

## INTERNAL CUBIC SYMMETRIC FORMS IN A SMALL NUMBER OF VARIABLES

RAGHIB ABU-SARIS AND MOWAFFAQ HAJJA<sup>1</sup>

(communicated by P. Bullen)

*Abstract.* We consider means of the form  $\mu = \sqrt[3]{f}$ , where  $f$  is a cubic symmetric form in  $n$  variables, and we show that if  $n \leq 4$  and  $\mu$  is internal on the points  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where  $x_i = 0$  or 1, then  $\mu$  is internal for all points  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . We highlight the similarity between this internality problem and the parallel problem pertaining to copositive symmetric cubic forms.

### 1. Introduction

An  $n$ -dimensional mean, or simply a mean, is usually defined to be a function  $\mu : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is *internal* in the sense that it satisfies the internality property

$$\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \quad (1)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0$ ; see [4] and [3, Chapter 8.7, page 266]. All means considered in this note are also *symmetric* and *homogeneous* in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) &= \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all permutations } \sigma \text{ of } x_1, \dots, x_n, \\ \mu(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_n) &= \lambda \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all } \lambda > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Means of the form  $Q/S$  and  $\sqrt{Q}$ , where  $S = x_1 + \dots + x_n$  and  $Q$  is a (real) symmetric quadratic form in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , are characterized in [6, Theorems 3 and 5], and means of the more general form  $\alpha S \pm \sqrt{Q}$  are characterized in [1, Theorem 3]. In all of these cases, the internality property (1) is reduced to a set of simple conditions on  $\alpha$  and on the coefficients of  $Q$ . Using [6, Theorem 5] and [1, Theorem 3], one can easily check that for the functions  $Q/S$  and  $\alpha S \pm \sqrt{Q}$  to be internal, it is sufficient that they satisfy the internality property (1) at the two test  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  only, where  $\mathbf{v}^{(j)}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{v}^{(j)} = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^j, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-j}). \quad (2)$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 26D05.

*Key words and phrases:* Internality, means, symmetric cubic forms, copositive forms.

<sup>1</sup> The second named author is supported by a research grant from Yarmouk University and wishes to express his thanks for this support.

On the other hand, 2-dimensional means of the form  $C(x, y)/Q(x, y)$ , where  $C$  and  $Q$  are symmetric forms of degree 3 and 2, respectively, are characterized in [2, Theorem 1 (iii)] and it is seen there that internality at the test tuples  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$  does not guarantee internality for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ; see [2, Section 6].

In this note, we consider functions of the form  $\mu = \sqrt[3]{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$ , where  $f$  is a symmetric cubic form, and we show that if  $n \leq 4$ , then such a  $\mu$  is internal if it satisfies the internality property (1) for the test tuples  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  given in (2). The proof uses a very weak theorem of calculus and does not generalize to  $n \geq 5$ . However, the authors believe that the same result holds for all  $n$ , and discuss a similar situation that pertains to co-positive forms and that supports this belief.

### 2. A weak lemma from calculus

Let  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in one variable  $x$  and with real coefficients, and let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . If all the coefficients of  $f$  are non-negative, then  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Equivalently, if the derivatives  $f^{(j)}(a)$  are non-negative for all  $j \geq 0$ , then  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq a$ . Repeated application of this simple fact yields Theorem 2 which is the main result of this paper. This theorem states that if the symmetric cubic form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfies the internality property (1) for the test tuples  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ , and if  $n \leq 4$ , then  $f$  is internal. To prove this theorem, we assume that the symmetric cubic form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfies (1) for  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ , and fixing  $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1}$ , we let

$$F(x_n) = x_n^3 - f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad G(x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - x_1^3.$$

