

ON A MULTIDIMENSIONAL HILBERT–TYPE INTEGRAL INEQUALITY WITH LOGARITHM FUNCTION

TUO LIU, BICHENG YANG AND LEPING HE*

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. By the use of the transfer formula, the methods of weight functions and technique of Real Analysis, a multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequality with a few parameters and a best possible constant factor related to the kernel of logarithm function is given. The equivalent forms and some reverses are obtained. The operator expressions and a few particular results related to the kernels of non-homogeneous and homogeneous are considered.

1. Introduction

If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$, $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $g \in L^q(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $\|f\|_p = (\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$, $\|g\|_q > 0$, then we have Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality as follows (cf. [1]):

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (1)$$

where, the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible. Assuming that $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^p$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^q$, $\|a\|_p = (\sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$, $\|b\|_q > 0$, we still have the following discrete variant of the above inequality with the same best constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$:

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|a\|_p \|b\|_q. \quad (2)$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) are important in Analysis and its applications (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]).

In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, Yang [7] gave an extension of (1) at $p = q = 2$. In 2009 and 2011, Yang [3], [4] gave some extensions of (1) and (2) as follows:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D15, 47A07, 37A10.

Keywords and phrases: Hilbert-type integral inequality, weight function, equivalent form, Hilbert-type integral operator, logarithm function.

* The corresponding author.

If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is a non-negative homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$, with

$$k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(t, 1)t^{\lambda_1-1}dt \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}, \psi(y) = y^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1}, f(x), g(y) \geq 0,$$

$$f \in L_{p,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ f; \|f\|_{p,\phi} := \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(x)|f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$g \in L_{q,\psi}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $\|f\|_{p,\phi}, \|g\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y)f(x)g(y)dxdy < k(\lambda_1)\|f\|_{p,\phi}\|g\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{3}$$

where, the constant factor $k(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible. Moreover, if $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is finite and $k_\lambda(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1}(k_\lambda(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1})$ is decreasing with respect to $x > 0$ ($y > 0$), then for $a_m, b_n \geq 0$,

$$a \in l_{p,\phi} = \left\{ a; \|a\|_{p,\phi} := \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi(n)|a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{q,\psi}$, $\|a\|_{p,\phi}, \|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m b_n < k(\lambda_1)\|a\|_{p,\phi}\|b\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{4}$$

with the best possible constant factor $k(\lambda_1)$.

Clearly, for $\lambda = 1, k_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y}$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$, (3) reduces to (1), while (4) reduces to (2).

In 2006, by applying the transfer formula, Hong [8] first published a multidimensional Hilbert’s integral inequality, which is an extension of (3). Some other results relating integral, discrete and half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequalities were given by [9]–[21], which provided some new ways to study these kinds of inequalities.

In this paper, by the use of the transfer formula, the methods of weight functions and technique of Real Analysis, we give a multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequality with a few parameters and a best possible constant factor related to the kernel of logarithm function. The equivalent forms and some reverses are obtained. We also consider the operator expressions and some particular results related to the kernels of non-homogeneous and homogeneous.

2. Some lemmas

If $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ (\mathbf{N} is the set of positive integers), $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we put

$$\|x\|_\alpha := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i_0} |x_k|^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (x = (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \in \mathbf{R}^{i_0}),$$

$$\|y\|_\beta := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j_0} |y_k|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \quad (y = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \in \mathbf{R}^{j_0}).$$

LEMMA 1. Assuming that $s \in \mathbf{N}$, $\gamma, M > 0$, $\Psi(u)$ is a non-negative measurable function in $(0, 1]$, and

$$D_M := \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; 0 < u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq 1 \right\},$$

we have the following transfer formula (cf. [6]):

$$\int \cdots \int_{D_M} \Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_0^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du, \tag{5}$$

where, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(t) := \int_0^\infty e^{-v} v^{t-1} dv \quad (t > 0).$$

