

THE WEAK TYPE INEQUALITY FOR THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR OF THE MARCINKIEWICZ–FEJÉR MEANS OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH–KACZMARZ SYSTEM

USHANGI GOGINAVA

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator $\sigma^\#$ of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the two-dimensional Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is bounded from the martingale Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$ and is not bounded from the martingale Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$ provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over spatial indices.

1. Introduction

In 1939 Marcinkiewicz [4] proved for the two-dimensional trigonometric system that the Marcinkiewicz means of a function converge to the function itself almost everywhere for all $f \in L \log L([0, 2\pi]^2)$. Zhizhiashvili [14] improved this result for $f \in L([0, 2\pi]^2)$.

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [10] proved that the maximal operator

$$\sigma^* f := \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{j,j}(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the two-dimensional dyadic martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 2/3$. In [3] the author showed that in theorem of Weisz the assumption $p > 2/3$ is essential.

In 1948 Šneider [9] introduced the Walsh-Kaczmarz system and showed that the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_n^K(x)}{\log n} \geq C > 0$$

holds a.e. In 1974 Schipp [6] and Young [13] proved that the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Skvorcov [8] in 1981 showed that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge uniformly to f for any continuous functions f . Gát [1] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function. Gát's Theorem

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 42C10.

Keywords and phrases: Walsh function, Hardy space, maximal operator.

was extended by Simon [7] to H_p spaces, namely, he proved that the maximal operator of Fejér means σ^* of the one-dimensional Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is bounded from Hardy spaces H_p to the spaces L_p for $p > 1/2$. He also showed (H_p, L_p) -boundedness for every $p > 0$ if the maximal operator of the Fejér means is considered only of order 2^n . In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ Weisz [12] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

In [2] it is proved that the maximal operator

$$\sigma^\# f := \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} S_{j,j}^k(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 1/2$. The main aim of this paper is to prove that for the boundedness of the maximal operator $\sigma^\# f$ from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p the assumption $p > 1/2$ is essential, In particular, we prove that the maximal operator $\sigma^\# f$ is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$ (see Theorem 1). By interpolation it follows that $\sigma^\# f$ is not bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space weak- L_p for $0 < p < 1/2$. In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ we proved that (see Theorem 2) $\sigma^\# f$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

2. Definitions and Notation

Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := G, I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\},$$

$$(x \in G, n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

These sets are called the dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$ the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Denote $\bar{I}_n := G \setminus I_n$.

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k -th Rademacher function. If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^\infty n_i 2^i$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$), i. e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

The Walsh-Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 := 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k}.$$

For $A \in \mathbf{N}$ define the transformation $\tau_A : G \rightarrow G$ by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots).$$

By the definition of τ_A (see [8]), we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in G).$$

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k(x),$$

where $\alpha_k = w_k$ or κ_k . Recall that

$$D_{2^n}(x) := D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^k(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \bar{I}_n. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The Fourier coefficients (if f is an integrable function), the partial sums of Fourier series, the Fejér means and the Fejér kernels are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}^\alpha(n) &:= \int_G f \alpha_n, \quad S_n^\alpha(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}^\alpha(k) \alpha_k(x) \\ \sigma_n^\alpha(f, x) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k^\alpha(f, x), \quad K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we introduce some notation with respect to the theory of two-dimensional Walsh system. The group $G \times G$ is called the two-dimensional Walsh group. The Kroneker product $(\alpha_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbf{N})$ of the two Walsh(Kaczmarz) system is said to be the two-dimensional Walsh(Kaczmarz) system. Thus

$$\alpha_{m,n}(x^1, x^2) := \alpha_m(x^1) \alpha_n(x^2).$$

The two-dimensional Fourier coefficients, the rectangular partial sums of the Fourier series, the Marcinkiewicz means, the Dirichlet kernels and the Matcinkiewicz kernels

are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}^\alpha(n_1, n_2) &:= \int_{G \times G} f \alpha_{n_1, n_2}, \quad S_{n_1, n_2}^\alpha f(x^1, x^2) := \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{n_2-1} \hat{f}^\alpha(k_1, k_2) \alpha_{k_1, k_2}(x^1, x^2), \\ \sigma_n^\alpha f(x^1, x^2) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{k, k}^\alpha f(x^1, x^2), \\ D_{k, l}^\alpha(x^1, x^2) &:= D_k^\alpha(x^1) D_l^\alpha(x^2), \quad K_n^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_{k, k}^\alpha(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned}$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic 2-dimensional $I_k \times I_k$ cube of measure $2^{-k} \times 2^{-k}$ will be denoted by $F_k (k \in \mathbf{N})$.