We are to show that  $F(x_n) \geq 0$  and  $G(x_n) \geq 0$  for all  $x_n \geq x_{n-1}$ . Interestingly, it turns out that if  $n \leq 4$ , then the derivatives  $F^{(j)}$  and  $G^{(j)}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq 3$ ) are non-negative at  $x_n = x_{n-1}$ . This trivially implies that  $F(x_n) \geq 0$  and  $G(x_n) \geq 0$  for all  $x_n \geq x_{n-1}$ . It also turns out that if  $n \geq 5$ , then the internality of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  does not imply that  $F^{(j)}$  and  $G^{(j)}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq 3$ ) are non-negative at  $x_n = x_{n-1}$ . However, the authors believe that no matter what  $n$  is, the internality of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  does imply that  $F(x_n) \geq 0$  for all  $x_n \geq x_{n-1}$ . This belief is supported by examining the similar situation for co-positive symmetric cubic forms, where it is well-known that a symmetric cubic form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is non-negative for all  $x_j \geq 0$  if it is non-negative for  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ ; see [5, Theorem 3.7] and [7]. However, fixing  $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1}$  as before and letting  $H(x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we see that internality at these test  $n$ -tuples implies that the derivatives  $H^{(j)}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq 3$ ) are non-negative at  $x_n = x_{n-1}$  only if  $n \leq 4$ . In view of this, and in view of the extremely weak nature of our main tool, Lemma 1, the failure of our proof for  $n \geq 5$  should not be discouraging. We thus emphasize that the real value of Theorem 2 is in its statement, and not in its proof, and we hope that this first step would motivate a better approach that works for all  $n$ .

To facilitate calculations and to prepare for a computer-assisted verification, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(n)} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}, \\ S^{(n)} &= \{(r_1, \dots, r_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t : 0 < r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_t \leq n - 1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and we define the operator  $\Delta_s^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $s \in S^{(n)}$  inductively by

$$\Delta_s^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \text{the identity} & \text{if } s \text{ is the empty sequence} \\ \sum_{j=n-r+1}^n \partial/\partial x_j & \text{if } s \text{ is the singleton } (r), \\ \Delta_u^{(n)} \Delta_v^{(n)} & \text{if } s = (r_1, \dots, r_{t+1}), v = (r_1, \dots, r_t), u = (r_{t+1}). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\Delta_s^{(n)}(f)$  vanishes if  $s = (r_1, \dots, r_t)$  and  $t > \text{deg } f$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a (not necessarily symmetric) form in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . If  $\Delta_s^{(n)}(f)(1, \dots, 1) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in S^{(n)}$ , then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0$  for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^{(n)}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , then  $S^{(n)}$  consists of the empty sequence, and the assumption  $f(1) = \Delta_\emptyset^{(1)}(f)(1) \geq 0$  implies, by homogeneity, that  $f(x_1) \geq 0$  for all  $x_1 \geq 0$ .

Suppose the lemma is true for  $n - 1$ , and let  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a form for which  $\Delta_s^{(n)}(f)(1, \dots, 1) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in S^{(n)}$ . To prove that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0$  for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^{(n)}$ , let

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x_n^j}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}).$$

Then it is sufficient to prove that  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \geq 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$  and for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega^{(n-1)}$ . By the inductive assumption, it is sufficient to prove that  $\Delta_s^{(n-1)} f_j(1, \dots, 1) \geq 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$  and for all  $s \in S^{(n)}$ . This follows immediately from the fact that if  $s = (r_1, \dots, r_t) \in S^{(n-1)}$ , then

$$u = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^j, r_1, \dots, r_t) \in S^{(n)}$$

and

$$\Delta_s^{(n-1)}(f_j)(1, \dots, 1) = \Delta_u^{(n)}(f)(1, \dots, 1).$$

This completes the proof. □

### 3. The proof of the main theorem

We now use Lemma 1 to prove our main result.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a symmetric cubic form and suppose that  $\sqrt[3]{f}$  has the internality property (1) at the test  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  given in (2). If  $n \leq 4$ , then  $\sqrt[3]{f}$  is internal.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the vector space  $V_n$  of symmetric cubic forms in  $n$  variables is generated by the three forms

$$A = A_n = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^3, \quad B = B_n = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right), \quad C = C_n = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^3. \quad (4)$$

In fact,  $A, B, C$  form a basis of  $V_n$  when  $n \geq 3$ , and  $A, B$  form a basis of  $V_2$ . Thus a symmetric cubic form  $f$  is of the form

$$f = aA + bB + cC, \quad (5)$$

where  $c$  may be assumed 0 if  $n = 2$ . Let

$$J_k = f(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}) = ak + bk^2 + ck^3.$$

Then the assumption that  $\sqrt[3]{f}$  satisfies (1) for  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  means that

$$J_n = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq J_k \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

We shall prove that these inequalities imply internality of  $\sqrt[3]{f}$ . In fact, letting  $\mathbf{x}$  stand for  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we shall prove the following statements:

1. The inequalities  $J_k \geq 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  imply that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega^{(n)}$ .
2. The inequalities  $J_n \geq 1$  and  $J_k \geq 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$  imply that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq x_1^3 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega^{(n)}$ .
3. The inequalities  $J_k \leq 1$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  imply that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq x_n^3 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega^{(n)}$ .

