

## SOME SUBORDINATION PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED JUNG–KIM–SRIVASTAVA INTEGRAL OPERATOR

PRAVATI SAHOO AND SAUMYA SINGH

(Communicated by R. Mohapatra)

*Abstract.* The object of this paper is to discuss some interesting properties of the integral operator

$$\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z) = \frac{(p+1)^\alpha}{z\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad (\alpha > 0),$$

for the class of all analytic functions  $f(z)$  of the form  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty a_n z^n$ , for  $z \in \Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . For  $p = 1$ , this integral operator was introduced and studied by Jung, Kim and Srivastava in [2].

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  denote the class of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty a_n z^n, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . For  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ , let  $\mathcal{P}^\alpha f : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z) &= \frac{(p+1)^\alpha}{z\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\alpha a_n z^n, \quad (\alpha > 0, f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

It is implied from (2) that

$$z(\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z))' = (p+1)\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f(z) - \mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z). \quad (3)$$

When  $p = 1$ , the identity (3) is given by Jung, Kim and Srivastava [2].

Motivated by the recent work by the authors in [5] we are interested to study the generalized operator  $\mathcal{P}^\alpha f$  and establish some more interesting properties by using differential subordination which are presumedly new.

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 30C45.

*Keywords and phrases:* Analytic functions, differential subordination, convex functions, Hadamard product, Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator.

## 2. Definitions and Lemmas

DEFINITION 4. [1] Let  $f(z)$  defined by (1) and  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the Hadamard product of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  denoted by  $(f * g)(z)$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (z \in \Delta, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

DEFINITION 5. [1] Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\Delta$ . We say that  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$ , written by  $f \prec g$  or  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if there exists a function  $w(z)$  analytic in  $\Delta$  which satisfies  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$  and  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . If  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\Delta$ , then  $f \prec g$  if and only if  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$ .

To prove our result we require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 6. [6] Let  $h(z)$  be analytic and convex univalent in  $\Delta$ ,  $h(0) = 1$ , and let  $g(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$  be analytic in  $\Delta$ . If

$$g(z) + \frac{z g'(z)}{c} \prec h(z),$$

then for  $c \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} c \geq 0$ ,

$$g(z) \prec \frac{c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Let  $P(\gamma)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ) denote the class of functions  $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$  which are analytic in  $\Delta$  and satisfy the condition  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > \gamma$  for  $z \in \Delta$ .

LEMMA 7. [10] Let  $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots \in P(\gamma)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ). Then

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 2\gamma - 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+|z|}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

LEMMA 8. [9] The function  $(1-z)^\gamma \equiv e^{\gamma \log(1-z)}$ ,  $\gamma \neq 0$ , is univalent in  $\Delta$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is in the closed disk  $|\gamma - 1| \leq 1$  or in the closed disk  $|\gamma + 1| \leq 1$ .

LEMMA 9. [7] Let  $q(z)$  be univalent in  $\Delta$  and let  $\theta(w)$  and  $\phi(w)$  be analytic in a domain  $D$  containing  $q(\Delta)$  with  $\phi(w) \neq 0$  when  $w \in q(\Delta)$ . Set  $Q(z) = z q'(z)(\phi(q(z)))$ ,  $h(z) = \theta(q(z) + Q(z))$  and suppose that

1.  $Q(z)$  is starlike(univalent) in  $\Delta$ ;

$$2. \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z h'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} + \frac{z Q'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ , with  $p(0) = q(0)$ ,  $p(\Delta) \subset D$ , and

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = h(z),$$

then  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

For real or complex numbers  $a, b, c (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$ , the Gaussian Hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$  is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots,$$

which is absolutely convergent in  $\Delta$  and hence represents an analytic function in the unit disk  $\Delta$  (see [[12], chapter 14]).

The following identities are well-known.

LEMMA 10. [12] For real or complex numbers  $a, b, c (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$ , we have

1.  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-tz)^{-a} dt, \operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0$
2.  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = {}_2F_1(b, a; c; z)$
3.  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-b; c; \frac{z}{1-z})$
4.  $(a+1) {}_2F_1(1, a, a+1; z) = (a+1) + az {}_2F_1(1, a+1, a+2; z).$

### 3. Main Results

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\alpha > 1, \lambda < 1$ , and let  $-1 \leq B_i < A_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If functions  $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) (i = 1, 2)$  satisfy the condition

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f_1(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f_2(z)}{z^p} \prec h(A_i, B_i; z), \tag{11}$$

then

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} F(z)}{z^p} \prec h(1-2\gamma, -1; z),$$

where

$$F(z) = (f_1 * f_2)(z) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1 \left( 1, 1, \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} + 1, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \tag{13}$$

The result is sharp when  $B_1 = B_2 = -1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), satisfy the condition (11). Let

$$p_i(z) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f_i(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f_i(z)}{z^p}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \tag{14}$$

Then we have  $p_i(z) \in P(\alpha_i)$ , where

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1 - A_i}{1 - B_i}, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

From (3) and (14), it follows that

$$\mathcal{P}^\alpha f_i(z) = \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} z^{p-\left(\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} p_i(t) dt. \tag{15}$$

