

A MONOTONE OPERATOR FUNCTION VIA FURUTA-TYPE INEQUALITY WITH NEGATIVE POWERS

CHANGSEN YANG AND HONGLIANG ZUO

(communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. We strengthen a recent monotonicity theorem on an operator inequality with negative powers, and this is done by using means of positive operators.

1. Introduction

In what follows, H means a complex Hilbert space. A bounded linear operator T on H is said to be positive (in symbol: $T \geq 0$), if $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in H$. Also an operator T is strictly positive (in symbol: $T > 0$), if T is positive and invertible.

As in [7-8], we introduce first a mean of operators [12]. The set containing all positive operators in H is denoted by $\ell^+(H)$. A binary operation m on the class of positive operators $(A, B) \mapsto AmB$ is called a mean if the following requirements are fulfilled:

- (a) $A \leq C, B \leq D$, imply $AmB \leq CmD$;
- (b) $A \geq 0, B \geq 0, C \geq 0$, imply $C(AmB)C \geq (CAC)m(CBC)$;
- (c) If $A_n \downarrow A, B_n \downarrow B$, and A_n, B_n, A, B are all positive operators, then $(A_n m B_n) \downarrow (AmB)$;
- (d) $ImI \leq I$, where I is the identity operator on H .

An immediate consequence of the definition is that m is upper semicontinuous and satisfies

$$A \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad B \leq D \quad \text{imply} \quad AmB \leq CmD$$

and the transformer inequality

$$T^*(AmB)T \leq (T^*AT)m(T^*BT)$$

for all T . We note that if T is invertible, then this inequality is replaced by the equality as following

$$T^*(AmB)T = (T^*AT)m(T^*BT).$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47A30, 47A63, 47B15.

Key words and phrases: operator, positive operator, Furuta-type inequalities with negative powers.

Foundation item: Natural Science and Education Foundation of Henan Province, 1980.

By the main result in [13] there is a unique mean m corresponding to the operator monotone function x^s for $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

$$1m_s x = x^s.$$

In particular, the mean $m = m_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is called the geometric mean as in the case of scalars.

THEOREM F (Furuta Inequality). *If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$,*

$$(i) \quad (B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (B^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad (A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

hold for $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$ and $(1+r)q \geq p+r$.

We remark that Theorem F yields the following famous *Löwner-Heinz* theorem when we put $r = 0$ in (i) or (ii) stated above.

THEOREM L-H. $A \geq B \geq 0$ ensures $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

By using Theorem F in [12] Furuta showed that if $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, $F(p) = (B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1+r}{p+r}}$ is increasing for $p \geq 1$. Also, he pointed out that this result didn't remain valid for $0 < p < 1$ and $r \geq 0$. In this paper, we give an extension and application of Furuta inequality with negative powers by using means of operators.

THEOREM 1.1. *If $A \geq B \geq 0$, $0 \leq p_0 \leq 1$ with $-1 \leq r \leq 0$,*

$$(a) \quad \text{if } p_0 \leq -r, \text{ then } M(\alpha, r) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $\alpha \in [0, p_0]$ and increasing for $r \in [-1, -p_0]$.

$$(b) \quad \text{if } p_0 \geq -r, \text{ then } M(\alpha, r) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is increasing for $\alpha \in [p_0, 1]$ and decreasing for $r \in [-p_0, 0]$.

2. Proofs of the main results

We need the following lemma and theorem.

LEMMA ([8]). *For invertible positive operator A and invertible operator B , let $A m_s B = A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^s A^{1/2}$, then*

$$A m_s B = B m_{1-s} A$$

holds for any real number s .

THEOREM A ([3] [4] [9] [17]). *If $A \geq B > 0$, then the following inequalities hold:*

$$(i) \quad A^{1-t} \geq (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} \text{ for } 1 \geq p > t \geq 0 \text{ with } p \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(ii) \quad A^{-t} \geq (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{-t}{p-t}} \text{ for } 1 \geq t > p \geq 0 \text{ with } \frac{1}{2} \geq p,$$

$$(iii) \quad A^{2p-t} \geq (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{2p-t}{p-t}} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} \geq p > t \geq 0,$$

$$(iv) \quad A^{2p-1-t} \geq (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{2p-1-t}{p-t}} \text{ for } 1 \geq t > p \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of (a). We may assume that A and B are both invertible. First, we prove