In view of (5) and the conditions, it follows that

(i) For $\mathbf{R}_+^s = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} D_M$, we have

$$\int \cdots \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^s} \Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_0^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du; \tag{6}$$

(ii) for

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \frac{1}{M^\gamma} \leq u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq 1 \right\},$$

setting $\Psi(u) = 0 \quad (u \in (0, \frac{1}{M^\gamma}))$, we have

$$\int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}}^1 \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du; \tag{7}$$

(iii) for

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; 0 < u = \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{M^\gamma} (M > 1) \right\},$$

setting $\Psi(u) = 0 \quad (u \in (\frac{1}{M^\gamma}, \infty))$, we have

$$\int \cdots \int_{\{\|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M} \right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}} \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du.$$

NOTE 1. Setting $E_\delta := \{u > 0; u^\delta \geq \frac{1}{M^{\delta\gamma}}\}$ ($\delta \in \{-1, 1\}, M > 1$), in view of (7) and the above result, it follows

$$\int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma^\delta \geq 1\}} \Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\gamma \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_s = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_{E_\delta} \Psi(u) u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du. \tag{8}$$

LEMMA 2. For $s \in \mathbf{N}, \gamma, \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s-\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s+\varepsilon} dx = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. By (7), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s-\varepsilon} dx \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \geq 1\}} \left\{ M \left[\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\gamma \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\}^{-s-\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}}^1 (Mu^{1/\gamma})^{-s-\varepsilon} u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

By (iii), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s+\varepsilon} dx \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma \leq 1\}} \left\{ M \left[\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{x_i}{M}\right)^\gamma \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\}^{-s+\varepsilon} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^s \Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\gamma^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{M^\gamma}} (Mu^{1/\gamma})^{-s+\varepsilon} u^{\frac{s}{\gamma}-1} du = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have (9). \square

NOTE 2. For $\varepsilon > 0, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}$, in view of (9), we still have

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma^\delta \geq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s-\delta\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^s; \|x\|_\gamma^\delta \leq 1\}} \|x\|_\gamma^{-s+\delta\varepsilon} dx = \frac{\Gamma^s(\frac{1}{\gamma})}{\varepsilon \gamma^{s-1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{\gamma})}. \tag{10}$$

DEFINITION 1. Assuming that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha, \beta, b > 0, 0 < \sigma < \eta, \delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ($i = 1, 2$), $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}, y = (y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}$, we define two weight functions $\omega(\sigma, y)$ and $\varpi(\sigma, x)$ as follows:

$$\omega(\sigma, y) := \|y\|_\beta^{\delta_2 \sigma} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_\beta^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_\alpha^{\delta_1}} \right)^\eta \right] \frac{1}{\|x\|_\alpha^{i_0 - \delta_1 \sigma}} dx, \tag{11}$$

$$\bar{\omega}(\sigma, x) := \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1 \sigma} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{1}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{j_0 + \delta_2 \sigma}} dy. \tag{12}$$

By (6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\sigma, y) &= \|y\|_{\beta}^{-\delta_2 \sigma} \int \cdots \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \frac{\ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{M^{\delta_1} [\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} (\frac{x_i}{M})^{\alpha}]^{\frac{\delta_1}{\alpha}}} \right)^{\eta} \right]}{M^{i_0 - \delta_1 \sigma} [\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} (\frac{x_i}{M})^{\alpha}]^{\frac{i_0 - \delta_1 \sigma}{\alpha}}} dx_1 \cdots dx_{i_0} \\ &= \|y\|_{\beta}^{-\delta_2 \sigma} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{i_0} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{M^{\delta_1} u^{\delta_1/\alpha}} \right)^{\eta} \right]}{M^{i_0 - \delta_1 \sigma} u^{\frac{i_0 - \delta_1 \sigma}{\alpha}}} u^{\frac{i_0}{\alpha} - 1} du \\ &= \|y\|_{\beta}^{-\delta_2 \sigma} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{\delta_1 \sigma} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^1 \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{M^{\delta_1} u^{\delta_1/\alpha}} \right)^{\eta} \right] u^{\frac{\delta_1 \sigma}{\alpha} - 1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v = b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{M^{\delta_1} u^{\delta_1/\alpha}} \right)^{\eta}$ in the above, since $u = (b^{\frac{1}{\eta}} M^{-\delta_1} \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2})^{\frac{\alpha}{\delta_1}} v^{\frac{-\alpha}{\delta_1 \eta}}$,