Now we let

$$F(z) = (f_1 * f_2)(z).$$

After a simple computation, we get

$$\mathcal{P}^\alpha F(z) = \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} z^{p-\left(\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} p(t) dt, \tag{16}$$

where

$$p(z) = (p_1 * p_2)(z) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} F(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha F(z)}{z^p} \tag{17}$$

and

$$p_1(z) \in P(\alpha_1) \text{ and } p_3(z) = \frac{p_2(z) - \alpha_2}{2(1 - \alpha_2)} + \frac{1}{2} \in P\left(\frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{18}$$

By Herglotz formula

$$(p_1 * p_2)(z) \in P(\alpha_3),$$

where

$$\alpha_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2). \tag{19}$$

By (16), (17), (18), (19), Lemma 7 and Lemma 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha F(z)}{z^p} \right) &= \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \operatorname{Re} (p_1 * p_2)(uz) du \\ &\geq \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \left( 2\alpha_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha_3)}{1+u|z|} \right) du \\ &> \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \left( 2\alpha_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha_3)}{1+u} \right) du \\ &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left( 1 - \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}}}{1+u} du \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^2} F_1 \left( 1, 1, \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} + 1, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \tag{20} \end{aligned}$$

When  $B_1 = B_2 = -1$ , we consider the function  $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) (i = 1, 2)$ , which satisfy the condition (11) and is given by

$$\mathcal{P}^\alpha f_i(z) = \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} z^{p-\left(\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \left( \frac{1+A_1 t}{1-t} \right) dt.$$

Then from (16)

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha F(z)}{z^p} = \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \left( 1 - (1+A_1 t)(1+A_2 t) + \frac{(1+A_1 t)(1+A_2 t)}{1-uz} \right) du.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha F(z)}{z^p} &\rightarrow 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) \left( 1 - \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p+\lambda}{1-\lambda}}}{1+u} du \right) \\ &= 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1 \left( 1, 1, \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} + 1, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Hence the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\lambda < 1$ , and let  $-1 \leq B_i < A_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If functions  $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfy*

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f_i(z)}{z} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f_i(z)}{z} \prec h(A_i, B_i; z), \tag{22}$$

then

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha F(z)}{z} \prec h(1-2\gamma, -1; z),$$

where

$$F(z) = (f_1 * f_2)(z) \tag{23}$$

and

$$\gamma = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1 \left( 1, 1, \frac{2}{1-\lambda} + 1, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \tag{24}$$

The result is sharp when  $B_1 = B_2 = -1$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\lambda < 1$  and  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies*

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} \prec h(A, B; z), \tag{25}$$

then for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z \in \Delta$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} > \delta^{1/m}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1, 1 + \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}, \frac{B}{B-1}) & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{A}{1 + (\frac{1-\lambda}{p+1})} & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

The result is sharp.

*Proof.* Let

$$g(z) = \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p}, \tag{28}$$

for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ . The function  $g(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $\Delta$ . By (2), (25) and (28), we obtain

$$g(z) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{p + 1} z g'(z) \prec h(A, B; z). \tag{29}$$

It follows from Lemma 6 and (29), that

$$g(z) \prec \frac{p + 1}{1 - \lambda} z^{-\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}-1} \left( \frac{1 + At}{1 + Bt} \right) dt$$

or

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{p + 1}{1 - \lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}-1} \left( \frac{1 + Auw(z)}{1 + Buw(z)} \right) du, \tag{30}$$

where  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \Delta$ . As  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , it follows from (30), that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} > \frac{p + 1}{1 - \lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}-1} \left( \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} \right) dt \tag{31}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1, 1 + \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}, \frac{B}{B-1}) & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{A}{1 + (\frac{1-\lambda}{p+1})} & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Because  $\operatorname{Re} \left( w^{\frac{1}{m}} \right) \geq (\operatorname{Re} w)^{\frac{1}{m}}$  for  $\operatorname{Re} w > 0$  and  $m \geq 1$ , hence (26) follows from (31).

To show the sharpness of (26), we take  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  defined by

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{p + 1}{1 - \lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}-1} \left( \frac{1 + Au z}{1 + Bu z} \right) dz. \tag{32}$$

For the above function, we find that

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

and

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} \rightarrow \frac{p+1}{1-\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p+1}{1-\lambda}-1} \left( \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} \right) du \tag{33}$$

as  $z \rightarrow -1$ . Hence the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\lambda < 1$  and  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$  satisfies*

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec h(A, B; z), \tag{34}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} > (\delta^*)^{1/m}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\delta^* = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1-B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1, 1 + \frac{2}{1-\lambda}, \frac{B}{B-1}) & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{A}{1+(\frac{1-\lambda}{2})} & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

The result is sharp.

