

MULTILINEAR JENSEN TYPE MAPPINGS IN BANACH MODULES OVER A C^* -ALGEBRA

CHUN-GIL PARK, WON-GIL PARK AND SANG-HYUK LEE

(communicated by T. M. Rassias)

Abstract. We prove the stability of multilinear Jensen type functional equations in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction

Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Consider $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ to be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in E_1$. Assume that there exist constants $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. Th.M. Rassias [5] showed that there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2-2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

In [4], the author showed the stability of multilinear functional equations in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra. In [3], the author proved the stability of multi-quadratic mappings in Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, let A be a unital C^* -algebra with norm $|\cdot|$, $\mathcal{U}(A)$ the unitary group of A , $A_1 = \{a \in A \mid |a| = 1\}$, and A_1^+ the set of positive elements in A_1 . Let d be a positive integer and ${}_A\mathcal{B}_s$ a left A -module with norm $\|\cdot\|$ for each $s = 1, \dots, d$. Let ${}_A\mathcal{D}$ be a left Banach A -module with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

The following is useful to prove the stability of linear functional equations.

LEMMA A [2, Theorem 1]. *Let $a \in A$ and $|a| < 1 - \frac{2}{m}$ for some integer m greater than 2. Then there are m elements $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $ma = u_1 + \dots + u_m$.*

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the stability of multilinear Jensen type functional equations in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 39B52, 47B48, 46L05.

Key words and phrases: Banach module over C^* -algebra, stability, unitary group, multilinear Jensen type functional equation.

Supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant KRF-2002-041-C00014. The author would like to thank the referee for a number of valuable suggestions regarding a previous version of this paper.

2. Stability of multilinear Jensen type functional equations in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra

For a given mapping $f : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ and given $a_1, \dots, a_d \in A$, we set

$$D_{a_1, \dots, a_d} f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d) := \sum_{s=1}^d 2f(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, \frac{a_s x_s + a_s y_s}{2}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d) - \sum_{s=1}^d a_s f(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d) - \sum_{s=1}^d a f(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, y_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1+jd} \varphi(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_s}{2^j}, \frac{y_s}{2^j}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}) < \infty \tag{2.i}$$

$$\|D_{a_1, \dots, a_d} f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d) \tag{2.ii}$$

for all $u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Assume that $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ if $x_s = 0$ for some $s = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists a unique A -multilinear mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - M(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, 0, \dots, x_d, 0) \tag{2.iii}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$.

Proof. Put $u_1 = \dots = u_d = 1 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. For each fixed l , let $y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_{l-1} = x_{l-1}, y_{l+1} = x_{l+1}, \dots, y_d = x_d$ and $y_l = 0$ in (2.ii). Then we get

$$\|2f(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \frac{x_l}{2}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_{l-1}, x_l, 0, x_{l+1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. So one can obtain

$$\|2^{l-1} f(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2}, x_l, \dots, x_d) - 2^l f(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_l}{2}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)\| \leq 2^{l-1} \varphi(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2}, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2}, x_l, 0, x_{l+1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Thus

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - 2^d f(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2})\| \leq \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} \varphi(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2}, x_s, 0, x_{s+1}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2^{jd}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right) - 2^{(j+1)d}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^{j+1}}\right)\| \\ & \leq \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1+jd} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_s}{2^j}, 0, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - 2^{nd}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^n}\right)\| & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1+jd} \\ & \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_j}{2^j}, 0, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$.

For each $s = 1, \dots, d$, let x_s be an element in ${}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. For positive integers n and m with $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2^{md}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^m}\right) - 2^{nd}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^n}\right)\| \\ & = 2^{md} \|f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^m}\right) - 2^{(n-m)d}f\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-m}} \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{n-m}} \frac{x_d}{2^m}\right)\| \\ & \leq 2^{md} \sum_{j=0}^{(n-m)-1} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1+jd} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^m}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{x_s}{2^m}, 0, \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{x_d}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{x_d}{2^m}\right) \\ & = 2^{md} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1+(j-m)d} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^{(j-m)+1}} \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)+1}} \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)+1}} \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)+1}} \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)}} \frac{x_s}{2^m}, 0, \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)}} \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^m}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)}} \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)}} \frac{x_d}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^{(j-m)}} \frac{x_d}{2^m}\right) \\ & = \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1+jd} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_s}{2^j}, 0, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$ by (2.i). So $\{2^{nd}f(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. Since ${}_A\mathcal{D}$ is complete, the sequence $\{2^{nd}f(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^n})\}$ converges for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. We can define a mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ by

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{jd}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$.

