

GENERAL NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES FOR MATRICES OF HILBERT SPACE OPERATORS

MOHAMMED AL-DOLAT^{*} AND KHALDOUN AL-ZOUBI

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

Abstract. We obtain new bounds for the numerical radius of certain $n \times n$ and general 2×2 operator matrices. We show that our bounds refines the bounds that are given in [1] and [3].

1. Introduction

Let $B(H)$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on complex Hilbert space H with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For $T \in B(H)$, let

$$w(T) = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|,$$

$$m(T) = \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|,$$

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sqrt{\langle Tx, x \rangle},$$

$$c(T) = \inf_{\|x\|=1} \sqrt{\langle Tx, x \rangle},$$

denote the numerical radius, the Crawford number of T , the usual operator norm and the minimum norm of T respectively. It is well-known that $w(\cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms on $B(H)$ and satisfying the following sharp inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\| \leq w(T) \leq \|T\| \quad \text{for every } T \in B(H). \quad (1.1)$$

The upper bound of the inequality (1.1) has refined by Kittaneh [10] by showing that

$$w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| |T|^2 + |T^*|^2 \right\| \quad \text{for every } T \in B(H). \quad (1.2)$$

Over the years many authors have generalized and improved the above inequality, (see [6]–[14]).

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47A12, 47A30, 47A63, 47B33.

Keywords and phrases: Numerical radius, usual operator norm, off-diagonal operator matrix.

^{*} Corresponding author.

In this work, we obtain an improvement for the lower bound of the inequality (1.1), we prove that

$$w(T) \geq \max \left\{ \|T\| + \frac{m(T^2)}{\|T\|}, \frac{c^2(T) + w(T^2)}{\|T\|} \right\},$$

for every non-zero operator $T \in B(H)$.

Let H be Hilbert space. Then the n -copies of H denoted by $H^{(n)} = H \oplus H \oplus \cdots \oplus H$. For $A_{ij} \in B(H)$, $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$, the $n \times n$ operator matrix $T = [A_{ij}] \in B(H^{(n)})$ is defined by

$$Tx = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n A_{1j}x_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^n A_{2j}x_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n A_{nj}x_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in H^{(n)}.$$

In this work, we establish new lower and upper bounds for the numerical radius of certain $n \times n$ and 2×2 operator matrix. We show that the bounds obtained here improve on the existing bounds given in [1] and [3].

2. Numerical radius inequalities

In order to prove our results we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. [4] *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then*

$$\|Tx\|^2 + |\langle T^2x, x \rangle| \leq 2w(T)\|Tx\|\|x\|, \quad (2.1)$$

for every $x \in H$.

LEMMA 2.2. [1] *Let $a, b, e \in H$ with $\|e\| = 1$. Then for every $p \in [0, 1]$,*

$$|\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1+p}{2}\|a\|^2\|b\|^2 + \frac{1-p}{2}\|a\|\|b\|\langle a, b \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

LEMMA 2.3. [11] *Let $T \in B(H)$ be a positive operator and $x \in H$ be any unit vector. Then for every $r \geq 1$,*

$$|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^r \leq |\langle T^r x, x \rangle|. \quad (2.3)$$

LEMMA 2.4. [2] *Let f be a non-negative convex function on $[0, \infty)$ and $A, B \in B(H)$ be positive operators. Then*

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} \right\|.$$

The first result in this paper which gives new lower bound for $n \times n$ off-diagonal operator matrix can be stated as follows.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_1 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ A_n & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, such that $A_i \neq 0$ for any $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Then*

$$w(A) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\alpha = \max \left\{ \|A_{n-s+1}\| + \frac{m(A_s A_{n-s+1})}{\|A_{n-s+1}\|} : s = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

and

$$\beta = \max \left\{ \frac{c^2(A_{n-s+1}) + w(A_s A_{n-s+1})}{\|A_{n-s+1}\|} : s = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in H^{(n)}$ be any unit vector. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i x_{n-i+1} \right\|^2 + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i A_{n-i+1} x_i, x_i \rangle \right| \leq 2w(T) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i x_{n-i+1} \right\|. \quad (2.5)$$

