

A NOTE ON THE TRIANGLE INEQUALITY FOR THE C^* -VALUED NORM ON A HILBERT C^* -MODULE

RUNLIANG JIANG

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. The C^* -valued norm is defined on a Hilbert C^* -module by its standard inner product. In this short note, we will prove that $|x+y| \leq |x|+|y|$ holds for all $x, y \in E$ which is a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module if and only if $\mathcal{J} = \overline{\langle E, E \rangle}$, the closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} , is a commutative C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and let a, b be in \mathcal{A} . Set $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$, $|b| = (b^*b)^{1/2}$ and $|a+b| = ((a+b)^*(a+b))^{1/2}$. Does the following triangle inequality

$$|a+b| \leq |a| + |b| \tag{1.1}$$

hold? R. Harte (cf. [4]) shows that for certain a and b , (1.1) does not hold. But if \mathcal{A} has a unit e , then for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are unitaries $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|a+b| \leq u|a|u^* + v|b|v^* + \varepsilon e$ (cf. [1]).

Recall that a (right) \mathcal{A} -module E is called the Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} if there is an \mathcal{A} -valued mapping (a \mathcal{A} -valued “inner product”) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $E \times E$ such that

- (1) $\langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle + \beta \langle x, z \rangle$, $\forall x, y, z \in E$ and $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (2) $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$, $\forall x, y \in E$ and $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (3) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall x \in E$; if $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, then $x = 0$,

and E is completed with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$, $\forall x \in E$. For $x \in E$, put $|x| = (\langle x, x \rangle)^{1/2}$ (cf. [6]). Lj. Arambašić and R. Rajić (cf. [2]) proved that if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra with a unit e and E is a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} , then for every $x, y \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\|a\| \leq 1$ and $\|b\| \leq 1$ such that $|x+y| \leq a|x|a^* + b|y|b^* + \varepsilon e$. Now we consider the following problem: when does

$$|x+y| \leq |x| + |y| \tag{1.2}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 46L08, 46L05, 47A63.

Keywords and phrases: C^* -algebra, Hilbert C^* -module, C^* -valued triangle inequality.

hold for $x, y \in E$? B. Kolarec (cf. [5]) proves that if $x, y \in E$ and $|x|, |y| \in Z(\mathcal{A})$, then (1.2) holds, where $Z(\mathcal{A})$ is the center of \mathcal{A} .

We say that E has the property of C^* -valued triangle inequality, denoted as (TIP) if (1.2) holds for all $x, y \in E$.

In this short note we will prove that E has (TIP) if and only if $\overline{\langle E, E \rangle} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in E\}$ is a commutative C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Throughout the paper, \mathcal{A} is always a C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{A}_{sa} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a^* = a\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_+ = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a \geq 0\}$.

2. The triangle inequality on a C^* -algebra

Given a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}'' is the enveloping Von Neumann algebra of \mathcal{A} . For convenience, we assume that \mathcal{A} is the C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ for certain complex Hilbert space H such that $\{a\xi \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in H\}$ is dense in H , where $B(H)$ is the C^* -algebra consisting of all bounded linear operators from H to H . Then \mathcal{A} is strongly dense in \mathcal{A}'' (cf. [7, Lemma 4.1.4]). For $T \in B(H)$, let $\text{Ran}(T)$ (resp. $\text{Ker } T$) denote the range (resp. null space) of T .

We say that the net $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq B(H)$ converges $*$ -strongly to an operator $A \in B(H)$, if $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges strongly to A and $\{A_\lambda^*\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges strongly to A^* .

Recall that a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called to be strongly continuous if for every Hilbert space H and each net $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq B(H)_{sa}$ converging strongly to an operator $A \in B(H)_{sa}$, we have $\{f(A_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges strongly to $f(A)$.

The following lemma comes from [7, Theorem 4.3.2].

