

THE λ -INTERSECTION BODIES AND AN ANALYTIC GENERALIZED BUSEMANN-PETTY PROBLEM

DENGHUI WU, TONGYI MA* AND LILI ZHANG

(Communicated by M. A. Hernandez Cifre)

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain an extension of connections between an analytic generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem and positive definite distributions. We show that the class of the λ -intersection bodies is closely related to the analytic generalized Busemann-Petty problem.

1. Introduction

We call a compact set K with non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, a star body if $tK \subseteq K$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, and the radial function $\rho_K(\theta) = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda\theta \in K\}$ is continuous on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . A compact, convex set in \mathbb{R}^n is said to be a convex body if it has non empty interior. To make the presentation simple, we introduce some symbols. We denote by \mathcal{S}^n the set of all origin-symmetric star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and by $G_{n,i}$ the Grassmann manifold of i -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . We shall use $\text{vol}_i(\cdot)$ to denote the i -dimensional volume function. Instead of $\text{vol}_n(\cdot)$ we usually write $V(\cdot)$. The volume of the standard unit ball B_n and unit sphere S^{n-1} in \mathbb{R}^n are denoted by ω_n and σ_{n-1} , respectively. The Minkowski functional of a body $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ is defined by $\|x\|_K = \min\{a \geq 0 : x \in aK\}$, so that $\|\theta\|_K = \rho_K^{-1}(\theta)$, $\theta \in S^{n-1}$.

The class of intersection bodies plays an important role in solving the Busemann-Petty problem that was first posed in 1956 (see [4]): Suppose that K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\text{vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \theta^\perp) \leq \text{vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp), \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

where $\theta^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, \theta \rangle = 0\}$ is the central hyperplane orthogonal to θ . Does it follow that

$$V(K) \leq V(L)?$$

Much work has been devoted to the study of the problem ([1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20]; see [15] for the history of the solution). The problem was completely solved at the end of 1990's, and the answer is affirmative if $n \leq 4$ and negative

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 52A40, 52A20.

Keywords and phrases: Star body, λ -intersection body, generalized Busemann-Petty problem, generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms.

This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11161019).

* Corresponding author.

if $n \geq 5$. One of the main ingredients of the solution was a connection between intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem established by Lutwak [15]: if K is an intersection body, then the answer to the problem is affirmative for any star body L . On the other hand, if L is a symmetric convex body but not an intersection body, then one can construct K and L in \mathbb{R}^n providing a counterexample.

We say that $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ is the intersection body of $L \in \mathcal{S}^n$ if $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp)$ for every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. A body $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ is said to be an intersection body if K is the limit in the radial metric of intersection bodies of star bodies, i.e., there exists a sequence of bodies $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_{K_j} - \rho_K\|_{C(S^{n-1})} = 0$, where K_i is the intersection body of $L_i \in \mathcal{S}^n$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [21], Zhang first considered the Generalized Busemann-Petty problem: For each fixed $1 \leq i < n$, let K and L be origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$\text{vol}_i(K \cap \xi) \leq \text{vol}_i(L \cap \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in G_{n,i}.$$

Does it follow that

$$V(K) \leq V(L)?$$

Zhang showed that the Generalized Busemann-Petty problem is related to Zhang’s class which is a generalization of the class of intersection bodies (see [21]). It was showed in [3] that the Generalized Busemann-Petty problem has a negative answer when $3 < i < n$ (see also [11, 18]). For $i = 2$ and $i = 3$ ($n \geq 5$) the Generalized Busemann-Petty problem is still open.

Another generalization of the concept of the intersection body was suggested in [12] and described in detail in [11]. Namely, a body $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ is a k -intersection body of $L \in \mathcal{S}^n$ (we write $K = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{B}_k(L)$) if

$$\text{vol}_k(K \cap \xi) = \text{vol}_{n-k}(L \cap \xi^\perp) \quad \forall \xi \in G_{n,k}. \tag{1.1}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{B}_{k,n}$ the set of all bodies $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ satisfying (1.1) for some $L \in \mathcal{S}^n$.

