P-NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES FOR 2×2 OPERATOR MATRICES

JUNLI SHEN, ERMING DING AND ALATANCANG CHEN

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

Abstract. In this paper, we present a refinement of the triangle inequality for Schatten p-norm, and specific example is given to compare our result with the triangle inequality for Schatten p-norm. As an application, a new lower bound for p-numerical radius is obtained. In addition, some bounds for p-numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices are established, which extend the results of previous studies. Moreover, Schatten p-norm equalities of 2×2 operator matrices are also given.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space \mathscr{H} . Let P_1, \ldots, P_n be a family of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathscr{H} such that $\oplus P_i = I$. Given T in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, let $T_{ij} = P_i T P_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Making the usual identification we can write T in a block-matrix form

$$T = [T_{ij}], \ 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n. \tag{1}$$

For $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, the adjoint, the real and imaginary parts of T are defined by T^* , $\Re(T)$ and $\Im(T)$, respectively. And according to the Cartesian decomposition, $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ can be presented as $T = \Re(T) + i\Im(T)$, where $\Re(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$ and $\Im(T) = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$.

Let N(.) be an arbitrary norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$. For every $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ and unitary operators $U, V \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, the norm N(.) is self-adjoint if $N(T) = N(T^*)$, unitarily invariant if $N(T) = N(U^*TV)$, and weakly unitarily invariant if $N(T) = N(U^*TU)$.

A compact operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ belongs to the Schatten *p*-class C_p for 0 $if <math>||T||_p = (tr|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j^p(T))^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$, where $s_1(T) \ge s_2(T) \ge \ldots$ are the eigenvalue

ues of $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and tr(.) is the usual trace functional. Throughout this paper, we assume $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is compact whenever $T \in C_p$ for $0 . It is known that <math>C_p$ is a two-sided ideal in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, and C_{∞} is the ideal of compact operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty (0 , <math>\|.\|_p$ defines a norm(a quasi norm) on C_p . It should be mentioned here that for quasi norm does not satisfy the triangle inequality. For the theory of the Schatten *p*-class, we refer to [8, 14, 20].

Keywords and phrases: P-numerical radius, Schatten p-norm, inequality.



Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47B20, 47A05.

For 0 , define the*p* $-numerical radius <math>w_p(.)$ by $w_p(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}T) \right\|_p$ = $\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \Im(e^{i\theta}T) \right\|_p$. It can be seen that

$$\max\left\{\left\|\mathfrak{R}(T)\right\|_{p},\left\|\mathfrak{I}(T)\right\|_{p}\right\}\leqslant w_{p}(T)\leqslant\left\|T\right\|_{p}.$$

For 0 0,

$$\|A\|_{sp}^{s} = \||A|^{s}\|_{p} = \||A^{*}|^{s}\|_{p}.$$
(2)

Besides, if T is self-adjoint, $w_p(T) = ||T||_p$.

For p = 2, Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius $w_2(.)$ has been given in [1]. In particular, it has been shown that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ||T||_2 \leq w_2(T) \leq ||T||_2$, where $T \in C_2$. For more basic information about $w_2(.)$, it is recommended that readers can see [2, 3, 5, 15, 19].

Further, it was also shown in [1] that the *p*-numerical radius is equivalent to the Schatten *p*-norm, i.e., for every $T \in C_p$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_p \leqslant \omega_p(T) \leqslant \|T\|_p, \text{ where } p \in [1, \infty).$$
(3)

The study of the numerical radius of operators has attracted a lot of interest due to their widespread applications in many branches in mathematics and physics. In mathematics, the numerical radius is often used as a more reliable indicator of the convergence rate of iterative methods, see [6, 11]. In physics, it has been successfully applied to quantum computation and quantum information theory, especially in the field of quantum error correction, see [7, 10, 18, 17]. Over the years, numerous outstanding mathematicians have acquired various generalized numerical radius inequalities such as $w_2(.)$ of operator. As the generalization of $w_2(.)$ of operator, it is necessary to investigate $w_p(.)$ of operator. As is known, computing the numerical radius is not easy task. However, the operator norm computations are much easier. This urges the need to find bounds of $w_p(.)$ in the terms of $\|.\|_p$. But, compared with $\|.\|_2$, $\|.\|_p$ is more complex. Consequently, calculation problem of $\|.\|_p$ urgently needs to be solved.

