

STABILITY OF THE BARON—VOLKMANN FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

BARBARA PRZEBIERACZ

(Communicated by M. Goldberg)

Abstract. In this paper we prove the stability of the equations $\sup_{\lambda \in T} f(x + \lambda y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $\inf_{\lambda \in T} f(x + \lambda y) = |f(x) - f(y)|$. Here, f is a real-valued function on V , where V is a complex vector space and $T = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Each of these equations characterizes the absolute value of complex linear functionals.

1. Introduction

Denote by \mathbb{R} the space of real numbers, by \mathbb{C} the space of complex numbers, and by T the unit circle in \mathbb{C} , that is,

$$T = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}.$$

Throughout this paper let V be a complex vector space. Consider the functional equations

$$\sup_{\lambda \in T} f(x + \lambda y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in V \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\inf_{\lambda \in T} f(x + \lambda y) = |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad x, y \in V. \quad (1.2)$$

These equations were introduced by K. Baron and P. Volkmann in [1], where the following result was proven:

THEOREM 1.1. ([1], Theorem 1) *For $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following are equivalent:*

- (a) f satisfies (1.1).
- (b) f satisfies (1.2).
- (c) f is of the form $f(x) = |\phi(x)|$, $x \in V$, where $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional.

In this paper we investigate the stability of equations (1.1) and (1.2); that is, for each of these equations we show that if g is an approximate solution then there exists an exact solution which is close to g .

Our main results read as follows:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 39B82, 39B22.

Keywords and phrases: Absolute value of linear functional; functional equations; stability.

THEOREM 1.2. For $\delta \geq 0$, let $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an approximate solution of (1.1), i.e.,

$$\left| \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(x + \lambda y) - g(x) - g(y) \right| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in V. \quad (1.3)$$

Then, there exists a solution of (1.1) of the form $f = |\phi|$, where $\phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq 17\delta, \quad x \in V. \quad (1.4)$$

THEOREM 1.3. For $\delta \geq 0$, let $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an approximate solution of (1.2), i.e.,

$$\left| \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(x + \lambda y) - |g(x) - g(y)| \right| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in V. \quad (1.5)$$

Then, there exists a solution of (1.2) of the form $f = |\phi|$, where $\phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq 49\delta, \quad x \in V.$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Given real numbers a, b and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we shall often use the notation $a \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} b$ to mean $|a - b| \leq \varepsilon$. Notice that the following implications hold:

$$a \stackrel{\varepsilon_1}{\sim} b \stackrel{\varepsilon_2}{\sim} c \Rightarrow a \stackrel{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{\sim} c,$$

$$a \leq b \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} c \Rightarrow a \leq c + \varepsilon,$$

$$a \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} b \leq c \Rightarrow a \leq c + \varepsilon.$$

Further, if I is a set of indices, then we have

$$a_i \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} b_i, i \in I \Rightarrow \sup_{i \in I} a_i \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} \sup_{i \in I} b_i \text{ and } \inf_{i \in I} a_i \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} \inf_{i \in I} b_i,$$

provided the involved suprema and infima are finite.

We proceed with the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3). Then:

(i) $|g(0)| \leq \delta,$

(ii) $g(x) \geq -\delta, \quad x \in V,$

(iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y) + \delta, \quad x, y \in V.$

Proof. Part (i) is obtained by putting $x = y = 0$ in (1.3). Part (ii) is a consequence of (1.3) and part (i), since

$$2g(x) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(x + \lambda x) \geq g(0) \geq -\delta.$$

Part (iii) follows from

$$g(x + y) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(x + \lambda y) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} g(x) + g(y). \quad \square$$

We shall next deal with the stability of equation (1.1) in the one-dimensional case $V = \mathbb{C}$. In this case every linear functional $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is, of course, of the form $\phi(z) = cz$ where c is a complex constant.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3). Then there exists a solution of equation (1.1) of the form $f(z) = c|z|$ where c is a nonnegative constant, such that*

$$|g(z) - f(z)| \leq 7\delta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. For convenience denote $S_r := \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda r)$ for $r \in [0, \infty)$. By (1.3) with $x = 0$ and by Lemma 2.1(i), we get

$$S_{|y|} = \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda y) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.1}$$

