

FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS WITH OPERATOR-MONOTONE FUNCTIONS

TSUYOSHI ANDO

(Communicated by F. Hansen)

Abstract. Let $f(t)$ be a non-negative operator-monotone function defined on $[0, \infty)$, and A, B positive definite operators on a Hilbert space. The inequalities $\langle Bx, x \rangle \leq f(\langle Ax, x \rangle)$ for every unit vector x do not imply the operator inequality $B \leq f(A)$. We prove, however, that when combined with the inequalities $\langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1} \geq f(\langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1})$, the relation $B = f(A)$ follows.

1. Introduction and main result

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. For bounded selfadjoint operators A, B on \mathcal{H} the notation $A \geq B$ (resp. $A > B$) means that $A - B$ is positive semi-definite (resp. positive definite). In particular, $A \geq 0$ (resp. $A > 0$) means that A is positive semi-definite (resp. positive definite). In this paper capital letters A, B, \dots will denote bounded selfadjoint operators.

We consider only *non-negative strictly increasing continuous* functions $f(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore the functional calculus for $A \geq 0$ produces again an operator $f(A) \geq 0$. Furthermore $A > 0$ implies $f(A) > 0$.

A function $f(t)$ is said to be *operator-monotone* if regardless of the dimension of \mathcal{H}

$$0 < A \leq B \implies f(A) \leq f(B).$$

Let us recall some related classes of functions. A function $f(t)$ is said to be *operator-convex* if

$$f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(A)+f(B)}{2} \quad (A, B > 0).$$

Correspondingly one may consider *operator-concave* functions.

It is shown in [4, Section 2] that a function $f(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ is operator-concave if and only if it is operator-monotone and that it is operator-convex with $f(0) \leq 0$ if and only if the function $f(t)/t$ is operator-monotone. In particular, an operator-monotone function is concave.

Further if $f(t)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(\infty) = \infty$ is operator-convex then its inverse function is operator-monotone. In fact, in [1, Lemma 5] it is shown that the inverse

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47A60, 47A63.

Keywords and phrases: Operator-monotone function, functional calculus, operator inequality, positive definite operator.

function of a function of the form $f(t) = tg(t)$ with operator-monotone $g(t)$ is operator-monotone. This fact on inverse functions is widely generalized in [6, Theorem 2.8]. Let us mention that also in [3, Corollary 3.4] the same fact is proved by using characterization of operator-monotonicity in terms of the Loewner matrices associated with the function.

A typical example of an operator-monotone function is $f(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p \leq 1$. The inverse function of a function $f(t) = t^p$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$ is operator-monotone while for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ the function $f(t) = t^p$ is operator-convex.

We refer the reader to the nice exposition in [2, Chapter V] for those classes of functions.

LEMMA 1. *If a function $f(t)$ is operator-monotone, so is the function $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$.*

Proof. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < A \leq B &\implies A^{-1} \geq B^{-1} \\ &\implies f(A^{-1}) \geq f(B^{-1}) \implies f(A^{-1})^{-1} \leq f(B^{-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

showing the operator-monotonicity of the function $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$. \square

Now since an operator-monotone function $f(t)$ and by Lemma 1 its associate $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$ are concave, the next lemma is an easy consequence of the Jensen inequality for the integral of a concave function with respect to a probability measure on an interval (cf. [4, Corollary 2.2]).

LEMMA 2. *Let $f(t)$ be operator-monotone, and $A, B > 0$. Then*

$$B \leq f(A) \implies \langle Bx, x \rangle \leq f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \quad (\|x\| = 1),$$

while

$$f(A) \leq B \implies f(\langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1}) \leq \langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1} \quad (\|x\| = 1).$$

For instance, let $A = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda)$ be the spectral representation of the positive definite operator A . Then any unit vector x produces a probability measure $d\langle E(\lambda)x, x \rangle$ on $(0, \infty)$. Then the Jensen inequality shows

$$\langle f(A)x, x \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(\lambda) d\langle E(\lambda)x, x \rangle \leq f\left(\int_0^\infty \lambda d\langle E(\lambda)x, x \rangle\right) = f(\langle Ax, x \rangle).$$

Given an operator-monotone function $f(t)$ and $A, B > 0$, the converse implication in each of the statements in Lemma 2 does not hold. However if both the inequalities

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle \leq f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \quad (\|x\| = 1), \tag{\#}$$

and

$$f(\langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1}) \leq \langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1} \quad (\|x\| = 1) \tag{b}$$

hold, a *sandwich technique* will show that $B = f(A)$. This is our main result.