In this paper, we split our main results into two sections. In the first section, we give a refinement of the triangle inequality for Schatten *p*-norm. As an application, a new lower bound for *p*-numerical radius is obtained. In the second section, some bounds for *p*-numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices, which extend the results of previous studies and obtain several new lower bound estimates about special 2×2 operator matrices. Two Schatten *p*-norm equalities of 2×2 operator matrices are also given.

2. Improvement of the triangle inequality for Schatten *p*-norm

LEMMA 2.1. ([12]) Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then

$$\begin{split} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(f\left(\frac{a+3b}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{3a+b}{4}\right) \right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}. \end{split}$$

Now, we give our first main result of this section, which is a refinement of the triangle inequality for Schatten p-norm.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $X, Y \in C_p$, where $p \ge 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} \|X+Y\|_{p} &\leq \frac{1}{4} (\|3X+Y\|_{p} + \|X+3Y\|_{p}) \\ &\leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} \|tX+(1-t)Y\|_{p} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|X+Y\|_{p} + \|X\|_{p} + \|Y\|_{p}) \\ &\leq \|X\|_{p} + \|Y\|_{p}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Let $f(t) = ||tX + (1-t)Y||_p$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that the function f(t) is convex. By taking into consideration Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$f\left(\frac{0+1}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right)$$
$$\leqslant \int_0^1 f(x) dx$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{f(0) + f(1)}{2} \right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{f(0) + f(1)}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{2}X + \frac{1}{2}Y \right\|_{p} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \frac{3}{4}X + \frac{1}{4}Y \right\|_{p} + \left\| \frac{1}{4}X + \frac{3}{4}Y \right\|_{p} \right) \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \|tX + (1-t)Y\|_{p} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \frac{1}{2}X + \frac{1}{2}Y \right\|_{p} + \frac{\|X\|_{p} + \|Y\|_{p}}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|X\|_{p} + \|Y\|_{p}}{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \|X+Y\|_p &\leqslant \frac{1}{4} (\|3X+Y\|_p + \|X+3Y\|_p) \\ &\leqslant 2 \int_0^1 \|tX + (1-t)Y\|_p dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} (\|X+Y\|_p + \|X\|_p + \|Y\|_p) \\ &\leqslant \|X\|_p + \|Y\|_p. \quad \Box \end{split}$$

REMARK 2.1. Here, we remark that our inequalities in Theorem 2.1 is a nontrivial improvement of the triangle inequality for Schatten *p*-norm. Take $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Easy computations show that

$$||X||_p = ||Y||_p = 1, \quad ||X+Y||_p = \sqrt{2}, \quad ||3X+Y||_p = ||X+3Y||_p = \sqrt{10},$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \|tX + (1-t)Y\|_p dt \simeq 0.812$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \|X+Y\|_p &\simeq 1.414 < \frac{1}{4} (\|3X+Y\|_p + \|X+3Y\|_p) \simeq 1.581 \\ &< 2 \int_0^1 \|tX + (1-t)Y\|_p dt \simeq 1.624 \\ &< \frac{1}{2} (\|X+Y\|_p + \|X\|_p + \|Y\|_p) \simeq 1.707 \\ &< \|X\|_p + \|Y\|_p = 2. \end{split}$$

The following theorem provides a new lower bound for p-numerical radius of operator.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $T \in C_p$, where $p \ge 2$. Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{4} \| T^*T + TT^* \|_{p/2} &\leqslant \frac{1}{8} (\| \Im \Re^2(T) + \Im^2(T) \|_{p/2} + \| \Re^2(T) + \Im \Im^2(T) \|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant \int_0^1 \| t \Re^2(T) + (1-t) \Im^2(T) \|_{p/2} dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{4} (\| \Re^2(T) + \Im^2(T) \|_{p/2} + \| \Re^2(T) \|_{p/2} + \| \Im^2(T) \|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \| \Re(T) \|_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Im(T) \|_p^2 \\ &\leqslant \omega_p^2(T). \end{split}$$

