some results of Jabbarzadeh and Kaleibary. Among other results, we show that if $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are accretive-dissipative matrices, then for every non-negative increasing concave function f on $[0, \infty)$ and $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right| \right) \right\|_p^p \leq 2 \cdot n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| f(|T_j|) \right\|_p^p.$$

Moreover, we also provide the generalized forms of Minkowski's determinant inequality and the Young type determinant inequality involving accretive-dissipative matrices.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices and A^* denote the conjugate transpose of A . The matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called accretive if $\Re A$ is positive semi-definite, and an accretive-dissipative matrix if both $\Re A$ and $\Im A$ are positive semi-definite, where $\Re A = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ and $\Im A = \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*)$ are called the real part and imaginary part of A . For two Hermitian matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $A \geq B$ means that $A - B$ is positive semi-definite. In addition, a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unitarily invariant if $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$ for any $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and all unitarily matrices $U, V \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The singular values of A , that is, the eigenvalues of the positive semi-definite matrix $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is denoted by $s_j(A)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and arranged in a non-increasing order. For $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the weak majorization relation $s(A) \prec_w s(B)$ means

$$\sum_{j=1}^k s_j(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k s_j(B)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It is well known that $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$ for all unitarily invariant norms with $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $s(A) \prec_w s(B)$. For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$,

the Schatten p -norms are defined as $\|A\|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n s_j^p(A) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. As we all know that the Schatten p -norms are typical examples of unitarily invariant norms.

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 15A60, 47A30.

Keywords and phrases: Accretive-dissipative, convex function, concave function.

The numerical range of $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$W(A) = \{x^*Ax : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x^*x = 1\}.$$

Moreover, a matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be sectorial if, for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we have

$$W(A) \subset S_\alpha := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z \geq 0, |\Im z| \leq (\Re z) \tan(\alpha)\}.$$

Note that the numerical range of an accretive-dissipative matrix A is located in the first quadrant, that is $W(e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}A) \subset S_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$.

In 2019, Kittaneh and Sakkijha [8] presented the following Schatten p -norm inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices $T, S \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$2^{-\frac{p}{2}} (\|T\|_p^p + \|S\|_p^p) \leq \|T + S\|_p^p \leq 2^{\frac{3p}{2}-1} (\|T\|_p^p + \|S\|_p^p) \tag{1.1}$$

for $p \geq 1$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that every function is continuous and all functions satisfy the conditions: J is a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$ and $f : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$.

In 2022, Jabbarzadeh and Kaleibary [7] presented the following inequalities relevant to accretive-dissipative matrices involving convex and concave functions:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $T, S \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative and f be a nonnegative increasing concave function on $[0, \infty)$. Then for every $p \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{1}{4^p} \left(\|f(\sqrt{2}|T|)\|_p^p + \|f(\sqrt{2}|S|)\|_p^p \right) \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{|T+S|}{2}\right) \right\|_p^p.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $T, S \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative and f be an increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$\|f(|\alpha T + (1 - \alpha)S|)\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left(\|\alpha f(\sqrt{2}|T|)\|_p^p + \|(1 - \alpha)f(\sqrt{2}|S|)\|_p^p \right).$$

As the authors in [7] explained the left-hand side of inequality (1.1) comes from Theorem 1.1 when $f(t) = t$, and the right-hand side of inequality (1.1) follows as a special case of Theorem 1.2 with $f(t) = t$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive semi-definite. The famous Minkowski’s determinant inequality reads

$$(\det(A + B))^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq (\det A)^{\frac{1}{n}} + (\det B)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{1.2}$$

and the Young type determinant inequality is:

$$(\det A)^\alpha (\det B)^{1-\alpha} \leq \det(\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)B) \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1. \tag{1.3}$$

Kittaneh and Sakkijha [8] extended inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) to accretive-dissipative matrices: if $T, S \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are accretive-dissipative, then

$$\sqrt{2} |\det(T + S)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq |\det T|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |\det S|^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$|\det T|^\alpha |\det S|^{1-\alpha} \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\det(\alpha T + (1-\alpha)S)| \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1. \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper, we will show some generalized inequalities for the accretive-dissipative matrices inequalities mentioned above.