For each fixed $l = 1, \dots, d$, by (2.i) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_{1, \dots, 1}M(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_{l-1}, x_l, y_l, x_{l+1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d, x_d)\| \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{jd} \|D_{1, \dots, 1}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{y_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{jd} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{y_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$ and all $y_l \in A\mathcal{B}_l$. Hence

$$D_{1, \dots, 1}M(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_{l-1}, x_l, y_l, x_{l+1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d, x_d) = 0$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$ and all $y_l \in A\mathcal{B}_l$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \frac{x_l+y_l}{2}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) &= M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &\quad + M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, y_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$ and all $y_l \in A\mathcal{B}_l$. Putting $y_l = 0$ in (2.3), we get

$$2M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \frac{x_l}{2}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. By (2.3) and (2.4), M is additive for each $l = 1, \dots, d$. Moreover, by passing to the limit in (2.1) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the inequality (2.iii).

Now let $L : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ be another multi-additive mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - L(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, 0, \dots, x_d, 0)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M(x_1, \dots, x_d) - L(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \\ &= 2^{kd} \|M\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}\right) - L\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}\right)\| \\ &\leq 2^{kd} \|M\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}\right)\| \\ &\quad + 2^{kd} \|f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}\right) - L\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}\right)\| \\ &\leq 2^{kd+1} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{x_1}{2^k}, 0, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^k}, 0\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s+(j+k)d} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+k+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{j+k+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+k+1}}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+k+1}}, \frac{x_s}{2^{j+k}}, 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^{j+k}}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^{j+k}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^{j+k}}, \frac{x_d}{2^{j+k}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s+jd} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x_s}{2^j}, 0, \right. \\ \left. \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right),$$

which tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by (2.i). Thus $M(x_1, \dots, x_d) = L(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. This proves the uniqueness of M .

By the assumption, for each fixed $u_l \in \mathcal{U}(A)$,

$$2^{jd} \|D_{1, \dots, 1, u_l, 1, \dots, 1} f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right)\| \\ \leq 2^{jd} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l-1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \frac{x_{l+1}}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$, and so

$$2^{jd} \|D_{1, \dots, 1, u_l, 1, \dots, 1} f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \frac{x_l}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right)\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. So

$$D_{1, \dots, 1, u_l, 1, \dots, 1} M(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_d, x_d) \\ = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{jd} D_{1, \dots, 1, u_l, 1, \dots, 1} f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2^j}, \frac{x_d}{2^j}\right) = 0$$

for all $u_l \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. Hence

$$D_{1, \dots, 1, u_l, 1, \dots, 1} M(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_d, x_d) = 2M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, u_l x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ - 2u_l M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = 0$$

for all $u_l \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. So

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, u_l x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = u_l M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $u_l \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$.

Now let $a \in A$ ($a \neq 0$) and K an integer greater than $4|a|$. Then

$$\left|\frac{a}{K}\right| = \frac{1}{K}|a| < \frac{|a|}{4|a|} = \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

By Lemma A, there exist three elements $u, v, w \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $3\frac{a}{K} = u + v + w$. And

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ = 3M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \frac{1}{3} x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. So

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \frac{1}{3} x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = \frac{1}{3} M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, \dots, ax_l, \dots, x_d) &= M(x_1, \dots, \frac{K}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{a}{K} x_l, \dots, x_d) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} M(x_1, \dots, 3 \frac{a}{K} x_l, \dots, x_d) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} M(x_1, \dots, ux_l + vx_l + wx_l, \dots, x_d) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} (u + v + w) M(x_1, \dots, x_l, \dots, x_d) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{a}{K} M(x_1, \dots, x_l, \dots, x_d) \\ &= aM(x_1, \dots, x_l, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Obviously,

$$M(x_1, \dots, 0x_i, \dots, x_d) = 0M(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l + by_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) + M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, by_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= aM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) + bM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, y_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$ and all $y_l \in A\mathcal{B}_l$. So the unique multi-additive mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ is an A -multilinear mapping, as desired. \square

THEOREM 2. Let $f : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.i) such that

$$\|D_{a_1, \dots, a_d} f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d)$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_d \in A_1^+ \cup \{i\}$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Assume that $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ if $x_s = 0$ for some $s = 1, \dots, d$. Assume that for each fixed $l = 1, \dots, d$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \lambda x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$ is continuous in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$, and that $\{2^{jd} f(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{2})\}$ converges uniformly for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Then there exists a unique A -multilinear mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ satisfying (2.iii).