$$du = \frac{-\alpha}{\delta_1 \eta} (b^{\frac{1}{\eta}} M^{-\delta_1} \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2})^{\frac{\alpha}{\delta_1}} v^{\frac{-\alpha}{\delta_1 \eta} - 1} dv,$$

for $\delta_1 = \pm 1$, by simplification, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\sigma, y) &= \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\eta \alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^{\infty} v^{-\frac{\sigma}{\eta} - 1} \ln(1 + v) dv \\ &= \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\eta \alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{-\eta}{\sigma} \right) \int_0^{\infty} \ln(1 + v) dv v^{-\frac{\sigma}{\eta}} \\ &= \frac{-b^{\sigma/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\sigma \alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \left[\frac{\ln(1 + v)}{v^{\frac{\sigma}{\eta}}} \Big|_0^{\infty} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{v^{(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\eta}) - 1}}{1 + v} dv \right] \\ &= \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\sigma \alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\eta})} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta} \pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi \sigma}{\eta})}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

LEMMA 3. Assuming that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, $\alpha, \beta, b > 0$, $0 < \sigma, \tilde{\sigma} < \eta$, $\frac{\sigma}{2} < \tilde{\sigma} < \eta$, $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have

$$\omega(\sigma, y) = K_{\alpha}(\sigma) := \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta} \pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi \sigma}{\eta})} (y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}), \tag{14}$$

$$\varpi(\sigma, x) = K_\beta(\sigma) := \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta}\pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\eta})} (x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}), \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w(\tilde{\sigma}, y) &:= \|y\|_\beta^{-\delta_2\tilde{\sigma}} \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|x\|_\alpha^{\delta_1} \geq 1\}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_\beta^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_\alpha^{\delta_1}} \right)^\eta \right] \frac{1}{\|x\|_\alpha^{i_0-\delta_1}\tilde{\sigma}} dx \\ &= K_\alpha(\tilde{\sigma})(1 - \theta_{\tilde{\sigma}}(y)), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\theta_{\tilde{\sigma}}(y) := \frac{\tilde{\sigma} \sin(\frac{\pi\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta})}{\eta\pi} \int_{b\|y\|_\beta^{\eta\delta_2}}^\infty \frac{\ln(1+v)}{v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}+1}} dv = O(\|y\|_\beta^{-\frac{\delta_2\tilde{\sigma}}{2}}) (y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}). \tag{17}$$

Proof. By (13), we have (14). By the same way, we still can obtain (15).

