

A NOTE OF A ROUGH SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATOR

DASHAN FAN, KANGHUI GUO AND YIBIAO PAN¹

(communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

Abstract. L^p mapping properties will be established in this paper for singular Radon transforms with rough kernels, extending the results of Grafakos and Stefanov.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, be the n -dimensional Euclidean space and S^{n-1} be the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n equipped with normalized Lebesgue measure $d\sigma = d\sigma(x')$. Let $\Omega(x)|x|^{-n}$ be a homogeneous function of degree $-n$, with $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $x' = x/|x|$ for any $x \neq 0$.

The Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator T is defined by

$$T(f)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Omega(y') |y|^{-n} f(x-y) dy; \tag{2}$$

the truncated maximal operator T^* is defined by

$$T^*(f)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|y| > \epsilon} \Omega(y') |y|^{-n} f(x-y) dy \right| \tag{3}$$

where $y' = y/|y| \in S^{n-1}$ and $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the space of Schwartz functions.

By introducing the method of rotations, Calderón-Zygmund proved that if $\Omega \in L \log^+ L$, then both T and T^* are bounded operators in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ [2, 3]. Some years later, the condition $\Omega \in L \log^+ L$ was independently improved by Connett [4] and Ricci and Weiss [11] who showed that if

$$\Omega \in H^1(S^{n-1}) \tag{4}$$

Mathematics subject classification (1991): 42B20, 42B25.

Key words and phrases: Singular integral, rough kernel, Hardy space.

¹ Supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation of USA.

then T maps $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into itself for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. Here $H^1(S^{n-1})$ denotes the Hardy space on the sphere in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [6]. More details of $H^1(S^{n-1})$ can be found in Colzoni's thesis [5].

Recently Grafakos and Stefanov [10] considered a family of new conditions

$$\sup_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y')| \left(\ln \frac{1}{|\langle y', \xi \rangle|} \right)^{1+\alpha} d\sigma(y') < \infty, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (5)$$

and they proved the following two L^p boundedness theorems:

THEOREM A. *Let $\alpha > 0$. Then T is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $(2 + \alpha)/(1 + \alpha) < p < (2 + \alpha)$.*

THEOREM B. *Let $\alpha > 1$. Then T^* is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 + 3/(1 + 2\alpha) < p < 2(2 + \alpha)/3$.*

More significantly, Grafakos and Stefanov showed that condition (5) for all $\alpha > 0$ is indeed disjoint from the H^1 condition (4).

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate a more general operator T_P defined by

$$T_P f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Omega(y') |y|^{-n} f(x - P_N(|y|)y') dy \quad (6)$$

and its truncated maximal operator

$$T_P^* f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|y| > \epsilon} \Omega(y') |y|^{-n} f(x - P_N(|y|)y') dy, \quad (7)$$

where $P_N(t)$ is a real polynomial on \mathbf{R} of degree N and satisfies $P_N(0) = 0$. Clearly, if P_N is the identity function I then $T_I = T$.

The operator T_P was first studied by Fan and Pan [8] and its L^p boundedness was known in [1] under condition (4). Thus, naturally, it would be interesting to know the L^p boundedness of T_P and T_P^* under the new condition (5).

The following two theorems are the main results in this paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\Omega(y')$ satisfy (5). Then T_P is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $p \in (\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2 + 2\alpha)$. The bound of T_P is independent of the coefficients of P .*

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\Omega(y')$ satisfy (5). Then T_P^* is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $p \in (\frac{1+2\alpha}{2\alpha}, 1 + 2\alpha)$. The bound of T_P^* is independent of the coefficients of P .*

It should be noted that the ranges of p in Theorems 1–2 are strictly larger than those in Theorems A and B, respectively.

The proof of the theorems are based on the technique used in [9].

2. Boundedness of Singular Integrals

For the given polynomial $P_N(t) = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m t^m$, we denote

$$P_r(t) = \sum_{m=0}^r \beta_m t^m \text{ for } r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \text{ where } \beta_0 = 0.$$

For all integers $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, we define

$$\hat{\sigma}_{k,r}(\xi) = \int_{2^k \leq |y| < 2^{k+1}} |y|^{-n} \Omega(y') e^{-iP_r(|y|)\langle y', \xi \rangle} dy, \tag{8}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{k,r}(\xi) = \int_{2^k \leq |y| < 2^{k+1}} |y|^{-n} |\Omega(y')| e^{-iP_r(|y|)\langle y', \xi \rangle} dy. \tag{9}$$

Also we define

$$\sigma_r^* f(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\mu_{k,r} * f(x)|.$$

Then it is easy to see $T_P f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{k,N} * f$.