2. Main results

We will list some auxiliary lemmas in front of our results. Firstly, we show generalized inequalities for Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 2.1. ([7]) *Let A and B be positive and f be a non-negative increasing concave function on $[0, \infty)$. Then for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\|f(2|A + iB)|\|\| \leq \|\|f(A + B)\|\| \leq \|\|f(\sqrt{2}|A + iB)|\|\|.$$

LEMMA 2.2. ([13]) *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \geq 0$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1$. Then for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$,*

$$\|\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(A_j) \|\| \leq \|\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j\right) \|\|$$

for every non-negative concave function f on $[0, \infty)$.

LEMMA 2.3. ([2]) *Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive and $p \geq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|A_j\|_p^p \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right\|_p^p \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|A_j\|_p^p.$$

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1$. Then for $p \geq 1$ and every increasing concave function f on $[0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j \right| \right) \right\|_p^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{x_j}{2}\right)^p \|f(2|T_j)|\|_p^p.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the Cartesian decompositions of T_j . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j \right. \right) \right\|_p^p &= \left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j + i \sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j \right. \right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\geq \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j\right) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j (A_j + B_j)\right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(A_j + B_j) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \|f(A_j + B_j)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \frac{1}{2^p} \|f(2|A_j + iB_j|)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{x_j}{2}\right)^p \|f(2|T_j|)\|_p^p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.5. We can obtain Theorem 1.1 by Theorem 2.4 with $x_1 = x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $n = 2$.

The authors ([12] Theorem 10) presented a reverse of Theorem 2.4. However, there is a minor flaw. In fact, it should be

$$\frac{1}{2^p} \|f(2|A + iB|)\|_p^p \leq \|f(A + B)\|_p^p$$

instead of $\frac{1}{2} \|f(2|A + iB|)\|_p^p \leq \|f(A + B)\|_p^p$ under the conditions as in Lemma 2.1. We now correct it as follows.

LEMMA 2.6. ([4]) *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \geq 0$. Then for every non-negative concave function f on $[0, \infty)$ and for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$,*

$$\left\| \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j\right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n f(A_j) \right\| \right\|.$$

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative. Then for every non-negative increasing concave function f on $[0, \infty)$ and $p \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right. \right) \right\|_p^p \leq 2^p \cdot n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| f(|T_j|) \right\|_p^p.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the cartesian decompositions of T_j . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right| \right) \right\|_p^p &= 2^p \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| f\left(\sqrt{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j + i \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \right| \right) \right\|_p \right)^p \\ &\leq 2^p \left\| f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n A_j + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= 2^p \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{A_j + B_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq 2^p \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{A_j + B_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.6}) \\ &\leq 2^p n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| f\left(\frac{A_j + B_j}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\leq 2^p n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| f(|A_j + iB_j|) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= 2^p n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| f(|T_j|) \right\|_p^p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now show generalized inequalities for Theorem 1.2.

LEMMA 2.8. ([3]) *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \geq 0$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1$. Then for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$,*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(A_j) \right\| \geq \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j\right) \right\|$$

for every non-negative convex function f on $[0, \infty)$.

LEMMA 2.9. ([7]) *Let A, B be positive and f be an increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$,*

$$\| \|f(|A + iB|)\| \| \leq \| \|f(A + B)\| \| \leq \| \|f(\sqrt{2} |A + iB|)\| \|.$$

THEOREM 2.10. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1$. Then for $p \geq 1$ and every increasing convex function f on $[0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\left\| f\left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j \right| \right) \right\|_p^p \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \|f(\sqrt{2}|T_j|)\|_p^p.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the Cartesian decompositions of T_j . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j\right|\right) \right\|_p^p &= \left\| f\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j + i\sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j\right|\right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j\right) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j (A_j + B_j)\right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(A_j + B_j) \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &\leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j f(A_j + B_j)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &= n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \|f(A_j + B_j)\|_p^p \\ &\leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \|f(\sqrt{2}|A_j + iB_j|)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \|f(\sqrt{2}|T_j|)\|_p^p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.11. The Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 2.10 with $x_1 = \alpha$, $x_2 = 1 - \alpha$ and $n = 2$.

Next, we extend Theorem 2.10 to sectorial matrices.