An origin symmetric star body K is said to be a k -intersection body if K is the limit in the radial metric of k -intersection bodies $\{K_i\}$ of star bodies $\{L_i\}$. The class of k -intersection bodies in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathcal{S}_k^n .

LEMMA 1.1. (see [11] Theorem 4.8) *A body $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ is a k -intersection body if and only if $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ represents a positive definite tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^n , that is, the Fourier transform $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge$ is a positive tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^n .*

By using the concept of k -intersection body, Koldobsky [12] generalized Lutwak’s connections as follows:

THEOREM A. *Let $1 \leq k < n$, and let K, L be origin-symmetric $(k - 1)$ -smooth star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n if k is odd and k -smooth if k is even. Suppose that the functions*

$$\|\cdot\|_K^{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+k} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+k}$$

represent positive definite distributions in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$V(K) \leq V(L).$$

THEOREM B. *Let $1 \leq k < n$, and suppose there exists an origin-symmetric convex body D in \mathbb{R}^n for which $\|\cdot\|_D^k$ is not a positive definite distribution. Then there exist origin-symmetric infinitely smooth convex bodies K and L so that $\|\cdot\|_L^{n+k} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+k}$ is a positive definite distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, but*

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Note that the condition that $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ is a positive definition distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ can be replaced by $K \in \mathcal{S}_k^n$, and the condition that $\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+k} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+k}$ is a positive definite distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is equivalent to that the Fourier transform of $\|\cdot\|_L^{n+k} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+k}$ is a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

In [17], Rubin introduced a more general class of intersection bodies than the one of the k -intersection bodies, namely λ -intersection bodies.

Let λ be a real number,

$$s_\lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \lambda \neq n, n+2, n+4, \dots; \\ \Gamma(\lambda/2), & \text{if } \lambda < 0, \lambda \neq -2, -4, -6, -8, \dots. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 1. For $\lambda < n$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, the body $K \in \mathcal{S}^n$ is called a λ -intersection body if there is a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{e^+}(S^{n-1})$ such that $s_\lambda \rho_K^\lambda = M^{1-\lambda} \mu$ if $\lambda \neq -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\rho_K^\lambda = \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \mu$, otherwise. The class of λ -intersection bodies is denoted by \mathcal{S}_λ^n .

The equality $s_\lambda \rho_K^\lambda = M^{1-\lambda} \mu$ means that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(S^{n-1})$,

$$s_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^\lambda(\theta) \varphi(\theta) d\theta = \int_{S^{n-1}} (M^{1-\lambda} \varphi)(\theta) d\mu(\theta),$$

where for $\lambda \geq 1$, $(M^{1-\lambda} \varphi)(\theta)$ is understood in the sense of analytic continuation.

REMARK 1. (1). If $\lambda = k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, then \mathcal{S}_λ^n coincides with the class of k -intersection bodies which follows from Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 2.2(b) (see Section 2).

(2). The case $\lambda > n$ is not so interesting, because by Lemma 2.2(c), \mathcal{S}_λ^n is either empty (if $\Gamma((n-\lambda)/2) < 0$) or coincides with the whole class S^n (if $\Gamma((n-\lambda)/2) > 0$).

The generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms denoted by $M^\alpha f$ ($\tilde{M}^\alpha f$) were also introduced by Rubin [17] (see Section 2 for precise definitions).

In this paper, we give an analogue of Theorem A and Theorem B for the class of λ -intersection bodies. Despite the fact that the geometric models of λ -intersection bodies are clear (see [17]), our results are analytic ones. We extend the condition $K \in \mathcal{S}_k^n, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ to $K \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n, \lambda < n$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, and replace the condition that the Fourier transform of $\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+k} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+k}$ is a positive distribution by the condition that the generalized Minkowski-Funk transform of $\|\cdot\|_L^{n+k} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+k}$ is a positive distribution.

We now describe our main results.