The following lemma is Theorem 7.4 in [9].

LEMMA 2.1.. For $r = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, if $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ then

$$\|\sigma_r^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

where c is a constant independent of the coefficients of P_r .

We also need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. For all integers $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

- (i) $|\hat{\sigma}_{k,r}(\xi) - \hat{\sigma}_{k,r-1}(\xi)| \leq c |2^{rk} \beta_r \xi|$
- (ii) $|\hat{\sigma}_{k,r}(\xi)| \leq c (\ln |2^{kr} \xi \beta_r|)^{-1-\alpha}$ if $|2^{kr} \xi \beta_r| > 1$.

Proof. (i) is obvious. To prove (ii), we note

$$\hat{\sigma}_{k,r}(\xi) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(y') \left[\int_1^2 e^{-iP_r(2^k t)|\xi|\langle \xi', y' \rangle} \frac{dt}{t} \right] d\sigma(y'). \tag{10}$$

By Van der Corput lemma, the integral inside the bracket in (10) is bounded by

$$c (|\xi| |\beta_r| 2^{kr} |\langle \xi', y' \rangle|)^{-\frac{1}{r}}.$$

On the other hand, it is trivial to see that

$$\left| \int_1^2 e^{-iP_r(2^k t)|\xi|\langle \xi', y' \rangle} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \leq \log 2.$$

Thus it must satisfy the estimate

$$\left| \int_1^2 e^{-iPr(2^k t)|\xi| \langle \xi', y' \rangle} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \leq c \frac{(\ln(\frac{3}{2} |\langle \xi', y' \rangle|^{-1}))^{1+\alpha}}{(\ln 2^{kr} |\xi| |\beta_r|)^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Therefore, by (5), (ii) is proved. We now choose and fix a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\phi(t) \equiv 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $\phi(t) \equiv 0$ for $|t| > 2$.

Let $\varphi(t) = \phi(t^2)$ and define the measures $\{\tau_{k,N-\lambda}\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}_{k,N-\lambda}(\xi) &= \hat{\sigma}_{k,N-\lambda}(\xi) \prod_{N-\lambda < l \leq N} \varphi(2^{lk} \beta_{N-\lambda} \xi) \\ &\quad - \hat{\sigma}_{k,N-\lambda-1}(\xi) \prod_{N-\lambda-1 < l \leq N} \varphi(2^{lk} \beta_{N-\lambda} \xi) \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, where we use the convention $\prod_{j \in \emptyset} a_j = 1$.

Noting $\sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \tau_{k,N-\lambda} = \sigma_{k,N}$, we have

$$T_p f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{k,N} * f = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k,N-\lambda} * f.$$

Thus,

$$\|T_p f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k,N-\lambda} * f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Therefore, to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to show

$$\left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k,N-\lambda} * f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \quad (11)$$

for $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ and $p \in (\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha)$.

It is easy to see that $\tau_{k,N-\lambda} = 0$ if $\beta_{N-\lambda} = 0$. Thus without loss of generality, we assume $\beta_{N-\lambda} \neq 0$ for $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

By the definition of $\tau_{k,N-\lambda}$ and Lemma 2.2, it is easy to see

$$|\hat{\tau}_{k,N-\lambda}(\xi)| \leq c 2^{(N-\lambda)k} |\beta_{N-\lambda} \xi|, \quad (12)$$

$$|\hat{\tau}_{k,N-\lambda}(\xi)| \leq c \left(\ln \left| 2^{k(N-\lambda)} \xi \beta_{N-\lambda} \right| \right)^{-1-\alpha}, \quad (13)$$

$\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

Also, by Lemma 2.1 and the definition of $\tau_{k,N-\lambda}$, we find for $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$,

$$\left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\tau_{k,N-\lambda} * f| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \quad (14)$$

for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

It should be pointed out that the constants c in (12)–(14) are independent of the coefficients of P .

By (14), we obtain the following lemma in [9].

LEMMA 2.3. ([9] Theorem 7.5) *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. For arbitrary functions q_k , and $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$,*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau_{k,N-\lambda} * q_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \left\| \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |q_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

where the constant c is independent of the coefficients of the polynomial P .

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 1. As we mentioned before, it suffices to show (11). By duality, we may assume $p \in (2, 2 + 2\alpha)$.