Proof. For $T \in C_p$, where $p \ge 2$, by calculation, we have

$$\|\Re^{2}(T) + \Im^{2}(T)\|_{p/2} = \frac{1}{2} \|T^{*}T + TT^{*}\|_{p/2}.$$
(4)

Put $X = \Re^2(T)$ and $Y = \mathfrak{I}^2(T)$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|\Re^2(T) + \mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2} &\leqslant \frac{1}{4} (\|\mathfrak{I}\Re^2(T) + \mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\mathfrak{R}^2(T) + \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant 2 \int_0^1 \|t\mathfrak{R}^2(T) + (1-t)\mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2} dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathfrak{R}^2(T) + \mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\mathfrak{R}^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant \|\mathfrak{R}^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}^2(T)\|_{p/2}. \end{split}$$

It follow from (4), we derive

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \|T^*T + TT^*\|_{p/2} &\leqslant \frac{1}{4} (\|3\Re^2(T) + \Im^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\Re^2(T) + 3\Im^2(T)\|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant 2 \int_0^1 \|t\Re^2(T) + (1-t)\Im^2(T)\|_{p/2} dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} (\|\Re^2(T) + \Im^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\Re^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\Im^2(T)\|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant \|\Re(T)\|_p^2 + \|\Im(T)\|_p^2 \\ &\leqslant 2\omega_p^2(T). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{4} \|T^*T + TT^*\|_{p/2} &\leqslant \frac{1}{8} (\|\Im\Re^2(T) + \Im^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\Re^2(T) + \Im\Im^2(T)\|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant \int_0^1 \|t\Re^2(T) + (1-t)\Im^2(T)\|_{p/2} dt \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{4} (\|\Re^2(T) + \Im^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\Re^2(T)\|_{p/2} + \|\Im^2(T)\|_{p/2}) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \|\Re(T)\|_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Im(T)\|_p^2 \\ &\leqslant \omega_p^2(T). \quad \Box \end{split}$$

3. The *p*-numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices

To obtain the desired results of this paper, we first introduce some well-known lemmas. The first lemma is the convexity(concavity) inequalities.

LEMMA 3.1. ([4]) Let $a, b \in [0, \infty)$. Then (1) $2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p) \leq (a+b)^p \leq a^p + b^p$ for $0 \leq p \leq 1$; (2) $a^p + b^p \leq (a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$ for $p \geq 1$.

The second lemma is the basic properties of Schatten p-norm.

LEMMA 3.2. ([4]) Let $A, B \in C_p$, and $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\|A\|_p^p + \|B\|_p^p} \text{ and } \|A\|_p = \|A^*\|_p.$$

R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh [9] studied the relation between the norm of operator matrix T and the norm of its block matrix entries T_{ij} . They acquired the following result:

LEMMA 3.3. ([9]) Let $T = [T_{ij}]$, where $T_{ij} \in C_p$, i, j = 1, 2..., n. Then

(1)
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| T_{ij} \right\|_{p}^{2} \leq \left\| T \right\|_{p}^{2} \leq n^{\frac{4}{p}-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| T_{ij} \right\|_{p}^{2} \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq 2;$$

(2)
$$n^{\frac{4}{p}-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| T_{ij} \right\|_{p}^{2} \leq \left\| T \right\|_{p}^{2} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| T_{ij} \right\|_{p}^{2} \text{ for } 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

LEMMA 3.4. ([13]) Let $A \in C_p$, then for 0 , we have

$$2^{\frac{2}{p}}w_p^2(A) \geqslant w_{p/2}(A^2).$$

LEMMA 3.5. ([16]) Let $B, C \in C_p$, then for 0 ,

$$w_p\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \max\left\{w_p(B+C), w_p(B-C)\right\}.$$