THEOREM 1. *Let K, L be origin-symmetric infinitely smooth star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n .*

(1). *Let $0 < \lambda < n$, $K \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. Suppose that the function*

$$M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$$

represents a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$V(K) \leq V(L).$$

(2). *Let $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda \neq -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $L \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. Suppose that the function*

$$s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$$

represents a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$V(K) \leq V(L).$$

(3). *Let $\lambda = -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $L \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. Suppose that the function*

$$\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$$

represents a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$V(K) \leq V(L).$$

If $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$ (or $L \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$), the solution of Theorem 1 does not hold. In those cases, we construct a counterexample to the Analytic Busemann-Petty problem.

THEOREM 2. (1). *Let $0 < \lambda < n$, $L \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. There are origin-symmetric star bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n such that*

$$M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$$

is a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, but

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

(2). *Let $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda \neq -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. There are origin-symmetric star bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n such that*

$$s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$$

is a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, but

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

(3). *Let $\lambda = -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. There are origin-symmetric star bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n , such that*

$$\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$$

is a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, but

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

REMARK 2. The classical Lutwak’s connection is the special case $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In fact, $\lambda = 1$, \mathcal{S}_λ^n will be the class of intersection bodies. From the properties of generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms (2.3), it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} M^{1-\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} &= C_{n-1} M \|\theta\|_K^{-n+1} \\ &= C_{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \theta^\perp} \|u\|_K^{-n+1} d\theta u, \\ &= \frac{(n-1)C_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \theta^\perp} \|u\|_K^{-n+1} du, \\ &= \frac{(n-1)C_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \text{vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \theta^\perp), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{n-1} = \frac{\sigma_{n-2}}{2\pi^{(n-2)/2}}$. Therefore, if $\lambda = 1$, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 will be two parts of Lutwak’s connection.

In this paper, we consider all cases $\lambda < n$, $\lambda \neq 0$ for the connection between λ -intersection bodies and Analytic Busemann-Petty problem. The classical Lutwak’s connection is only the case $\lambda = 1$ in our results. Koldobsky’s extension, Theorem A and Theorem B, only considered the cases $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Therefore, our results are more general. We have not considered the case $\lambda > n$, because by Remark 1 (2), $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^n (\lambda > n)$ is not so useful.

In the proof of theorem 1 and theorem 2, the first step is different. In the proof of Theorem 1, from the meaning of the positive distribution in the condition, we should choose a proper $\phi(x)$ at first. In the proof of Theorem 2, we construct K (or L) from $L \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$ (or $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2. We use the same method in the second step. Using the properties of generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms and Hölder integral inequality, we obtain the results of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

We “split” three cases in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Since the generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms are defined as M^α in the case $\lambda \neq -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and as \tilde{M}^α in the case $\lambda = -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider them separately. Since we need to choose different $\phi(x)$ in the cases $0 < \lambda < n$ and $\lambda < 0$ in the proof of Theorem 1, and since we need to construct K from $L \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$ for $0 < \lambda < n$, but L from $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$ for $\lambda < 0$ in the proof of Theorem 2, we prove the case $\lambda \neq -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$ by considering two situations $0 < \lambda < n$ and $\lambda < 0$. Therefore, we have three cases: (1) $0 < \lambda < n$, (2) $\lambda < 0, \lambda \neq -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$, (3) $\lambda = -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now describe the structure of this paper. We give some notation and preliminaries in Section 2. In Section 3, we will establish Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. From Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain Corollary 3.1, which is the affirmative answer to the analytic generalized Busemann-Petty problem. Furthermore, we obtain Corollary 3.2 as a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

2. Notation and preliminaries

$\mathcal{D}(S^{n-1})$ stands for the space of C^∞ -functions on S^{n-1} with the standard topology, and $\mathcal{D}'(S^{n-1})$ stands for the corresponding dual space of distribution. The subspaces of even test functions (distributions) are denoted by $\mathcal{D}_e(S^{n-1})$ ($\mathcal{D}'_e(S^{n-1})$); $\mathcal{D}(G_{n,i})$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $G_{n,i}$.

We write $\mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$ and $\mathcal{M}(G_{n,i})$ for the spaces of finite Borel measures on S^{n-1} and $G_{n,i}$; $\mathcal{M}_+(S^{n-1})$ and $\mathcal{M}_+(G_{n,i})$ are the spaces of non-negative measures; $\mathcal{M}_{e+}(S^{n-1})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{e+}(G_{n,i})$ are the spaces of even measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(S^{n-1})$.