Let  $\Delta_s(h)(1, \dots, 1)$  be denoted by  $\delta_s(h)$ . To prove the first claim, we show that  $\delta_s(f) \geq 0$  for every  $s \in S^{(n)}$  by expressing it as a positive linear combination of  $J_1, \dots, J_n$ . This is done in the column  $\delta_s(f)$  of the accompanying tables and is computer-verified. Note that  $f$  is as given in (5) and that  $c$  is taken to be 0 when  $n = 2$ . Thus we have proved that if  $f$  is non-negative for the test tuples (2), then it is non-negative for all non-negative tuples, a statement that is true for all  $n$  [5, Theorem 3.7].

The second claim follows immediately from observing that  $\delta_s(f) \geq \delta_s(x_1^3)$  for every  $s \in \Omega^{(n)}$ . This is done by comparing the columns  $\delta_s(f)$  and  $\delta_s(x_1^3)$  and using the inequality  $J_n \geq 1$ .

Similarly, the third claim follows from observing that  $\delta_s(f) \leq \delta_s(x_n^3)$  for every  $s \in S^{(n)}$ . Again, this is done by comparing the columns  $\delta_s(f)$  and  $\delta_s(x_n^3)$  and using the inequality  $J_k \leq 1$  for every  $k$ .

|                              |                        |                   |                   |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $n = 2$                      |                        |                   |                   |
| $J_2 = 2a + 4b, J_1 = a + b$ |                        |                   |                   |
| $s$                          | $\delta_s(f)$          | $\delta_s(x_n^3)$ | $\delta_s(x_1^3)$ |
| $\Phi$                       | $2a + 4b = J_2$        | 1                 | 1                 |
| 1                            | $3a + 6b = (3/2)J_2$   | 3                 | 0                 |
| 11                           | $6a + 8b = 4J_1 + J_2$ | 6                 | 0                 |
| 111                          | $6a + 6b = 6J_1$       | 6                 | 0                 |

Table 1.  $n = 2$

| $n = 3$  |  |                   |                   |
|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| $J_3 = 3a + 9b + 27c, J_2 = 2a + 4b + 8c, J_1 = a + b + c$ |  |                   |                   |
| $s$  | $\delta_s(f)$                          | $\delta_s(x_n^3)$ | $\delta_s(x_1^3)$ |
| $\Phi$   | $3a + 9b + 27c = J_3$                  | 1                 | 1                 |
| 1  | $3a + 9b + 27c = J_3$                  | 3                 | 0                 |
| 2  | $6a + 18b + 54c = 2J_3$                | 3                 | 0                 |
| 11   | $6a + 10b + 18c = 2J_2 + 2J_1$         | 6                 | 0                 |
| 12   | $6a + 14b + 36c = J_3 + J_2 + J_1$     | 6                 | 0                 |
| 22   | $12a + 28b + 72c = 2(J_3 + J_2 + J_1)$ | 6                 | 0                 |
| 111  | $6a + 6b + 6c = 6J_1$                  | 6                 | 0                 |
| 112  | $6a + 8b + 12c = J_2 + 4J_1$           | 6                 | 0                 |
| 122  | $6a + 12b + 24c = 3J_2$                | 6                 | 0                 |
| 222  | $12a + 24b + 48c = 6J_2$               | 6                 | 0                 |

