

SMOOTHNESS CONDITIONS AND FOURIER SERIES

SERGEY TIKHONOV

(communicated by L. Leindler)

Abstract. We study the relationships between smoothness properties of a 2π -periodic function and the asymptotic behavior of its Fourier coefficients. The smoothness conditions of a function are expressed in terms of it being in the generalized Lipschitz classes.

1. Introduction

It is a well known fact that the local behavior of the sum of a Fourier series with nonnegative coefficients determines the asymptotic behavior of the coefficients, and vice versa. As a main reference, we would like to mention the remarkable book [5] by R. P. Boas Jr., where one can find many results on the subject, which we will discuss below.

As it was shown by R. P. Boas [5, p. 45] (see also [4]), the conditions on sine and cosine coefficients for Lip α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) from this point of view are the same:

If $\lambda_n \geq 0$ are the Fourier sine or cosine coefficients of $\psi(x)$, then

$$\psi \in \text{Lip}\alpha \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(n^{-\alpha}) \tag{1}$$

or, equivalently, $\sum_{k=1}^n k\lambda_k = O(n^{1-\alpha}).$

This result does not hold for $\alpha = 1$. Firstly,

$$\psi \in Z \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(n^{-1}), \tag{2}$$

where Z is the Zygmund class, i.e., $\left\{ \psi \in C : \|\psi(x+h) - 2\psi(x) + \psi(x-h)\| = O(h) \right\}$. On the other hand (see [5, p. 46-47]) the conditions of belonging to Lip 1 for

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 26A16, 42A16.

Key words and phrases: Lipschitz class, trigonometric series, moduli of smoothness of fractional order.

The research was partially funded by an EU Marie Curie fellowship (Contract MIF1-CT-2004-509465), Russian Fund of Basic Research (project 06-01-00268) and the Leading Scientific Schools (grant NSH-4681.2006.1). The paper was partially written while the author was staying at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM) in Barcelona, Spain. He is grateful to the staff of CRM for their hospitality.

sine series and for cosine series are different:

$$f \in \text{Lip1} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k = O(n) \quad (3)$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \sin kx = O(1) \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

$$g \in \text{Lip1} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k b_k = O(1). \quad (4)$$

Here and further, we assume that the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx, \quad a_k \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin kx, \quad b_k \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

converge uniformly to functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively and (5), (6) are Fourier series of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$.

The aim of this paper is to present Boas-type results for generalized Lipschitz classes. The paper is organized in the following way. Section 2 contains some definitions and preliminaries. We start with the discussion of the well-known results and conclude this section describing the problem, which we will consider. In section 3 we present our main results. Section 4 contains lemmas that we will need and proofs of theorems. We finish by Section 5 where we provide a few remarks.

2. Definitions, results, comments

2.1. Basic notations and definitions

Let $\psi(x)$ be a continuous function and $\|\psi(\cdot)\| = \max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |\psi(x)|$. The key concept in the paper will be the modulus of smoothness of fractional order introduced by Butzer, Dyckhoff, G erlich and Stens [6].

The modulus of smoothness of order β ($\beta > 0$) of function $\psi \in C$ is given by

$$\omega_{\beta}(\psi, t) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \left\| \Delta_h^{\beta} \psi(\cdot) \right\|,$$

where

$$\Delta_h^{\beta} \psi(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{\beta}{v} \psi(x + (\beta - v)h),$$

and $\binom{\beta}{\nu} = \frac{\beta(\beta-1)\cdots(\beta-\nu+1)}{\nu!}$ for $\nu \geq 1$, and $\binom{\beta}{\nu} = 1$ for $\nu = 0$. Let $\beta > 0$ and let $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ be a positive sequence. By $\text{Lip}_\beta \gamma$ we denote generalized Lipschitz classes, i.e.,

$$\text{Lip}_\beta \gamma = \left\{ f \in C : \omega_\beta\left(\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \right\}.$$

By this definition, $\text{Lip } \alpha \equiv \text{Lip}_1 \gamma$ with $\{\gamma_n = n^{-\alpha}\}$ and $Z \equiv \text{Lip}_2 \gamma$ with $\{\gamma_n = n^{-1}\}$.