Denote by $f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ one-parameter martingale with respect to $(F_n, n \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [11]) The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(x^1, x^2) &= \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x^1) \times I_n(x^2))} \left| \int_{I_n(x^1) \times I_n(x^2)} f(u^1, u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2) \right|, \\ &(x^1, x^2) \in G \times G. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the martingale Hardy space $H_p(G \times G)$ consists all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots)$ then the Walsh-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\hat{f}^\alpha(i, j) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k)}(x^1, x^2) \alpha_i(x^1) \alpha_j(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2).$$

The Walsh-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

For the martingale f we consider the maximal operator

$$\sigma^\# f(x^1, x^2) = \sup_A |\sigma_{2^A}^k f(x^1, x^2)|.$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube I^2 , such that

- a) $\int_I a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I^2)^{-1/p}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I^2$.

3. Formulation of Main Results

THEOREM 1. *The maximal operator $\sigma^\#$ is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$.*

THEOREM 2. *The maximal operator $\sigma^\#$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$.*

4. Auxiliary Propositions

We shall need the following lemmas (see [2, 5, 11]).

LEMMA 1. (Weisz) *Suppose that an operator V is sublinear and, for some $0 < p < 1$*

$$\sup_{\rho>0} \rho^p \mu \{x \in (G \times G) \setminus (I \times I) : |Va(x)| > \rho\} \leq c_p < \infty,$$

for every p -atom a , where I denote the support of the atom. If V is bounded from L_{p_1} to L_{p_1} , for a fixed $1 < p_1 \leq \infty$, then

$$\|Vf\|_{\text{weak-}L_p(G \times G)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p}.$$

LEMMA 2. (Nagy) *Let $A, s, l \in \mathbf{N}, s \leq l < A, (x^1, x^2) \in (I_s \setminus I_{s+1}) \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1})$. Then*

$$K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists i \in B_1, x_i^1 \neq x_i^2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists m \in B_2, x^1 - e_s - e_m \notin I_{l+1}, x_m^1 = 1, \\ 2^{s+m-2} & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists m \in B_2, x^1 - e_s - e_m \in I_{l+1}, x_m^1 = 1, \\ 2^{2s-1} & \text{if } x^1 - e_s \in I_{l+1} (\forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2), \end{cases}$$

where $B_1 = \{l + 1, \dots, A - 1\}, B_2 = \{s + 1, \dots, l\}$.

LEMMA 3. (Nagy) *Let $A \in \mathbf{N}, (x^1, x^2) \in G \times G$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^A K_{2^A}^K(x^1, x^2) &= 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j, 2^j}(x^1, x^2) + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j r_j(x^1 + x^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1), \tau_j(x^2)). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. (Gát, Goginava, Nagy) *Let $x \in I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $j > N$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N} K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x+t)) d\mu(t) \leq \frac{c}{2^l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x).$$

LEMMA 5. (Gát, Goginava, Nagy) *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &\in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0), \\ x^2 &\in I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \leq s \leq l < N.$$

Then for $j > N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1+t^1), \tau_j(x^2+t^2)) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\ &\leq c \sum_{m=s}^l 2^{-l-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_l^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. (Gát, Goginava, Nagy) *Let $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $l = 0, \dots, N-1$. Then for $j > N$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_N \times I_N} K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1+t^1), \tau_j(x^2+t^2)) d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\ &\leq c \sum_{s=l}^{N-1} 2^{-l-s} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_s^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

5. Proofs of Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $A \in \mathbf{P}$ and

$$f_A(x^1, x^2) := (D_{2^{A+1}}(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) (D_{2^{A+1}}(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)).$$

It is evident that

$$\widehat{f}_A^k(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i, j = 2^A, \dots, 2^{A+1} - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can write that

$$S_{j,j}^k f_A(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j = 0, \dots, 2^A, \\ (D_j(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) (D_j(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)), & \text{if } j = 2^A + 1, \dots, 2^{A+1} - 1 \\ f_A(x^1, x^2), & j \geq 2^{A+1}. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We have

$$f_A^*(x^1, x^2) = \sup_j |S_{2^j, 2^j} f_A(x^1, x^2)| = |f_A(x^1, x^2)|, \quad (3)$$

$$\|f_A\|_{H_p} = \|f_A^*\|_p = \|D_{2^A}\|_p^2 = 2^{2A(1-1/p)}.$$

Since

$$D_{j+2^A}^k(x) - D_{2^A}^k(x) = w_{2^A}(x) D_j^w(\tau_A(x)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^A,$$

from (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^\# f_A(x^1, x^2) &= \sup_n |\sigma_{2^n}^k f_A(x^1, x^2)| \geq |\sigma_{2^{A+1}} f_A(x^1, x^2)| \quad (4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^{A+1}-1} S_{j,j}^k(f_A; x^1, x^2) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{j=2^A+1}^{2^{A+1}-1} (D_j^k(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) (D_j^k(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^A-1} (D_{j+2^A}^k(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) (D_{j+2^A}^k(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{A+1}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^A-1} D_j^w(\tau_A(x^1)) D_j^w(\tau_A(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |K_{2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2))|. \end{aligned}$$