LEMMA 3.6. Let $A, B \in C_p$, then for 0 ,

$$w_p\left[\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B & A\end{pmatrix}
ight] \leqslant \left(w_p^p(A+B) + w_p^p(A-B)
ight)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular, if A, B are self-adjoint, then

$$w_p\left[\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B & A\end{pmatrix}\right] = \left(w_p^p(A+B) + w_p^p(A-B)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Proof. Let $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix}$, then U is a unitary operator. So, by using weakly unitary invariance of $w_p(.)$ and [16], we have

$$w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \right] = w_p \left[U \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} U^* \right]$$
$$= w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A + B & 0 \\ 0 & A - B \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
$$\leqslant \left(w_p^p (A + B) + w_p^p (A - B) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } 0$$

In particular, if A, B are self-adjoint, then by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left(w_p^p (A + B) + w_p^p (A - B) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

LEMMA 3.7. Let $A, B, C, D \in C_p$, then for $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have (1) $w_p \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge w_p \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$; (2) $w_p \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge w_p \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the technique used in [19]. \Box

Now, we give upper and lower bound estimates for *p*-numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices.

THEOREM 3.1. Let
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $A, B, C, D \in C_p$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then
(1) $w_p(T) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \sqrt{2\left(\alpha^2 + (1-\alpha)^2\right)\left(w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D)\right) + \|B\|_p^2 + \|C\|_p^2}$
for $1 \leq p \leq 2$;
(2) $w_p(T) \leq \sqrt{2\left(\alpha^2 + (1-\alpha)^2\right)\left(w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D)\right) + \|B\|_p^2 + \|C\|_p^2}$ for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$\begin{split} \left\|\Re(e^{i\theta}T)\right\|_{p}^{2} &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2\Re(e^{i\theta}A) & e^{i\theta}B + e^{-i\theta}C^{*} \\ e^{i\theta}C + e^{-i\theta}B^{*} & 2\Re(e^{i\theta}D) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha\Re(e^{i\theta}A) & e^{i\theta}B \\ e^{-i\theta}B^{*} & 2\alpha\Re(e^{i\theta}D) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2(1-\alpha)\Re(e^{i\theta}A) & e^{-i\theta}C^{*} \\ 2(1-\alpha)\Re(e^{i\theta}D) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha\Re(e^{i\theta}A) & e^{i\theta}B \\ e^{-i\theta}B^{*} & 2\alpha\Re(e^{i\theta}D) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2(1-\alpha)\Re(e^{i\theta}A) & e^{-i\theta}C^{*} \\ e^{i\theta}C & 2(1-\alpha)\Re(e^{i\theta}D) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p}^{2} \\ &(by \text{ using the convexity of the function } f(t) = t^{2} \text{ on } [0, +\infty)) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{4}{p}-3} \left((2\alpha)^{2} \left(\left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}D) \right\|_{p}^{2} \right) + 2 \left\| B \right\|_{p}^{2} \\ &+ 2^{\frac{4}{p}-3} \left((2(1-\alpha))^{2} \left(\left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}D) \right\|_{p}^{2} \right) + 2 \left\| C \right\|_{p}^{2} \right) \text{ (by Lemma 3.3)} \\ &= 2^{\frac{4}{p}-2} \left[2 \left(\alpha^{2} + (1-\alpha)^{2} \right) \left(\left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}D) \right\|_{p}^{2} \right) + \left\| B \right\|_{p}^{2} + \left\| C \right\|_{p}^{2} \right]. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by taking supremum to both sides of the above inequality over all real numbers θ , we have

$$w_p(T) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \sqrt{2\left(\alpha^2 + (1-\alpha)^2\right) \left(w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D)\right) + \|B\|_p^2 + \|C\|_p^2}$$

Similarly, for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$w_p(T) \leq \sqrt{2\left(\alpha^2 + (1-\alpha)^2\right)\left(w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D)\right) + \|B\|_p^2 + \|C\|_p^2}.$$

REMARK 3.1. By taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain

(1)
$$w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq 2^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \sqrt{w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D) + \|B\|_p^2 + \|C\|_p^2} \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq 2;$$

(2) $w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq \sqrt{w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D) + \|B\|_p^2 + \|C\|_p^2} \text{ for } 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$

Taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and p = 2 in Theorem 3.1, we derive

$$w_2\left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\right] \leqslant \sqrt{w_2^2(A) + w_2^2(D) + \|B\|_2^2 + \|C\|_2^2},$$

which has been given in [3].

