

SOME NORM INEQUALITIES INVOLVING FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES

MANDEEP SINGH AND JASPAL SINGH AUJLA

(communicated by B. Mond)

Abstract. We will prove some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms involving functions of two variables. Some of our results generalize known results.

1. Introduction

Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$. By M_m , we will denote the space of $m \times m$ complex matrices. I_m will denote the identity element in M_m . I, J will be intervals in \mathbf{R} . By $S_m(I)$, we will denote the set of all hermitian matrices in M_m whose spectrum is contained in I . P_m will denote the set of all positive definite matrices in M_m and S_m will denote the set of all positive semidefinite matrices in M_m . $\|\cdot\|$ will denote a unitarily invariant norm on M_m where as $\|\cdot\|$ will denote the spectral norm. The Schur product or the Hadamard product of two matrices $X, Y \in M_m$ will be denoted as $X \circ Y$. This is the matrix whose (i, j) entry is $x_{ij}y_{ij}$. The halmos \square will denote the end of the proof.

The classical arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for positive numbers a, b

$$(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$$

has been extended to the case of matrices in several ways (see [5], [6], [8]). In section 2, we will consider a more general case of a generalization considered in [5]. In section 3, we will prove some inequalities for norms involving operator monotone functions of two variables studied in [9]. In this section the influence of Ando [1] is easily discernable.

2. Arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities

A real valued function f defined on an interval I is called convex if

$$f(\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t) \leq \lambda f(s) + (1 - \lambda)f(t)$$

for all $s, t \in I$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Mathematics subject classification (1991): 47A63.

Key words and phrases: Norm inequalities, matrix functions, operator monotone functions.

This definition of convex functions is extendable to the case of functions of two variables. A real valued function F defined on $I \times J$ is called convex if

$$F(\lambda s_1 + (1 - \lambda)s_2, \lambda t_1 + (1 - \lambda)t_2) \leq \lambda F(s_1, t_1) + (1 - \lambda)F(s_2, t_2)$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \in I$, $t_1, t_2 \in J$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. In case $J = I$, the function F is called diagonally convex if the corresponding function f of single variable defined by

$$f(s) = F(s, s); \quad s \in I$$

is convex. It is clear that if $F : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is convex then F is diagonally convex, however the converse need not be true. The function $F(s, t) = st$ is diagonally convex on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ but is not convex on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. For properties of convex functions the reader may refer to [11].

It is proved in [5] that the function

$$f(s) = \| \| A^{1+s}XB^{1-s} + A^{1-s}XB^{1+s} \| \|$$

is convex on $[-1, 1]$, where $A, B \in P_n$ and $X \in M_m$. It is equivalent to saying that the function $F(s, t)$ of two variables defined as $F(s, t) = \| \| A^{1+s}XB^{1-t} + A^{1-s}XB^{1+t} \| \|$ is diagonally convex on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. Here we will prove that the function F is convex on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. To prove this we need the following lemma, a proof of which can be found in [5].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $A, B, X \in M_n$. Then*

$$\| \| A^*XB \| \| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| \| A^*AX + XBB^* \| \|.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $A, B \in P_n$ and $X \in M_n$. Then the function*

$$F(s, t) = \| \| A^{1+s}XB^{1-t} + A^{1-s}XB^{1+t} \| \|$$

is convex on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ and attains its minimum at $(0, 0)$.

Proof. The function F is continuous and $F(s, t) = F(-s, -t)$. Thus both conclusions will follow if we show that

$$F(s_1, t_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} [F(s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2) + F(s_1 - s_2, t_1 - t_2)],$$

whenever $s_1 \pm s_2, t_1 \pm t_2 \in [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$.

Let $s, t \in [-1, 1]$. Consider the map

$$M_{(s,t)}(Y) = \frac{1}{2} (A^s Y B^{-t} + A^{-s} Y B^t),$$

$Y \in M_n$. Then we have for each $Y \in M_n$,

$$\| \| Y \| \| = \| \| A^{-s} (A^s Y B^t) B^{-t} \| \| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| \| A^s Y B^{-t} + A^{-s} Y B^t \| \| = \| \| M_{(s,t)}(Y) \| \|,$$

using Lemma 2.1.

From this inequality it follows that

$$\|M_{(s_1,t_1)}(AXB)\| \leq \|M_{(s_2,t_2)}M_{(s_1,t_1)}(AXB)\|$$

for all s_1, s_2 and t_1, t_2 in $[-1, 1]$.

