

INEQUALITIES FOR L_p -DUAL AFFINE SURFACE AREA

WANG WEI, YUAN JUN AND HE BINWU

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. According to the notion of L_p -affine surface area which was defined by Lutwak, in this paper, we introduce the concept of L_p -dual affine surface area. Further, we establish the affine isoperimetric inequality and the Blaschke-Santaló inequality for L_p -dual affine surface area. Besides, the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for L_p -dual affine surface area is presented.

1. Introduction

During the past three decades, the investigations of the classical affine surface area have received great attention from many articles (see articles [3–6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 21, 25, 27] or books [7, 24]). Based on the classical affine surface area, Lutwak [18] introduced the notion of L_p -affine surface area and obtained some isoperimetric inequalities for it. Regarding the studies of L_p -affine surface area also see [20, 26, 28].

Let \mathcal{K}_0^n be the set of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in their interiors. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, let K^* denote the polar body of K and \mathcal{S}_0^n denote the set star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in their interiors.

In [5], Leichtweiß defined the affine surface area $\Omega(K)$ by:

$$n^{-\frac{1}{n}}\Omega(K)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} = \inf\{nV_1(K, Q^*)V(Q)^{\frac{1}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^n\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In [18], Lutwak generalized to the L_p -affine surface area $\Omega_p(K)$ by using the Brunn-Minkowski-Fiery theory as follows:

$$n^{-\frac{p}{n}}\Omega_p(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} = \inf\{nV_p(K, Q^*)V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^n\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Obviously, if $p = 1$, $\Omega_1(K)$ is just the classical affine surface area $\Omega(K)$.

Moreover, Lutwak proved the following inequalities for the L_p -affine surface area.

THEOREM A. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $p \geq 1$. Then*

$$\Omega_p(K)^{n+p} \leq n^{n+p}V(K)^nV(K^*)^p. \quad (1.3)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 52A40, 52A20.

Keywords and phrases: L_p -dual affine surface area, Blaschke-Santaló inequality, L_p -dual mixed volume.

Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. (Grant No. 10671119 and Grant No. 10971205).

THEOREM B. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $p \geq 1$. Then*

$$\Omega_p(K)\Omega_p(K^*) \leq n^2V(K)V(K^*). \tag{1.4}$$

It has slowly come to be recognized that along side the Brunn-Minkowski theory there is a dual theory. It is easily seen that the L_p -affine surface area belongs to the Brunn-Minkowski theory. A nature question is whether there is a dual analog of the L_p -affine surface area in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory.

It is the aim of this paper to demonstrate the existence of this dual object, which is called L_p -dual affine surface area.

For star body K and $0 < p < n$, we define the L_p -dual affine surface area, $\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)$, of K by

$$n^{-\frac{p}{n}}\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} = \sup\{n\tilde{V}_p(K, Q^*)V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\}. \tag{1.5}$$

As applications, we establish the dual forms of inequalities (1.3) and (1.4). Our main results can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$ and $0 < p < n$. Then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \geq n^{n+p}V(K)^nV(K^*)^p. \tag{1.6}$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$ and $0 < p < n$. Then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*) \geq n^2V(K)V(K^*). \tag{1.7}$$

The other aim of this paper is to establish the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the L_p -dual affine surface area.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$ and $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$. Then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K \uplus_{n-p} L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \leq \tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} + \tilde{\Omega}_p(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}, \tag{1.8}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

Please see the next section for above interrelated notations, definitions and their background materials.

2. Notation and preliminaries

The setting for this paper is n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) and \mathcal{K}_0^n denote the subset of \mathcal{K}^n that contains the origin in their interiors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathcal{K}_c^n denote the set of convex bodies whose centroids lie at the origin. As usual, S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere, B_n the unit ball, ω_n the volume of B_n .