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(t)$ be operator-monotone, and $A, B > 0$. Then the relation $B = f(A)$ occurs if and only if the two groups of inequalities (‡) and (b) hold.*

Though a complete proof of Theorem 3 will be given in the next section, let us present a simple proof for the case of finite dimensional \mathcal{H} , that is, the matrix case. This is based on an idea of T. Hayashi [5].

By the finite dimensionality assumption, it suffices to prove that if x is a unit vector and $Ax = \alpha x$ for some $\alpha > 0$ then $Bx = f(\alpha)x$. The assumption implies that $\langle Bx, x \rangle \leq f(\alpha)$ and $\langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle \leq f(\alpha)^{-1}$. Then since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \langle x, x \rangle = \langle B^{1/2}x, B^{-1/2}x \rangle \\ &\leq \|B^{1/2}x\| \cdot \|B^{-1/2}x\| = \sqrt{\langle Bx, x \rangle \cdot \langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle} \leq \sqrt{f(\alpha)f(\alpha)^{-1}} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\langle B^{1/2}x, B^{-1/2}x \rangle = \|B^{1/2}x\| \cdot \|B^{-1/2}x\|$, which is possible only when $B^{1/2}x = \beta B^{-1/2}x$, hence $Bx = \beta x$ for some $\beta > 0$. Finally it follows from the assumption that $\beta \leq f(\alpha) \leq \beta$. This completes the proof.

The following is immediate from Theorem 3 by interchanging the places of A and B .

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose that the inverse function of a strictly increasing function $f(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(\infty) = \infty$ is operator-monotone, and let $A, B > 0$. Then the relation $B = f(A)$ occurs if and only if the following two groups of inequalities hold:*

$$f(\langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1}) \geq \langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle \quad (\|x\| = 1).$$

2. Proof of Theorem 3

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3. Since the only-if part is already in Lemma 2, let us suppose that both (‡) and (b) hold.

Notice first that both $f(t)$ and $g(t) := f(t^{-1})^{-1}$ are concave functions. Considering the tangent line to the curve $\{(t, f(t)); t > 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 at the point $(\lambda, f(\lambda))$, we can conclude from the concavity of $f(t)$ that

$$f(t) \leq f'(\lambda)t + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\} \quad (t > 0),$$

and further that

$$f(t) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ f'(\lambda)t + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\} \right\} \quad (t > 0). \tag{1}$$

Notice here that $f'(\lambda) > 0$ and $f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda) > 0$ ($\lambda > 0$).

In a similar way, considering the tangent line to the curve $\{(t, g(t)) : t > 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 at the point $(\lambda^{-1}, g(\lambda^{-1}))$, we can conclude from the concavity of the function $g(t)$ that

$$g(t) \leq g'(\lambda^{-1})t + \{g(\lambda^{-1}) - \lambda^{-1}g'(\lambda^{-1})\} \quad (\lambda > 0, t > 0),$$

and further that

$$g(t) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ g'(\lambda^{-1})t + \{g(\lambda^{-1}) - \lambda^{-1}g'(\lambda^{-1})\} \right\} \quad (t > 0).$$

Since

$$g'(\lambda^{-1}) = \frac{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda)}{f(\lambda)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\lambda^{-1}) - \lambda^{-1}g'(\lambda^{-1}) = \frac{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)}{f(\lambda)^2} \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

we can conclude

$$f(t^{-1})^{-1} = g(t) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda)t + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}}{f(\lambda)^2} \quad (t > 0). \quad (2)$$

Now it follows from (1) that the first inequalities (\sharp) are equivalent to the inequalities:

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle \leq f'(\lambda) \langle Ax, x \rangle + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\} \quad (\lambda > 0, \|x\| = 1). \quad (3)$$

Next let us rewrite these inequalities as operator-inequalities. For simplicity sake, let us represent a scalar multiple of the identity operator I simply by the scalar itself. Further let us write $(\alpha A + \beta I)(\gamma A + \delta I)^{-1}$ as $\frac{\alpha A + \beta}{\gamma A + \delta}$.