By Note 1, setting $v = bM^{-\eta\delta_1} \|y\|_\beta^{\eta\delta_2} u^{-\frac{\eta\delta_1}{\alpha}}$, for $u^{\delta_1} \geq \frac{1}{M^{\delta_1\alpha}}$, we find $0 < u^{-\frac{\eta\delta_1}{\alpha}} \leq M^{\eta\delta_1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w(\tilde{\sigma}, y) &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{\delta_1\tilde{\sigma}} \tilde{\sigma} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\|y\|_\beta^{\delta_2\tilde{\sigma}} \alpha^{i_0} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_{E_{\delta_1}} u^{\frac{\delta_1\tilde{\sigma}}{\alpha}-1} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_\beta^{\delta_2}}{M^{\delta_1} u^{\delta_1/\alpha}} \right)^\eta \right] du \\ &= \frac{b^{\tilde{\sigma}/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\eta \alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \int_0^{b\|y\|_\beta^{\eta\delta_2}} v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}-1} \ln(1+v) dv \\ &= \frac{b^{\tilde{\sigma}/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\eta \alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1+v)}{v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}+1}} dv - \int_{b\|y\|_\beta^{\eta\delta_2}}^\infty \frac{\ln(1+v)}{v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}+1}} dv \right] \\ &= \frac{b^{\tilde{\sigma}/\eta} \Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\eta \alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \left[\frac{\eta\pi}{\tilde{\sigma} \sin(\frac{\pi\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta})} - \int_{b\|y\|_\beta^{\eta\delta_2}}^\infty \frac{\ln(1+v)}{v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}+1}} dv \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \frac{b^{\tilde{\sigma}/\eta} \pi}{\tilde{\sigma} \sin(\frac{\pi\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta})} (1 - \theta_{\tilde{\sigma}}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < \tilde{\sigma} < \eta$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{bu}^\infty v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}-1} \ln(1+v) dv}{u^{-\tilde{\sigma}/(2\eta)}} &= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b(bu)^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}-1} \ln(1+bu)}{[\tilde{\sigma}/(2\eta)] u^{-\tilde{\sigma}/(2\eta)-1}} = 0, \\ \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{bu}^\infty v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}-1} \ln(1+v) dv}{u^{-\tilde{\sigma}/(2\eta)}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

there exists a constant $L > 0$, such that

$$0 < \int_{bu}^\infty v^{\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta}-1} \ln(1+v) dv \leq Lu^{-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{2\eta}},$$

and then for $u = \|y\|_\beta^{\eta\delta_2}$, it follows that

$$0 < \theta_{\tilde{\sigma}}(y) \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma} \sin(\frac{\pi\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta})}{\eta\pi} L \|y\|_\beta^{-\frac{\delta_2\tilde{\sigma}}{2}},$$

namely, $\theta_{\bar{\sigma}}(y) = O(\|y\|_{\beta}^{-\frac{\delta_2 \bar{\sigma}}{2}})(y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})$. \square

LEMMA 4. *On the assumptions of Definition 1, if $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0$, $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0$, then (i) for $p > 1$, we have the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &:= \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{-p\delta_2\sigma - j_0}}{(\omega(\sigma, y))^{p-1}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \bar{\omega}(\sigma, x) \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0 - \delta_1\sigma) - i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}; \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we have the reverse of (18).

Proof. (i) For $p > 1$, by Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [23]), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \left[\frac{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0 - \delta_1\sigma)/q}}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{(j_0 + \delta_2\sigma)/p}} f(x) \right] \left[\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{(j_0 + \delta_2\sigma)/p}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0 - \delta_1\sigma)/q}} \right] dx \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0 - \delta_1\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{j_0 + \delta_2\sigma}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{(j_0 + \delta_2\sigma)(q-1)}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{i_0 - \delta_1\sigma}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= (\omega(\sigma, y))^{\frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{\frac{j_0}{p} + \delta_2\sigma} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0 - \delta_1\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{j_0 + \delta_2\sigma}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Fubini theorem (cf. [22]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{j_0+\delta_2\sigma}} f^p(x) dx \right\} dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{j_0+\delta_2\sigma}} dy \right\} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \overline{\omega}(\sigma, x) \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (18) follows.

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, by the reverse Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [23]), we obtain the reverse of (19). Then by Fubini theorem, we still can obtain the reverse of (18). \square

LEMMA 5. *On the assumptions of Lemma 4, then*

(i) for $p > 1$, we have the following inequality equivalent to (18):

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x)g(y) dx dy \\
 &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \overline{\omega}(\sigma, x) \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega(\sigma, y) \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}; \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we have the reverse of (21) equivalent to the reverse of (18).

Proof. (i) For $p > 1$, by Hölder’s inequality (cf. [23]), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\frac{j_0}{q}-(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)}}{(\omega(\sigma, y))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x) dx \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[(\omega(\sigma, y))^{\frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-\frac{j_0}{q}} g(y) \right] dy \\
 &\leq J_1 \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega(\sigma, y) \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (18), we have (21).