For $\phi \in GL(n)$, let ϕ^t, ϕ^{-1} , and ϕ^{-t} , denote the transpose, inverse, and inverse of the transpose of ϕ , respectively. If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then the support function of K , $h_K = h(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, is defined by

$$h(K, u) = \max\{u \cdot x : x \in K\}, \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

where $u \cdot x$ denotes the standard inner product of u and x .

For a compact subset L of \mathbb{R}^n , which is star-shaped with respect to the origin, we shall use $\rho(L, \cdot)$ to denote its radial function; i.e., for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\rho(L, u) = \rho_L(u) = \max\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda u \in L\}. \tag{2.1}$$

If $\rho(L, \cdot)$ is continuous and positive, L will be called a star body, and \mathcal{S}_0^n will be used to denote the class of star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in their interiors. Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates (of one another) if $\rho(K, u)/\rho(L, u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Let $\tilde{\delta}$ denote the radial Hausdorff metric, as follows: if $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then

$$\tilde{\delta}(K, L) = |\rho_K - \rho_L|_\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

If $L \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then obviously

$$\rho(\phi L, u) = \rho(L, \phi^{-1}u), \text{ for } \phi \in GL(n). \tag{2.3}$$

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, let K^* denote the polar of K ; i.e.,

$$K^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq 1, \text{ for all } y \in K\}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to get that

$$\rho(K^*, \cdot) = 1/h(K, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad h(K^*, \cdot) = 1/\rho(K, \cdot).$$

For $0 < p < n$, the L_p -dual mixed volume, $\tilde{V}_p(K, L)$, of $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, is defined by

$$\tilde{V}_p(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-p} \rho(L, u)^p dS(u). \tag{2.5}$$

Obviously,

$$\tilde{V}_p(K, K) = V(K). \tag{2.6}$$

3. L_p -dual affine surface area

LEMMA 3.1. *If $0 < p < n$, and $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then for $\phi \in SL(n)$,*

$$\tilde{V}_p(\phi K, \phi L) = \tilde{V}_p(K, L). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. From (2.3) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_p(\phi K, \phi L) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, \phi^{-1}u)^{n-p} \rho(L, \phi^{-1}u)^p du \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, z)^{n-p} \rho(L, z)^p d(\phi z) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, z)^{n-p} \rho(L, z)^p dz \\ &= \tilde{V}_p(K, L). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If $0 < p < n$, and $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then*

$$\tilde{V}_p(K, L)^n \leq V(K)^{n-p} V(L)^p, \tag{3.2}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

Proof. Using (2.5) and the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_p(K, L) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-p} \rho(L, u)^p dS(u) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^n dS(u) \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L, u)^n dS(u) \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \\ &= V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the condition of equality holds in Hölder inequality, we see with equality in inequality (3.2) if and only if $\rho(K, u) = c\rho(L, u)$, for any $u \in S^{n-1}$, i.e., K and L are dilates. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *If $0 < p < n$, and $L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, then $\tilde{V}_p(\cdot, L) : \mathcal{S}_0^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous.*

Proof. If $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, suppose $K_1 \rightarrow K_2$, by definition, $\rho_{K_1} \rightarrow \rho_{K_2}$, uniformly on S^{n-1} . Since both ρ_{K_1} and ρ_{K_2} are continuous and uniformly bounded away from 0. It follows that $\rho_{K_1}^{n-p} \rightarrow \rho_{K_2}^{n-p}$, uniformly on S^{n-1} , and thus that

$$\rho_{K_1}^{n-p} \rho_L^p \rightarrow \rho_{K_2}^{n-p} \rho_L^p,$$

uniformly on S^{n-1} . Then the desired result is obtained. \square

An immediate consequence of the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}_p$ and Lemma 3.1 is:

LEMMA 3.4. *If $0 < p < n$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(\phi K) = \tilde{\Omega}_p(K), \tag{3.3}$$

for all $\phi \in SL(n)$.

