

ON BOUNDS OF MATRIX EIGENVALUES

JINHAI CHEN

(communicated by G. P. H. Styan)

Abstract. In this paper, we give the estimates both of upper and lower bound of eigenvalues of a simple matrix. The estimates are sharper than the known results.

1. Introduction

As is well known, the eigenvalues of a matrix play an important role in solving linear systems [1, 3, 5], especially in the perturbation problems [2, 6]. The purpose of this note is to give a specific estimate of the eigenvalue.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix with conjugate transpose A^* , \bar{A} denote the conjugate, and $\text{tr}A$ represent the trace of matrix A . Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 = \text{tr}(AA^*),$$

where $\|A\|$ denotes the Frobenius norm of A . Let

$$\Re_A = \frac{A + A^*}{2},$$

$$\Im_A = \frac{A - A^*}{2i},$$

we call \Re_A the Hermitian real part and \Im_A the Hermitian imaginary part of A . Let

$$q_A = \|A\|^2 - \frac{|\text{tr}(A)|^2}{n},$$

$$\Delta_A = \frac{\|AA^* - A^*A\|^2}{2}.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 15A18, 15A60.

Key words and phrases: Trace, Rank, Eigenvalue.

2. Main theorem

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of the $n \times n$ complex matrix A with geometric multiplicity t , then*

$$\left| \lambda - \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{n} \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-t}{(2n-t)t}} \sqrt{\frac{n-t}{n} q_A} + \sqrt{q_A^2 - \frac{(2n-t)t}{n^2} \Delta_A} \tag{2.1}$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose $\lambda_{\mathfrak{R}_A}, \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}_A}$ are the eigenvalues of the $n \times n$ complex matrices \mathfrak{R}_A and \mathfrak{S}_A with geometric multiplicity t , respectively, then*

$$\left| \lambda_{\mathfrak{R}_A} - \frac{\text{tr}(\mathfrak{R}_A)}{n} \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-t}{nt}} q_{\mathfrak{R}_A}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left| \lambda_{\mathfrak{S}_A} - \frac{\text{tr}(\mathfrak{S}_A)}{n} \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-t}{nt}} q_{\mathfrak{S}_A}. \tag{2.3}$$

3. Proof of theorem

Before we give the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we present some lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. [4] *Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A , then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|^4 - \Delta_A}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $n \times n$ matrix A , $\text{rank}(A)$ represent the rank of A , then*

$$|\text{tr}(A)|^2 \leq \text{rank}(A) \sqrt{\|A\|^4 - \Delta_A}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A , $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Suppose the number of nonzero eigenvalues is k , then without loss of generality, we can let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the nonzero eigenvalues of A . It is easy obtained that

$$k \leq \text{rank}(A).$$

Now, let $R = \Lambda + M$ is a schur triangular form of A , i.e., $A = U^*RU$, U is unitary orthogonal, Λ is diagonal and M is upper triangular. From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|^2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|^4 - \Delta_A}.$$

Then

$$|\text{tr}(A)|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \right|^2 \leq k \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|^2 \leq \text{rank}(A) \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|^2 \leq \text{rank}(A) \sqrt{\|A\|^4 - \Delta_A}.$$

This shows the validity of conclusion. \square

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. Let $M = \lambda I - A$, where I is $n \times n$ the identity matrix, λ is t multiple eigenvalue of A , then we have