On the other hand, assuming that (21) is valid, we set

$$g(y) := \frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{-p\delta_2\sigma-j_0}}{(\omega(\sigma, y))^{p-1}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x) dx \right\}^{p-1}, \quad y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}.$$

Then it follows

$$J_1^p = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega(\sigma, y) \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy.$$

If $J_1 = 0$, then (18) is trivially valid; if $J_1 = \infty$, then by (20), (18) keeps the form of equality ($= \infty$). Suppose that $0 < J_1 < \infty$. By (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \omega(\sigma, y) \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy &= J_1^p = I \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \varpi(\sigma, x) \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \omega(\sigma, y) \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \omega(\sigma, y) \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \varpi(\sigma, x) \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then (18) follows. Hence, (18) and (21) are equivalent.

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, by the same way, we can obtain the reverse of (21) equivalent to the reverse of (18). \square

3. Main results and operator expressions

Setting

$$\Phi(x) := \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0}, \Psi(y) := \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}, y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}),$$

we have

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, $\alpha, \beta, b > 0$, $0 < \sigma < \eta$, $\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ($i = 1, 2$), $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0$, $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0$,*

$$0 < \|f\|_{p, \Phi} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q, \Psi} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor $K(\sigma)$:

$$I = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x)g(y)dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\Phi} \|g\|_{q,\Psi}, \quad (23)$$

$$J := \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{1}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{p\delta_2\sigma+j_0}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\Phi}, \quad (24)$$

where,

$$K(\sigma) := (K_{\beta}(\sigma))^{\frac{1}{p}} (K_{\alpha}(\sigma))^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta} \pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\eta})}. \quad (25)$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we still can obtain the equivalent reverses of (23) and (24) with the same best constant factor $K(\sigma)$.

Proof. (i) For $p > 1$, by the conditions, we can prove that (19) takes the form of strict inequality. Otherwise, if (19) takes the form of equality for a $y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}$, then, there exist constants A and B , which are not all zero, such that (cf. [23])

$$A \frac{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)(p-1)}}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{j_0+\delta_2\sigma}} f^p(x) = B \frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)(q-1)}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{i_0-\delta_1\sigma}} \text{ a.e. in } x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}. \quad (26)$$

If $A = 0$, then $B = 0$, which is impossible; if $A \neq 0$, then (26) reduces to

$$\|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\delta_1\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) = \frac{B \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)}}{A \|x\|_{\alpha}^{i_0}} \text{ a.e. in } x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0},$$

which contradicts the fact that $0 < \|f\|_{p,\Phi} < \infty$. In fact, by (9), it follows $\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{-i_0} dx = \infty$. Hence, (19) takes the form of strict inequality. So does (18). By (14) and (15), we have (24).

In view of (22) (for $\omega(\sigma, y) = 1$), we still have

$$I \leq J \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\delta_2\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (27)$$

Then by (27) and (24), we have (23). It is evident that by Lemma 5 and the assumptions, (23) and (24) are also equivalent.