LEMMA 2.1. *If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous bounded function, then f is strongly continuous.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|, \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}''$, $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}''$. By Kaplansky's density theorem (cf. [7, Theorem 4.3.3]), there are four nets $\{a'_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \{a''_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \{b'_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $\{b''_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in \mathcal{A}_{sa} with $\|a'_\lambda\|, \|a''_\lambda\| \leq \|a\|$ and $\|b'_\lambda\|, \|b''_\lambda\| \leq \|b\|, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ such that a'_λ converges strongly to $\text{Re}(a)$; a''_λ converges strongly to $\text{Im}(a)$; b'_λ converges strongly to $\text{Re}(b)$ and b''_λ converges strongly to $\text{Im}(b)$. Set $a_\lambda = a'_\lambda + ia''_\lambda$ and $b_\lambda = b'_\lambda + ib''_\lambda$. Thus a_λ converges $*$ -strongly to a and b_λ converges $*$ -strongly to b . So $\{a_\lambda^* a_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \{b_\lambda^* b_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $\{(a_\lambda^* + b_\lambda^*)(a_\lambda + b_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are bounded nets of \mathcal{A} with $s\text{-}\lim_\lambda a_\lambda^* a_\lambda = a^* a, s\text{-}\lim_\lambda b_\lambda^* b_\lambda = b^* b$ and

$$(a^* + b^*)(a + b) = s\text{-}\lim_\lambda (a_\lambda^* + b_\lambda^*)(a_\lambda + b_\lambda).$$

Set $M = 100(\|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2)^{1/2}$ and define a continuous real-valued function f on \mathbb{R} by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ \sqrt{x} & 0 < x \leq M^2 \\ M & x > M^2 \end{cases}.$$

Since $0 \leq \|a\|, \|b\|, \|a+b\| \leq M$ and $0 \leq \|a_\lambda\|, \|b_\lambda\|, \|a_\lambda+b_\lambda\| \leq M, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, we have

$$f(a^*a) = |a|, f(b^*b) = |b|, f(a_\lambda^*a_\lambda) = |a_\lambda|, f(b_\lambda^*b_\lambda) = |b_\lambda|, \tag{2.1}$$

$$f((a^*+b^*)(a+b)) = |a+b|, f((a_\lambda^*+b_\lambda^*)(a_\lambda+b_\lambda)) = |a_\lambda+b_\lambda|. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $f(a_\lambda^*a_\lambda)$ converges strongly to $f(a^*a)$, $f(b_\lambda^*b_\lambda)$ converges strongly to $f(b^*b)$ and $f((a_\lambda^*+b_\lambda^*)(a_\lambda+b_\lambda))$ converges strongly to $f((a^*+b^*)(a+b))$ by Lemma 2.1 and $|a_\lambda+b_\lambda| \leq |a_\lambda|+|b_\lambda|, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$. So $|a+b| \leq |a|+|b|$ by using (2.1) and (2.2). \square

PROPOSITION 2.3. For the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is not commutative;
- (2) \mathcal{A}'' is not commutative;
- (3) There exists a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{A}'' such that \mathcal{B} is $*$ -isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The implications (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (2) are obvious. We now prove (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Since \mathcal{A}'' is not commutative, it follows from [3, 2.12.21] that \mathcal{A}'' contains a non-zero nilpotent element a (i.e., $a^2 = 0 \neq a$). Thus, $\text{Ran}(a) \subset \text{Ker} a$.

Let $a = u(a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the polar decomposition of a in \mathcal{A}'' , where $u \in \mathcal{A}''$ is a partial isometry with $\text{Ran}(u) = \overline{\text{Ran}(a)}$ and $\text{Ker} u = \text{Ker} a$ (cf. [7, Theorem 4.1.10]). Let \mathcal{B} be the C^* -algebra generated by u and u^* . Put $p_1 = u^*u$ and $p_2 = uu^*$. From $\text{Ran}(a) \subset \text{Ker} a$, we have $u^2 = 0$ and hence $p_1p_2 = 0$. Note that $p_1u = 0, up_1 = u, p_2u = u$ and $up_2 = 0$. So \mathcal{B} has the form $\mathcal{B} = \text{span}\{u, u^*, p_1, p_2\}$.