We will denote by $E_n(\psi)$ the error of best trigonometric approximation of order n of a function ψ .

Now we define several conditions on positive sequences and functions. A sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ of positive terms will be called almost increasing (almost decreasing), if there exists a constant $K := K(\gamma) \geq 1$ such that

$$K\gamma_n \geq \gamma_m \quad (\gamma_n \leq K\gamma_m)$$

holds for any $n \geq m$. This concept was introduced by Bernstein¹.

We will say that a sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ of positive terms satisfies the condition (SQ) , if there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, such that $\{n^\varepsilon \gamma_n\}$ is almost decreasing. And a sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ of positive terms satisfies the condition $(SQ)_\beta$, if there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, such that $\{n^{\beta-\varepsilon} \gamma_n\}$ is almost increasing.

Also, we will give the following definition by Chan [9]. Let $Y[a, b]$, $a \leq b$ be the collection of all positive functions $\gamma(u)$ defined on $[X, \infty)$, $X > 0$ such that $u^{-a}\gamma(u)$ is nondecreasing and $u^{-b}\gamma(u)$ is nonincreasing.

Finally, let Φ_σ ($\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$) be the set of nonnegative, bounded functions $\gamma(u)$ on $(0, \infty)$, such that

- (a) $\gamma(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$,
- (b) $\gamma(u)$ is nondecreasing,
- (c) $\gamma(u)u^{-\sigma}$ is nonincreasing.

2.2. Results and comments

After the Boas's book [5] was published, there has been done a lot of work on generalization of his results (1)-(4) to more general classes of functions than $\text{Lip } \alpha$. Different ways of generalization were considered by M. and S. Izumi ([10]), J. Nemeth ([13]), L.-Y. Chan ([9]), L. Leindler ([11]). It is natural to consider different conditions on a majorant of modulus of continuity $\omega_1(f, \frac{1}{n}) = O(\gamma_n)$ or modulus of smoothness $\omega_2(f, \frac{1}{n}) = O(\gamma_n)$. Recently, we have obtained ([15]) the interrelation between several well-known conditions (in particular, from [9]-[11] and [13]-[14]) on a majorant. Also, we have proved theorem which generalizes all previous results for the case, when the conditions on Fourier sine or cosine coefficients are the same (i.e., the analogue of Boas's results for $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and Z).

THEOREM 2.1. ([15]) *Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ be a positive sequence and $\beta > 0$. Let $\lambda_n \geq 0$ be the Fourier sine or cosine coefficients of $\psi(x)$.*

¹See reference to S.N. Bernstein in [2].

(A) If $\gamma \in SQ \cap SQ_\beta$, then for any function $\psi \in C$ conditions

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k = O(\gamma_n), \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta \lambda_k = O\left(n^\beta \gamma_n\right), \quad (8)$$

$$\omega_\beta\left(\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \quad (9)$$

are equivalent.

(B) Let γ be a non-increasing sequence. If for any function $\psi \in C$ conditions (7), (8) and (9) are equivalent, then $\gamma \in SQ \cap SQ_\beta$.

Now we recall the following equivalence result on order of decay of the modulus of smoothness.

THEOREM 2.2. ([16]) (A) If $\psi(\cdot) \in C$ and $\beta > 0$, then there exists a function $\gamma(\cdot) \in \Phi_\beta$, such that

$$\gamma(t) \leq \omega_\beta(\psi, t) \leq C(\beta)\gamma(t) \quad (0 < t < \infty),$$

where $C(\beta)$ is a positive constant depending only on β .

(B) If $\gamma(\cdot) \in \Phi_\beta$, $\beta > 0$, then there exist a function $\psi(\cdot) \in C$ and a constant $t_1 > 0$, such that

$$C_1(\beta)\omega_\beta(\psi, t) \leq \gamma(t) \leq C_2(\beta)\omega_\beta(\psi, t) \quad (0 < t < t_1),$$

where $C_1(\beta), C_2(\beta)$ are positive constants depending only on β .