More precisely, since

$$g(y) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda y) = \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda |y|) = S_{|y|},$$

we have

$$S_{|y|} - 2\delta \leq g(y) \leq S_{|y|}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$S_{|y|} - 2\delta \leq g(|y|) \leq S_{|y|}.$$

Therefore,

$$g(y) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(|y|), \quad y \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.3}$$

We will show that the restriction $g|_{[0, \infty)}$ is 5δ -approximately additive, that is,

$$g(x + y) \stackrel{5\delta}{\sim} g(x) + g(y), \quad x, y \in [0, \infty). \tag{2.4}$$

By Lemma 2.1(iii), we have $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y) + \delta$. Hence, to prove (2.4) it suffices to show that $g(x) + g(y) \leq g(x + y) + 5\delta$. Let $0 \leq r \leq t$. From (1.3), (2.2) and (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(r) &\stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(r + \lambda r) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in T} S_{r|1+\lambda|} = \sup_{|z| \leq 2r} g(z) \\ &\leq \sup_{|z| \leq 2r} g(z) = \sup_{\lambda \in T} S_{r|1+\lambda|} \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(t + \lambda t) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 2g(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$g(r) \leq g(t) + 2\delta. \tag{2.5}$$

Fix $0 \leq y \leq x$. By (1.3), (2.3) and (2.5) we get

$$g(x) + g(y) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(x + \lambda y) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(|x + \lambda y|) \leq g(x + y) + 2\delta,$$

so (2.4) follows.

Since (2.4) holds, it is well known ([2], Ch. XVII, §1, Theorem 1) that there exists a unique additive function $h: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|g(x) - h(x)| \leq 5\delta, \quad x \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, combining (2.6) and Lemma 2.1(ii), we obtain $h(x) \geq -6\delta$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Consequently, h is continuous (e.g. [2], Ch. XII, §1, Theorem 3), so h must be of the form $h(x) = cx$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, where c is a real constant. Of course, $c \geq 0$, as $cx \geq -6\delta$, $x \in [0, \infty)$. Finally, for an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we use (2.3) and (2.6), to obtain

$$g(z) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(|z|) \stackrel{5\delta}{\sim} c|z|. \quad \square$$

We now pass to the general case:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In view of Proposition 2.1 we may assume that the complex vector space V is at least two-dimensional. Define

$$W = \{x \in V : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} g(\alpha x) < \infty\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Considering Lemma 2.1(iii), we see that W is a subspace of V .

For $x \in V$, let $g_x: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$g_x(\alpha) := g(\alpha x). \quad (2.8)$$

It is not hard to see that for every $x \in V$,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in T} g_x(\alpha + \lambda \beta) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} g_x(\alpha) + g_x(\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence, in view of Proposition 2.1, we get

$$g(\alpha x) = g_x(\alpha) \stackrel{7\delta}{\sim} c_x |\alpha|, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.9)$$

where c_x is a nonnegative constant. If $x \in W$ we thus infer that $c_x = 0$. So consequently, $g(\alpha x) \leq 7\delta$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in W$, and in particular,

$$g(x) \leq 7\delta, \quad x \in W. \quad (2.10)$$

If $W = V$, the above inequality ensures that $f = 0$ satisfies (1.4). So we may assume that W is a proper subspace of V , in which case we will show that $\text{codim } W = 1$. As in [1], this will be done by proving that every two-dimensional subspace of V has a nonzero element which belongs to W . To this end fix arbitrary linearly independent