For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\rho\sigma}{2}$, we set $\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{g}(y)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1} < 1, \\ \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1(\sigma - \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}) - i_0}, & \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1} \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{g}(y) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} < 1, \\ \|y\|_{\beta}^{-\delta_2(\sigma + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}) - j_0}, & \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then for $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma - \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \in (\frac{\sigma}{2}, \eta)$, by (10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \Phi} \|\tilde{g}\|_{q, \Psi} &= \left(\int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1} \geq 1\}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{-i_0 - \delta_1 \varepsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} \geq 1\}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{-j_0 - \delta_2 \varepsilon} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (17) and (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} \geq 1\}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{-j_0 - \delta_2 \varepsilon} O(\|y\|_{\beta}^{-\frac{\delta_2 \tilde{\sigma}}{2}}) dy \\ &\leq L_1 \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} \geq 1\}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{-j_0 - \delta_2(\varepsilon + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{2})} dy \\ &= \frac{L_1 \Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{(\varepsilon + \tilde{\sigma}/2) \beta^{j_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \leq \frac{L_1 \Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{(\varepsilon + \sigma/4) \beta^{j_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^{\eta} \right] \tilde{f}(x) \tilde{g}(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} \geq 1\}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{-j_0 - \delta_2 \varepsilon} w(\tilde{\sigma}, y) dy \\ &= K_{\alpha}(\tilde{\sigma}) \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}; \|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2} \geq 1\}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{-j_0 - \delta_2 \varepsilon} \left(1 - O(\|y\|_{\beta}^{-\frac{\delta_2 \tilde{\sigma}}{2}}) \right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} K_{\alpha}(\tilde{\sigma}) \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0 - 1} \Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} - \varepsilon O_{\sigma}(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a constant $K \leq K(\sigma)$, such that (23) is valid when replacing $K(\sigma)$ by K , then in particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\alpha})} \frac{b^{\tilde{\sigma}/\eta} \pi}{\tilde{\sigma} \sin(\frac{\pi\tilde{\sigma}}{\eta})} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} - \varepsilon O_\sigma(1) \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \tilde{I} < \varepsilon K \| \tilde{f} \|_{p,\Phi} \| \tilde{g} \|_{q,\Psi} \\ & = K \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then $K(\sigma) \leq K(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$. Hence $K = K(\sigma)$ is the best possible constant factor of (23).

By the equivalency, we can prove that the constant factor $K(\sigma)$ in (24) is the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach a contradiction by (27) that the constant factor $K(\sigma)$ in (23) is not the best possible.

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, by the same way, we still can obtain the equivalent reverses of (23) and (24) with the same best constant factor. \square

On the assumptions of Theorem 1, for $p > 1$, in view of $J < K(\sigma) \| f \|_{p,\Phi}$, we give the following definition:

DEFINITION 2. We define a multidimensional Hilbert-type integral operator

$$T : \mathbf{L}_{p,\Phi}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})$$

as follows: For $f \in \mathbf{L}_{p,\Phi}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})$, there exists a unique representation $Tf \in \mathbf{L}_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})$, satisfying

$$(Tf)(y) := \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^\eta \right] f(x) dx \quad (y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}), \tag{28}$$

and $\|Tf\|_{p,\Psi^{1-p}} = J$. For $g \in \mathbf{L}_{q,\Psi}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})$, we define the following formal inner product of Tf and g as follows:

$$(Tf, g) := \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|y\|_{\beta}^{\delta_2}}{\|x\|_{\alpha}^{\delta_1}} \right)^\eta \right] f(x) g(y) dx dy. \tag{29}$$

Then by Theorem 1, for $p > 1$, $0 < \|f\|_{p,\Phi}, \|g\|_{q,\Psi} < \infty$, we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(Tf, g) < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\Phi} \|g\|_{q,\Psi}, \tag{30}$$

$$\|Tf\|_{p,\Psi^{1-p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\Phi}. \tag{31}$$

It follows that T is bounded with

$$\|T\| := \sup_{f(\neq \theta) \in \mathbf{L}_{p,\Phi}(\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0})} \frac{\|Tf\|_{p,\Psi^{1-p}}}{\|f\|_{p,\Phi}} \leq K(\sigma).$$

Since the constant factor $K(\sigma)$ in (31) is the best possible, we have

$$\|T\| = K(\sigma) = \left(\frac{\Gamma^{j_0}(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\beta^{j_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{j_0}{\beta})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{i_0}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{i_0-1}\Gamma(\frac{i_0}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{b^{\sigma/\eta}\pi}{\sigma \sin(\frac{\pi\sigma}{\eta})}. \tag{32}$$