It is clear, that the class $\{\gamma(\cdot) \in \Phi_\beta\}$ is wider then $\{\{\gamma_n = \gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\} \in SQ \cap SQ_\beta\}$. We give as examples two following majorants

$$(i) \quad \gamma = \left\{ \gamma_n = n^{-\beta} \xi(n) \right\} \notin SQ_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \gamma = \left\{ \gamma_n = (\xi(n))^{-1} \right\} \notin SQ,$$

where $\xi(\cdot)$ is positive nondecreasing slowly varying function².

So, Theorem 2.1 does not provide Boas-type criterion of belonging of function to $Lip_\beta \gamma$ for such cases as (i) and (ii). These cases are of particular interest since the conditions for cosine and sine series are different (see, for instance, (3), (4)).

In the case $\beta = 1$, the Boas-type result for a majorant as in the case (i) was obtained by Chan [9]. We recall his result.

²By definition, a positive measurable function $\xi(\cdot)$ on $[A, \infty)$, $A > 0$ is slowly varying if for all $\lambda > 0$ we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(\lambda t)}{\xi(t)} = 1$. Note (see [3, p. 54]), that, by our assumptions on function $\xi(\cdot)$, it is sufficient to have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(\lambda^* t)}{\xi(t)} = 1$ only for a positive $\lambda^* \neq 1$. By Theorem 2.2, the natural restriction in the case (ii) is $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t) = \infty$.

THEOREM 2.3. (Cosine) Let $\gamma(\cdot) \in Y[c_1, c_2]$, $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$. Then

$\omega_1(f, \delta) = O[\gamma(\delta)]$ if and only if

$$(1) \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k = O \left[n^2 \gamma \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right]$$

$$(2) \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \sin kx = O \left[n \gamma \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \text{ uniformly in } x.$$

THEOREM 2.4. (Sine) Let $\gamma(\cdot) \in Y[c_1, c_2]$, $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$. Then

$$\omega_1(g, \delta) = O[\gamma(\delta)] \text{ if and only if } \sum_{k=1}^n k b_k = O \left[n \gamma \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right].$$

It is clear that $\gamma(\cdot) \in Y[c_1, c_2]$ implies $\{\gamma(\frac{1}{n})\} \in SQ \cap SQ_{c_2+\varepsilon} \subset SQ$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ but the converse is not true.

The following result was obtained in [14].

THEOREM 2.5. (Cosine) Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ be the positive sequence and $\gamma \in SQ_1$. Then

$$\omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \text{ if and only if } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k = O(\gamma_n).$$

Naturally, for a majorant γ as in the case (ii) we have $\gamma \in SQ_1$.

Our main idea in this paper is to prove Boas-type criterion for generalized Lipschitz classes $\text{Lip}_\beta \gamma$ where a majorant γ satisfies either SQ or SQ_β condition. So, if $\gamma \in SQ$, then, as an example, we can consider the case (i) $\gamma = \{\gamma_n = n^{-\beta} \xi(n)\}$ and we will have the generalization of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, and classical Boas's results (3), (4) for $\text{Lip } 1$ in particular. If $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, then, as an example, we can consider the case (ii) $\gamma = \{\gamma_n = (\xi(n))^{-1}\}$ we will have Theorem 2.5 for $\beta = 1$.

Finally, if $\gamma \in SQ \cap SQ_\beta$ then the results below coincide Theorem 2.1 and we have Boas's criterion (1) for $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and (2) for Z in particular.

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. (Cosine) Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ be the positive sequence and $\beta > 0$.

(A) If $\beta \neq 2l - 1$ ($l \in \mathbf{N}$) and $\gamma \in SQ$, then for any function $f \in C$ with Fourier series (5) conditions

$$\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k = O\left(n^\beta \gamma_n\right) \tag{11}$$

are equivalent.

(B) If $\beta = 2l - 1$ ($l \in \mathbf{N}$) and $\gamma \in SQ$, then for any function $f \in C$ with Fourier series (5) conditions

$$\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta+1} a_k = O\left(n^{\beta+1} \gamma_n\right), \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k \sin kx = O\left(n^\beta \gamma_n\right) \quad \text{uniformly in } x \quad (14)$$

are equivalent.