THEOREM 3.2. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, where $A, B, C, D \in C_p$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then (1) $w_p(T) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{2}} \left[\sqrt{2\alpha^2 (w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D))} + \|B\|_p^2 + \sqrt{2(1 - \alpha)^2 (w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D))} + \|C\|_p^2 \right]$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$; (2) $w_p(T) \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sqrt{2\alpha^2 (w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D))} + \|B\|_p^2 + \sqrt{2(1 - \alpha)^2 (w_p^2(A) + w_p^2(D))} + \|C\|_p^2 \right]$ for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$\begin{split} w_p(T) &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2\Re \left(e^{i\theta} A \right) & e^{i\theta} B + e^{-i\theta} C^* \\ e^{i\theta} C + e^{-i\theta} B^* & 2\Re \left(e^{i\theta} D \right) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha \Re \left(e^{i\theta} A \right) & e^{i\theta} B \\ e^{-i\theta} B^* & 2\alpha \Re \left(e^{i\theta} D \right) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2(1-\alpha) \Re \left(e^{i\theta} A \right) & e^{-i\theta} C^* \\ e^{i\theta} C & 2(1-\alpha) \Re \left(e^{i\theta} D \right) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &\leqslant 2^{\frac{2}{p}-\frac{3}{2}} \left[\sqrt{2\alpha^2 \left(w_p^2 \left(A \right) + w_p^2 \left(D \right) \right) + \left\| B \right\|_p^2} \\ &+ \sqrt{2(1-\alpha)^2 \left(w_p^2 \left(A \right) + w_p^2 \left(D \right) \right) + \left\| C \right\|_p^2} \right] \\ (by \text{ Lemma 3.3). \end{split}$$

Similarly, for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$w_{p}(T) \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sqrt{2\alpha^{2} \left(w_{p}^{2}(A) + w_{p}^{2}(D) \right) + \|B\|_{p}^{2}} + \sqrt{2(1-\alpha)^{2} \left(w_{p}^{2}(A) + w_{p}^{2}(D) \right) + \|C\|_{p}^{2}} \right]. \quad \Box$$

REMARK 3.2. By taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(1) w_{p} \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq 2^{\frac{2}{p}-2} \left[\sqrt{w_{p}^{2}(A) + w_{p}^{2}(D) + 2 \|B\|_{p}^{2}} + \sqrt{w_{p}^{2}(A) + w_{p}^{2}(D) + 2 \|C\|_{p}^{2}} \right]$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2$;
$$(2) w_{p} \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{w_{p}^{2}(A) + w_{p}^{2}(D) + 2 \|B\|_{p}^{2}} + \sqrt{w_{p}^{2}(A) + w_{p}^{2}(D) + 2 \|C\|_{p}^{2}} \right]$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$.

REMARK 3.3. Using the concavity of the function $f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on $[0,\infty)$, it follows that Remark 3.2 is a refinement of Remark 3.1.

REMARK 3.4. By taking p = 2 in Theorem 3.2, we can derive

$$w_{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] \leqslant \sqrt{\alpha^{2}(w_{2}^{2}(A) + w_{2}^{2}(D)) + \frac{1}{2} \|B\|_{2}^{2}} + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)^{2}(w_{2}^{2}(A) + w_{2}^{2}(D)) + \frac{1}{2} \|C\|_{2}^{2}},$$

which has been given in [2].