For $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $x_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, s-1, s+1, \dots, n$. then $\|x_s\| = 1$ and so by (2.5), we get

$$\|A_{n-s+1} x_s\|^2 + |\langle A_s A_{n-s+1} x_s, x_s \rangle| \leq 2w(T) \|A_{n-s+1}\|,$$

thus

$$\|A_{n-s+1} x_s\|^2 + m(A_s A_{n-s+1}) \leq 2w(T) \|A_{n-s+1}\|,$$

hence

$$w(T) \geq \frac{\|A_{n-s+1} x_s\|^2 + m(A_s A_{n-s+1})}{2 \|A_{n-s+1}\|}.$$

Taking the supremum over all $x_s \in H$ with $\|x_s\| = 1$, we get

$$w(T) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\|A_{n-s+1} x_s\| + \frac{m(A_s A_{n-s+1})}{\|A_{n-s+1} x_s\|} \right].$$

Hence

$$w(T) \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly from the inequality (2.5) we have

$$c^2(A_{n-s+1}) + |\langle A_s A_{n-s+1} x_s, x_s \rangle| \leq 2w(T) \|A_{n-s+1}\|,$$

so

$$w(T) \geq \frac{c^2(A_{n-s+1}) + |\langle A_s A_{n-s+1} x_s, x_s \rangle|}{2 \|A_{n-s+1}\|}.$$

Taking the supremum over all $x_s \in H$,

$$w(T) \geq \frac{c^2(A_{n-s+1}) + w(A_s A_{n-s+1} x_s, x_s)}{2 \|A_{n-s+1}\|}.$$

Thus

$$w(T) \geq \frac{1}{2} \beta. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.6) and (2.7) we get the required result. \square

The next result which improve the lower bound of the inequality (1.1) can be obtained directly from the above theorem.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then*

$$w(T) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \|T\| + \frac{m(T^2)}{\|T\|}, \frac{c^2(T) + w(T^2)}{\|T\|} \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Also, if $n = 2$ in Theorem 2.5, then we get ([5], Theorem 2).

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$ and let $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w^4 \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) &\leq 8 \max\{w^4(A), w^4(D)\} + (2 + 2p) \max\{\| |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 \|, \| |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \| \} \\ &\quad + (2 - 2p) \max\{\| |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} \\ &\quad \times \max\{w(BC), w(CB)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$, $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$ and $T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then for any unit vector $x \in H^{(2)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|^4 &= |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle + \langle T_2 x, x \rangle|^4 \\ &\leq (|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle| + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|)^4 \\ &= 8(|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|^4) \quad (\text{by convexity of } f(t) = t^4) \\ &= 8|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + 8|\langle T_2 x, x \rangle \langle x, T_2^* x \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq 8|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + (4 + 4p)\|T_2 x\|^2 \|T_2^* x\|^2 + (4 - 4p)\|T_2 x\| \|T_2^* x\| |\langle T_2 x, T_2^* x \rangle| \\ &\quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}) \\ &= 8|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + (4 + 4p)\langle |T_2|^2 x, x \rangle \langle T_2^* |^2 x, x \rangle \\ &\quad + (4 - 4p)\sqrt{\langle |T_2|^2 x, x \rangle} \sqrt{\langle |T_2^*|^2 x, x \rangle} |\langle T_2^2 x, x \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 8|\langle T_1x, x \rangle|^4 + (2+2p)(\langle |T_2|^2x, x \rangle^2 + \langle |T_2^*|^2x, x \rangle^2) \\
&\quad + (2-2p)\langle (|T_2|^2x, +|T_2^*|^2)x, x \rangle |\langle T_2^2x, x \rangle| \\
&\leq 8|\langle T_1x, x \rangle|^4 + (2+2p)(\langle (|T_2|^4 + |T_2^*|^4)x, x \rangle \\
&\quad + (2-2p)\langle (|T_2|^2x, +|T_2^*|^2)x, x \rangle |\langle T_2^2x, x \rangle| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\
&\leq 8 \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \right|^4 + (2+2p) \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \\
&\quad + (2-2p) \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by taking the supremum over all unit vectors $x \in H^{(2)}$ we get the required bound. \square

REMARK 2.8. The upper bound in Theorem 2.7 is less than the upper bound in the inequality [[3], Theorem 3.1] for $p = \frac{1}{3}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
w^4 \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) &\leq 8 \max\{w^4(A), w^4(D)\} + \frac{8}{3} \max\{\| |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 \|, \| |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \| \} \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{3} \max\{\| |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} \max\{w(BC), w(CB)\} \\
&\leq 8 \max\{w^4(A), w^4(D)\} + 3 \max\{\| |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 \|, \| |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \| \} \\
&\quad + \max\{\| |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} \max\{w(BC), w(CB)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Which is clear since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max\{\| |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} \max\{w(BC), w(CB)\} \\
&\leq \max\{\| |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 \|, \| |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \| \}.
\end{aligned}$$

The next result is obtained by letting $A = D$ and $B = C$ in Theorem 2.7.