(C) If $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, then for any function $f \in C$ with Fourier series (5) conditions

$$\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k = O(\gamma_n) \quad (16)$$

are equivalent.

Here every equivalence result (items (A), (B), (C)) should be understood in the following sense: if for any function $f \in C$ with Fourier series (5) condition $\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n)$ holds, then suitable condition on coefficients $\{a_k\}$ are true. Conversely, if for any $\{a_k \geq 0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ given condition holds, then the series (5) converges uniformly to the continuous function $f(x)$ and (5) is Fourier series of $f(x)$ and $\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n)$. We will use the same assumptions in the Theorem 3.2 too.

THEOREM 3.2. (Sine) Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ be the positive sequence and $\beta > 0$.

(A) If $\beta \neq 2l$ ($l \in \mathbf{N}$) and $\gamma \in SQ$, then for any function $g \in C$ with Fourier series (6) conditions

$$\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta b_k = O\left(n^\beta \gamma_n\right) \quad (18)$$

are equivalent.

(B) If $\beta = 2l$ ($l \in \mathbf{N}$) and $\gamma \in SQ$, then for any function $g \in C$ with Fourier series (6) conditions

$$\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta+1} b_k = O\left(n^{\beta+1} \gamma_n\right), \quad (20)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta b_k \sin kx = O\left(n^\beta \gamma_n\right) \quad \text{uniformly in } x \quad (21)$$

are equivalent.

(C) If $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, then for any function $g \in C$ with Fourier series (6) condition

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k = O(\gamma_n) \quad (22)$$

implies

$$\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n). \quad (23)$$

4. Proofs

The following lemmas³ play the central role in the proofs of main theorems.

LEMMA 4.1. (Cosine) If $f(x) \in C$ has a Fourier series (5), then

$$n^{-\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k \leq C(\beta) \omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{for } \beta \neq 2l - 1, l = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. First we prove that

$$n^{-\beta} \left\| V_n^{(\beta)}(x) \right\| \leq C(\beta) \omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{for all } \beta > 0, \quad (24)$$

where $V_n(x)$ is the de la Vallée-Poussin sum, i.e., $V_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=n}^{2n-1} S_v(f)$ and $S_n(f) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \cos vx$. Here, if $T_n(x)$ is a trigonometrical polynomial $T_n(x) = \sum_{v=0}^n (a_v \cos vx + b_v \sin vx) \equiv \sum_{v=0}^n t_v(x)$, then $T_n^{(\beta)}(x)$ denotes the fractional derivative in the sense of Weyl, i.e.,

$$T_n^{(\beta)}(x) = \cos \frac{\pi\beta}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n v^\beta t_v(x) - \sin \frac{\pi\beta}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n v^\beta \tilde{t}_v(x). \quad (25)$$

To prove (24), one has from [6]

$$n^{-\beta} \left\| V_n^{(\beta)}(x) \right\| \leq C(\beta) \left\| \Delta_{\pi/n}^\beta V_n(x) \right\| \leq C(\beta) \omega_\beta\left(V_n, \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (26)$$

By the Jackson inequality and the following estimate $\|f(x) - V_n(x)\| \leq CE_n(f)$, we have

$$\omega_\beta\left(V_n, \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq C(\beta) \left(\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) + \|f(x) - V_n(x)\| \right) \leq C(\beta) \omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (27)$$

³See more about results of this type in [13], [17] and references there.

Hence (24) follows from (26) and (27).

Let $\beta \neq 2l - 1, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (24) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) &\geq C(\beta)n^{-\beta} \left|V_n^{(\beta)}(0)\right| \\ &= C(\beta)n^{-\beta} \left|\cos \frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n-1} \left(2 - \frac{k}{n}\right)k^\beta a_k\right) \\ &\geq C(\beta)n^{-\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is now complete.

LEMMA 4.2. (Sine) *If $g(x) \in C$ has a Fourier series (6), then*

$$n^{-\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta b_k \leq C(\beta)\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{for } \beta \neq 2l, l = 1, 2, \dots$$

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is analogous to the previous lemma (see (24) and (25)). Also we will need two auxiliary results for sequences.