But

$$\begin{aligned} M_{(s_2,t_2)}(M_{(s_1,t_1)}(Y)) &= \frac{1}{4} [A^{s_2}(A^{s_1} Y B^{-t_1} + A^{-s_1} Y B^{t_1}) B^{-t_2} + A^{-s_2}(A^{s_1} Y B^{-t_1} + A^{-s_1} Y B^{t_1}) B^{t_2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [A^{s_1+s_2} Y B^{-(t_1+t_2)} + A^{-s_1+s_2} Y B^{t_1-t_2} + A^{s_1-s_2} Y B^{-t_1+t_2} + A^{-(s_1+s_2)} Y B^{(t_1+t_2)}] \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$M_{(s_2,t_2)}(M_{(s_1,t_1)}) = \frac{1}{2} (M_{(s_1+s_2,t_1+t_2)} + M_{(s_1-s_2,t_1-t_2)}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|M_{(s_1,t_1)}(AXB)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|M_{(s_1+s_2,t_1+t_2)}(AXB)\| + \|M_{(s_1-s_2,t_1-t_2)}(AXB)\|)$$

i.e.

$$F(s_1, t_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} [F(s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2) + F(s_1 - s_2, t_1 - t_2)]$$

which completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $A, B \in P_n$ and $X \in M_n$. Then the function*

$$G(s, t) = \|A^s X B^{1-t} + A^{1-t} X B^s\|$$

is convex on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof. Replacing A by $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, B by $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in above theorem and then putting $\frac{1+s}{2} = s_1, \frac{1+t}{2} = t_1$, we get the desired result. \square

3. Inequalities involving matrix functions of two variables

Let $T \in M_m$ be given. Define the linear map $H_T : M_m \rightarrow M_m$ by

$$H_T(X) \rightarrow T \circ X,$$

$X \in M_m$. Define $\|H_T\|$, the induced norm of $\|H_T\|$, to be

$$\|H_T\| = \max\{\|T \circ X\| : \|X\| \leq 1\}.$$

It is not easy to compute $\|H_T\|$ for a general matrix, but in special case when $T = (t_{ij})$ is positive semidefinite it is known that

$$\|H_T\| = \max\{t_{ii} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

The following lemma will be used in the sequel. For a proof of this, the reader may refer to [2].

LEMMA 3.1. *If $T, X \in M_m$, then we have*

$$\|T \circ X\| \leq \|H_T\| \|X\|,$$

for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Now let $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $B = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$. Let $f_k(s, t)$ and $g_k(s, t)$ be continuous real valued functions defined on $I \times J$. Let I and J contains the eigenvalues of A and B respectively. Then $f(A, B)$ is an element of $M_m \otimes M_n$ (see [9]). Consider the map

$$\phi(X, Y) = \sum_k [g_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)f_k(A, B)(X \otimes I_n) + (X \otimes I_n)f_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)g_k(A, B)]$$

from $M_m \times M_n$ to $M_m \otimes M_n$. The map ϕ is realized as the Hadamard multiplier generated by the matrix

$$\left(\sum_k [f_k(\lambda_i, \mu_q)g_k(\lambda_i, \mu_p) + f_k(\lambda_j, \mu_p)g_k(\lambda_j, \mu_q)] \right)_{i,p;j,q},$$

i.e., via

$$\begin{aligned} (X, Y) &\rightarrow \phi(X, Y) \\ &= \left(\sum_k [f_k(\lambda_i, \mu_q)g_k(\lambda_i, \mu_p) + f_k(\lambda_j, \mu_p)g_k(\lambda_j, \mu_q)] \right) \circ (X \otimes Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus using Lemma 3.1, we get the following result.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $T = \left(\sum_k [f_k(\lambda_i, \mu_q)g_k(\lambda_i, \mu_p) + f_k(\lambda_j, \mu_p)g_k(\lambda_j, \mu_q)] \right)_{i,p;j,q}$. If $\|H_T\| \leq \gamma$, then*

$$\|\phi(X, Y)\| \leq \gamma \|X \otimes Y\|.$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $I = J = (0, \infty)$ and $f_k(s, t)$, $g_k(s, t)$ be continuous functions defined on $I \times J$. Let $A, C \in S_m(I)$ and $B, D \in S_n(J)$. If for every choice of $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$ and $\mu_p > 0$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$*

$$\|H_T\| \leq \gamma \tag{1}$$

where $T = \left(\sum_k \left[\frac{f_k(\lambda_i, \mu_q)g_k(\lambda_i, \mu_p) + f_k(\lambda_j, \mu_p)g_k(\lambda_j, \mu_q)}{(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)(\mu_p + \mu_q)} \right] \right)_{i,p;j,q}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_k [g_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)f_k(A, D)(X \otimes I_n) + (X \otimes I_n)f_k(C, B)(I_m \otimes Y)g_k(C, D)] \right\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|(AX + XC) \otimes (BY + YD)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all unitarily invariant norms.