Table 2.  $n = 3$ 

| $n = 4$  |  |                   |                   |
|--|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| $J_4 = 4a + 16b + 64c, J_3 = 3a + 9b + 27c, J_2 = 2a + 4b + 8c, J_1 = a + b + c$ |  |                   |                   |
| $s$  | $\delta_s(f)$                          | $\delta_s(x_n^3)$ | $\delta_s(x_1^3)$ |
| $\Phi$   | $4a + 16b + 64c = J_4$                 | 1                 | 1                 |
| 1  | $3a + 12b + 48c = (3/4)J_4$            | 3                 | 0                 |
| 2  | $6a + 24b + 96c = (3/2)J_4$            | 3                 | 0                 |
| 3  | $9a + 36b + 144c = (9/4)J_4$           | 3                 | 0                 |
| 11   | $6a + 12b + 24c = 3J_2$                | 6                 | 0                 |
| 12   | $6a + 16b + 48c = (1/2)J_4 + 2J_2$     | 6                 | 0                 |
| 13   | $6a + 20b + 72c = J_4 + J_2$           | 6                 | 0                 |
| 22   | $12a + 32b + 96c = J_4 + 4J_2$         | 6                 | 0                 |
| 23   | $12a + 40b + 144c = 2(J_4 + J_2)$      | 6                 | 0                 |
| 33   | $18a + 60b + 216c = 3(J_4 + J_2)$      | 6                 | 0                 |
| 111  | $6a + 6b + 6c = 6J_1$                  | 6                 | 0                 |
| 112  | $6a + 8b + 12c = J_2 + 4J_1$           | 6                 | 0                 |
| 113  | $6a + 10b + 18c = 2J_2 + 2J_1$         | 6                 | 0                 |
| 122  | $6a + 12b + 24c = 3J_2$                | 6                 | 0                 |
| 123  | $6a + 14b + 36c = J_3 + J_2 + J_1$     | 6                 | 0                 |
| 133  | $6a + 18b + 54c = 2J_3$                | 6                 | 0                 |
| 222  | $12a + 24b + 48c = 6J_2$               | 6                 | 0                 |
| 223  | $12a + 28b + 72c = 2(J_3 + J_2 + J_1)$ | 6                 | 0                 |
| 233  | $12a + 36b + 108c = 4J_3$              | 6                 | 0                 |
| 333  | $18a + 54b + 162c = 6J_3$              | 6                 | 0                 |

Table 3.  $n = 4$ 

□

#### 4. Summary and concluding remarks

Let  $f$  be a symmetric cubic form in  $n$  variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and let  $\mu = \sqrt[3]{f}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  be the test tuples defined in (2).

We have shown that if  $\mu$  satisfies the internality condition (1) for  $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ , and if  $n \leq 4$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies (1) for all  $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ . The proof we gave for  $n \leq 4$  breaks down when  $n \geq 5$ .

Our proof for  $n \leq 4$  was also used to answer the similar question whether

$$f(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}) \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

implies that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \geq 0$$

and was shown to fail for  $n \geq 5$ . However, this question does have an affirmative answer for all  $n$  as shown in [5, Theorem 3.7] and [7]. We remark that the case  $n \leq 3$  of this problem had already been established in [9], and that the case when  $f$  is quartic is investigated in [8].

The authors expect [10] to be useful for settling the problem described above.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. ABU-SARIS AND M. HAJJA, *Quadratic means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **288** (2003), 299–313.
- [2] R. ABU-SARIS AND M. HAJJA, *Geometric means of two positive numbers*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **9** (2006), 391–406.
- [3] J. M. BORWEIN AND P. B. BORWEIN, *Pi and the AGM - A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity*, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [4] P. S. BULLEN, *Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, Holland, 2003.
- [5] M. D. CHOI, T. Y. LAM AND B. REZNICK, *Even symmetric sextics*, Math. Zeit. **195** (1987), 559–580.
- [6] M. HAJJA, *Root quadratic and rational quadratic means*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **6** (2003), 581–593.
- [7] M. HAJJA, *Copositive symmetric cubic forms*, Amer. Math. Monthly **112** (2005), 462–466.
- [8] W. R. HARRIS, *Real even symmetric ternary forms*, J. Algebra **222** (1999), 204–245.
- [9] J. F. RIGBY, *A method of obtaining related triangle inequalities, with applications*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Sci. Mat. Fiz. No. **412-460** (1973), 217–226.
- [10] H. D. URSELL, *Inequalities between sums of powers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **9** (1959), 432–450.

(Received August 25, 2006)

Raghib M. Abu-Saris  
Department of Basic Sciences  
University of Sharjah  
P. O. Box 27272 - Sharjah  
United Arab Emirates  
e-mail: rabusaris@sharjah.ac.ae

Mowaffaq Hajja  
Department of Mathematics  
Yarmouk University  
Irbid - Jordan  
e-mail: mhajja@yu.edu.jo