elements $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in V$. Consider the function $p: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $p(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha\hat{x} + \beta\hat{y})$. Further, for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ define the function $q_{(\alpha, \beta)}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $q_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\xi) = p(\xi\alpha, \xi\beta)$. It is easily verified that p , as well as the functions $q_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, satisfy the functional inequality in (1.3). From Proposition 2.1 we conclude that for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ there is a nonnegative value $k(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$q_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\xi) \stackrel{7\delta}{\sim} k(\alpha, \beta)|\xi|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, by (2.11), and since p satisfies (1.3), we find that for every $z, w, u, v, \xi \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\lambda \in T} k((z, w) + \lambda(u, v))|\xi| &\stackrel{7\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} q_{(z, w) + \lambda(u, v)}(\xi) \\ &= \sup_{\lambda \in T} p(\xi(z, w) + \lambda\xi(u, v)) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} p(\xi(z, w)) + p(\xi(u, v)) \\ &= q_{(z, w)}(\xi) + q_{(u, v)}(\xi) \stackrel{7\delta+7\delta}{\sim} k(z, w)|\xi| + k(u, v)|\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

Thereby,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in T} k((z, w) + \lambda(u, v))|\xi| \stackrel{22\delta}{\sim} (k(z, w) + k(u, v))|\xi|. \tag{2.12}$$

Next, dividing (2.12) by $|\xi|$ and letting $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\sup_{\lambda \in T} k((z, w) + \lambda(u, v)) = k(z, w) + k(u, v),$$

i.e., the function $k: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (1.1). Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, k is the absolute value of a linear functional from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C} ; hence of the form $k(\alpha, \beta) = |c\alpha + d\beta|$, where c and d are complex constants. We conclude that there exist $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$, not both zero, for which $k(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = 0$. By (2.11), it follows that

$$g(\xi(\hat{\alpha}\hat{x} + \hat{\beta}\hat{y})) = p(\xi\hat{\alpha}, \xi\hat{\beta}) = q_{(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})}(\xi) \stackrel{7\delta}{\sim} k(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})|\xi| = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This implies that $\hat{\alpha}\hat{x} + \hat{\beta}\hat{y} \in W$, showing that $\text{codim } W = 1$.

We now claim that

$$g(x+y) \stackrel{10\delta}{\sim} g(x), \quad x \in V, y \in W. \tag{2.13}$$

Indeed, by (1.3),

$$g(x) + g(y) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(x + \lambda y) \stackrel{7\delta}{\sim} g(x) + g(-y),$$

which implies

$$g(y) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(-y). \tag{2.14}$$

By Lemma 2.1(iii) and by (2.10) and (2.14), for every $x \in V$ and $y \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) &\leq g(x) + g(y) + \delta \leq g(x) + 7\delta + \delta \\ &= g(x+y-y) + 8\delta \leq g(x+y) + g(-y) + \delta + 8\delta \\ &\stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(x+y) + g(y) + 9\delta \leq g(x+y) + 7\delta + 9\delta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

which proves (2.13).

Finally, since $\text{codim } W = 1$, we have $V = \mathbb{C}x_0 \oplus W$, where x_0 is some fixed vector in V and $\mathbb{C}x_0$ is the one-dimensional subspace of all complex multiples of x_0 . It follows that every $x \in V$ can be written as $x = \alpha_x x_0 + y_x$, where $\alpha_x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y_x \in W$ are uniquely determined. By (2.13) and (2.9) we obtain

$$g(x) = g(\alpha_x x_0 + y_x) \stackrel{10\delta}{\sim} g(\alpha_x x_0) = g_{x_0}(\alpha_x) \stackrel{7\delta}{\sim} c_{x_0} |\alpha_x|,$$

so

$$|g(x) - c_{x_0} |\alpha_x|| \leq 17\delta.$$

Hence, introducing the linear functional $\phi(x) := c_{x_0} \alpha_x$ we see that $f(x) = |\phi(x)|$ satisfies the inequality in (1.4), and the proof is complete. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.5). Then*

- (i) $|g(0)| \leq \delta$,
- (ii) $g(x) \geq -\delta$, $x \in V$,
- (iii) $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y) + \delta$, $x, y \in V$.