Proof. First we shall prove the theorem when $C = A$, $D = B$. Choose a system of matrix units for M_m and M_n such that

$$A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$$

and

$$B = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n).$$

Let $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_m$ and $Y = (y_{p,q})_{p,q} \in M_n$.

Put $X_1 = AX + XA, Y_1 = BY + YB$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k [g_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)f_k(A, B)(X \otimes I_n) + (X \otimes I_n)f_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)g_k(A, B)] \\ &= \left[\frac{\sum_k [f_k(\lambda_i, \mu_q)g_k(\lambda_i, \mu_p) + f_k(\lambda_j, \mu_p)g_k(\lambda_j, \mu_q)]}{(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)(\mu_p + \mu_q)} \right]_{i,p,j,q} \circ (X_1 \otimes Y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from (1) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_k [g_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)f_k(A, B)(X \otimes I_n) + (X \otimes I_n)f_k(A, B)(I_m \otimes Y)g_k(A, B)] \right\| \\ & \leq \gamma \|(AX + XA) \otimes (BY + YB)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now on replacing A by $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, B$ by $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, X$ by $X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and Y by $Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in (2), we get the desired result on using that $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|Z\|$. \square

The operator monotone functions of two variables has been studied by Koranyi [9]. A function $f : I \times J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called operator monotone if for $A \geq C, B \geq D$, the following

$$f(A, B) - f(C, B) - f(A, D) + f(C, D) \geq 0,$$

holds for all $A, C \in S_m(I)$ and $B, D \in S_n(J)$. Throughout, thereafter we shall assume that the operator monotone function $f : I \times J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, 0 \in I \cap J$ be such that its first partial derivatives and the mixed second partial derivatives exist and are continuous. Also f satisfies the normalization condition $f(s, 0) = f(0, t) = 0$ for all $s \in I, t \in J$. Koranyi [9] gave an integral representation for such an operator monotone function on $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$.

A function $f : (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is operator monotone if and only if f admits the integral representation

$$f(s, t) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{s}{1 - \lambda s} \frac{t}{1 - \mu t} d\omega(\lambda, \mu),$$

where ω is a positive measure.

We modify this integral representation for operator monotone function $f : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for our purpose. It follows that an operator monotone function on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ with $f(s, 0) = f(0, t) = 0$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, is non negative.

Indeed, we have

$$f(s, t) = f(s, t) - f(0, t) - f(s, 0) + f(0, 0) \geq 0.$$

By applying the transformation $u \rightarrow \frac{u-1}{u+1}$ from $[0, \infty)$ to $[-1, 1]$ for change of variables in the above integral representation we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. A function $f : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is operator monotone if and only if f admits the integral representation

$$f(s, t) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{s}{\lambda + s} \frac{t}{\mu + t} d\eta(\lambda, \mu),$$

where η is a positive measure.

LEMMA 3.5. Let $f(s, t)$ be operator monotone on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Then for every choice of $s_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $t_p > 0, p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the matrix

$$\left(\frac{f(s_i, t_p) + f(s_i, t_q) + f(s_j, t_p) + f(s_j, t_q)}{(s_i + s_j)(t_p + t_q)} \right)_{i,p;j,q}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4, it follows that the above matrix can be written as

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\frac{s_i}{\lambda + s_i} + \frac{s_j}{\lambda + s_j}}{s + s_j} \right)_{i,j} \otimes \left(\frac{\frac{t_p}{\mu + t_p} + \frac{t_q}{\mu + t_q}}{t_p + t_q} \right)_{p,q} d\eta(\lambda, \mu).$$

Now the matrix $\left(\frac{\frac{s_i}{\lambda + s_i} + \frac{s_j}{\lambda + s_j}}{s + s_j} \right)_{i,j}$ and $\left(\frac{\frac{t_p}{\mu + t_p} + \frac{t_q}{\mu + t_q}}{t_p + t_q} \right)_{p,q}$ are both positive semidefinite.

Indeed,

$$\left(\frac{\frac{s_i}{\lambda + s_i} + \frac{s_j}{\lambda + s_j}}{s + s_j} \right)_{i,j} = 2DXD + \lambda D_1 J_m D_1,$$

where $D = \text{diag}\left(\frac{s_1}{\lambda + s_1}, \dots, \frac{s_m}{\lambda + s_m}\right)$, $X = \left(\frac{1}{s_i + s_j}\right)_{i,j}$, $D_1 = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda + s_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda + s_m}\right)$ and J_m is $m \times m$ matrix with each entry equal to 1. The matrix X being the Cauchy matrix is known to be positive semidefinite. Thus the matrix in question is positive semidefinite.

