

INEQUALITIES FOR THE INCENTER SIMPLICES

MA TONGY, ZHAO LINGZHI AND YUAN JUN

(communicated by V. Volenec)

Abstract. Let $I_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$ denote the incenter of facet F_i of an n -dimensional simplex Ω_A and we call $\Omega_I = \text{conv}\{I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ the incenter simplex of Ω_A . In [3], L. H. Tang and G. S. Leng conjectured

$$V(\Omega_I) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A),$$

with equality if and only if Ω_A is a regular simplex. In this paper, we give a positive answer of the conjecture. Further, we improve the condition of the equality holds.

1. Introduction

The setting for this paper is n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n . Let $\Omega_A = \text{conv}\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ denote an n -dimensional simplex in $E^n (n \geq 3)$ with vertices A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n , and $V(\Omega_A)$ the n -dimensional volume of Ω_A . Let F_i denote the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional facet spanned by the vertex set $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n\}$ and I_i the incenter of the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional facet $F_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$. Let ρ_{ij} be the length of edge $A_i A_j$. It is easy to get $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji}, \rho_{ii} = 0$, and the matrix (ρ_{ij}) is a $n \times n$ positive definite matrix.

Let P be an interior point of Ω_A and H_i the foot of the perpendicular drawn from P to the facet $F_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$. We call $\Omega_H = \text{conv}\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ the orthocentric simplex for P and Ω_A . In [2], H. M. Su conjectured that

$$V(\Omega_H) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A),$$

with equality if and only if P is the circumcenter of simplex Ω_A .

In [7], Y. Zhang gave a positive answer of the conjecture and improved the condition of the equality holds. He proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let P be an interior point of an n -dimensional simplex Ω_A and H_i the foot of the perpendicular drawn from P to the facet $F_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$. Then*

$$V(\Omega_H) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A). \tag{1.1}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 52A40, 52A20.

Key words and phrases: tangent points simplex, orthocentric simplex, incenter simplex.

Let $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be the gauge barycenter coordinate of P about Ω_A and θ_{ij} the internal dihedral angle between facets F_i and F_j of Ω_A . The equality in (1.1) holds if and only if

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\cos \theta_{ij}}{n(\cos \theta_{ij} + \cos \theta_{ik} \cos \theta_{kj})},$$

where $i, j, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k$,

Specially, when P is the incenter of simplex Ω_A in Theorem 1, then $H_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ become the tangent points of Ω_A with its inscribe ball. We call simplex Ω_H the tangent points simplex for P and Ω_A , and obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 1. ([1]) Let $T_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be the tangent points of an n -dimensional simplex Ω_A with its inscribe ball and $\Omega_T = \text{conv}\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. Then

$$V(\Omega_T) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A). \tag{1.2}$$

with equality if and only if Ω_A is a regular simplex.

When P is the circumcenter of simplex Ω_A in Theorem 1, then $H_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ become the circumcenter of $F_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$. We call Ω_H the circumcenter simplex of Ω_A , and get the following result.

COROLLARY 2. Let $O_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ the circumcenter of facet F_i of an n -dimensional simplex Ω_A and $\Omega_O = \text{conv}\{O_0, O_1, \dots, O_n\}$. Then

$$V(\Omega_O) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A), \tag{1.3}$$

with equality if and only if Ω_A is a regular simplex.

Let I_i be the incenter of $F_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$. We call $\Omega_I = \text{conv}\{I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ the incenter simplex of Ω_A . It is easy to see that it is not the special case of Theorem 1. A natural question is that for the incenter simplex, whether exists inequality analogous to (1.1).

In [3], L. H. Tang and G. S. Leng conjectured that

$$V(\Omega_I) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A),$$

holds, with equality if and only if Ω_A is a regular simplex. They proved the conjecture is positive in E^3 .

In this paper, we give a positive answer of the conjecture in E^n . Further, we improve the condition of the equality holds. Our result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let Ω_I be the incenter simplex of Ω_A . Then

$$V(\Omega_I) \leq \frac{1}{n^n} V(\Omega_A), \tag{1.4}$$

with equality if and only if there exist $\mu_k \geq 0 (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that $\rho_{ij} = \mu_i \mu_j (0 \leq i < j \leq n)$.

2. Some lemmas

To prove Theorem 2, we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. ([2]) *Let Ω_A be the coordinate simplex and $\Omega_B = \text{conv}\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ be an arbitrary simplex in E^n . Let $(\lambda_{i0}, \lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{in})$ be the gauge barycenter coordinate of $B_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ about Ω_A . Then*

$$\frac{V(\Omega_B)}{V(\Omega_A)} = |\det(\lambda_{ij})|. \tag{2.1}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let $F_i \cap F_j (i < j, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ be the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional sub-simplex of simplex Ω_A and V_{ij} be the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional volume of $F_i \cap F_j$. Then the barycenter coordinate of I_i is*

$$(V_{i0} : V_{i1} : \dots : V_{i,i-1} : 0 : V_{i,i+1} : \dots : V_{ij} : \dots : V_{in}).$$

Proof. By the definition of barycenter coordinate, we can get the barycenter coordinate of I_0 is

$$(0 : V_{A_0 I_0 A_2 \dots A_n} : V_{A_0 A_1 I_0 A_3 \dots A_n} : \dots : V_{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{n-1} I_0}),$$

where $V_{A_0 A_1 \dots A_{i-1} I_0 A_{i+1} \dots A_n}$ denotes the volume of n -dimensional simplex $\text{conv}\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, I_0, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n\}$.