LEMMA 4.3. ([12]) *Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ be a positive sequence such that the inequalities*

$$\alpha_1 \min(\gamma_{2^k}, \gamma_{2^{k+1}}) \leq \gamma_n \leq \alpha_2 \max(\gamma_{2^k}, \gamma_{2^{k+1}}), \quad 0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < \infty$$

hold for any $2^k \leq n \leq 2^{k+1}, k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the inequalities

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{2^k} \leq C\gamma_{2^n} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, C \geq 1) \quad \left(\text{or } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \gamma_{2^k} \leq C\gamma_{2^n} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, C \geq 1)\right)$$

hold if and only if the sequence $\{n^\varepsilon \gamma_n\}$ is almost increasing (decreasing) with a certain negative (positive) number ε , respectively.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n > 0\}$, $\mu = \{\mu_n \geq 0\}$ and $\beta > 0$.*

(i) *If $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, then*

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu_k = O(\gamma_n) \tag{28}$$

implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta \mu_k = O\left(\gamma_n n^\beta\right). \tag{29}$$

(ii) *If $\gamma \in SQ$, then (29) implies (28).*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. (i) It is clear that condition (28) implies the condition $\sum_{k=n}^{2n} k^\beta \mu_k = O(n^\beta \gamma_n)$. We choose s such that $2^{s-1} \leq n < 2^s$. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta \mu_k &\leq \sum_{k=2^{s-1}}^n k^\beta \mu_k + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\xi=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \xi^\beta \mu_\xi \\ &= O\left(2^{\beta(s-1)} \gamma_{2^{s-1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} 2^{\beta k} \gamma_{2^k}\right) \\ &= O\left(2^{\beta(s-1)} \gamma_{2^{s-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, $\{n^\beta \gamma_n\}$ is almost increasing and (29) is true. The proof of (ii) is analogous.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We will use several times the following fact (see [6] or Theorem 2.2)

$$\omega_\beta(f, \delta) \leq \omega_\beta(f, s\delta) \leq C(\beta) s^\beta \omega_\beta(f, \delta) \quad (s \geq 1). \quad (30)$$

(A) First, suppose that (10) holds. By Lemma 4.1, we have (10) implies (11). On the other hand, by Lemma 4.4 (ii), (11) gives the inequality $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k = O(\gamma_n)$. Thus, series (5) converges uniformly to the function $f(x)$, $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx$.

Note that

$$\Delta_h^\beta f\left(x - \frac{\beta h}{2}\right) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n \left(2 \sin \frac{kh}{2}\right)^\beta \cos\left(kx + \frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right). \quad (31)$$

For given h one can choose n : $\frac{1}{n+1} < h \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_h^\beta f\left(x - \frac{\beta h}{2}\right) \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| 2 \sin \frac{kh}{2} \right|^\beta a_k \\ &= O\left(h^\beta \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k\right) \\ &= O(\gamma_n). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Therefore, we have $\left| \Delta_h^\beta f\left(x - \frac{\beta h}{2}\right) \right| = O(\gamma_n)$ with $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{n}$, and so $\omega_\beta(f, \frac{1}{n}) = O(\gamma_n)$.

(B) Let $\beta = 2l - 1$. We will follow the proving line of [9]. First, we note that for $|k\beta h| \leq 1$ we have $1 - \cos k\beta h = O(k^2 h^2)$ and $\sin kh = kh + O(k^3 h^3)$.