DEFINITION 2. For an integrable function f on S^{n-1} , the Minkowski-Funk transform $Mf(u)$, $\forall u \in S^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$Mf(u) = \int_{\{\theta:\theta \cdot u=0\}} f(\theta)d_u\theta, \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

where $d_u\theta$ denotes the probability measure on the manifolds $S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp$.

This transform is a number of the analytic family of the generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms

$$M^\alpha f(u) = \gamma_n(\alpha) \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta)|\theta \cdot u|^{\alpha-1}d\theta, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\gamma_n(\alpha) = \frac{\sigma_{n-1}\Gamma((1-\alpha)/2)}{2\pi^{(n-1)/2}\Gamma(\alpha/2)} \quad Re\alpha > 0, \quad \alpha \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$$

To include the poles $\alpha = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ into consideration, we set

$$\tilde{M}^\alpha f(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta)|\theta \cdot u|^{\alpha-1}d\theta. \tag{2.2}$$

It was showed that $Mf(u)$ is the limit of $M^\alpha f(u)$ in [21]:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} M^\alpha f = M^0 f = C_{n-1}Mf, \quad C_{n-1} = \frac{\sigma_{n-2}}{2\pi^{(n-2)/2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

LEMMA 2.1. (see [17]) *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha, \beta \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$. If $\alpha + \beta = 2 - n$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(S^{n-1})$ then*

$$M^\alpha M^\beta f = f. \tag{2.4}$$

If $\alpha, 2 - n - \alpha \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$, then M^α is automorphism of the spaces $\mathcal{D}(S^{n-1})$ and $\mathcal{D}'(S^{n-1})$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its dual. A distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is positive if $\langle f, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for all non-negative $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is positive definite if \hat{f} is positive.

LEMMA 2.2. (see [17]) *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \notin \{n, n+2, n+4, \dots\} \cup \{0, -2, -4, \dots\}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $K \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$,
- (b) The Fourier transform $[s_\lambda \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}]^\wedge$ is a positive distribution on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,
- (c) $s_\lambda M^{1+\lambda-n} \rho_K^\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{e+}(S^{n-1})$.

LEMMA 2.3. (see [17]) *Let $p > -n$, $p \neq 0$. Then $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$ embeds isometrically in L_p if and only if $K \in \mathcal{S}_{-p}^n$.*

LEMMA 2.4. (Hölder’s integral inequality, see [9]) *Suppose that f and g are Borel measurable functions on X , let p, q be nonzero real numbers with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.*

(1) *If $p > 1$ then*

$$\int_X fg dx \leq \left(\int_X f^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_X g^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

with equality if and only if there are constants A, B not both zero, such that $A|f|^p = B|g|^q$.

(2) *If $p < 0$ or $0 < p < 1$ then*

$$\int_X fg dx \geq \left(\int_X f^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_X g^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

with equality if and only if either (a) there are constants A, B not both zero, such that $A|f|^p = B|g|^q$, or (b) fg is null.

Throughout this paper, different notations $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and (\cdot, \cdot) are used for distributions on \mathbb{R}^n and S^{n-1} , respectively.

3. Proofs of our main results

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, that is, we will give an solution of Analytic General Busemann-Petty problem.

Proof of Theorem 1. We prove our results by considering three cases using the properties of generalized Minkowski-Funk transforms and Hölder’s integral inequality.

