

## A NOTE ON ABSOLUTE RIESZ SUMMABILITY FACTORS

HÜSEYİN BOR

(communicated by L. Leindler)

*Abstract.* In the present paper a theorem on  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability factors of infinite series has been proved under more weaker conditions. Also we have obtained a new result concerning the  $|C, 1|_k$  summability factors.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with partial sums  $(s_n)$ . We denote by  $u_n^\alpha$  and  $t_n^\alpha$  the  $n$ -th Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$ , with  $\alpha > -1$ , of the sequence  $(s_n)$  and  $(na_n)$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$u_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} s_v, \quad (1)$$

$$t_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \quad (2)$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = O(n^\alpha), \quad \alpha > -1, \quad A_0^\alpha = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{-n}^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0. \quad (3)$$

A series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|C, \alpha|_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , if (see [6], [8])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t_n^\alpha|^k}{n} < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1). \quad (5)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \quad (6)$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 40D15, 40F05, 40G99.

*Key words and phrases:* absolute summability, almost increasing sequence.

defines the sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  of the Riesz mean or simply the  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  mean of the sequence  $(s_n)$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $(p_n)$  (see [7]). The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , if (see [2], [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |\Delta\sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Delta\sigma_{n-1} = -\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n P_{v-1} a_v, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

In the special case  $p_n = 1$  for all values of  $n$   $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability is the same as  $|C, 1|_k$  summability.

## 2. Known results.

Bor [4] has proved the following theorem for  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence and there be sequences  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that*

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \leq \beta_n, \quad (9)$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta\beta_n| X_n < \infty, \quad (11)$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|s_v|^k}{v} = O(X_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (13)$$

Suppose further, the sequence  $(p_n)$  is such that

$$P_n = O(np_n), \quad (14)$$

$$P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1}). \quad (15)$$

Then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{p_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ .

It may be noticed if we take  $k = 1$  in Theorem A, then we get a result due to Mishra and Srivastava (see [10]).

Later on Bor [5] has proved Theorem A under weaker conditions in the following form.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence and the sequences  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  are such that conditions (9) – (15) of Theorem A are satisfied with the condition (13) replaced by:*

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} = O(X_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

where  $(t_n)$  is the  $n$ -th  $(C, 1)$  mean of the sequence  $(na_n)$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ .

It may be noted that condition (16) is weaker than condition (13).

### 3. Main result

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem B under weaker conditions. Therefore we need the concept of almost increasing sequence. A positive sequence  $(b_n)$  is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence  $(c_n)$  and two positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$  (see [1]). Obviously every increasing sequence is almost increasing. However, the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say  $b_n = ne^{(-1)^n}$ .

Now we shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM** *Let  $(X_n)$  be an almost increasing sequence. If the conditions (9)–(12) and (14)–(16) are satisfied, then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .*

**REMARK.** It should be noted that from the hypotheses of the Theorem,  $(\lambda_n)$  is bounded and  $\Delta\lambda_n = O(1/n)$  (see [4]).

### 4. Two lemmas

We require the following lemmas for the proof of the theorem.

**LEMMA 1.** ([9]) *If  $(X_n)$  an almost increasing sequence, then under the conditions (10) – (11) we have that*

$$nX_n\beta_n = O(1), \tag{17}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty. \tag{18}$$

**LEMMA 2.** ([5]) *If the conditions (14) and (15) are satisfied, then  $\Delta(P_n/p_n n^2) = O(1/n^2)$ .*

### 5. Proof of the Theorem

Let  $(T_n)$  be the sequence of  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  mean of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ . Then, by definition, we have

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=1}^n p_v \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{a_r P_r \lambda_r}{r p_r} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=1}^n (P_n - P_{v-1}) \frac{a_v P_v \lambda_v}{v p_v}. \tag{19}$$

Then for  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{P_{v-1} P_v a_v \lambda_v}{v p_v} \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{P_{v-1} P_v a_v v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Abel’s transformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n \Delta \left( \frac{P_{v-1} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\lambda_n}{n^2} \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} (v+1) t_v p_v \frac{\lambda_v}{v^2} + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v P_v \Delta \lambda_v (v+1) \frac{t_v}{v^2 p_v} \\ &\quad - \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v \lambda_{v+1} (v+1) t_v \Delta (P_v / v^2 p_v) + \lambda_n t_n (n+1) / n^2 \\ &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3} + T_{n,4}, \quad \text{say} \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem, by Minkowski’s inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{20}$$

Now, applying Hölder’s inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} p_v |t_v| |\lambda_v| \frac{1}{v} \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k p_v |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k p_v |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| p_v |t_v|^k \frac{1}{P_v v^k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} \frac{1}{v^k} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta|\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|t_r|^k}{r} + O(1)|\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_v|X_v + O(1)|\lambda_m|X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1)|\lambda_m|X_m = O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem and Lemma 1.

Now using the fact that  $(P_v/v) = O(p_v)$  by (14), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n,2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} |\Delta\lambda_v| p_v |t_v| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k |\Delta\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k p_v \right\} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k |\Delta\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k p_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} \frac{1}{v^{k-1}} |\Delta\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \beta_v |t_v|^k = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v \beta_v \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v\beta_v) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|t_r|^k}{r} + O(1)m\beta_m \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v|\Delta\beta_v|X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\
 &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

in view of the hypotheses of the Theorem and Lemma 1.

Now, since  $\Delta\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v v^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\right)$  by Lemma 2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v| \frac{1}{v} \frac{v+1}{v} \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} p_v |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{1}{v} |t_v| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k p_v \frac{1}{v^k} |\lambda_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^k p_v \frac{1}{v^k} |\lambda_{v+1}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v^k} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_{v+1}| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|t_r|^k}{r} + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{v+1}| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{v+1}| X_{v+1} + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m+1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=2}^m |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m+1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \beta_v X_v + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m+1} = O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem and Lemma 1.

Finally, as in  $T_{n,3}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_{n,4}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^k \frac{1}{n^k} |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} \frac{1}{n^k} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |T_{n,r}|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

If we take  $p_n = 1$  for all values of  $n$ , then we get a new result concerning the  $|C, 1|_k$  summability factors.

*Acknowledgement.* The author expresses his sincerest thanks to Professor László Leindler for his invaluable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. ALJANCIC, D. ARANDELOVIC, *O-regularly varying functions*, Publ. Inst. Math., **22**, (1977), 5–22.
- [2] H. BOR, *On two summability methods*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., **97**, (1985), 147–149.
- [3] H. BOR, *A note on two summability methods*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98**, (1986), 81–84.
- [4] H. BOR, *A note on  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability factors of infinite series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **18**, (1987), 330–336.
- [5] H. BOR, *Absolute summability factors for infinite series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **19**, (1988), 664–671.
- [6] T. M. FLETT, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **7**, (1957), 113–141.
- [7] G. H. HARDY, *Divergent Series*, Oxford Univ. Press., Oxford, (1949).
- [8] E. KOGBETLIANTZ, *Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques*, Bull. Sci. Math., **49**, (1925), 234–256.
- [9] S. M. MAZHAR, *A note on absolute summability factors*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **25**, (1997), 233–242.
- [10] K. N. MISHRA, R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA, *On  $|\bar{N}, p_n|$  summability factors of infinite series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **15**, (1984), 651–656.

(Received May 10, 2006)

*Department of Mathematics*  
Erciyes University  
38039 Kayseri  
Turkey

*e-mail:* bor@erciyes.edu.tr

*URL:* <http://fef.erciyes.edu.tr/~math/hbor.htm>