Also, $\sin(kx + k\beta h) = \sin kx - (1 - \cos k\beta h) \sin kx + \sin k\beta h \cos kx$. Let $[\alpha] = \max\{\alpha^* \in \mathbf{Z} : \alpha^* \leq \alpha\}$. We define $s := [(\beta h)^{-1}]$. Therefore, from (31) we have⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{2h}^\beta f(x) &= (-1)^s 2^\beta \left(\sum_{k=1}^s + \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} \right) (\sin kh)^\beta a_k \sin(kx + k\beta h) \\ &= (-1)^s 2^\beta \sum_{k=1}^s a_k (kh)^\beta \sin kx + O\left(\sum_{k=1}^s a_k (kh)^{\beta+1}\right) + O\left(\sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} a_k\right) \quad (33) \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let (12) be true. Using (30) and the following property of the modulus of smoothness (see [6]) $\omega_{\alpha+\beta}(f, \delta) \leq C(\alpha)\omega_\beta(f, \delta)$ for $\alpha \geq 0$ we can write

$$\omega_\beta(f, 2h) = O(\gamma_s) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\beta+1}(f, h) = O(\gamma_s). \quad (34)$$

Since $\beta+1$ is even, then, from Lemma 4.1, (34) implies $I_2 = O(\omega_{\beta+1}(f, h)) = O(\gamma_s)$. Then Lemma 4.4 implies $I_3 = O(\gamma_s)$. Hence, one has (14) from (33).

Conversely, if (13) and (14) hold, then, by Lemma 4.4, $I_2 = O(\gamma_s)$ implies $I_3 = O(\gamma_s)$ and $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx$. Further, (13) and (14) give $\omega_\beta(f, 2h) = O(\gamma_s)$. Finally, we have (12) from (30).

(C) Let (15) be satisfied. Then, using the inequality (see [1]) $\sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} a_k \leq 4E_n(f)$, and the Jackson inequality (see [6]) $E_n(f) \leq C(\beta)\omega_\beta(f, \frac{\pi}{n})$, and (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k &\leq 4E_{[\frac{n}{2}]}(f) \\ &\leq C(\beta)\omega_\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= O(\gamma_n). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Let (16) be true. Then, by Lemma 4.4 (i), we have $\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k = O(n^\beta \gamma_n)$ and, using (32), we have (15). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. (A) Let (17) be true. By Lemma 4.2, (17) implies (18). Conversely, if we have (18), then by Lemma 4.4 (ii) we can write $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k = O(\gamma_n)$. Further, we will use

$$\Delta_h^\beta g\left(x - \frac{\beta h}{2}\right) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \left(2 \sin \frac{kh}{2}\right)^\beta \sin\left(kx + \frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right). \quad (36)$$

⁴For $s = 0$ we put $\sum_{k=1}^s := 0$

Then, for $\frac{1}{n+1} < h \leq \frac{1}{n}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_n^\beta g\left(x - \frac{\beta h}{2}\right) \right| &= O\left(h^\beta \sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta b_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k\right) \\ &= O(\gamma_n) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and $\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\gamma_n)$ holds.

(B) Let $\beta = 2l$ ($l \in \mathbf{N}$), $s := \lceil (\beta h)^{-1} \rceil$. Similar to the proof of the part (B) of Theorem 3.1, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{2h}^\beta g(x) &= (-1)^l 2^\beta \left(\sum_{k=1}^s + \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} \right) (\sin kh)^\beta b_k \sin(kx + k\beta h) \\ &= (-1)^l 2^\beta \sum_{k=1}^s b_k (kh)^\beta \sin kx + O\left(\sum_{k=1}^s b_k (kh)^{\beta+1}\right) + O\left(\sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} b_k\right) \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of modulus of smoothness, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4, we have that (19) implies $J_2, J_3 = O(\gamma_n)$ and therefore, (20) and (21) hold. On the other hand, (20) and (21) imply (19).

(C) From (22), by Lemma 4.4 (i), we have $\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta b_k = O(n^\beta \gamma_n)$ and from (37) we get (23). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

5. Concluding remarks

1. We note (see also [8]) that Theorem 3.1 (A) for $\beta = 2j$ and $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$ and Theorem 3.2 (A) for $\beta = 2j - 1$ and $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$ answer to the following question by Boas [5, p. 25, Question 4.25]: What conditions are necessary and sufficient for $\sum n^{2j} a_n$ or $\sum n^{2j-1} b_n$ to converge?

2. We mention that for $\beta = 1$ Theorem 2.5 coincides with Theorem 3.1 (C) and [11, Theorem 3.5]) with Theorem 3.2 (A). Also, we note, that for $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 2$ some particular cases of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 were considered in [13], [14].