Let $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a smooth partition of unity in $(0, \infty)$ adapted to the interval $(2^{-(N-\lambda)j} \beta_{N-\lambda}^{-1}, 2^{-(N-\lambda)(j+1)} \beta_{N-\lambda}^{-1})$. To be precise, we require the following:

$$\Phi_j \in C^\infty, \quad 0 \leq \Phi_j \leq 1, \quad \sum_j \Phi_j(t)^2 = 1,$$

$$\text{supp}(\Phi_j) \subseteq \left(2^{-(N-\lambda)(j-1)} \beta_{N-\lambda}^{-1}, 2^{-(N-\lambda)(j+1)} \beta_{N-\lambda}^{-1} \right).$$

Define the multiplier operators S_j in \mathbf{R}^n by

$$(S_j f)^\wedge(\xi) = f^\wedge(\xi) \Phi_j(|\xi|).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k,N-\lambda} * f &= \sum_k \tau_{k,N-\lambda} * \left(\sum_j S_{j+k} S_{j+k} f \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(\sum_k S_{j+k} (\tau_{k,N-\lambda} * S_{j+k} f) \right) = \sum_j I_j f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k,N-\lambda} * f \right\|_p \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|I_j f\|_p.$$

From classical Littlewood-Paley theory and Lemma 2.3, we know that $\|I_j f\|_{p_0} \leq C \|f\|_{p_0}$ with C independent of j , for any $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$. By the Plancherel theorem

$$\|I_j f\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_k \int_{E_{j+k,N-\lambda}} |f^\wedge(\xi)|^2 |\hat{\tau}_{k,N-\lambda}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

where

$$E_{j+k,N-\lambda} = \left\{ \xi : 2^{-(N-\lambda)(j+k+1)} \beta_{N-\lambda}^{-1} \leq |\xi| < 2^{-(N-\lambda)(j+k-1)} \beta_{N-\lambda}^{-1} \right\}.$$

Thus by the estimate (ii) in Lemma 2.2, we obtain that for $j < 0$

$$\|I_j f\|_2^2 \leq c |j|^{-(\alpha+1)} \|\hat{f}\|_2^2,$$

which implies

$$\|I_j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq c |j|^{-\alpha-1}.$$

Noting $2 \leq p < 2 + \alpha$, by interpolation between $\|I_j\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq c |j|^{-\alpha-1}$ and $\|I_j\|_{L^{p_0} \rightarrow L^{p_0}} \leq c$ for any $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$, we obtain a $\beta > 1$ such that

$$\|I_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c |j|^{-\beta} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Similarly, using (i) in Lemma 2.2, we can obtain a $\theta > 0$ such that

$$\|I_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c 2^{-j\theta} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad \text{if } j \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|I_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

The theorem is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an integer k such that $2^{k-1} \leq \epsilon < 2^k$. So we have

$$T_p^* f \leq \sigma_N^*(f) + \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,N} * f \right|$$

Let $\{\tau_{k,N-\lambda}\}$ be the family defined in § 2, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,N} * f \right| &= \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \tau_{j,N-\lambda} * f \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \tau_{j,N-\lambda} * f \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\|\sigma_N^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. So it suffices to show for any $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, N$

$$\left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \tau_{j,\lambda} * f \right| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \quad (15)$$

Take a radial function $\varphi \in S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ when $|\xi| < 1$ and $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ when $|\xi| > 2^\lambda$. Let $\varphi_k(\xi) = \varphi(2^{k\lambda}|\beta_r\xi|)$ and let $\hat{\Phi}_k(\xi) = \varphi_k(\xi)$. Now let $J_k(f) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \tau_{j,\lambda} * f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(f) &= (\delta - \Phi_k) * \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \tau_{j,\lambda} * f + \Phi_k * T_p(f) - \Phi_k * \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-1} \tau_{j,\lambda} * f \\ &= J_{k,1}(f) + J_{k,2}(f) + J_{k,3}(f), \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function. Let M be the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. By Theorem 1,

$$\left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |J_{k,2}(f)| \right\|_p \leq c \|M(T_p f)\|_p \leq c \|f\|_p.$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |J_{k,3}(f)| &= \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_{k-j,\lambda} * \Phi_k * f \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\tau_{k-j,\lambda} * \Phi_k * f| \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j(f). \end{aligned}$$