Now the inequalities (3), hence (\sharp), can be expressed as

$$B \leq f'(\lambda)A + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\} \quad (\lambda > 0), \quad (4)$$

or equivalently

$$B^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{f'(\lambda)A + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}} \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (5)$$

In a similar way it follows from (2) that the second inequalities (b) are equivalent to the following inequalities

$$\langle B^{-1}x, x \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda) \langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}}{f(\lambda)^2} \quad (\lambda > 0, \|x\| = 1).$$

As above, this can be converted to operator inequalities

$$B^{-1} \leq \frac{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda) + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}A}{f(\lambda)^2 A} \quad (\lambda > 0), \quad (6)$$

or equivalently

$$B \geq \frac{f(\lambda)^2 A}{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda) + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}A} \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (7)$$

Notice here that since obviously $f(A) \leq f(A) \leq f(A)$, the operator inequalities (4) – (7) are also valid with $f(A)$ in place of B . Therefore it follows from (4) and (7) that

$$\pm(B - f(A)) \leq f'(\lambda)A + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\} - \frac{f(\lambda)^2 A}{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda) + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}A} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

This becomes

$$\pm(B - f(A)) \leq \frac{f'(\lambda)\{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}}{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda) + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}A} (A - \lambda)^2 \quad (\lambda > 0). \tag{8}$$

In a similar way it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$\pm(B^{-1} - f(A)^{-1}) \leq \frac{f'(\lambda)\{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}}{f(\lambda)^2 A \{f'(\lambda)A + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}\}} (A - \lambda)^2 \quad (\lambda > 0). \tag{9}$$

Let λ_{min} (resp. λ_{max}) be the minimum (resp. maximum) spectrum of A and consider the interval $\Delta := [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]$. Take positive constants γ_1 and γ_2 such that

$$\gamma_1 \geq \frac{f'(\lambda)\{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}}{\lambda^2 f'(\lambda) + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}A} \quad (\lambda \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\gamma_2 \geq \frac{f'(\lambda)\{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}}{f(\lambda)^2 A \{f'(\lambda)A + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}\}} \quad (\lambda \in \Delta).$$

For an orthoprojection P and a positive definite operator X , let us denote the inverse of the operator PXP restricted to the range space of P simply by $(PXP)^{-1}$. Then it is easy to see the formula

$$(PX^{-1}P)^{-1} = PXP - (PXP^\perp) \cdot (P^\perp X P^\perp)^{-1} \cdot P^\perp X P, \tag{10}$$

where $P^\perp := I - P$.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}$ the set of orthoprojections and by $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}_A$ the subset of those commuting with A . Then it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$\|PBP - Pf(A)P\| \leq \gamma_1 \|(A - \lambda)P\|^2 \quad (\lambda \in \Delta, P \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}), \tag{11}$$

and

$$\|PB^{-1}P - Pf(A)^{-1}P\| \leq \gamma_2 \|(A - \lambda)P\|^2 \quad (\lambda \in \Delta, P \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}). \tag{12}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (PB^{-1}P)^{-1} - (Pf(A)^{-1}P)^{-1} \\ &= (PB^{-1}P)^{-1} (Pf(A)^{-1}P - PB^{-1}P) (Pf(A)^{-1}P)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|(PB^{-1}P)^{-1}\| \leq \|B\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|(Pf(A)^{-1}P)^{-1}\| \leq \|f(A)\|,$$

with $\gamma_3 := \gamma_2\|B\| \cdot \|f(A)\|$ it follows from (12) that

$$\|(PB^{-1}P)^{-1} - (Pf(A)^{-1}P)^{-1}\| \leq \gamma_3\|(A - \lambda)P\|^2 \quad (\lambda \in \Delta, P \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}_A). \quad (13)$$

Now since $(Pf(A)^{-1}P)^{-1} = Pf(A)P$ for $P \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}_A$, we can conclude from (11) and (13) that

$$\|PBP - (PB^{-1}P)^{-1}\| \leq (\gamma_1 + \gamma_3)\|(A - \lambda)P\|^2 \quad (\lambda \in \Delta, P \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}_A). \quad (14)$$

Using the general formula (10) and the inequality $(P^\perp BP^\perp)^{-1} \geq \|B\|^{-1}P^\perp$, we can see

$$\|P^\perp BP\|^2 \leq \|B\| \cdot \|PBP - (PB^{-1}P)^{-1}\|.$$

Then it follows from (14) that

$$\|P^\perp BP\|^2 \leq (\gamma_1 + \gamma_3)\|B\| \cdot \|(A - \lambda)P\|^2 \quad (\lambda \in \Delta, P \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}_A). \quad (15)$$

Now divide the interval $\Delta = [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]$ into n disjoint (half-open) subintervals of equal length, and let P_j the spectral projection of A corresponding to the j -th subinterval. Take λ_j in the j -th subinterval. Then we have, with $l := \lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}$,

$$\|(A - \lambda_j)P_j\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^2 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (16)$$