3. If only $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, then we can not have the equivalence between (22) and (23) in the part (C) of Theorem 3.2 for all $g \in C$. Indeed, for any $\beta > 0$ there exist a majorant γ with $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$ and a function g such that (23) holds but (22) does not hold.

As an example, we consider $\gamma_n = \ln^{-1-\varepsilon} 2n$ and the following continuous function

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin kx, \quad \text{where } b_k = \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{\ln^{1+\varepsilon} 2k} \quad \text{and } \varepsilon > 0.$$

It is clear, that for any $\beta > 0$ we have $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$ and (22) is not true. To prove (23) for $\beta = 1$ we can use the ideas of the proof of [18, Lemma 4]. Then, using

$\omega_{\alpha+1}(g, \delta)_p \leq C(\alpha)\omega_1(g, \delta)_p$ ($\alpha > 0$), we have (23) for $\beta > 1$. For $0 < \beta < 1$ the Marshaud inequality (see [6]) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) &\leq Cn^{-\beta} \sum_{v=1}^n v^{\beta-1} \omega_1\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &\leq Cn^{-\beta} \sum_{v=1}^n v^{\beta-1} \ln^{-1-\varepsilon} 2v \\ &\leq C \ln^{-1-\varepsilon} 2n.\end{aligned}$$

So, (23) holds for all $\beta > 0$.

4. One can assume that the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta b_k = O\left(n^\beta \gamma_n\right) \quad (38)$$

is necessary and sufficient for condition (23) to hold in the case $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$. The functions

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4k^2} \sin 3^{4k^2} x \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_n = \log_3^{-1} 2n$$

give the negative answer to this conjecture for any $\beta > 0$. In fact, we have $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, condition (38) holds, but condition (23) is not true. It follows from the Jackson inequality and the estimate of $E_n(g)$ for lacunary series

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\beta\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) &\geq CE_n(g) \\ &\geq C \sum_{k: 3^{4k^2} > n} \frac{1}{4k^2} \\ &\geq C \log_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2n.\end{aligned}$$

5. We note that if $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$ and, additionally, $\gamma \in SQ$, then (22) and (23) (and (38)) are equivalent (see Theorem 2.1).

6. One can write different equivalent conditions for conditions $\gamma \in SQ$ or $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$. Several of them were written in [2], [15]. We mention two more conditions.

First, we recall the following definition by Matuszewska (see [3, p. 68]). Let $f(\cdot)$ be positive defined on $[X, \infty)$, $X > 0$. Its upper Matuszewska index $\alpha(f)$ is the infimum of those α for which there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha)$ such that for each $\Lambda > 1$,

$$\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \leq C\lambda^\alpha \{1 + o(1)\} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in [1, \Lambda];$$

its lower Matuszewska index $\beta(f)$ is the supremum of those β for which, for some $D = D(\beta) > 0$ and for all $\Lambda > 1$,

$$\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \geq D\lambda^\beta \{1 + o(1)\} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in [1, \Lambda].$$

LEMMA 5.1. Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_n\}$ be a positive sequence and $\beta > 0$. If we define a function $\gamma(t)$, $t \in [1, \infty)$ as $\gamma(n) := \gamma_n$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and monotonic for $n \leq t \leq n+1$, then

- (i) $\{\gamma_n\}$ satisfies (SQ_β) -condition if and only if $\beta(\gamma) > -\beta$,
(ii) $\{\gamma_n\}$ satisfies (SQ) -condition if and only if $\alpha(\gamma) < 0$.

The proof of Lemma 5.1 follows from [3, Theorem 2.2.2, p. 72].

Also, we write the following condition from [18, p. 152]. Let φ be a gauge function, i.e., continuous and nondecreasing function on $[0, 1]$. Let φ_0 be the infimum of those α , for which

$$\sum_{k=0}^n 2^{k\alpha} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right) \leq C_\alpha 2^{n\alpha} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)$$

is true with a constant C_α . If $\gamma_n = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, then $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$ iff $\beta > \varphi_0$.