By (14), we have $\|G_j(f)\|_p \leq c \|Mf\|_p \leq c \|f\|_p$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. On the other hand,

$$G_j(f) \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau_{k-j,\lambda} * \Phi_k * f|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_j(f)\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{\tau}_{k-j,\lambda}(\xi)|^2 |\varphi_k(\xi)|^2 |f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq c \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\tau}_{k-j,\lambda}(\xi)|^2 \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq c \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \left(\sum_{2^{k\lambda} \leq |\xi|^{-1} |\beta_\lambda|^{-1} 2^\lambda} 2^{k\lambda} |\beta_r \xi| \right) 2^{-2j\lambda} \|f\|_2^2 \leq c 2^{-2j\lambda} \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by interpolation, we have

$$\left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |J_{k,3}(f)| \right\|_p \leq c \|f\|_p.$$

Finally, we estimate $\sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |J_{k,1}(f)|$. Similar to $J_{k,3}(f)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |J_{k,1}(f)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\tau_{k+j,\lambda} * (\delta - \Phi_k) * f| \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j(f), \quad \text{and } \|\Delta_j(f)\|_p \leq c \|f\|_p \text{ for any } p \in (1, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel Theorem and the choice of Φ_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j(f)\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi| \geq |\beta_\lambda|^{-1} 2^{-\lambda k}} |\hat{\tau}_{k+j,\lambda}(\xi)|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-k}^{\infty} \int_{2^{i\lambda} \leq |\beta_\lambda \xi| \leq 2^{\lambda(i+1)}} |\hat{\tau}_{k+j,\lambda}(\xi)|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-k}^{\infty} \int_{2^{i\lambda} \leq |\beta_\lambda \xi| \leq 2^{\lambda(i+1)}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \left(\ln |2^{(k+j)\lambda} \xi \beta_r| \right)^{-2-2\alpha} d\xi \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+j+i} \right)^{2+2\alpha} \int_{2^{i\lambda} \leq |\beta_r \xi| \leq 2^{\lambda(i+1)}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i+j} \right)^{2+2\alpha} \int_{2^{\lambda(i-k)} \leq |\beta_r \xi| \leq 2^{\lambda(i+1-k)}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i+j} \right)^{2+2\alpha} \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq c j^{-1-2\alpha} \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Delta_j(f)\|_2 \leq c j^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_2.$$

Since $p \in (\frac{1+2\alpha}{2\alpha}, 1+2\alpha)$ and $\|\Delta_j(f)\|_{p_o} \leq c \|f\|_{p_o}$ for any $p_o \in (1, \infty)$, one can find a $\theta > 1$ via interpolation such that

$$\|\Delta_j(f)\|_p \leq c j^{-\theta} \|f\|_p,$$

which implies $\left\| \sup_k |J_{k,1}(f)| \right\|_p \leq c \|f\|_p$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. AL-HASAN AND D. FAN, L^p boundedness of a singular integral operator, Canadian Math. Bulletin, to appear.
- [2] A. P. CALDERÓN AND A. ZYGMUND, On existence of certain singular integrals, Acta. Math. **88** (1952), 85-129.
- [3] A. P. CALDERÓN AND A. ZYGMUND, On singular integrals, Amer. J. Math. **18** (1956) 289-309.
- [4] W. C. CONNETT, Singular integrals near L^1 , Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. of Amer. Math. Soc. (S. Wainger and G. Weiss, eds.) **35**, I (1979), 163-165.
- [5] L. COLZANI, Hardy Spaces on Sphere, Ph.D. Thesis, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, 1982.
- [6] R. R. COIFMAN AND G. WEISS, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 569-645.
- [7] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA AND J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 541-561.
- [8] D. FAN AND Y. PAN, L^2 boundedness of a singular integral operator, Publicacions Matemàtiques, **41** (1997), 317-333.
- [9] D. FAN AND Y. PAN, Singular integral with rough kernels supported by subvarieties, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 799-839.
- [10] L. GRAFAKOS AND A. STEFANOV, L^p bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.
- [11] F. RICCI AND G. WEISS, A characterization of $H^1(\sum_{n-1})$, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. of Amer. Math. Soc. (S. Wainger and G. Weiss, eds.), Vol. **35**, I (1979), 289-294.

(Received April 10, 1998)

Dashan Fan
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
 Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA
 e-mail: fan@alpha1.csd.uwm.edu

Kanghui Guo
 Department of Mathematics
 Southwest Missouri State University
 Springfield, MO 65804, USA
 e-mail: kago26f@cnas.smsu.edu

Yibiao Pan
 Department of Math. and Statistics
 University of Pittsburgh
 Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
 e-mail: yibiao@tomato.math.pitt.edu