LEMMA 2.12. ([15]) *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $W(A) \subset S_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then*

$$s(A) \prec_w \sec(\alpha)s(\Re A).$$

Equivalently, for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\|A\| \leq \sec(\alpha)\|\Re A\|.$$

LEMMA 2.13. ([5]) *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be continuous increasing convex function. If $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$, then*

$$\|f(|A|)\| \leq \|f(|B|)\|$$

for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$.

LEMMA 2.14. ([1]) *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. If A is positive semi-definite and B is Hermitian, then*

$$s_j(A) \leq s_j(A + iB)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 2.15. *Let $T_j \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $W(T_j) \subset S_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1$. Then for $p \geq 1$ and every increasing convex function f on $[0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j \right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j f(\sec(\alpha)|T_j|)\|_p^p.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the Cartesian decompositions of T_j . By Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j \right\|_p^p \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sec(\alpha) A_j \right\|_p^p. \tag{2.1}$$

Then we can get the follow inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j T_j \right) \right\| \right\|_p^p &\leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sec(\alpha) A_j \right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by (2.1) and Lemma 2.13}) \\ &= \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sec(\alpha) A_j \right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(\sec(\alpha) A_j) \right\| \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &\leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j f(\sec(\alpha) A_j)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &= n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j f(\sec(\alpha) |A_j|)\|_p^p \\ &\leq n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j f(\sec(\alpha) |T_j|)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.14 and 2.13}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.16. Putting $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$ in Theorem 2.15, then we can obtain Theorem 2.10.

Next, we present a reverse of Theorem 2.15.

LEMMA 2.17. ([9]) *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \geq 0$. Then for every non-negative convex function f on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and for every unitarily invariant norm $\| \cdot \|$,*

$$\left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n f(A_j) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right) \right\| \right\|.$$

THEOREM 2.18. *Let $T_j \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $W(T_j) \subset S_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then for every increasing convex function f on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sec(\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \|f(|T_j|)\|_p^p.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the Cartesian decompositions of T_j . By Lemma 2.14, we have

$$\left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right\| \right\| \geq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right\| \right\|. \tag{2.2}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \|f(|T_j|)\|_p^p &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|f(\sec(\alpha)|A_j|)\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.12 and 2.13}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \|f(\sec(\alpha)A_j)\|_p^p \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n f(\sec(\alpha)A_j) \right\| \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sec(\alpha)A_j\right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.17}) \\ &= \left\| \left\| f\left(\sec(\alpha)\left|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j\right|\right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| f\left(\sec(\alpha)\left|\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right|\right) \right\| \right\|_p^p \quad (\text{by (2.2) and Lemma 2.13}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$ in Theorem 2.18, we the following Corollary 2.19.

COROLLARY 2.19. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative. Then for every increasing convex function f on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|f(|T_j|)\|_p^p \leq \left\| \left\| f\left(\sqrt{2}\left|\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right|\right) \right\| \right\|_p^p.$$

Let $f(t) = t$ in Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.10 and Corollary 2.19, respectively, we get the following corollary, which also obtained by Yang and Lu [14].

COROLLARY 2.20. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative. Then for $p \geq 1$, we have*

$$2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j\|_p^p \leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right\| \right\|_p^p \leq 2^{\frac{k}{2}} n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j\|_p^p.$$

At the end of this paper, we will give generalized forms of Minkowski’s determinant inequality (1.4) and Young type determinant inequality (1.5) involving accretive-dissipative matrices as promised.

LEMMA 2.21. *Let k be a positive integer and let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive definite matrices. Then we have*

$$\left(\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k A_j \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \sum_{j=1}^k (\det A_j)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Proof. It can be proved by mathematical induction with (1.2) easily, so we omit the details. \square

LEMMA 2.22. ([10]) *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive definite matrices. Then*

$$|\det(A + iB)| \leq \det(A + B) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} |\det(A + iB)|.$$