Similarly the matrix $\left(\frac{\frac{t_p}{\mu + t_p} + \frac{t_q}{\mu + t_q}}{t_p + t_q} \right)_{p,q}$ is positive semidefinite.

Hence the result follows since the tensor product of positive semidefinite matrices is positive semidefinite. \square

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $A, C \in P_m$ and $B, D \in P_n$ be such that $A \geq \varepsilon_1 I_m > 0$, $C \geq \varepsilon_1 I_m > 0$ and $B \geq \varepsilon_2 I_n > 0$, $D \geq \varepsilon_2 I_n > 0$ and let $f(s, t)$ be operator monotone on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |f(A, B)(X \otimes Y) + (X \otimes I_n)f(C, B)(I_m \otimes Y) + (I_m \otimes Y)f(A, D)(X \otimes I_n) + (X \otimes Y)f(C, D)| \| \\ & \leq \frac{f(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \| |(AX + XC) \otimes (BY + YD)| \|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in M_m$ and $Y \in M_n$.

Proof. The function $f(s, t)$ is concave coordinatewise and therefore the function $\frac{f(s, t)}{st}$ is decreasing coordinatewise. Hence for every choice of $s_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $t_p > 0$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\|H_T\| = \max_{s_i > 0, t_j > 0} \frac{f(s_i, t_p)}{s_i t_p} = \frac{f(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}$$

since by Lemma 3.5 the matrix $T = \left(\frac{f(s_i, t_p) + f(s_i, t_q) + f(s_j, t_p) + f(s_j, t_q)}{(s_i + s_j)(t_p + t_q)} \right)_{i,p;j,q}$ is positive semidefinite. The result now follows from Theorem 3.3.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $f(s, t)$ be operator monotone on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Then for every choice of $s_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $t_p > 0$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the matrix*

$$\left(\frac{f(s_i, t_p) - f(s_i, t_q) - f(s_j, t_p) + f(s_j, t_q)}{(s_i - s_j)(t_p - t_q)} \right)_{i,p;j,q}$$

is positive semidefinite. The entries in above matrix are to be defined appropriately in case $s_i = s_j$ or $t_p = t_q$.

A proof of the above lemma can be found in [3].

The Lemma 3.7 gives us the following result, a proof of which is exactly similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let $A, C \in P_m$ and $B, D \in P_n$ be such that $A \geq \varepsilon_1 I_m > 0$, $C \geq \varepsilon_1 I_m > 0$ and $B \geq \varepsilon_2 I_n > 0$, $D \geq \varepsilon_2 I_n > 0$ and let $f(s, t)$ be operator monotone on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |f(A, B)(X \otimes Y) + (X \otimes I_n)f(C, B)(I_m \otimes Y) - (I_m \otimes Y)f(A, D)(X \otimes I_n) + (X \otimes Y)f(C, D)| \| \\ & \leq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{s=\varepsilon_1, t=\varepsilon_2} \| |(AX - XC) \otimes (BY - YD)| \|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in M_m$ and $Y \in M_n$. \square

REFERENCES

[1] T. ANDO, *Operator-Theoretic Methods for Matrix Inequalities*, Survey article, 1998.

- [2] T. ANO AND K. OKUBO, *Induced norms of the Schur multiplier operator*, Linear Alg. Appl. **147** (1991), 181–199.
- [3] J. S. AUJLA AND H. L. VASUDEVA, *Convex and monotone operator functions*, Ann. Polon. Math **62** (1995), 1–11.
- [4] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [5] R. BHATIA AND C. DAVIS, *More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality*, Siam J. Matrix Analysis Appl. **14** (1993), 132–136.
- [6] R. BHATIA AND F. KITTANEH, *On the singular values of a product of operators*, Siam J. Matrix Analysis Appl. **11** (1990), 272–277.
- [7] R. BHATIA AND K. R. PARTHASARTHY, *Positive definite functions and operator inequalities*, (preprint), 1998.
- [8] F. KITTANEH, *A note on the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for matrices*, Linear Alg. Appl **171** (1992), 1–8.
- [9] A. KORANYI, *On some classes of analytic functions of several variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 521–524.
- [10] R. MATHIAS, *An arithmetic-geometric mean inequality involving Hadamard products*, Linear Alg. Appl. **184** (1993), 71–78.
- [11] A. W. ROBERTS AND D. E. VARBAG, *Convex functions*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.

(Received January 27, 1999)

Mandeep Singh
Department of Mathematics
Panjab University
Chandigarh, 160014
India

Jaspal Singh Aujla
Department of Applied Mathematics
Regional Engineering College
Jalandhar, 144011
India