Then from (11), (15) and (16) we can conclude that there is a constant $\gamma > 0$, not depending on n , such that

$$\|P_jBP_j - P_jf(A)P_j\|, \quad \|P_j^\perp BP_j\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{n}\right)^2 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (17)$$

Since $P_i^\perp f(A)P_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$) and

$$B - f(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \{P_jBP_j - P_jf(A)P_j\} + \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^\perp BP_j,$$

we have

$$\|B - f(A)\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|P_jBP_j - P_jf(A)P_j\| + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^\perp BP_j \right\|.$$

Since by (17) for any unit vector x

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n P_j^\perp BP_j \right) x \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|P_j^\perp BP_j\| \cdot \|P_jx\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \|P_j^\perp BP_j\|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \|P_jx\|^2} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^\perp B P_j \right\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \text{hence} \quad \|B - f(A)\| \leq \frac{\gamma^2}{n} + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude $B = f(A)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

We have proved really the following.

THEOREM 5. *Let $f(t)$ be operator-monotone, and $A, B > 0$. Then the relation $B = f(A)$ occurs if and only if the following two groups of operator inequalities hold:*

$$B \leq f'(\lambda)A + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}I \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

and

$$B \geq f(\lambda)^2 A \left\{ \lambda^2 f'(\lambda)I + \{f(\lambda) - \lambda f'(\lambda)\}A \right\}^{-1} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

3. Case of functions $f(t) = t^p$

The following is immediate from Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and $A, B > 0$. Then the relation $B = A^p$ occurs if and only if*

$$\lambda^p A \{p\lambda I + (1-p)A\}^{-1} \leq B \leq \lambda^{p-1} \{pA + (1-p)\lambda I\} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

COROLLARY 7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $A, B > 0$. Then the relation $B = A^p$ occurs if and only if*

$$p\lambda^{p-1}A - (p-1)\lambda^p I \leq B \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{-p} \{p\lambda A^{-1} - (p-1)I\} \leq B^{-1} \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

Proof. The relation $B = A^p$ is equivalent to $A = f(B)$ with an operator-monotone function $f(t) = t^{1/p}$. Therefore by Theorem 6 this occurs if and only if

$$\lambda^{1/p} B \{(1/p)\lambda I + (1-1/p)B\}^{-1} \leq A \leq \lambda^{1/p-1} \{(1/p)B + (1-1/p)\lambda I\} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

By replacing λ by λ^p , it is easy to see that these inequalities are written by the two inequalities in the assertion. \square

COROLLARY 8. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $A, B > 0$. Then the relation $B = A^p$ occurs if and only if*

$$\lambda^{p-1} A^2 \{(p-1)\lambda I + (2-p)A\}^{-1} \leq B \leq \lambda^{p-2} \{(p-1)A^2 + (2-p)\lambda A\} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

In fact, $B = A^p$ is equivalent to $A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} = f(A)$ with an operator-monotone function $f(t) = t^{p-1}$. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 6.

The results of this section are found also in [5].

Acknowledgement. Though in the original version Lemma 2 was proved for an operator-monotone function $f(t)$, Prof. M. Uchiyama pointed out that this lemma is true with mere concavity of the functions $f(t)$ and $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$ and that there is a concave, non-operator-monotone function $f(t)$ for which the function $f(t^{-1})^{-1}$ is concave. The author thanks him for those remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. ANDO, *Comparison of norms $\|f(A) - f(B)\|$ and $\|f(|A - B|)\|$* , Math. Z. **177** (1988), 403–409.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.
- [3] R. BHATIA AND T. SANO, *Loewner matrices and operator convexity*, 2008, Math. Ann., (to appear).
- [4] F. HANSEN AND G.K. PEDERSEN, *Jensen's inequality for operators and Löwner's theorem*, Math. Ann. **258** (1982), 229–241.
- [5] T. HAYASHI, *Non-commutative A-G mean inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (to appear).
- [6] M. UCHIYAMA, *A new majorization between functions, polynomials, and operator inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. **231** (2006), 231–244.

(Received October 10, 2008)

Tsuyoshi Ando
Hokkaido University (Emeritus)
Sapporo
Japan
mailing address:
Shiroishi-ku, Hongo-dori 9
Minami 4-10-805
Sapporo 003-0024
Japan
e-mail: ando@es.hokudai.ac.jp