An immediate consequence of the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}_p$ and Lemma 3.3 is:

LEMMA 3.5. *For $0 < p < n$, the functional, $\tilde{\Omega}_p : \mathcal{S}_0^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, is lower semi-continuous.*

THEOREM 3.6. *If $0 < p < n$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \leq n^{n+p} \omega_n^{2p} V(K)^{n-p}, \tag{3.4}$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and the Blaschke-Santaló inequality, we get

$$\tilde{V}_p(K, Q^*)^n V(Q)^p \leq V(K)^{n-p} V(Q^*)^p V(Q)^p \leq \omega_n^{2p} V(K)^{n-p}.$$

According to the definition $\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)$, the desired inequality is obtained. By the equality condition of Blaschke-Santaló inequality and (3.2), the equality of (3.4) holds if and only if K is an ellipsoid. \square

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $0 < p < n$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*) \leq (n\omega_n)^2, \tag{3.5}$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

Proof. Theorem 3.6 for K and K^* , immediately yields:

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \leq n^{n+p}\omega_n^{2p}V(K)^{n-p}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*)^{n+p} \leq n^{n+p}\omega_n^{2p}V(K^*)^{n-p}, \tag{3.7}$$

Combining with (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p}\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*)^{n+p} \leq n^{2(n+p)}\omega_n^{4p}(V(K)V(K^*))^{n-p}.$$

Using the Blaschke-Santaló inequality, we have

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*) \leq (n\omega_n)^2.$$

By the equality condition of Blaschke-Santaló inequality and (3.4), the equality of (3.5) holds if and only if K is an ellipsoid \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From definition (1.5), it follows that for $Q \in \mathcal{H}_c^n$,

$$n^{-p}\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \geq n^n\tilde{V}_p(K, Q^*)^nV(Q)^p.$$

Take K^* for Q , and notice that $K^{**} = K$, we get

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \geq n^{n+p}\tilde{V}_p(K, K)^nV(K^*)^p = n^{n+p}V(K)^nV(K^*)^p.$$

Then the desired inequality is obtained. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.1 for K and K^* , immediately yields:

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \geq n^{n+p}V(K)^nV(K^*)^p, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*)^{n+p} \geq n^{n+p}V(K^*)^nV(K)^p. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining with (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain that

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*) \geq n^2V(K)V(K^*).$$

We complete the proof of the Theorem 1.2. \square

Using the reverse Blaschke-Santaló inequality (see [8], [23]),

$$V(K)V(K^*) \geq \frac{4^n}{n!},$$

with equality if and only if K is a zonoids, we can get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let K be a zonoids in \mathbb{R}^n and $0 < p < n$. Then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)\tilde{\Omega}_p(K^*) \geq \frac{n^24^n}{n!}.$$

4. Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the L_p -dual affine surface area

Let \mathcal{F}_s^n denote the set of all origin-symmetric convex bodies which have a positive continuous function, and $K \check{+}_p L$ denote the Blaschke L_p -combination of convex bodies K and L . In [28], Wang established the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the L_p -affine surface area as follows:

THEOREM C. [28] *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_s^n$ and $n \neq p \geq 1$. Then*

$$\Omega_p(K \check{+}_p L)^{\frac{n+p}{p}} \geq \Omega_p(K)^{\frac{n+p}{p}} + \Omega_p(L)^{\frac{n+p}{p}}, \tag{4.1}$$

with equality for $p = 1$ if and only if K and L are homothetic, for $p > 1$ if and only if K and L are dilates.