COROLLARY 2.9. Let $A, B \in B(H)$ and $p \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$w^4 \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq 8w^4(A) + (2+2p)\| |B|^4 + |B^*|^4 \| + (2-2p)\| |B|^2 + |B^*|^2 \| w(B^2). \tag{2.11}$$

The next result which was obtained in [1] by Aldolat and Jaradat is a direct consequence of corollary 2.9 by letting $A = B$.

COROLLARY 2.10. Let $A \in B(H)$ and $p \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$w^4(A) \leq \frac{1+p}{4} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1-p}{4} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2). \tag{2.12}$$

REMARK 2.11. Let $p = \frac{1}{3}$ in Corollary 2.10 . Then we get a refinement for the upper bound [[3], Remark 3.2]

$$\begin{aligned} w^4(A) &\leq \frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{6} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{8} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

To explain this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{6} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{24} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{24} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w^2(A) + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{48} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| ^2 + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\hspace{10em} \text{(by inequality (1.2))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{48} \| (|A|^2 + |A^*|^2)^2 \| + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\hspace{10em} \text{(by Lemma (2.4))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{24} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &= \frac{3}{8} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1}{8} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

THEOREM 2.12. Let $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$ and let $p \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w^4 \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) &\leq \max\{w^4(A), w^4(D)\} + \frac{1+p}{4} \max\{\| |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 \|, \| |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \| \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1-p}{4} \max\{\| |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} \max\{w(BC), w(CB)\} \\ &\quad + 3 \max\{w^2(A), w^2(D)\} \left[\frac{1}{2} \max\{\| |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \max\{w(BC), w(CB)\} \right] \\ &\quad + 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \max\{\| |A|^2 + |B^*|^2 \|, \| |D|^2 + |C^*|^2 \| \} + w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & BD \\ CA & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\max\{w^2(A), w^2(D)\} + w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$, $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$ and $T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then for any unit vector $x \in H^{(2)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^4 &\leqslant (|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle| + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|)^4 \\
&= |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle \langle x, T_2^* x \rangle|^2 + 6|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle \langle x, T_2^* x \rangle| \\
&\quad + 4|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle \langle x, T_2^* x \rangle| + 4|\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|^2 |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle \langle x, T_2^* x \rangle| \\
&\leqslant |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + \frac{1+p}{2} \|T_2 x\|^2 \|T_2^* x\|^2 + \frac{1-p}{2} \|T_2 x\| \|T_2^* x\| |\langle T_2 x, T_2^* x \rangle| \\
&\quad + 3|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 (\|T_2 x\| \|T_2^* x\| + |\langle T_2 x, T_2^* x \rangle|) \\
&\quad + 2 [\|T_1 x\| \|T_2^* x\| + |\langle T_1 x, T_2^* x \rangle|] [|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|^2] \\
&\leqslant |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + \frac{1+p}{4} \langle (|T_2|^4 + |T_2^*|^4) x, x \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1-p}{4} \langle (|T_2|^2 + |T_2^*|^2) x, x \rangle |\langle T_2^2 x, x \rangle| \\
&\quad + 3|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 \left(\left\langle \frac{(|T_2|^2 + |T_2^*|^2)}{2} x, x \right\rangle + \langle T_2^2 x, x \rangle \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \left[\left\langle \frac{(|T_1|^2 + |T_2^*|^2)}{2} x, x \right\rangle + |\langle T_2 T_1 x, x \rangle| \right] [|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|^2] \\
&\leqslant |\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^4 + \frac{1+p}{4} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |C|^4 + |B^*|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & |B|^4 + |C^*|^4 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1-p}{4} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \\
&\quad + 3|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |C|^2 + |B^*|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |B|^2 + |C^*|^2 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A|^2 + |B^*|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |D|^2 + |C^*|^2 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & BD \\ CD & 0 \end{bmatrix} x, x \right\rangle \right] \\
&\quad \times [|\langle T_1 x, x \rangle|^2 + |\langle T_2 x, x \rangle|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the proof is complete by taking the supremum over all unit vectors $x \in H^{(2)}$. \square