Proof. Put $a_1 = \dots = a_d = 1 \in A_1^+$. By the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique multi-additive mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ satisfying (2.iii).

For each fixed $l = 1, \dots, d$, since $f(x_1, \dots, \lambda x_l, \dots, x_d)$ is continuous in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$, the mapping $M(x_1, \dots, \lambda x_l, \dots, x_d)$ is continuous in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$ by the uniform convergence. By the same reasoning as in the proof of [4, Theorem], the multi-additive

mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ is \mathbb{R} -linear in the l -th variable. So the multi-additive mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ is \mathbb{R} -multilinear.

By the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 1,

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = aM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $a \in A_1^+ \cup \{i\}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. So

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) &= M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, |a| \frac{a}{|a|} x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= |a| M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, \frac{a}{|a|} x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= |a| \frac{a}{|a|} M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= aM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $a \in A^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$.

For any element $a \in A$, $a = \frac{a+a^*}{2} + i\frac{a-a^*}{2i}$, and $\frac{a+a^*}{2}$ and $\frac{a-a^*}{2i}$ are self-adjoint elements, furthermore, $a = (\frac{a+a^*}{2})^+ - (\frac{a+a^*}{2})^- + i(\frac{a-a^*}{2i})^+ - i(\frac{a-a^*}{2i})^-$, where $(\frac{a+a^*}{2})^+$, $(\frac{a+a^*}{2})^-$, $(\frac{a-a^*}{2i})^+$, and $(\frac{a-a^*}{2i})^-$ are positive elements (see [1, Lemma 38.8]). Using the \mathbb{R} -multilinearity and (2.6), one can easily show that

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) = aM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $a \in A$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l + by_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) &= M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, ax_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) + M(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, by_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= aM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) + bM(x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}, y_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s$ and $y_l \in A\mathcal{B}_l$. So the unique multi-additive mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ is an A -multilinear mapping, as desired. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with $\eta(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\alpha\beta) &\leq \eta(\alpha)\eta(\beta), \\ \eta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &< \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2d} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that a mapping $f : \prod_{s=1}^d A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow A\mathcal{D}$ satisfies

$$\|D_{u_1, \dots, u_d} f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d)\| \leq \theta \sum_{s=1}^d (\eta(\|x_s\|) + \eta(\|y_s\|))$$

for all $u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$, and that $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ if $x_s = 0$ for some $s = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists a unique A -multilinear mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - M(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \\ & \leq \theta \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{s-1+jd} (2 \eta(\|\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}\|) \\ & \quad + \eta(\|\frac{x_s}{2^j}\|) + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_d}{2^j}\|)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.iv}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1. Indeed, for all

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d) \\ & = \theta \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{s-1+jd} (2 \eta(\|\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}\|) + \eta(\|\frac{x_s}{2^j}\|) \\ & \quad + \eta(\|\frac{y_s}{2^j}\|) + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_d}{2^j}\|)) \\ & \leq \theta \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{s-1+jd} (2 \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j \eta(\|\frac{x_1}{2}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j \eta(\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\|) + \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j \eta(\|x_s\|) \\ & \quad + \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j \eta(\|y_s\|) + 2 \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j \eta(\|x_{s+1}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j \eta(\|x_d\|)) \\ & = \theta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^d \eta(\frac{1}{2})^j) \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} (2 \eta(\|\frac{x_1}{2}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\|) + \eta(\|x_s\|) \\ & \quad + \eta(\|y_s\|) + 2 \eta(\|x_{s+1}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|x_d\|)) \\ & = \frac{\theta}{1 - 2^d \eta(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} (2 \eta(\|\frac{x_1}{2}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\|) + \eta(\|x_s\|) \\ & \quad + \eta(\|y_s\|) + 2 \eta(\|x_{s+1}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|x_d\|)) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, 0, \dots, x_d, 0) & = \theta \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{s-1+jd} (2 \eta(\|\frac{x_1}{2^{j+1}}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2^{j+1}}\|) \\ & \quad + \eta(\|\frac{x_s}{2^j}\|) + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_{s+1}}{2^j}\|) + \dots + 2 \eta(\|\frac{x_d}{2^j}\|)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. So one can obtain the inequality (2.iv). \square