Proof. We obtain part (i) by putting $x = y = 0$ in (1.5). Part (ii) is an immediate consequence of (1.5). Part (iii) follows from

$$g(x+y) - g(y) \leq |g(x+y) - g(y)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(x+y+\lambda y) \leq g(x+y-y). \quad \square$$

As in previous section, we first deal with the one-dimensional case of Theorem 1.3, that is, $V = \mathbb{C}$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.5). Then there exists a solution of equation (1.2) of the form $f(z) = c|z|$ where c is a nonnegative constant, such that*

$$|f(z) - g(z)| \leq 23\delta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. We claim that

$$\inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda y) \leq g(y) \leq \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda y) + 2\delta, \quad y \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.2)$$

Indeed, the first inequality is obvious. To obtain the second, we consider two cases. If $g(y) \geq g(0)$, then by (1.5) with $x = 0$ and Lemma 3.1(i),

$$\inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda y) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |g(0) - g(y)| = g(y) - g(0) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} g(y).$$

Otherwise, by parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$-\delta \leq \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda y) \leq g(y) < g(0) \leq \delta.$$

So, (3.2) is proved, from which we obtain

$$g(y) \overset{2\delta}{\approx} g(|y|), \quad y \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $x \geq 0$. Using (3.3) and Lemma 3.1(iii) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(|1 + \lambda|x) &\overset{2\delta}{\approx} g(x + \lambda x) \leq g(x) + g(\lambda x) + \delta \\ &\overset{2\delta}{\approx} g(x) + g(x) + \delta = 2g(x) + \delta \end{aligned}$$

for every $\lambda \in T$. Therefore,

$$\sup g([0, 2x]) = \sup_{\lambda \in T} g(|1 + \lambda|x) \leq 2g(x) + 5\delta, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking again advantage of (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf g([t - x, t + x]) &= \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(|t + \lambda x|) \\ &\overset{2\delta}{\approx} \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(t + \lambda x) \overset{\delta}{\approx} |g(t) - g(x)|, \quad 0 \leq x \leq t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Thereby, for $0 \leq x \leq y \leq t$, we obtain

$$|g(t) - g(y)| \overset{3\delta}{\approx} \inf g([t - y, t + y]) \leq \inf g([t - x, t + x]) \overset{3\delta}{\approx} |g(t) - g(x)|.$$

Hence,

$$|g(t) - g(y)| \leq |g(t) - g(x)| + 6\delta, \quad 0 \leq x \leq y \leq t. \tag{3.6}$$

Notice that if $g(z) \leq 15\delta$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then with $f = 0$ we get (3.1). So, from now on we can assume that there is $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $g(z) > 15\delta$. By (3.3), therefore,

$$g(|z|) \overset{2\delta}{\approx} g(z) > 15\delta. \tag{3.7}$$

We will next show that

$$\lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that there is a real sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to infinity, such that $\{g(a_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some real limit. Since $\{g(a_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|g(a_n) - g(a_N)| < \delta$ for every $n \geq N$. By this and by (3.5) we infer that $\inf g([a_n - a_N, a_n + a_N]) < 4\delta$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence, there exists a real sequence $\{b_n\}_n$ tending to infinity, such that $g(b_n) < 4\delta$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Substituting b_n for x in (3.4) we obtain $\sup g([0, \infty)) \leq 13\delta$. But this contradicts (3.7); so we proved (3.8). Thereby, for any $0 \leq x \leq y$, we can choose t , $t \geq y$, with $g(t) \geq \max\{g(x), g(y)\}$. For this t , (3.6) implies

$$g(x) \leq g(y) + 6\delta, \quad 0 \leq x \leq y. \tag{3.9}$$

Fix $0 \leq x \leq y$ and notice that if $g(y) < g(x)$ then, by (3.9), $|g(y) - g(x)| = g(x) - g(y) \leq 6\delta$. Therefore, $|g(y) - g(x)| + g(x) - g(y) \leq 12\delta$. Hence,

$$|g(y) - g(x)| \leq g(y) - g(x) + 12\delta.$$

Of course, if $g(y) \geq g(x)$, then the above inequality is also true. Moreover, by (3.9), $g(y-x) \leq \inf g([y-x, y+x]) + 6\delta$. By this inequality, and by (3.3) and (1.5), we get

$$g(y-x) - 6\delta \leq \inf g([y-x, y+x]) = \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(|y + \lambda x|)$$

$$\stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(y + \lambda x) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |g(y) - g(x)| \leq g(y) - g(x) + 12\delta, \quad 0 \leq x \leq y.$$