4. Some corollaries

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}(x) &:= \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0+\sigma)-i_0}, & \widetilde{\Phi}(x) &:= \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\sigma)-i_0} (x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}), \\ \widehat{\Psi}(y) &:= \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\sigma)-j_0}, & \widetilde{\Psi}(y) &:= \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} (y \in \mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}). \end{aligned}$$

For $\delta_1 = -1, \delta_2 = 1$ in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha, \beta, b > 0, 0 < \sigma < \eta, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0, g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0,$*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|f\|_{p, \widehat{\Phi}} &= \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0+\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \\ 0 < \|g\|_{q, \widehat{\Psi}} &= \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0+\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the equivalent inequalities with the non-homogeneous kernel and the best possible constant factor $K(\sigma)$ as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \ln \left[1 + b (\|x\|_{\alpha} \|y\|_{\beta})^{\eta} \right] f(x)g(y) dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \widehat{\Phi}} \|g\|_{q, \widehat{\Psi}}, \tag{33}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \frac{1}{\|y\|_{\beta}^{p\sigma+j_0}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b (\|x\|_{\alpha} \|y\|_{\beta})^{\eta} \right] f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \widehat{\Phi}}. \tag{34}$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we still have the equivalent reverses of (33) and (34) with the same best constant factor $K(\sigma)$.

For $\delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = -1$ in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha, \beta, b > 0, 0 < \sigma < \eta, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0, g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0,$*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|f\|_{p, \widetilde{\Phi}} &= \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \|x\|_{\alpha}^{p(i_0-\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \\ 0 < \|g\|_{q, \widetilde{\Psi}} &= \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \|y\|_{\beta}^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the equivalent inequalities with the non-homogeneous kernel and the best possible constant factor $K(\sigma)$ as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + \frac{b}{(|x||\alpha||y||\beta)^\eta} \right] f(x)g(y)dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\Phi}} \|g\|_{q,\tilde{\Psi}}, \quad (35)$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{1}{|y|^{-p\sigma+j_0}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + \frac{b}{(|x||\alpha||y||\beta)^\eta} \right] f(x)dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\Phi}}. \quad (36)$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we still have the equivalent reverses of (35) and (36) with the same best constant factor $K(\sigma)$.

For $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, $\alpha, \beta, b > 0$, $0 < \sigma < \eta$, $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0$, $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0$,*

$$0 < \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\Phi}} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} |x|^\alpha \left[\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right]^{p(i_0-\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\tilde{\Psi}} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} |y|^\beta \left[\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right]^{q(j_0+\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the following equivalent inequalities with the homogeneous kernel of degree 0 and the best possible constant factor $K(\sigma)$:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{|y||\beta|}{|x||\alpha|} \right)^\eta \right] f(x)g(y)dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\Phi}} \|g\|_{q,\tilde{\Psi}}, \quad (37)$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{1}{|y|^{p\sigma+j_0}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{|y||\beta|}{|x||\alpha|} \right)^\eta \right] f(x)dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\Phi}}. \quad (38)$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we still have the equivalent reverses of (37) and (38) with the same best constant factor $K(\sigma)$.

For $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = -1$ in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose that $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, $\alpha, \beta, b > 0$, $0 < \sigma < \eta$, $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0}) \geq 0$, $g(y) = g(y_1, \dots, y_{j_0}) \geq 0$,*