THEOREM 3.3. Let $A, B, C, D \in C_p$, then for $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$w_p\left[\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix}\right] \ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \max\left\{w_p(A+D), w_p(A-D), w_p(B+C), w_p(B-C)\right\}.$$

Proof.

$$w_{p} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \Re(e^{i\theta}A) & 0 \\ 0 & \Re(e^{i\theta}D) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p}$$
$$= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt[p]{\|\Re(e^{i\theta}A)\|_{p}^{p} + \|\Re(e^{i\theta}D)\|_{p}^{p}}$$
$$\ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_{p} + \left\| \Re(e^{i\theta}D) \right\|_{p} \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1(a)})$$
$$\ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} w_{p}(A+D).$$

Similarly, we get

$$w_p\left[\begin{pmatrix}A&0\\0&D\end{pmatrix}\right] \ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}w_p(A-D).$$

Hence,

$$w_p\left[\begin{pmatrix}A & 0\\ 0 & D\end{pmatrix}\right] \ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \max\left\{w_p(A+D), w_p(A-D)\right\}.$$

Finally, by using Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7 and the above inequality,

$$w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] \ge \max \left\{ w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \right], w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right\}$$
$$\ge 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \max \left\{ w_p (A+D), w_p (A-D), w_p (B+C), w_p (B-C) \right\}. \quad \Box$$

REMARK 3.5. By taking p = 2 in Theorem 3.3, we can obtain

$$w_2\left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\right] \ge 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max\left\{w_2(A+D), w_2(A-D), w_2(B+C), w_2(B-C)\right\},\$$

which has been given in [2].

THEOREM 3.4. Let $A, B \in C_p$ be such that AB, BA are self-adjoint. Then for 0 , we have

$$w_p^2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \ge 2^{-\frac{2}{p}} \left(w_{p/2}^{p/2}(AB) + w_{p/2}^{p/2}(BA) \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then by using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$2^{\frac{2}{p}}w_{p}^{2}(T) \ge w_{p/2}(T^{2})$$

= $w_{p/2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} AB & 0 \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix} \right]$
= $\left(w_{p/2}^{p/2}(AB) + w_{p/2}^{p/2}(BA) \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$

thus we obtain the desired result. \Box

THEOREM 3.5. Let $A, B \in C_p$ be such that $A^2 - B^2$, AB - BA are self-adjoint. Then for 0 , we have

$$w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix} \right] \ge 2^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(w_{p/2}^{p/2}((A-B)(A+B)) + w_{p/2}^{p/2}((A+B)(A-B)) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix}$, by using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{split} 2^{\frac{j}{p}} w_p^2(T) \geqslant w_{p/2}(T^2) \\ &= w_{p/2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} A^2 - B^2 & AB - BA \\ AB - BA & A^2 - B^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left(w_{p/2}^{p/2}((A - B)(A + B)) + w_{p/2}^{p/2}((A + B)(A - B)) \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \end{split}$$

thus we obtain

$$w_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix} \right] \ge 2^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(w_{p/2}^{p/2}((A-B)(A+B)) + w_{p/2}^{p/2}((A+B)(A-B)) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad \Box$$

Next results are Schatten *p*-norm equalities of 2×2 operator matrices.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let $A, B \in C_p$, then

(1)
$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{p} = \left(\|A + B\|_{p}^{p} + \|A - B\|_{p}^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}};$$

(2) $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix}^{2} \right\|_{p} = \left(\|(A - B)(A + B)\|_{p}^{p} + \|(A + B)(A - B)\|_{p}^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix}$, by Lemma 3.6 and (2), we get

$$\begin{split} \|T\|_{p}^{2} &= \|TT^{*}\|_{p/2} \\ &= w_{p/2}(TT^{*}) \\ &= w_{p/2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} AA^{*} + BB^{*} & -AB^{*} - BA^{*} \\ -AB^{*} - BA^{*} & AA^{*} + BB^{*} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left(w_{p/2}^{p/2} \left((A - B)(A - B)^{*} \right) + w_{p/2}^{p/2} \left((A + B)(A + B)^{*} \right) \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= \left(\|(A - B)(A - B)^{*}\|_{p/2}^{p/2} + \|(A + B)(A + B)^{*}\|_{p/2}^{p/2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= \left(\|(A - B)\|_{p}^{p} + \|(A + B)\|_{p}^{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix} \right\|_p = \left(\|A + B\|_p^p + \|A - B\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix}^2 \right\|_p = \left(\| (A - B)(A + B) \|_p^p + \| (A + B)(A - B) \|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad \Box$$

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for a careful reading and some kind advice. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (Nos. 242300420249, 252300420329).