(1). The first case is $0 < \lambda < n$. Since $K \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$, we have $s_\lambda M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{e+}(S^{n-1})$. Let $M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ be a positive distribution. It means that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi \geq 0$ and $0 \notin \text{supp} \phi$,

$$\langle M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi \rangle \geq 0.$$

We choose $\phi(x) = \psi(|x|)M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}(x/|x|)$, where ψ is a smooth non-negative function such that $\int_0^\infty r^{n-\lambda-1} \psi(r) dr = 1$ and $0 \notin \text{supp} \phi$. From (2.1), we get

$$M^{1-\lambda} (\|r\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|r\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) = r^{-\lambda} M^{1-\lambda} (\|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi(x) \rangle \\
 &= \langle M^{1-\lambda} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}), \psi(|x|) M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda} (x/|x|) \rangle \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M^{1-\lambda} (\|x\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|x\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) \psi(|x|) M^{1+\lambda-n} \frac{x}{|x|} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda} dx \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty M^{1-\lambda} (\|r\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|r\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) \psi(r) M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} r^{n-1} dr d\theta \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{-\lambda} M^{1-\lambda} (\|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) \psi(r) M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} r^{n-1} dr d\theta \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\int_0^\infty r^{n-\lambda-1} \psi(r) dr \right) M^{1-\lambda} (\|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} d\theta \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} M^{1-\lambda} (\|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} d\theta \\
 &= (M^{1-\lambda} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}), M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}) \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1 - \lambda, 1 + \lambda - n \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$, from Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (M^{1-\lambda} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}), M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}) \\
 &= (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, M^{1-\lambda} M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}) \\
 &= (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}) \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}) \geq (\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}).$$

Using Hölder’s integral inequality ($0 < \lambda < n$), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(K) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} d\theta \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} d\theta \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} \\
 &= V(L)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This clearly yields $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

(2). The second case is $\lambda < 0, \lambda \neq -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $L \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$, we have $s_\lambda M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{e+}(S^{n-1})$.

Let $s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ be a positive distribution. It means that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi \geq 0$ and $0 \notin \text{supp } \phi$,

$$\langle s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi \rangle \geq 0.$$

We choose $\phi(x) = \psi(|x|)s_\lambda M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}(x/|x|)$, where ψ is a smooth non-negative function such that $\int_0^\infty r^{n-\lambda-1} \psi(r)dr = 1$ and $0 \notin \text{supp}\phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}), s_\lambda \psi(x) M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}(x/|x|) \rangle \\ &= (s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}), s_\lambda M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}) \\ &= (M^{1-\lambda} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}), M^{1+\lambda-n} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1 - \lambda, 1 + \lambda - n \neq 1, 3, 5 \dots$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}) \geq 0, \\ & (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}) \geq (\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder’s integral inequality ($\lambda < 0$), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} V(L) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} \|\theta\|_L^{-\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} \|\theta\|_L^{-\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} \\ &= V(K)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This clearly yields $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

(3). The third case is $\lambda = -2l, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $L \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$, there is a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{e+}(S^{n-1})$ such that $\|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda} = \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \mu$. That is: for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(S^{n-1})$,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda} \varphi(\theta) d\theta = \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \varphi(\theta) d\mu(\theta).$$

Let $\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ be a positive distribution. It means that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi \geq 0$ and $0 \notin \text{supp}\phi$,

$$\langle \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi \rangle \geq 0,$$

where ϕ is a smooth non-negative function such that $\int_0^\infty r^{n-\lambda-1} \phi(r)dr = 1$ and $0 \notin \text{supp}\phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, \phi(|x|) \rangle \\ &= (\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, 1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, 1) \geq 0,$$

$$(\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda}, 1) \geq (\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}, 1).$$

Both sides' integrals of the last inequality over S^{n-1} is about measure μ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} d\mu(\theta) &\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} d\mu(\theta), \\ \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} d\theta &\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From Hölder's integral inequality ($\lambda < 0$), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} V(L) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \\ &= V(L)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

Above all, Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Since all 2-dimensional space embed in L_1 , and therefore in L_p with $-2 < p < 1$ (see e.g. [11] Chapter 6), and all 3-dimensional space embed in L_0 , and therefore in L_p with $-3 < p < 0$ (see e.g. [13]). From Lemma 2.3, we have the following

COROLLARY 3.1. *If $n = 2, 3$, $0 < \lambda < n$. K, L are origin-symmetric infinitely smooth star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . The function $M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ is a positive distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$V(K) \leq V(L).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. (1). The first case is $0 < \lambda < n$. Suppose that L is an origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , so that $\|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}$ is infinitely smooth, the boundary of L has a positive curvature and $L \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. Therefore, there is a function $\mu \in D_e(S^{n-1})$, which is negative on some open origin-symmetric set $\Omega \subset S^{n-1}$ and such that $\|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda} = M^{1-\lambda} \mu$.