$$\text{rank}(M) = \text{rank}(\lambda I - A) \leq n - t,$$

and the following equality

$$\Delta_M = \frac{\|(\lambda I - A)(\bar{\lambda}I - A^*) - (\bar{\lambda}I - A^*)(\lambda I - A)\|}{2} = \Delta_A.$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr}(M)|^2 &\leq \text{rank}(M)\sqrt{\|M\|^4 - \Delta_M} \\ &\leq (n - t)\sqrt{\|M\|^4 - \Delta_M} \leq (n - t)\sqrt{\|\lambda I - A\|^4 - \Delta_A}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In addition, after direct manipulations,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr}(\lambda I - A)|^2 &= \text{tr}(\lambda I - A)\text{tr}(\bar{\lambda}I - A^*) \\ &= n^2|\lambda|^2 - n\lambda \text{tr}(A^*) - n\bar{\lambda}\text{tr}(A) + |\text{tr}(A)|^2 = n\sigma + |\text{tr}A|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda I - A\|^4 &= (\text{tr}(\lambda I - A)\text{tr}(\lambda I - A)^*)^2 \\ &= (n|\lambda|^2 - \lambda \text{tr}(A^*) - \bar{\lambda}\text{tr}(A) + \|A\|^2)^2 = (\sigma + \|A\|^2)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\sigma = n|\lambda|^2 - \lambda \text{tr}(A^*) - \bar{\lambda}\text{tr}(A)$.

Eliminating the σ from the formulae (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\|\lambda I - A\|^4 = \left(\frac{|\text{tr}(\lambda I - A)|^2 - |\text{tr}(A)|^2}{n} + \|A\|^2 \right)^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $s = \left| \lambda - \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{n} \right|^2$, $q_A = \|A\|^2 - \frac{|\text{tr}(A)|^2}{n}$, then

$$|\text{tr}(\lambda I - A)|^2 = n^2s, \quad \|\lambda I - A\|^4 = (ns + q_A)^2,$$

and by reformulating (3.1), we have

$$n^2s \leq (n - t)\sqrt{(ns + q_A)^2 - \Delta_A},$$

after direct computations,

$$s = \left| \lambda - \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n - t}{(2n - t)t} \left(\frac{n - t}{n}q_A + \sqrt{q_A^2 - \frac{(2n - t)t}{n^2}\Delta_A} \right).$$

The result follows immediately. \square

For Theorem 2.2, we note that the following inequality

$$\sqrt{\frac{n - t}{(2n - t)t}} \sqrt{\frac{n - t}{n}q_A + \sqrt{q_A^2 - \frac{(2n - t)t}{n^2}\Delta_A}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n - t}{nt}}q_A,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\Delta_A = 0$, that is to say, A is normal, i.e., $AA^* = A^*A$. Considering \Re_A and \Im_A are both normal matrices, then from Theorem 2.1, we know the validity of Theorem 2.2.

REMARK. For the bounds estimate of largest moduli eigenvalue $|\lambda|_{\max}$ of matrix A , in [7, 8], the following inequality was given

$$\frac{|\operatorname{tr}(A)|}{n} \leq |\lambda|_{\max} \leq \frac{|\operatorname{tr}(A)|}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}q_A}. \quad (3.5)$$

We can see that the estimates (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) are sharper than (3.5) in some extent. That is to say, the results presented in this paper improve the known conclusions in [7, 8] partially, and can be taken as some supplements for known conclusions [5, 7, 8], especially for the the upper bounds estimate of matrix eigenvalues.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. AXELSSON, *Iterative Solution Methods*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [2] G. H. GOLUB AND C. F. VAN LOAN, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd Edition, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London, 1996.
- [3] A. GREENBAUM, *Iterative Methods for Solving Linear Systems*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1997.
- [4] R. KRESS, H. L. DE VRIES AND R. WEGMANN, *On nonnormal matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 8(1974) 109-120.
- [5] J. W. LIANG, *Distribution of matrix eigenvalue and its application in numerical analysis*, J. Uni. Petrol, 25 (2001), 113-116.
- [6] R. S. VARGA, *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- [7] H. WOLKOWICZ AND G. P. H. STYAN, *More bounds for eigenvalues using traces*, Linear Algebra Appl., 31(1980) 1-17.
- [8] H. WOLKOWICZ AND G. P. H. STYAN, *Bounds for eigenvalues using traces*, Linear Algebra Appl., 29(1980) 471-506.

(Received June 23, 2006)

Jinhai Chen
 Department of Applied Mathematics
 The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
 Hung Hom, Kowloon
 Hong Kong
 e-mail: cjh_maths@yahoo.com.cn