REFERENCES

- A. ABU-OMAR, F. KITTANEH, A generalization of the numerical radius, Linear Algebra Appl. 569 (2019) 323–334.
- [2] S. AICI, A. FRAKIS, F. KITTANEH, Further Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius inequalities for 2 × 2 operator matrices, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 44 (5) (2023) 382–393.
- [3] A. ALDALABIH, F. KITTANEH, *Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius inequalities for operator matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 581 (2019) 72–84.

- [4] F. ALRIMAWI, O. HIRZALLAH, F. KITTANEH, Norm inequalities involving the weighed numerical radii of operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 657 (2023) 127–146.
- [5] W. AUDEH, Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius inequalities for 2 × 2 operator matrices, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci. 16 (2021) 1161–1167.
- [6] O. AXELSSON, H. LU, B. POLMAN, On the numerical radius of matrices and its application to iterative solution methods, Linear Multilinear Algebra 37 (1994) 225–238.
- [7] N. BEBIANO, R. LEMOS, J. DA PROVIDEÑCIA, Numerical ranges of unbounded operators arising in quantum physics, Linear Algebra Appl. 381 (2004) 259–279.
- [8] R. BHATIA, Matrix Analysis, Springer, New York 1997.
- [9] R. BHATIA, F. KITTANEH, Norm inequalities for partitioned operators and an application, Math. Ann. 287 (1990) 719–726.
- [10] M. D. CHOI, D. W. KRIBS, K. ŻYCZKOWSKI, Quantum error correcting codes from the compression formalism, Rep. Math. Phys. 58 (2006) 77–91.
- [11] M. EIERMANN, Field of values and iterative methods, Linear Algebra Appl. 180 (1993) 167–197.
- [12] A. E. FARISSI, Simple proof and refinement of Hermite-Hadamard inequality, J. Math. Inequal. 4 (3) (2010) 365–369.
- [13] A. FRAKIS, F. KITTANEH, S. SOLTANI, Upper and lower bounds for the p-numerical radii of operators, Results Math. 79 (2) (2024) 1–13.
- [14] I. C. GOHBERG, M. G. KREIN, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969.
- [15] M. HAJMOHAMADI, R. LASHKARIPOUR, Some inequalities involving Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius on 2 × 2 operator matrices, Filomat 34 (14) (2020) 4649–4657.
- [16] J. HAMZA, H. ISSA, Generalized numerical radius inequalities for Schatten p-norms, arXiv:2204.02469.
- [17] C. K. LI, Y. T. POON, Generalized numerical ranges and quantum error correction, J. Oper. Theory 66 (2011) 335–351.
- [18] P. LIPKA-BARTOSIK, K. ŻYCZKOWSKI, Nuclear numerical range and quantum error correction codes for non-unitary noise models, Quantum Inf. Process 16 (2017) 9.
- [19] S. SAHOO, M. SABABHEH, Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius of block operators, Filomat 35 (8) (2021) 2663–2678.
- [20] B. SIMON, Trace Ideals and Their Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.

(Received September 11, 2024)

Junli Shen School of Mathematics and Statistics Henan Normal University Xinxiang 453007, China and College of Computer and Information Technology Henan Normal University Xinxiang 453007, China e-mail: zuoyawen1215@126.com

> Erming Ding School of Mathematics and Statistics Henan Normal University Xinxiang 453007, China e-mail: 19836238161@163.com

Alatancang Chen School of Mathematical Science Inner Mongolia Normal University Hohhot 010022, China e-mail: alatanc@126.com