LEMMA 2.23. ([11]) *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $W(A) \subset S_\alpha$. Then*

$$|\det A| \leq \sec^n(\alpha) \det(\Re A).$$

LEMMA 2.24. ([6]) *If $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has positive definite real part, then*

$$\det(\Re A) \leq |\det A|.$$

THEOREM 2.25. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative. Then*

$$\sqrt{2} \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k T_j \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \sum_{j=1}^k |\det T_j|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be the Cartesian decompositions of T_j . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k T_j \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} &= \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k A_j + i \sum_{j=1}^k B_j \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\geq \left(2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k A_j + \sum_{j=1}^k B_j \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.22}) \\ &= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (A_j + B_j) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\geq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k (\det(A_j + B_j))^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.21}) \\ &\geq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k |\det(A_j + iB_j)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.22}) \\ &= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k |\det T_j|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, there is another proof of this result.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k T_j \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} &\geq \left(\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k A_j \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.24}) \\
 &\geq \sum_{j=1}^k (\det A_j)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.21}) \\
 &\geq \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\cos^n \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right) |\det T_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.23}) \\
 &= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k |\det T_j|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.26. *Let k be a positive integer and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1$. If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are positive definite matrices, then we have*

$$\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^k (\det A_j)^{x_j}.$$

Proof. It can be proved by mathematical induction with (1.3). \square

THEOREM 2.27. *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k be positive real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1$. Then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k |\det T_j|^{x_j} \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j T_j \right) \right|.$$

Proof. Let $T_j = A_j + iB_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be the Cartesian decompositions of T_j . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{j=1}^k |\det T_j|^{x_j} &= \prod_{j=1}^k |\det(A_j + iB_j)|^{x_j} \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k (\det(A_j + B_j))^{x_j} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.22}) \\
 &\leq \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j (A_j + B_j) \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.26}) \\
 &= \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j + \sum_{j=1}^k x_j B_j \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j + i \sum_{j=1}^k x_j B_j \right) \right| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.22}) \\ &= 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j T_j \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.25, we now show another proof of this result.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j T_j \right) \right| &\geq \det \left(\Re \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j T_j \right) \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.24}) \\ &= \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j \Re(T_j) \right) \\ &\geq \prod_{j=1}^k (\det(\Re(T_j)))^{x_j} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.26}) \\ &\geq \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\cos^n \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right) |\det T_j| \right)^{x_j} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.23}) \\ &= 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^k |\det T_j|^{x_j}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.28. We can get (1.5) by Theorem 2.27 when $x_1 = \alpha$, $x_2 = 1 - \alpha$ and $n = 2$.

Acknowledgement. The author wish to express his sincere thanks to the referee for his/her detailed and helpful suggestions for revising the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, vol. 169, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [2] R. BHATIA, F. KITTANEH, *Cartesian decompositions and Schatten norms*, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **318** (2000) 109–116.
- [3] J. C. BOURIN, E. Y. LEE, *Unitary orbits of Hermitian operators with convex or concave functions*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **44** (2012) 1085–1102.
- [4] J. C. BOURIN, M. UCHIYAMA, *A matrix subadditivity inequality for $f(A+B)$ and $f(A)+f(B)$* , *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **423** (2007) 512–518.
- [5] F. HIAI, D. PETZ, *Introduction to Matrix Analysis and Applications*, Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- [6] R. A. HORN, C. R. JOHNSON, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [7] M. R. JABBARZADEH, V. KALEIBARY, *Inequalities for accretive-dissipative block matrices involving convex and concave functions*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **70** (2022), 395–410.
- [8] F. KITTANEH, M. SAKKIJHA, *Inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **67** (2019) 1037–1042.
- [9] T. KOSEM, *Inequalities between $\|f(A+B)\|$ and $\|f(A)+f(B)\|$* , *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **418** (2006) 153–160.
- [10] M. LIN, *Fisher type determinantal inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **438** (2013) 2808–2812.

- [11] M. LIN, *Extension of a result of Hanynsworth and Hartfiel*, Arch. Math., **104** (2015) 93–100.
- [12] Y. REN, C. YANG, *Some generalizations of numerical radii and Schatten p -norms inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal., **17** (2023) 1371–1386.
- [13] M. UCHIYAMA, *Subadditivity of eigenvalue sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134** (2006) 1405–1412.
- [14] C. YANG, F. LU, *Some generalizations of inequalities for sector matrices*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2018**, Paper No. 183, 11 pp.
- [15] F. ZHANG, *A matrix decomposition and its applications*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **63** (2015) 2033–2042.

(Received March 14, 2024)

Yonghui Ren
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Zhoukou Normal University
Zhoukou 466001, China
e-mail: yonghui ren1992@163.com