Let K and L be star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $p \geq 1$, the L_p -radial linear combination $K \check{+}_p L$ is a star body whose radial function is given by

$$\rho(K \check{+}_p L, u)^p = \rho(K, u)^p + \rho(L, u)^p. \tag{4.2}$$

Under the definition of the L_p -radial linear combination, we can give the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the L_p -dual affine surface area, the Theorem 1.3, which is just the dual of Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From the definition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{\Omega}_p(K \check{+}_{n-p} L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \\ &= \sup\{n\tilde{V}_p(K \check{+}_{n-p} L, Q^*)V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\} \\ &= \sup\{n[\tilde{V}_p(K, Q^*) + \tilde{V}_p(L, Q^*)]V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\} \\ &\leq \sup\{n\tilde{V}_p(K, Q^*)V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\} + \sup\{n\tilde{V}_p(L, Q^*)V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}} : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\} \\ &= n^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} + n^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{\Omega}_p(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

This shows that

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K \check{+}_{n-p} L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \leq \tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} + \tilde{\Omega}_p(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}.$$

The equality of (1.8) holds if and only if $K \check{+}_{n-p} L$ are dilates with K and L , respectively. That is, K and L are dilates. \square

5. Monotonicity results

Winternitz gave the monotonicity result for affine surface area as follows:

LEMMA 5.1. [5] *If E is a centered ellipsoid, and $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$, and $K \subset E$, then*

$$\Omega(K) \leq \Omega(E). \tag{5.1}$$

An important generalization of the above inequality was established by Lutwak as follows:

LEMMA 5.2. [18] *If E is a centered ellipsoid, and $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$, and if either $K \subset E$, for $1 \leq p < n$, or $E \subset K$, for $p > n$, then*

$$\Omega_p(K) \leq \Omega_p(E), \tag{5.2}$$

with equality if and only if $K = E$.

In this section, we obtain the monotonicity result for the L_p -dual affine surface area.

THEOREM 5.3. *If E is a centered ellipsoid, and $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$, and if $K \subset E$, for $0 < p < n$, then*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K) \leq \tilde{\Omega}_p(E), \tag{5.3}$$

with equality if and only if $K = E$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $K \subset E$, for $0 < p < n$, follows

$$\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p} \leq n^{n+p} \omega_n^{2p} V(K)^{n-p} \leq n^{n+p} \omega_n^{2p} V(E)^{n-p} = \tilde{\Omega}_p(E)^{n+p}.$$

This shows that $\tilde{\Omega}_p(K) = \tilde{\Omega}_p(E)$, would imply that $V(K) = V(E)$, and hence $K = E$. \square

In [18], Lutwak defined the L_p affine area ratio of K as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, the L_p affine area ratio of K was defined by

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_p(K)^{n+p}}{n^{n+p} V(K)^{n-p}} \right)^{1/p}. \tag{5.4}$$

Lutwak proved the L_p affine area ratio of K does not exceed the Santaló product of K , he also proved (5.4) is monotone nondecreasing in p :

THEOREM 5.4. [18] *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, and $1 \leq p \leq q$, then*

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_p(K)^{n+p}}{n^{n+p} V(K)^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_q(K)^{n+q}}{n^{n+q} V(K)^{n-q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{5.5}$$

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, we define the L_p -dual affine area ratio of K by

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p}}{n^{n+p} V(K)^{n-p}} \right)^{1/p}.$$

THEOREM 5.4*. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, and $0 < p \leq q < n$, then*

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)^{n+p}}{n^{n+p} V(K)^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_q(K)^{n+q}}{n^{n+q} V(K)^{n-q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, since $0 < p \leq q < n$ and

$$\rho(K, u)^{n-p} \rho(L^*, u)^p = (\rho(K, u)^{n-q} \rho(L^*, u)^q)^{\frac{p}{q}} (\rho(K, u)^n)^{\frac{q-p}{q}},$$

the Hölder inequality, together with (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_p(K, L^*) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-p} \rho(L^*, u)^p dS(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} (\rho(K, u)^{n-q} \rho(L^*, u)^q)^{\frac{p}{q}} (\rho(K, u)^n)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} dS(u) \\ &\leq \tilde{V}_q(K, L^*)^{\frac{p}{q}} V(K)^{\frac{q-p}{q}},\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$[\tilde{V}_p(K, L^*)/V(K)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq [\tilde{V}_q(K, L^*)/V(K)]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (5.7)$$