We choose a function $v \in D_e(S^{n-1})$ so that $v(\theta) \geq 0$ and $\exists \theta$ such that $v \neq 0$ if $\theta \in \Omega$, $v(\theta) \equiv 0$ otherwise. We define an origin-symmetric smooth body K by $\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} = \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \varepsilon M^{1+\lambda-n} v$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $1 + \lambda - n, -n + \lambda \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$,

$$M^{1-\lambda} M^{1-n+\lambda} v = v \geq 0,$$

we have

$$M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} = \varepsilon M^{1-\lambda} M^{1-n+\lambda} v = \varepsilon v \geq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) &= (M^{1-\lambda}\mu, \varepsilon M^{1+\lambda-n}\nu) \\ &= \varepsilon(\mu, \nu) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(\|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda}) < (\|\cdot\|_L^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda})$.

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} V(L) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \\ &= V(L)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $V(K) > V(L)$, as desired.

(2). The second case is $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda \neq -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that K is an origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , so that $\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}$ is infinitely smooth, the boundary of K has a positive curvature and $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. Therefore, there is a function $\mu \in D_e(S^{n-1})$, which is negative on some open origin-symmetric set $\Omega \subset S^{n-1}$ and such that $s_\lambda \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda} = M^{1-\lambda}\mu$.

We choose a function $\nu \in D_e(S^{n-1})$ so that $\nu(\theta) \geq 0$ and $\exists \theta$ such that $\nu \neq 0$ if $\theta \in \Omega$, $\nu(\theta) \equiv 0$ otherwise. We define an origin-symmetric smooth body L by $s_\lambda \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} = s_\lambda \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} + \varepsilon M^{1+\lambda-n}\nu$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $1 + \lambda - n, -n + \lambda \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$,

$$M^{1-\lambda} M^{1-n+\lambda} \nu = \nu \geq 0,$$

we have

$$s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} = \varepsilon M^{1-\lambda} M^{1-n+\lambda} \nu = \varepsilon \nu \geq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) &= (M^{1-\lambda}\mu, \varepsilon M^{1+\lambda-n}\nu) \\ &= \varepsilon(\mu, \nu) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda}) < (\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda})$.

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} V(K) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &> \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \\ &= V(K)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $V(K) > V(L)$.

(3). The third case is $\lambda = -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that K is an origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , so that $\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}$ is infinitely smooth, the boundary of K has a positive curvature and $K \notin \mathcal{S}_\lambda^n$. Therefore, there is a function $\mu \in D_e(S^{n-1})$, which is negative on some open origin-symmetric set $\Omega \subset S^{n-1}$ and such that $s_\lambda \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda} = \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \mu$. We choose a non-zero continuous function $g \in D_e(S^{n-1})$ so that

$$\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} g(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u) |u \cdot \theta|^{-\lambda} du = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}.$$

We consider the smooth origin-symmetric star body L , and suppose that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda} g(\theta) d\theta = \int_{S^{n-1}} g(\theta) \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \mu d\theta \geq 0,$$

(otherwise, consider $-g(\theta)$ instead of $g(\theta)$). We choose $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\theta\|_K^{n-\lambda} - \varepsilon g(\theta) > 0 \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

We define an origin-symmetric smooth body L in \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\|\theta\|_L^{n-\lambda} = \|\theta\|_K^{n-\lambda} - \varepsilon g(\theta) > 0 \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} = \varepsilon \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} g(\theta) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda} - \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda}) &= (\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \mu, \varepsilon g(\theta)) \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} g(\theta) \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \mu d\theta \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}) \geq (\|\cdot\|_K^{-\lambda}, \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda})$.

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} V(K) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_K^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-\lambda} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+\lambda} d\theta \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_L^{-n} d\theta \right)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \\ &= V(K)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $V(K) \geq V(L)$.