7. The elementary corollary of the Jackson inequality is as follows:

$$\text{Lip}_\beta \gamma \subset \text{Lip}_{\beta_1} \gamma \subset E(\gamma) := \{f \in C : E_n(f) = O(\gamma_n)\}, \quad \beta_1 > \beta.$$

Note, if $\gamma \in SQ_\beta$, then the inverse theorem of trigonometric approximation implies that $\text{Lip}_\beta \gamma$ is independent of β for all $\beta_1 > \beta$, i.e.,

$$\text{Lip}_\beta \gamma = \text{Lip}_{\beta_1} \gamma = E(\gamma), \quad \beta_1 > \beta.$$

Finally, we recall the equivalence between modulus of smoothness and fractional K -functional (see [6], [7]):

$$C_1(\beta)\omega_\beta(f, t)_p \leq K(f, t^\beta, L_p, W_p^\beta) \leq C_2(\beta)\omega_\beta(f, t)_p,$$

where K -functional is given by $K(f, t, L_p, W_p^\beta) := \inf_{g \in W_p^\beta} (\|f - g\|_p + t \|D^\beta g\|_p)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. K. BARI, *On best approximation of two conjugate functions by trigonometric polynomials*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., **19**, (5) (1955), 285–302.
- [2] N. K. BARI, S. B. STEČKIN, *Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč., **5**, (1956), 483–522.
- [3] N. H. BINGHAM, C. M. GOLDIE AND J. L. TEUGELS, *Regular variation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [4] R. P. BOAS JR., *Fourier series with positive coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **17**, (1967), 463–483.
- [5] R. P. BOAS JR., *Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
- [6] P. L. BUTZER, H. DYCKHOFF, E. GÖERLICH AND R. L. STENS, *Best trigonometric approximation, fractional order derivatives and Lipschitz classes*, Can. J. Math., **29** (1977), 781–793.
- [7] P. L. BUTZER, U. WESTPHAL, *An access to fractional differentiation via fractional difference quotients*, Fractional calculus and its applications. Lecture Notes in Math., **457**, Springer, 1975.
- [8] LING-YAU CHAN, *On Fourier series with nonnegative coefficients and two problems of R. P. Boas*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **110**, (1) (1985), 116–129.
- [9] LING-YAU CHAN, *Generalized Lipschitz classes and asymptotic behavior of Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **155**, (2) (1991), 371–377.
- [10] M. IZUMI, S. IZUMI, *Lipschitz classes and Fourier coefficients*, J. Math. Mech., **18**, (1969), 857–870.

- [11] L. LEINDLER, *Power-monotone sequences and Fourier series with positive coefficients*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **1** (1), Article No.1, (2000), Available online at URL: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>.
- [12] L. LEINDLER, J. NEMETH, *On the connection between quasi power-monotone and quasi geometrical sequences with application to integrability theorems for power series*, Acta Math. Hung., **68**, (1-2) (1995) 7–19.
- [13] J. NEMETH, *Fourier series with positive coefficients and generalized Lipschitz classes*, Acta Sci. Math., (Szeged), **54**, (3-4) (1990), 291–304.
- [14] J. NEMETH, *Power-monotone sequences and Fourier series with positive coefficients*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **2** (2), Article No. 14 2001. Available online at URL: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>.
- [15] S. TIKHONOV, *On generalized Lipschitz classes and Fourier series*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen, **23**, (4) (2004), 745–764.
- [16] S. TIKHONOV, *On moduli of smoothness of fractional order* Real Analysis Exchange, **30**, (2) (2004/2005), 507–518.
- [17] S. TIKHONOV, *On two theorem of Lorentz*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat., **69**, (1) (2005), 165–178, translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math, **69**, (1) (2005), 163–175.
- [18] V. TOTIK, *On the strong approximation of Fourier series*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., **35**, (1980), 151–172.
- [19] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge University Press, 1959.

(Received January 2, 2006)

Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM)
Bellaterra (Barcelona) E-08193
SPAIN

e-mail: stikhonov@crm.es

Present address:
Scuola Normale Superiore
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7
Pisa 56126
ITALY

e-mail: s.tikhonov@sns.it