COROLLARY 2. Let $\theta \geq 0$, $d < p$, and let $\mu : [0, \infty)^{2d} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\mu(\lambda \alpha_1, \lambda \beta_1, \dots, \lambda \alpha_d, \lambda \beta_d) = \lambda^p \mu(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta_d)$$

for all $\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta_d \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that a mapping $f : \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ satisfies

$$\|D_{u_1, \dots, u_d} f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d)\| \leq \theta \mu(\|x_1\|, \|y_1\|, \dots, \|x_d\|, \|y_d\|)$$

for all $u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$, and that $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ if $x_s = 0$ for some $s = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists a unique A -multilinear mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - M(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \\ & \leq \frac{2^p \theta}{2^p - 2^d} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} \mu\left(\left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \dots, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \|x_s\|, 0, \|x_{s+1}\|, \|x_{s+1}\|, \dots, \|x_d\|, \|x_d\|\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.v}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1. Indeed, for all

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d) \\ & = \theta \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{s-1+jd} \mu\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \dots, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_s\|, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_s\|, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_{s+1}\|, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_{s+1}\|, \dots, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_d\|, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \|x_d\|\right) \\ & = \theta \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jd} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{jp} \mu\left(\left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \dots, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \|x_s\|, \|y_s\|, \|x_{s+1}\|, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \|x_{s+1}\|, \dots, \|x_d\|, \|x_d\|\right) \\ & = \frac{2^p \theta}{2^p - 2^d} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} \mu\left(\left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \dots, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \|x_s\|, \|y_s\|, \|x_{s+1}\|, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \|x_{s+1}\|, \dots, \|x_d\|, \|x_d\|\right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, 0, \dots, x_d, 0) & = \frac{2^p \theta}{2^p - 2^d} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} \mu\left(\left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_1}{2}\right\|, \dots, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \left\|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\right\|, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \|x_s\|, 0, \|x_{s+1}\|, \|x_{s+1}\|, \dots, \|x_d\|, \|x_d\|\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$. So one can obtain the inequality (2.v). \square

COROLLARY 3. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $d < p$. Assume that a mapping $f : \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ satisfies

$$\|D_{u_1, \dots, u_d} f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_d, y_d)\| \leq \theta \sum_{s=1}^d (\|x_s\|^p + \|y_s\|^p)$$

for all $u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, and all $(x_1, \dots, x_d), (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$, and that $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ if $x_s = 0$ for some $s = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists a unique A -multilinear mapping $M : \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - M(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \leq \frac{2^p \theta}{2^p - 2^d} \sum_{s=1}^d 2^{s-1} (2 \|\frac{x_1}{2}\|^p + \dots + 2 \|\frac{x_{s-1}}{2}\|^p + \|x_s\|^p + 2 \|x_{s+1}\|^p + \dots + 2 \|x_d\|^p)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \prod_{s=1}^d {}_A\mathcal{B}_s$.

Proof. It follows either from Corollary 1 for $\eta(t) = t^p$, or from Corollary 2 for $\mu(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta_d) = \alpha_1^p + \beta_1^p + \dots + \alpha_d^p + \beta_d^p$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. BONSALL, J. DUNCAN, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 1973.
- [2] R. KADISON, G. PEDERSEN, *Means and convex combinations of unitary operators*, Math. Scand. **57** (1985), 249–266.
- [3] C. PARK, *Multi-quadratic mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 2501–2504.
- [4] C. PARK, *Multilinear Trif d -mappings in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **35** (2005), 641–654.
- [5] TH.M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [6] H. SCHRÖDER, *K-Theory for Real C^* -Algebras and Applications*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 290, Longman, Essex, 1993.

(Received May 19, 2003)

Chun-Gil Park
Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305–764
South Korea
e-mail: cgpark@cnu.ac.kr

Won-Gil Park
Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305–764
South Korea
e-mail: wgpark@math.cnu.ac.kr

Sang-Hyuk Lee
Department of Electrical Engineering
Pusan National University
Pusan 609–735
South Korea
e-mail: leehyuk@pusan.ac.kr