Then from Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{G \times G} |K_{2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2))|^{1/2} d\mu(x^1, x^2) \quad (5) \\ &= \int_{G \times G} |K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2)|^{1/2} d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ &\geq \sum_{m^1=0}^{A-1} \sum_{m^1+1=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{A-1}^1=0}^1 \int_{I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_{m^1}^1=1, x_{m^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)} \\ &\quad \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_{m^1}^1=1, x_{m^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) |K_{2^A}(x^1, x^2)|^{1/2} d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ &\geq c \sum_{m^1=0}^{A-1} 2^{m^1} \sum_{x_{m^1+1}^1=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{A-1}^1=0}^1 \int_{G \times G} 1_{I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_{m^1}^1=1, x_{m^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)}(x^1) \\ &\quad \times 1_{I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_{m^1}^1=1, x_{m^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)}(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ &\geq c \sum_{m^1=0}^{A-1} 2^{m^1} 2^{A-m^1} \frac{1}{2^{2A}} \geq \frac{cA}{2^A}, \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3), (4) and (5) we have

$$\frac{\|\sigma^\# f_A\|_{1/2}}{\|f_A\|_{H_{1/2}}} \geq \frac{cA^2}{2^{2A}2^{2A(1-2)}} \geq cA^2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } A \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We shall apply Lemma 1, we may suppose that $a \in L_\infty$ is a $1/2$ -atom with support I_N . Since $\sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2)$ if $A \leq N$ we may assume that $A > N$.

Suppose that $\rho = c2^\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{N}$.

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N \times I_N} : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda \right\} & \tag{6} \\ &= \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N} \times \overline{I_N} : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda \right\} \\ &+ \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \overline{I_N} : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda \right\} \\ &+ \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N} \times I_N : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda \right\} \\ &= A + B + C. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3 for $(x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N \times I_N}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j, 2^j} (x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j} (x^1 + t^1) r_j(x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j} (x^2 + t^2) r_j(x^1 + t^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1)) \\ &+ \left. \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j r_j(x^1 + t^1 + x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \right) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j} (x^1 + t^1) r_j(x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j} (x^2 + t^2) r_j(x^1 + t^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j r_j(x^1 + t^1 + x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &= \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_{2^A}^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2). \tag{7} \end{aligned}$$

Let $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $0 \leq s \leq l < N$. Using (1), Lemma 5 and the fact that $|a| \leq c2^{4N}$ we have

$$\sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_{2^A}^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N \times I_N} |a(t^1, t^2)| |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| d\mu(t^1, t^2) \\ & \leq c \frac{2^{4N}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \sum_{m=s}^l 2^{-l-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_l^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2) \quad (10) \\ & \leq c \frac{2^{4N}}{2^l} \sum_{m=s}^l 2^{-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_l^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda > 4N$. Then it is evident that

$$\mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N : \sup_{A > N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \geq c2^\lambda \right\} = 0.$$

Hence we can suppose that $\lambda \leq 4N$.

Let $2N < \lambda \leq 4N$. Then by (10) and from the simple calculation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N : \sup_{A > N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \geq c2^\lambda \right\} \quad (11) \\ & \leq \sum_{s=0}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{m=s}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{l=m}^{4N - [\lambda] - m} \frac{2^s}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{s=0}^{3N - [\lambda]} \sum_{l=s}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{m=s}^l \frac{2^s}{2^{2N}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=0}^{3N - [\lambda]} \sum_{l=2N - [\lambda/2]}^N \sum_{m=s}^{4N - [\lambda] - l} \frac{2^s}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{s=3N - [\lambda]}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{l=s}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{m=s}^l \frac{2^s}{2^{2N}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=3N - [\lambda]}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{l=2N - [\lambda/2]}^{4N - [\lambda] - s} \sum_{m=s}^{4N - [\lambda] - l} \frac{2^s}{2^{2N}} \\ & \leq c \sum_{s=0}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \frac{(2N - \lambda/2 - s + 1)^2}{2^{2N-s}} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < \lambda \leq 2N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N : \sup_{A > N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \geq c2^\lambda \right\} \quad (12) \\ & \leq c \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{l=s}^N \sum_{m=s}^l \frac{2^s}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^N} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (8)-(12) we obtain that

$$A \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \quad (13)$$

Let $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times I_N (x_0^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $0 \leq l < N$. Then we can write

$$\sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2) = \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \quad (14)$$