For a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ , the generalized Bernardi Libera-Livingstone [4] integral operator

$$J_c : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p),$$

defined by

$$J_c f(z) = \frac{c+p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \quad (c > -p, p \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{37}$$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $c > -p$ , and  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  and  $J_c f(z)$  is given by (37). If*

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha J_c f(z)}{z^p} \prec h(A, B; z).$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} > (\beta^*)^{1/m},$$

where

$$\beta^* = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1-B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1, 1 + \frac{c+p}{1-\lambda}, \frac{B}{B-1}) & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{A}{1+(\frac{1-\lambda}{c+p})} & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

The result is sharp.

*Proof.* From (37), we have

$$z(\mathcal{P}^\alpha J_c f(z))' = (c + p)\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z) - c\mathcal{P}^\alpha J_c f(z). \tag{39}$$

Let

$$g(z) = \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha J_c f(z)}{z^p}. \tag{40}$$

Then from (37), (39), (40) it follows that

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha J_c f(z)}{z^p} = g(z) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{c + p} z g'(z) \prec h(A, B; z), \quad z \in \Delta. \quad \square$$

Following the steps of the proof of Theorem 3.2 we get the result.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $c > -1$ , and  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$  and  $J_c f(z)$  is given by (37). If*

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z} + \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha J_c f(z)}{z} \prec h(A, B; z).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} > (\gamma^*)^{1/m}, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\gamma^* = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1, 1 + \frac{c+1}{1-\lambda}, \frac{B}{B-1}) & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{A}{1 + (\frac{1-\lambda}{c+1})} & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

The result is sharp.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a complex number with  $\gamma \neq 0$  and satisfy either  $|2\gamma(1 - \rho)(p + 1) - 1| \leq 1$  or  $|2\gamma(1 - \rho)(p + 1) + 1| \leq 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  satisfies the condition*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)} \right\} > \rho, \tag{43}$$

then

$$\left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\gamma \prec \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{2\gamma(1-\rho)(p+1)}} = q(z), \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{44}$$

The result is sharp.

*Proof.* Let

$$g(z) = \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\gamma, \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{45}$$



Then from (3), (43) and (45), we get

$$1 + \frac{zg'(z)}{\gamma(p+1)g(z)} \prec \frac{1+(1-2\rho)z}{1-z}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{46}$$

If we take

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2\gamma(1-\rho)(p+1)}}, \quad \theta(w) = 1 \text{ and } \phi(w) = \frac{1}{(p+1)\gamma w}.$$

Then  $q(z)$  is univalent by the assertion and lemma 8. Here  $q(z)$ ,  $\theta(w)$  and  $\phi(w)$  satisfy the conditions of lemma 9. Since

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \frac{2(1-\rho)z}{1-z}$$

is univalent and starlike in  $\Delta$  and

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z),$$

hence the condition (1) and (2) of lemma 9 are satisfied. Hence the result follows from (46), lemma 8 and lemma 9.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a complex number with  $\gamma \neq 0$  and satisfy either  $|4\gamma(1-\rho) - 1| \leq 1$  or  $|4\gamma(1-\rho) + 1| \leq 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(1)$  satisfies the condition*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)} \right\} > \rho, \tag{47}$$

then

$$\left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z} \right)^\gamma \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{4\gamma(1-\rho)}} = q(z), \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{48}$$

The result is sharp.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a real number with  $\gamma \geq 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the condition (43), then*

$$\left( \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha f(z)}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{4\gamma(1-\rho)}} > 2^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{49}$$

The result is sharp.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors are grateful to Professor Jagannath Patel for his valuable suggestions in preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.L. DUREN, *Univalent functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.
- [2] I.B. JUNG, Y.C. KIM AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math Anal Appl., **176** (1993), 138–147.
- [3] Y. KOMATU, *On a one-parameter additive family of operators defined on analytic functions regular in the unit disk*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Enrgy. Chuo Uni., **22** (1979), 1–22; see also *Analytic Functions* (J. Lawrynowicz, Editor), pp. 292–300, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1980.
- [4] R. J. LIBERA, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1965), 755–758.
- [5] J.L. LIU, *Notes on Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator*, J. Math Anal Appl., **294**, 1 (2004), 96–103.
- [6] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, *Differential subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J., **28** (1981), 157–171.
- [7] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, *On some classes of first order differential subordination*, Michigan Math. J., **32** (1985), 185–195.
- [8] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **65** (1978), 535–545.
- [9] M.S. ROBERTSON, *Certain classes of starlike functions*, Michigan Math. J., **32** (1985), 135–140.
- [10] H.M. SRIVASTAVA AND S. OWA(EDS.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [11] H. M. SRIVASTAVA AND S. OWA, *A certain one parameter additive family of operators defined on analytic functions*, J. Math Anal. Appl., **118** (1986), 80–87.
- [12] E.T. WHITTAKER AND G.N. WATSON, *A course on Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and Analytic Functions; with an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions*, 4th edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927.

(Received January 14, 2010)

Pravati Sahoo  
Department of Mathematics,  
Banaras Hindu University  
Varanasi 221 005  
India  
e-mail: pravatis@yahoo.co.in

Saumya Singh  
Department of Mathematics,  
Banaras Hindu University  
Varanasi 221 005  
India  
e-mail: bhu.saumya@gmail.com