If $V(L) = V(K)$, it follows from the equality condition that $\|\cdot\|_K = \|\cdot\|_L$, i.e. $K = L$, which contradicts $\|\theta\|_L^{n-\lambda} = \|\theta\|_K^{n-\lambda} - \varepsilon g(\theta) > 0$. Therefore $V(K) > V(L)$, as desired.

Above all, Theorem 2 is proved. \square

A direct consequence of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is:

COROLLARY 3.2. K, L are origin-symmetric infinitely smooth star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Each case in the following holds if and only if every origin-symmetric star body \mathbb{R}^n is a λ -intersection body:

(1) Let $0 < \lambda < n$. The positive distribution $M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ implies $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

(2) Let $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda \neq -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The positive distribution $s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - s_\lambda M^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ implies $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

(3) Let $\lambda = -2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The positive distribution $\tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+\lambda} - \tilde{M}^{1-\lambda} \|\cdot\|_K^{-n+\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ implies $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. BALL, *Some remarks on the geometry of convex sets*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), Lecture Notes in Math. **1317**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1988, 224–231.
- [2] J. BOURGAIN, *On the Busemann-Petty problem for perturbations of the ball*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **1**, 1 (1991), 1–13.
- [3] J. BOURGAIN, G. ZHANG, *On a generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem*, Convex geometric analysis (Berkeley, CA 1996), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **34**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1998), 65–76.
- [4] H. BUSEMANN, C. M. PETTY, *Problems on convex bodies*, Math. Scand., **4**, (1956), 88–94.
- [5] R. J. GARDNER, *Intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **342**, 1 (1994), 435–445.
- [6] R. J. GARDNER, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions*, Ann. Math., **140**, 2 (1994), 435–447.
- [7] R. J. GARDNER, A. KOLDOBSKY AND T. SCHLUMPRECHT, *An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Ann. Math., **149**, 2 (1999), 691–703.
- [8] A. GIANOPOULOS, *A note on a problem of H. Busemann and C. M. Petty concerning sections of symmetric convex bodies*, Mathematika, **37**, (1990), 239–244.
- [9] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [10] A. KOLDOBSKY, *Intersection bodies in \mathbb{R}^4* , Adv. Math., **136**, 1 (1998), 1–14.
- [11] A. KOLDOBSKY, *Fourier analysis in convex geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 116, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [12] A. KOLDOBSKY, *A generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math., **110**, 1 (1999), 75–91.
- [13] N. J. KALTON, A. KOLDOBSKY, V. YASKIN AND M. YASKINA, *The geometry of L_0* , Canada. J. Math., **59**, 5 (2007), 1029–1040.
- [14] D. G. LARMAN, C. A. ROGERS, *The existence of a centrally symmetric convex body with central sections that are unexpectedly small*, Mathematika, **22**, (1975), 164–175.
- [15] E. LUTWAK, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math., **71**, 2 (1988), 232–261.
- [16] M. PAPADIMITRAKIS, *On the Busemann-petty problem about convex, centrally symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n* , Mathematika, **39**, (1992), 258–266.
- [17] B. RUBIN, *Intersection bodies and generalized cosine transform*, Adv. Math., **218**, 3 (2008), 696–727.
- [18] B. RUBIN, G. ZHANG, *Generalizations of the Busemann-Petty problem for sections of convex bodies*, J. Funct. Anal., **213**, 2 (2004), 473–501.
- [19] G. ZHANG, *Intersection bodies and Busemann-Petty inequalities in \mathbb{R}^4* , Ann. Math., **140**, 2 (1994), 331–346.

- [20] G. ZHANG, *A positive solution to the Busemann-Petty problem in \mathbb{R}^4* , *Ann. Math.*, **149**, 2 (1999), 535–543.
- [21] G. ZHANG, *Sections of convex bodies*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **118**, 2 (1996), 319–340.

(Received November 24, 2012)

Denghui Wu
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Northwest Normal University
Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, P.R. China
e-mail: wudenghui66@163.com

Tongyi Ma
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Hexi University
Zhangye, Gansu, 734000, P.R. China
e-mail: matongyi@126.com

Lili Zhang
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Northwest Normal University
Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, P.R. China
e-mail: zhanglili.823@163.com