Using (1), Lemma 4 and the fact that $|a| \leq c2^{4N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| &\leq c \frac{2^{4N}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N \times I_N} D_{2^j} (x^1 + t^1) K_{2^j}^w (\tau_j (x^2 + t^2)) d\mu (t^1, t^2) \\ &\leq c \frac{2^{4N}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N} K_{2^j}^w (\tau_j (x^2 + t^2)) d\mu (t^2). \\ &\leq c \frac{2^{4N}}{2^l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)} (x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then from the simple calculation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \bar{I}_N : \sup_{A > N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \geq c2^\lambda \right\} \\ \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{4N - [\lambda]} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \leq c \frac{4N - \lambda}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For $\sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)$ we have (see Lemma 6)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N \times I_N} |a(t^1, t^2)| K_{2^j}^w (\tau_j (x^1 + t^1), \tau_j (x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c2^{4N}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \sum_{s=l}^{N-1} 2^{-l-s} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_s^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)} (x^2) \\ &\leq \frac{c2^{4N}}{2^l} \sum_{s=l}^{N-1} 2^{-s} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_s^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)} (x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $2N < \lambda \leq 4N$. Then from the simple calculation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \bar{I}_N : \sup_{A > N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \geq c2^\lambda \right\} \\ \leq c \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{s=l}^{4N - [\lambda] - l} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{s=0}^{2N - [\lambda/2]} \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{s=2N - [\lambda/2]}^N \sum_{l=0}^{4N - [\lambda] - s} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \right\} \\ \leq \frac{c(2N - \lambda/2)^2}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let $0 < \lambda \leq 2N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in I_N \times \bar{I}_N : \sup_{A > N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \geq c2^\lambda \right\} \\ \leq c \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{cN^2}{2^{2N}} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Combining (14)-(17) we obtain that

$$B \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \quad (18)$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$C \leq \frac{c}{2^{\lambda/2}}. \quad (19)$$

Combining (6), (13), (18) and (19) we obtain that

$$2^{\lambda/2} \text{mes} \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \overline{I_N \times I_N} : |\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2)| > c2^\lambda \right\} \leq c < \infty.$$

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. GÁT, *On $(C, 1)$ summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, *Studia Math.*, **130** (1998), 135–148.
- [2] G. GÁT, U. GOGINA, K. NAGY, *On (H_{pq}, L_{pq}) -type inequality of maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Kaczmarz system*(English), *J. Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **3**, 9 (2006) 473–483.
- [3] U. GOGINA, *The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, *East J. Approx.*, **12** (2006), 295–302.
- [4] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, *Sur une methode remarquable de sommation des series doublefes de Fourier*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **8** (1939), 149–160.
- [5] K. NAGY, *Some convergence properties of the Walsh-Kaczmarz system with respect to the Marcinkiewicz means*, *Rendiconti di Matematica*, **76** (2005), 503–516.
- [6] F. SCHIPP, *Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems*, *Analysis Math.*, **2** (1976), 63–75.
- [7] P. SIMON, *On the Cesaro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, *J. Approx. Theory*, **106** (2000), 249–261.
- [8] V. A. SKVORCOV, *On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, *Analysis Math.*, **7** (1981), 141–150.
- [9] A. A. ŠNEIDER, *On series with respect to the Walsh functions with monotone coefficients*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.*, **12** (1948), 179–192.
- [10] F. WEISZ, *Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces*, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.*, **17** (2001), 32–44.
- [11] F. WEISZ, *Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space*, *Kluwer Academic*, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [12] F. WEISZ, *ϑ -summability of Fourier series*, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **103**, 1-2 (2004), 139–176.

- [13] W. S. YOUNG, *On the a.e. convergence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (1974), 353–358.
- [14] L. V. ZHIZHIASVILI, *Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR Ser Math., **32** (1968), 1112–1122.

(Received January 16, 2007)

U. Goginava
Institute of Mathematics
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences
Tbilisi State University
Chavchavadze str. 1
Tbilisi 0128
Georgia
e-mail: z_goginava@hotmail.com