$$0 < \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\Phi}} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} |x|^\alpha \left[\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right]^{p(i_0+\sigma)-i_0} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$0 < \|g\|_{q,\tilde{\Psi}} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} |y|^\beta \left[\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right]^{q(j_0-\sigma)-j_0} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the following equivalent inequalities with the homogeneous kernel of degree 0 and the best possible constant factor $K(\sigma)$:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|x\|_\alpha}{\|y\|_\beta} \right)^\eta \right] f(x)g(y)dx dy < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \hat{\Phi}} \|g\|_{q, \hat{\Psi}}, \quad (39)$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{j_0}} \frac{1}{\|y\|_\beta^{-p\sigma+j_0}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{i_0}} \ln \left[1 + b \left(\frac{\|x\|_\alpha}{\|y\|_\beta} \right)^\eta \right] f(x)dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K(\sigma) \|f\|_{p, \hat{\Phi}}. \quad (40)$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, or $p < 0$, we still have the equivalent reverses of (39) and (40) with the same best constant factor $K(\sigma)$.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61370186), and 2012 Knowledge Construction Special Foundation Item of Guangdong Institution of Higher Learning College and University (No. 2012KJCX0079) (second author).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [2] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, A. M. FINK, *Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
- [3] B. C. YANG, *Hilbert-type integral inequalities*, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., The United Arab Emirates, 2009.
- [4] B. C. YANG, *Discrete Hilbert-type inequalities*, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., The United Arab Emirates, 2011.
- [5] B. C. YANG, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, 2009 (Chinese).
- [6] B. C. YANG, *Hilbert-type integral operators: norms and inequalities*, (In Chapter 42 of “Nonlinear analysis, stability, approximation, and inequalities” (P. M. Paralos et al.)), Springer, New York, 771–859 (2012).
- [7] B. C. YANG, *On Hilbert's integral inequality*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **220** (1998), 778–785.
- [8] Y. HONG, *On multiple Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities with some parameters*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 2006, Article ID 94960, 11 pages, 2006.
- [9] B. C. YANG, I. BRNETIĆ, M. KRNIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, *Generalization of Hilbert and Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities*, Math. Ineq. and Appl., **8** (2) (2005), 259–272.
- [10] M. KRNIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, *Hilbert's inequalities and their reverses*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **67** (3–4) (2005), 315–331.
- [11] B. C. YANG, TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the way of weight coefficient and research for Hilbert-type inequalities*, Math. Ineq. Appl., **6** (4) (2003), 625–658.
- [12] B. C. YANG, TH. M. RASSIAS, *On a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the subinterval and its operator expression*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **4** (2) (2010), 100–110.
- [13] L. AZAR, *On some extensions of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and Applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2009, no. 546829.
- [14] B. ARPAD, O. CHOONGHONG, *Best constant for certain multilinear integral operator*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2006, no. 28582.
- [15] J. C. KUANG, L. DEBNATH, *On Hilbert's type inequalities on the weighted Orlicz spaces*, Pacific J. Appl. Math., **1** (1) (2007), 95–103.
- [16] W. Y. ZHONG, *The Hilbert-type integral inequality with a homogeneous kernel of Lambda-degree*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2008, no. 917329.

- [17] W. Y. ZHONG, B. C. YANG, *On multiple Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality with kernel*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2007, Art. ID 27962, 17 pages.
- [18] B. C. YANG, M. KRNIĆ, *On the norm of a multi-dimensional Hilbert-type operator*, Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics, **7** (20) (2011), 223–243.
- [19] M. TH. RASSIAS, B. C. YANG, *A multidimensional half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality and the Riemann zeta function*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **225** (2013), 263–277.
- [20] M. TH. RASSIAS, B. C. YANG, *On half-discrete Hilbert's inequality*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **220** (2013), 75–93.
- [21] Y. J. LI, B. HE, *On inequalities of Hilbert's type*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, **76** (1) (2007), 1–13.
- [22] J. C. KUANG, *Introduction to real analysis*, Hunan Education Press, Chansha, 1996 (Chinese).
- [23] J. C. KUANG, *Applied inequalities*, Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan, 2004 (Chinese).

(Received December 29, 2014)

Tuo Liu
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Jishou University
Hunan, Jishou 416000, P. R. China
e-mail: jsdxtuoliu@163.com

Bicheng Yang
Department of Mathematics
Guangdong University of Education
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China
e-mail: bcyang@gdei.edu.cn

Leping He
College of Mathematics and Statistics
Jishou University
Hunan, Jishou 416000, P. R. China
e-mail: jdheleping@163.com