COROLLARY 2.13. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$ and $p \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
w^4 \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \max \{ w^4(A+B), w^4(A-B) \} \\
&\leqslant w^4(A) + \frac{1+p}{4} \| |B|^4 + |B^*|^4 \| + \frac{1-p}{4} \| |B|^2 + |B^*|^2 \| w(B^2) \\
&\quad + 3w^2(A) \left(\frac{1}{2} \| |B|^2 + |B^*|^2 \| + w(B^2) \right) \\
&\quad + (\| |A|^2 + |B^*|^2 \| + 2w(BA)) (w(A^2) + w(B^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.14. Let $A \in B(H)$ and $p \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w^4(A) &\leq \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1-p}{60} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{15} w^2(A) \left(\frac{1}{2} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| + w(A^2) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| . \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} w^4(A) &\leq \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1-p}{60} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{15} w^2(A) \left(\frac{1}{2} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| + w(A^2) \right) \quad (\text{take } A = B \text{ in Corollary 2.13}) \\ &= \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1-p}{60} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w(A^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{30} w^2(A) \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| + \frac{7}{15} w^2(A) w(A^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{1-p}{60} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| w^2(A) \\ &\quad + \frac{7}{30} w^2(A) \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| + \frac{7}{15} w^4(A) \quad (\text{by the power inequality}) \\ &\leq \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{15-p}{120} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| ^2 + \frac{7}{60} \| |A|^2 + |A^*|^2 \| ^2 \\ &= \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{29-p}{120} \| (|A|^2 + |A^*|^2)^2 \| \\ &\leq \frac{1+p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| + \frac{29-p}{60} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \| |A|^4 + |A^*|^4 \| . \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ALDOLAT, AND I. JARADAT, *A refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality accompanied by new numerical radius upper bounds*, Filomat, **37** (3) (2023) 971–977.
- [2] J. AUJLA, F. SILVA, *Weak majorization inequalities and convex functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **369** (2003) 217–233.
- [3] W. BANI-DOMI, AND F. KITTANEH, *Norm and numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 69.5 (2021) 934–945.
- [4] S. J. BERNAU, AND F. SMITHIES, *A note on normal operators*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **59** (1963) 727–729.
- [5] P. BHUNIA, K. PAUL, AND R. K. NAYAK, *Sharp inequalities for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators and operator matrices*, Mathematical inequalities and applications **24** (2021) 167–183.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Inequalities for the numerical radius of linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Springer, (2013).

- [7] H. GUELFEN, AND F. KITTANEH, *On numerical radius inequalities for operator matrices*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **40** (2019) 1231–1241.
- [8] K. E. GUSTAFSON, AND D. K. M. RAO, *Numerical range*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [9] O. HIRZALLAH, F. KITTANEH, AND K. SHEBRAWI, *Numerical radius inequalities for certain 2×2 operator matrices*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **71** (2011) 129–147.
- [10] F. KITTANEH, *A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix*, Studia Math. **158** (2003) 11–17.
- [11] F. KITTANEH, *Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences 24.2 (1988) 283–293.
- [12] F. KITTANEH, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, AND T. YAMAZAKI, *Cartesian decomposition and numerical radius inequalities*, Linear Algebra Appl. **471** (2015) 46–53.
- [13] K. SHEBRAWI, *Numerical radius inequalities for certain 2×2 operator matrices II*, Linear Algebra Appl. **523** (2017) 1–12.
- [14] T. YAMAZAKI, *On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition*, Studia Math. **178** (1) (2007) 83–89.

(Received January 20, 2022)

Mohammed Al-Dolat
 Department of Mathematics & Statistics
 Jordan University of Science and Technology
 P.O. Box 3030, Irbid 22110, Jordan
 e-mail: mmaldolat@just.edu.jo

Khalidoun Al-Zoubi
 Department of Mathematics & Statistics
 Jordan University of Science and Technology
 P.O. Box 3030, Irbid 22110, Jordan
 e-mail: kfzoubi@just.edu.jo