Define a mapping $\psi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\psi(\lambda_1u + \lambda_2u^* + \lambda_3p_1 + \lambda_4p_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_3 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that ψ is a $*$ -isomorphism. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.2, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.4. If for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, |a+b| \leq |a|+|b|$, then \mathcal{A} must be a commutative C^* -algebra.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $|a+b| \leq |a|+|b|, \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}''$. So if \mathcal{A} is not commutative, then \mathcal{A}'' has a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{B} which is $*$ -isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Proposition 2.3. It implies that

$$|a+b| \leq |a|+|b|, \quad \forall a, b \in M_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

But it is not true. Set $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We may obtain

$$|a| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, |b| = b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, |a + b| = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $|a| + |b| - |a + b| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ is not a positive matrix. \square

3. The C^* -valued triangle inequality in a C^* -module

If E is a Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module and \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra containing $\overline{\langle E, E \rangle}$ as an ideal, then there is a way to make E into a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module without changing the “inner product”. Namely, let $\{\mu_i\}$ be an approximate unit for $\overline{\langle E, E \rangle}$. If $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $e \in E$, then the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e\mu_j a - e\mu_i a, e\mu_j a - e\mu_i a \rangle &= a^* \mu_j \langle e, e \rangle \mu_j a + a^* \mu_i \langle e, e \rangle \mu_i a \\ &\quad - a^* \mu_j \langle e, e \rangle \mu_i a - a^* \mu_i \langle e, e \rangle \mu_j a \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\{e\mu_i a\}$ converges in E . We can define $ea = \lim_i e\mu_i a$, and it is straightforward to check that this makes E into a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. (In fact, it is the only possible way to make E into a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module with the same “inner product”.) So every Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module is also a Hilbert $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -module, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the unitization of \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module with (TIP). Put $\mathcal{S} = \overline{\langle E, E \rangle}$. Then for each nonzero element e in E , $\overline{|e| \mathcal{S} |e|}$ is a commutative C^* -algebra.*

Proof. For convenience, we assume \mathcal{A} has the unit 1. (Otherwise, E can be regarded as a Hilbert $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -module.)

Set $x_n = e(|e|^2 + n^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then

$$c_n = \langle x_n, x_n \rangle = |e|^2 (|e|^2 + n^{-2})^{-1} \leq 1$$

and

$$(1 - c_n) |e|^2 (1 - c_n) = n^{-4} |e|^2 (|e|^2 + n^{-2})^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{4} n^{-2}. \tag{3.1}$$

From (3.1), we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - c_n)|e|\| = 0$. Hence for every $a \in \overline{|e| \mathcal{S} |e|}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^*(1 - c_n)a = 0$. Since \sqrt{x} is a continuous mapping on \mathcal{A}_+ , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n a| = |a|$, $\forall a \in \overline{|e| \mathcal{S} |e|}$.

Since E has (TIP), it follows that

$$|x_n(a + b)| \leq |x_n a| + |x_n b|, \quad \forall a, b \in \overline{|e| \mathcal{S} |e|}. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n a| = |a|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n b| = |b|$. So we have $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2), $\forall a, b \in \overline{|e| \mathcal{S} |e|}$.