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(\alpha + \varepsilon, r) &\leq M(\alpha, r) \text{ for } 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq p_0 - \alpha \\
 M(\alpha + \varepsilon, r) &= A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{\alpha+\varepsilon} A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+\varepsilon+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
 &= A^{-r} m_{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} B^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \\
 &= B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left((B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}})^m m_{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} B^\varepsilon \right) B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
 &\leq B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[(B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}})^m m_{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} (B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}})^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{\alpha+r}} \right] B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
 &= B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}})^{1-\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
 &= A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
 &= M(\alpha, r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we show the monotonicity in r .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and satisfy $-1 < r < r + \varepsilon < -p_0$, then $0 \leq \frac{p_0+r+\varepsilon}{\alpha+r+\varepsilon} \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(\alpha, r + \varepsilon) &= A^{-\frac{r+\varepsilon}{2}} (A^{\frac{r+\varepsilon}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r+\varepsilon}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r+\varepsilon}{\alpha+r+\varepsilon}} A^{-\frac{r+\varepsilon}{2}} \\
 &= A^{-\frac{r+\varepsilon}{2}} (A^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}}) A^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r+\varepsilon}{\alpha+r+\varepsilon}} A^{-\frac{r+\varepsilon}{2}} \\
 &= A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (A^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}}) A^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r+\varepsilon}{\alpha+r+\varepsilon}} A^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}] A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
 &= A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\varepsilon} m_{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})) A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
 &\geq A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [(A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{\alpha+r}} m_{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})] A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
 &= A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r}{\alpha+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \\
 &= M(\alpha, r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (b). It is easy to show by almost the same way as one in (a), using $L-H$ theorem. In fact, for sufficiently small positive number ε ,

$$B^\varepsilon \geq (B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}})^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{\alpha+r}} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-\varepsilon} \geq (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{\alpha+r}}$$

because of $-r \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

3. Some applications

THEOREM 2.1. *If $A \geq B \geq 0$ with $A > 0, 1 \geq p > t \geq 0$ with $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then for any fixed $p_0 \in [0, 1]$,*

$$G_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^s A^{\frac{r}{2}}]^{\frac{(1-t)p_0+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $r \in [-(1-t)p_0, 0]$ and increasing for $s \in [\frac{(1-t)p_0}{p-t}, \frac{1-t}{p-t}]$, and the following inequality holds:

$$A^{(1-t)p_0} \geq G_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) \geq (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{(1-t)p_0}{p-t}}.$$

Proof. $A \geq B \geq 0$ ensures the following (3.1) by theorem A

$$A^{(1-t)} \geq (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} \tag{3.1}$$

for each $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $p \geq t \geq 0$. Put $A_1 = A^{(1-t)}$ and $B_1 = (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{1-t}{p-t}}$. Then

$$A_1 \geq B_1 \geq 0 \tag{3.2}$$

so that by Theorem 1.1, if $0 \leq -r_1 \leq 1, -r_1 \leq p_0 \leq 1$, then

$$G(\alpha, r_1) = A_1^{-\frac{r_1}{2}} (A_1^{\frac{r_1}{2}} B_1^\alpha A_1^{\frac{r_1}{2}})^{\frac{p_0+r_1}{\alpha+r_1}} A_1^{-\frac{r_1}{2}} \tag{3.3}$$

is increasing for $p_1 \in [p_0, 1]$ and decreasing for $r_1 \in [-p_0, 0]$.

Put $r_1 = \frac{r}{1-t} \in [-p_0, 0]$ and $\alpha = \frac{(p-t)s}{1-t} \in [p_0, 1]$, then

$$\frac{p_0+r_1}{\alpha+r_1} = \frac{(1-t)p_0+r}{(p-t)s+r}, A_1^{-\frac{r_1}{2}} = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad B_1^{p_1} = (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s \tag{3.4}$$

so that by (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we have that

$$G_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left[A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{(1-t)p_0+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $r \in [-(1-t)p_0, 0]$ and increasing for $s \in [\frac{(1-t)p_0}{p-t}, \frac{1-t}{p-t}]$.

THEOREM 2.2. *If $A \geq B \geq 0$ with $A > 0, 1 \geq q \geq p \geq \frac{q}{2}$ and $p \geq t \geq 0$, then for any fixed $p_0 \in [0, 1]$,*

$$H_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left[A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{(q-t)p_0+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $r \in [-(q-t)p_0, 0]$ and increasing for $s \in [\frac{(q-t)p_0}{p-t}, \frac{q-t}{p-t}]$.