Since I_0 is the interior point of $F_0 = \text{conv}\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$, we know that the distances of A_0 to $\text{conv}\{I_0, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ and $\text{conv}\{A_1, I_0, A_3, \dots, A_n\}$ are equal, let h_0 denote the distance.

Let r_0 be the distance of I_0 to the boundary of $F_0 ((n - 2)$ -dimensional sub-simplex). Then

$$V_{I_0 A_2 \dots A_n}^{(n-1)} = \frac{1}{n-1} V_{A_2 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-2)} \cdot r_0,$$

$$V_{A_1 I_0 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-1)} = \frac{1}{n-1} V_{A_2 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-2)} \cdot r_0,$$

where $V^{(k)}$ denotes the volume of k -dimensional simplex.

So

$$\begin{aligned} V_{A_0 I_0 A_2 \dots A_n} : V_{A_0 A_1 I_0 A_3 \dots A_n} &= \frac{1}{n} V_{I_0 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-1)} h_0 : \frac{1}{n} V_{A_1 I_0 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-1)} h_0 \\ &= V_{I_0 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-1)} : V_{A_1 I_0 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} V_{A_2 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-2)} r_0 : \frac{1}{n-1} V_{A_1 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-2)} r_0 \\ &= V_{A_2 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-2)} r_0 : V_{A_1 A_3 \dots A_n}^{(n-2)} r_0 \\ &= V_{01} : V_{02}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get the barycenter coordinate of I_0 is

$$(0 : V_{01} : V_{02} : \dots : V_{0n}).$$

Similarly, we have the barycenter coordinate of $I_i(i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ is

$$(V_{i0} : V_{i1} : \dots : V_{i,i-1} : 0 : V_{i,i+1} : \dots : V_{ij} : \dots : V_{in}). \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3. ([4]) Let ρ_{ij} be the length of edge $A_iA_j(i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ of simplex Ω_A and $R(\Omega_A)$ the circumradius of Ω_A . Then

$$R^2(\Omega_A) = \frac{1}{(n!V(\Omega_A))^2} \cdot \left| \det\left(-\frac{1}{2}\rho_{ij}^2\right) \right|. \quad (2.2)$$

LEMMA 4. ([4]) Let ρ_{ij} be the length of edge $A_iA_j(i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ of simplex Ω_A . Then

$$\left| \det\left(-\frac{1}{2}\rho_{ij}^2\right) \right| \leq \frac{n}{2^{n+1}} \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_{ij}^{\frac{4}{n}}, \quad (2.3)$$

with equality if and only if all $\frac{\rho_{ij}}{\rho_{0i}\rho_{0j}}(i \neq j, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ are equal.

LEMMA 5. ([5]) Let ρ_{ij} be the length of edge $A_iA_j(i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ of simplex Ω_A and $R(\Omega_A)$ the circumradius of Ω_A . Then

$$\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n}} \geq (n!) \left(\frac{2^{n+1}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A), \quad (2.4)$$

with equality if and only if exist $\mu_k(\mu_k \geq 0, k = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ such that $\rho_{ij} = \mu_i\mu_j(i \neq j, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$.

LEMMA 6. ([6]) Let $\Omega_P = \text{conv}\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ be a m -dimensional simplex, ρ_{ij} denote the length of edge $P_iP_j(i, j = 0, 1, \dots, m)$. Then

$$V^2(\Omega_P) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2^m(m!)^2} D(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_m), \quad (2.5)$$

where $D(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_m)$ denotes the $(m + 2)$ -rank Cauchy-Mengv determinant, i.e.

$$D(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_m) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \rho_{ij}^2 & \\ 1 & & & \end{vmatrix}.$$

3. Proof of the Theorem

We keep the notations of the previous two sections.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let Ω_A be the coordinate simplex in E^n . According to Lemma 2, we can get the gauge barycenter coordinate of $I_i(i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$

$$I_i(\lambda_{i0}, \lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{in}),$$

applying Lemma 1, we find

$$\frac{V(\Omega_I)}{V(\Omega_A)} = |\det(\lambda_{ij})| = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^n \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n V_{ij}} |\det(V_{ij})|. \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemma 3, we know

$$|\det(\rho_{ij}^2)| = 2^{n+1}(n!)^2 (R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2, \tag{3.2}$$

then we have

$$|\det(\sqrt{m_i}\sqrt{m_j}\rho_{ij}^2)| = 2^{n+1}(n!)^2 m_0 m_1 \dots m_n (R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\sqrt{m_i}\sqrt{m_j} = V_{ij}/\rho_{ij}^2 (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$, then