Therefore, $\overline{|e| \mathcal{S} |e|}$ is a commutative C^* -algebra by Corollary 2.4. \square

Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a surjective $*$ -homomorphism of C^* -algebras. Define a Hilbert submodule N_f of E by $N_f = \{x \in E | f(\langle x, x \rangle) = 0\}$. Set $E'_f = E/N_f$ and let $q: E \rightarrow E'_f$ denote the quotient mapping. Then E'_f is a right \mathcal{B} -module by defining $q(x)f(a) = q(xa)$, $x \in E$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. With a \mathcal{B} -valued “inner product” defined by

$$\langle q(x), q(y) \rangle = f(\langle x, y \rangle), \quad x, y \in E,$$

E'_f becomes a pre-Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module. Let E_f denote the Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module obtained from E'_f by completion. The next lemma is clear.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module and let $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a surjective $*$ -homomorphism. If E has (TIP), then E_f must have (TIP).*

LEMMA 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is commutative.
- (2) Every nonzero irreducible representation of \mathcal{A} is one-dimensional.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let (H, π) be a nonzero irreducible representation of \mathcal{A} , and let ξ be a nonzero element of H . So $\mathcal{B} = \pi(\mathcal{A})$ is a commutative C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$. \mathcal{B}' , the set of all elements of $B(H)$ that commute with all the elements of \mathcal{B} , must be $\mathbb{C}I$, where I is the unit of $B(H)$. But $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' = \mathbb{C}I$, and $\mathcal{B}\xi = H$ which follows from [7, Theorem 5.1.5]. So we have $H = \text{span}\{\xi\}$, H is one-dimensional.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let a, b be two nonzero elements of \mathcal{A} . Then by [7, Theorem 5.1.12], there is an irreducible representation (H, π) of \mathcal{A} such that $\|\pi(ab - ba)\| = \|\pi(ab - ba)\| = \|\pi(a)\pi(b) - \pi(b)\pi(a)\|$. If (H, π) is zero, then $ab = ba$. Otherwise H is one-dimensional, which means π is a $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathbb{C} , and $\|\pi(a)\pi(b) - \pi(b)\pi(a)\| = 0$. Consequently, $ab = ba$. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. If E has (TIP), then $\mathcal{S} = \overline{\langle E, E \rangle}$ is a commutative C^* -algebra.*

Proof. It is obvious that every Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module is also a Hilbert \mathcal{S} -module. So for convenience, we assume $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}$.

Let (H, π) be a nonzero irreducible representation of \mathcal{S} and put $\mathcal{B} = \pi(\mathcal{S})$. Then we get the Hilbert \mathcal{B} -module E_π . Let $q: E \rightarrow E'_\pi$ denote the quotient mapping. By polarization identity, there is $x \in E$ such that $\pi(\langle x, x \rangle) \neq 0$ (i.e. $q(x) \neq 0$). For convenience, we assume that $\|q(x)\| = 1$. Since $D = |x| \mathcal{S} |x|$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{S} , it follows from [7, Theorem 5.5.2] that the restriction $(H, \pi)_D$ of

the representation (H, π) to D is an irreducible representation of D . Note that D is a commutative C^* -algebra by Lemma 3.1. So $K = \overline{\pi(D)H}$ must be one-dimensional by Lemma 3.3 and $\langle q(x), q(x) \rangle = \pi(\langle x, x \rangle)$ is a rank-one projection of H .

We now show that $\dim H = 1$. From the above, $0 \neq \pi(\langle x, x \rangle) \in \mathcal{B} \cap K(H)$, so $\mathcal{B} \cap K(H) \neq \{0\}$, where $K(H)$ is the C^* -algebra consisting of all compact linear operators from H to H . \mathcal{B} acts irreducibly on H , so $\mathcal{B} \supseteq K(H)$ by [7, Theorem 2.4.9]. If $\dim H \geq 2$, we can find a rank-one projection $p \in K(H)$ such that $p|q(x)| = 0$. Then there is a non-zero nilpotent element $v \in K(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that $v^*v = |q(x)|$, $vv^* = p$. Set $a = q(x)$, $b = q(x)v^* \in E_\pi$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$|q(x) + q(x)v^*| = |a + b| \leq |a| + |b| = |q(x)| + |q(x)v^*|. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $|q(x)| + |q(x)v^*| = |q(x)| + p$ is a projection and

$$\frac{1}{2}|q(x) + q(x)v^*|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(|q(x)| + p + v + v^*)$$

is also a projection, we have $\| |a + b| \| = \sqrt{2} > 1 = \| |a| + |b| \|$, which contradicts to (3.3). So $\dim H = 1$.