The following inequality holds:

$$A^{(1-t)p_0} \geq H_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) \geq (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{(q-t)p_0}{p-t}}$$

Proof. $A \geq B \geq 0$ with $A > 0$ ensures $A^q \geq B^q$ by Löwner–Heinz theorem. Put

$$A_1 = A^q \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 = B^q \tag{3.5}$$

then $A_1 \geq B_1 \geq 0$. Let

$$p_1 = \frac{p}{q}, \quad t_1 = \frac{t}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 = \frac{r}{q}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $1 \geq p_1 \geq t_1 \geq 0, p_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $r_1 \in [-(1-t_1)p_0, 0], s_1 \in [\frac{(1-t_1)p_0}{p_1-t_1}, \frac{1-t_1}{p_1-t_1}]$, and by theorem 2.1 we have that

$$G_{p_1,t_1}(A_1, B_1, r_1, s_1) = A_1^{-\frac{r_1}{2}} \left(A_1^{\frac{r_1}{2}} B_1^{p_1} A_1^{\frac{r_1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{(1-t_1)p_0+r_1}{(p_1-t_1)s_1+r_1}} A_1^{-\frac{r_1}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $r_1 \in [-(1 - t_1)p_0, 0]$ and increasing for $s \in [\frac{(1-t_1)p_0}{p_1-t_1}, \frac{1-t_1}{p_1-t_1}]$. Put

$$p_1 = \frac{p}{q}, t_1 = \frac{t}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 = \frac{r}{q}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then $p_1 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $t_1 \in [0, 1]$ and $r_1 \geq t_1$. It follows from (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7) that

$$H_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left[A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{(q-t)p_0+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $r \in [-(q - t)p_0, 0]$ and increasing for $s \in [\frac{(q-t)p_0}{p-t}, \frac{q-t}{p-t}]$.

THEOREM 2.3. *If $A \geq B \geq 0$ with $A > 0$, and $\frac{1}{2} > p > t > 0$, then for any fixed $p_0 \in [0, 1]$,*

$$F_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) = A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{(2p-t)p_0+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

is decreasing for $r \in [-(2p - t)p_0, 0]$ and increasing for $s \in [\frac{(2p-t)p_0}{p-t}, \frac{2p-t}{p-t}]$. Moreover, the following inequality holds:

$$A^{(2p-t)p_0} \geq F_{p,t}(A, B, r, s) \geq \left(A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}} \right)^{\frac{(2p-t)p_0}{p-t}}.$$

Proof. We have only to replace ‘1’ in the proof of theorem 2.1 by ‘2p’.

Acknowledgment. We would like to express our cordial thanks to Professor T. Furuta for his careful reading of the first version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. KAMEI, *A satellite to Furuta’s inequality*, Math. Japon **33** (1988), 883–886.
- [2] E. KAMEI, *Complements to the Furuta inequality*, II, Math. Japon **45** (1997), 15–23.
- [3] F. KUBO AND ANDO, *Means of Positive Linear Operator*, Math. Ann **246** (1980), 205–224.
- [4] K. TANAHASHI, *Best possibility of the Furuta inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 141–146.
- [5] K. TANAHASHI, *The Furuta inequality in case of negative power*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 6, 1683–1692.
- [6] M. FUJII, *Furuta’s inequality and its mean theoretic approach*, J. Operator Theory **23** (1990), 67–72.
- [7] M. FUJII AND E. KAMEI, *Furuta’s inequality for chaotic order*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 603–606.
- [8] M. FUJII AND E. KAMEI, *Furuta’s inequality and a generalization of Ando’s theorem*, Pro. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 409–413.
- [9] M. FUJII, J. F. JIANG AND E. KAMEI, *Complements to the Furuta inequality*, IV, Math. Japon **45** (1997), 511–518.
- [10] M. FUJII, T. FURUTA AND E. KAMEI, *Complements to the Furuta inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. **70** (1994), Ser. A, 239–242.
- [11] M. FUJII, T. FURUTA AND E. KAMEI, *Complements to the Furuta inequality*, III, Math. Japon **45** (1997), 25–32.
- [12] M. FUJII, T. FURUTA AND E. KAMEI, *Operator functions associated with Furuta inequality*, Linear algebra Appl. **149** (1991), 91–96.
- [13] T. FURUTA, *An elementary proof of an order preserving inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. **65** (1989), 126.

- [14] T. FURUTA, *Extension of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log-majorization*, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. **219** (1995), 139–155.
- [15] T. FURUTA, T. YAMAZAKI AND M. YANAGIDA, *Equivalence relations among Furuta-type inequalities with negative powers*, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998), 223–229.
- [16] T. FURUTA, T. YAMAZAKI AND M. YANAGIDA, *On a conjecture related Furuta-type inequalities with negative powers*, *Nihonkai Math. J.* **9** (1998), 213–218.
- [17] T. YOSHINO, *Introduction to Operator Theory*, *Pitman Research Notes in Math. Ser.* **300**, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1993.

(Received January 15, 2001)

Changsen Yang
Department of Mathematics
Henan Normal University
Xinxiang 453002
China

Hongliang Zuo
School of Mathematics & Statistics
Wuhan University
Wuhan 430072
China