The definition of $\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)$ can be written as

$$V(K) \left(\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_p(K)}{nV(K)} \right)^{\frac{n+p}{p}} = \sup\{[\tilde{V}_p(K, Q^*)/V(K)]^{\frac{n}{p}} V(Q) : Q \in \mathcal{K}_c^n\}.$$

Associated with (5.7), we can get (5.6). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. GARDNER, *Geometric Tomography*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] G.H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.
- [3] K. LEICHTWEISS, *Zur Affinoberfläche konvexer Körper*, Manuscripta Math., **56** (1986), 429–464.
- [4] K. LEICHTWEISS, *Über einige Eigenschaften der Affinoberfläche beliebiger Konvexer Körper*, Results in Math., **13** (1988), 255–282.
- [5] K. LEICHTWEISS, *Bemerkungen zur Definition einer erweiterten Affinoberfläche von E. Lutwak*, Manuscripta Math., **65** (1989), 181–197.
- [6] K. LEICHTWEISS, *On the history of the affine surface area for convex bodies*, Results in Math., **20** (1991), 650–656.
- [7] K. LEICHTWEISS, *Affine Geometry of Convex Bodies*, J. A. Barth, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [8] Y. GORDON, M. MEYER AND S. REISNER, *Zonoids with minimal volume product: A new proof*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **104** (1988), 273–276.
- [9] G.S. LENG, *Affine Surface Areas of curvature images for convex bodies*, Acta. Math. Sinica, **45**, 4 (2002), 797–802(in Chinese).
- [10] M. LUDWIG, M. REITZNER, *A characterization of affine surface area*, Adv. Math., **147** (1999), 138–172.
- [11] E. LUTWAK, *Dual mixed volumes*, Pacific J. Math., **58** (1975), 531–538.
- [12] E. LUTWAK, *On the Blaschke-Santaló inequality*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., **440** (1985), 106–112.
- [13] E. LUTWAK, *On some affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differential Geom., **56** (1986), 1–13.
- [14] E. LUTWAK, *Mixed affine surface area*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **125** (1987), 351–360.
- [15] E. LUTWAK, *Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **60** (1990), 365–391.
- [16] E. LUTWAK, *Extended affine surface area*, Adv. Math. **85** (1991), 39–68.
- [17] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **38** (1993), 131–150.
- [18] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math., **118** (1996), 244–294.
- [19] J. YUAN, S.J. LV AND G.S. LENG, *The p-Affine Surface Area*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **10**, 3 (2007), 693–702.
- [20] M. MEYER, E. WERNER, *On the p-Affine Surface Area*, Adv. Math., **152**, 2 (2000), 288–313.

- [21] C.M. PETTY, *Geominimal surface area*, Geom. Dedicata, **3** (1974), 77–97.
- [22] C.M. PETTY, *Affine isoperimetric problems*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., **440** (1985), 113–127.
- [23] S. REISNER, *Zonoids with minimal volume product*, Math. Z., **192** (1986), 339–346.
- [24] R. SCHNEIDER, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [25] C. SCHÜTT, *On the affine surface area*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (1993), 1213–1218.
- [26] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *Surface bodies and p -affine surface area*, Adv. Math., **187** (2004), 98–145.
- [27] E. WERNER, *Illumination bodies and affine surface area*, Studia Math., **110** (1994), 257–269.
- [28] W.D. WANG, G.S. LENG, *L_p -mixed affine surface area*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **335** (2007), 341–354.

(Received March 7, 2008)

Wang Wei
Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai, 200444
P. R. China
e-mail: wangtoul010@163.com

Yuan Jun
School of Mathematics and Information Technology
Nanjing Xiaozhuang University
Nanjing, 211171
P.R.China
e-mail: yuanjun_math@126.com

He Binwu
Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai, 200444
P. R. China
e-mail: hebinwu@shu.edu.cn