$$m_0 m_1 \dots m_n = \frac{1}{\rho_{ij}^{\frac{4}{n}}} \cdot \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

so

$$|\det(V_{ij})| = 2^{n+1}(n!)^2 \cdot \frac{\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\rho_{ij}^{\frac{4}{n}}} \cdot (R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.1) and applying arithmetic-geometric inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V(\Omega_I)}{V(\Omega_A)} &= \frac{2^{n+1}(n!)^2}{\prod_{i=0}^n \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n V_{ij}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\rho_{ij}^{\frac{4}{n}}} \cdot (R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2^{n+1}(n!)^2}{n^{n+1} \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n}}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\rho_{ij}^{\frac{4}{n}}} \cdot (R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{n+1} \rho_{ij}^{\frac{4}{n}}} \cdot 2^{n+1}(n!)^2 (R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Applying Lemma 5 to (3.5) yields (1.4).

By arithmetic-geometric inequality and Lemma 5, we obtain that equality in (1.4) holds if and only if both of the following conditions hold:

- (I) All $V_{ij} (0 \leq i < j \leq n)$ are equal;
- (II) There exist $\mu_k \geq 0 (k = 0, 1, \dots, n)$, such that $\rho_{ij} = \mu_i \mu_j, 0 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Now we prove that (I) and (II) are equivalent.

In fact, when all $V_{ij} (0 \leq i < j \leq n)$ are equal, let

$$V_{ij} = V_{n-2}, \quad \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j, \\ 1 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$|\det(V_{ij})| = V_{n-2}^{n+1} \cdot \det(\delta_{ij}) = V_{n-2}^{n+1} |(-1)^n n| = nV_{n-2}^{n+1}.$$

Using (3.4), we get

$$nV_{n-2}^{n+1} = 2^{n+1}(n!)^2 \left(V_{n-2}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^{C_{n+1}^2} \cdot \frac{(R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A))^2}{\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_{ij}^{\frac{1}{4}}},$$

then

$$\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_{ij}^{\frac{n}{2}} = (n!) \left(\frac{2^{n+1}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R(\Omega_A)V(\Omega_A). \tag{3.6}$$

By the equality condition of Lemma 5, we know that there exist $\mu_k \geq 0 (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that

$$\rho_{ij} = \mu_i \mu_j, (0 \leq i < j \leq n). \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, if (3.7) holds, (3.6) holds too. Substituting (3.6) into (3.4) yields

$$|\det(V_{ij})| = n \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{3.8}$$

From Lemma 4, we obtain that there exist $m_k (k = 0, 1, \dots, n)$, such that

$$|\det(\sqrt{m_i} \sqrt{m_j} \rho_{ij}^2)| \leq n(m_0 m_1 \dots m_n) \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_{ij}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Let $\sqrt{m_i} \sqrt{m_j} = V_{ij} / \rho_{ij}^2 (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$, then

$$|\det(V_{ij})| = n \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V_{ij}^{\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9), and noticing the equality condition in Lemma 4, we get that $\rho_{ij} / \rho_{0i} \rho_{0j} (i \neq j, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ are all equal.

Specially, let ρ_{ij} be all equal, applying Lemma 6, we know that all V_{ij} are equal. So equality holds if and only if there exist $\mu_k \geq 0 (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that

$$\rho_{ij} = \mu_i \mu_j (0 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

[1] Q. J. MAO, Q. R. ZUO, *A geometric inequality of tangency-point simplex*, Mathematics in Practices and Theory, **17**, (4) (1987), 71–75.
 [2] H. M. SU, *An inequality for a simplex*, Bulletin of Maths, **5**, (1985), 43–46.
 [3] L. H. TANG, G. S. LENG, *Two new inequalities for tetrahedron*, Maths Competition, Changsha, Education Press of Hunan, (1994), 88–93.
 [4] L. YANG, J. ZH. ZHANG, *The notation of rank in abstract distance space*, Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, **10**, (4) (1980), 52–65.

- [5] L. YANG, J. ZH. ZHANG, *The geometric proof of a algebra theorem*, Journal of University of Science and Technology of China, **11**, (4) (1981), 45–49.
- [6] L. YANG, J. ZH. ZHANG, *A class of geometric inequalities on finite points*(Chinese), Acta Mat. Sinica, **23**, (5) (1980), 740–749.
- [7] Y. ZHANG, *A conjecture of pedal simplex*, Journal of Systems Sci and Math Sci, **12**, (4) (1992), 371–375.

(Received January 13, 2006)

Ma Tongy
Department of Maths
Hexi University
Zhangye, Gansu, 734000
China

Zhao Lingzhi
Department of Mathematics
Nanjing Xiaozhuang University
211171 Nanjing
P. R. China
e-mail: lzhhao@163.com

Yuan Jun
School of Mathematics and Computer Science
Nanjing Normal University
210097 Nanjing
P. R. China