We conclude from above that every nonzero irreducible representation of \mathcal{S} is one-dimensional and consequently, \mathcal{S} is a commutative C^* -algebra by Lemma 3.3. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, and $x, y \in E$. Then there is an element $c \in \overline{\langle E, E \rangle}'$ with $\|c\| \leq 1$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle = |x|c|y|$.*

Proof. Assume that $\langle E, E \rangle'$ acts on a Hilbert space H . For $x, y \in E$, set

$$c_n = (|x| + n^{-1})^{-1} \langle x, y \rangle (|y| + n^{-1})^{-1} (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|c_n\|^2 &= \|c_n^*c_n\| \\ &= \|\langle y(|y| + n^{-1})^{-1}, x(|x| + n^{-1})^{-1} \rangle \langle x(|x| + n^{-1})^{-1}, y(|y| + n^{-1})^{-1} \rangle\| \\ &\leq \|x(|x| + n^{-1})^{-1}\|^2 \|y(|y| + n^{-1})^{-1}\|^2 \\ &= \| |x| (|x| + n^{-1})^{-1} \|^2 \| |y| (|y| + n^{-1})^{-1} \|^2 \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\{c_n\}$ is bounded. Thus, it has a weakly limit point in the unit ball of $B(H)$, say, c . Since $|x| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|x| + n^{-1})$, $|y| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|y| + n^{-1})$ in norm and $(|x| + n^{-1})c_n(|y| + n^{-1}) = \langle x, y \rangle$, it follows that $|x|c|y| = \langle x, y \rangle$. \square

Finally, we characterize (TIP) in Hilbert C^* -modules as follows.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let E be a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) E has (TIP).
 (2) $\mathcal{I} = \overline{\langle E, E \rangle}$ is a commutative C^* -algebra.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is Theorem 3.4. We now prove (2) \Rightarrow (1).

Condition (2) implies that \mathcal{I}'' is commutative by Proposition 2.3. Thus, by Lemma 3.5, for $x, y \in E$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |x+y|^2 &= |x|^2 + |y|^2 + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle \\ &= |x|^2 + |y|^2 + |x|c|y| + |y|c^*|x| \\ &= |x|^2 + |y|^2 + c|x||y| + c^*|x||y| \\ &\leq |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2|x||y| \\ &= (|x| + |y|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$. \square

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to the referee for his(or her) very helpful comments and suggestions, and also thanks Professor Yifeng Xue for his support.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. AKEMANN, J. ANDERSON AND G. K. PEDERSEN, *Triangle inequalities in operator algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **11** (1982), 167–178.
- [2] L.J. ARAMBAŠIĆ AND R. RAJIĆ, *On the C^* -valued triangle equality and inequality in Hilbert C^* -modules*, Acta Math. Hungar. **119**, 4 (2008), 373–380.
- [3] J. DIXMIER, *C^* -algebras*, New York: North–Holland, 1977.
- [4] R. HARTE, *The triangle inequality in C^* -algebras*, Filomat **20** (2006), 51–53.
- [5] B. KOLAREC, *Inequalities for the C^* -valued norm on a Hilbert C^* -module*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **12**, 4 (2009), 745–751.
- [6] E. C. LANCE, *Hilbert C^* -modules, a toolkit for an operator algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [7] J. G. MURPHY, *C^* -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1990.

(Received October 24, 2011)

Runliang Jiang
 Department of mathematics
 East China Normal University
 Shanghai 200241
 P.R. China
 e-mail: Eugene.Jiang@126.com