Therefore,

$$g(x) + g(y) \leq g(x+y) + 21\delta, \quad x, y \geq 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Lemma 3.1(iii) and (3.10) imply that the restriction $g|_{[0, \infty)}$ is 21δ -approximately additive. Now, as in Proposition 2.1 we conclude that there exists an additive continuous function of the form $h(x) = cx$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, where c is a nonnegative constant, satisfying $g(x) \stackrel{21\delta}{\sim} h(x)$. Finally,

$$g(z) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(|z|) \stackrel{21\delta}{\sim} c|z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence, (3.1) holds with $f(z) := c|z|$. \square

We are now ready to prove the general case of Theorem 1.3.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.3] As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we may assume that $\dim V \geq 2$. Let W be the subspace of V defined in (2.7). As before, it can be shown that $\text{codim} W = 1$, provided $W \subsetneq V$.

Now, for every $x \in V$ we recall the function g_x in (2.8). Since g_x is a solution of the functional inequality (1.5), we use Proposition 3.1 to obtain

$$g(\alpha x) = g_x(\alpha) \stackrel{23\delta}{\sim} c_x |\alpha|, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.11)$$

where c_x is a nonnegative constant. We see that $c_x = 0$ for $x \in W$; whence

$$g(x) \leq 23\delta, \quad x \in W. \quad (3.12)$$

Moreover, we claim that

$$g(x) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} g(-x), \quad x \in V. \quad (3.13)$$

Indeed, notice that

$$|g(0) - g(x)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda x) = \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda(-x)) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |g(0) - g(-x)|.$$

Thereby,

$$|g(0) - g(x)| \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} |g(0) - g(-x)|.$$

If the signs of the differences $g(0) - g(x)$ and $g(0) - g(-x)$ are identical, then (3.13) is a consequence of the last formula. Otherwise, we can assume without loss of generality that $g(-x) < g(0) < g(x)$. By Lemma 3.1(i) and (1.5), we get

$$g(-x) < g(x) = (g(x) - g(0)) + g(0)$$

$$\stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda x) + g(0) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \inf_{\lambda \in T} g(\lambda x) \leq g(-x).$$

This ends the proof of (3.13).

Using Lemma 3.1(iii), (3.12) and (3.13), we repeat the calculations in (2.15) to find that

$$g(x+y) \stackrel{26\delta}{\sim} g(x), \quad x \in V, y \in W. \quad (3.14)$$

Again, we have two possibilities: either $W = V$, so in view of (3.12), $f = 0$ satisfies (1.3); or $V = \mathbb{C}x_0 \oplus W$ for an $x_0 \in V$. In this latter case, for every $x \in V$ there are unique $\alpha_x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y_x \in W$ such that $x = \alpha_x x_0 + y_x$. The function $\phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\phi(x) := c_{x_0} \alpha_x$ is a linear functional. By (3.14) and (3.11), we have

$$g(x) = g(\alpha_x x_0 + y_x) \stackrel{26\delta}{\sim} g(\alpha_x x_0) = g_{x_0}(\alpha_x) \stackrel{23\delta}{\sim} c_{x_0} |\alpha_x| = |\phi(x)| =: f(x),$$

and the proof is at hand. \square

Acknowledgements. This paper was supported by the Department of Mathematics, the Silesian University, Katowice, Poland (Discrete Dynamical Systems and Iteration Theory).

The author wishes to thank Professor Moshe Goldberg and Professor Peter Volkmann for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. BARON, P. VOLKMANN, *Characterization of the absolute value of complex linear functionals by functional equations*, <http://www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/~sem1v>, Seminar LV, No. 28, 10pp (2006).
- [2] M. KUCZMA, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities, Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*, Silesian University Press, Katowice, 1985.

(Received July 9, 2009)

Barbara Przebieracz
Institute of Mathematics
Silesian University
40-007 Katowice, Poland
e-mail: przebieracz@ux2.math.us.edu.pl