

A FIEDLER–TYPE THEOREM FOR THE DETERMINANT OF J –POSITIVE MATRICES

NATÁLIA BEBIANO AND JOÃO DA PROVIDÊNCIA

(Communicated by J.-C. Bourin)

Abstract. In this note we characterize the set of all possible values attained by the determinant of the sum of two J -positive matrices with prescribed spectra, under a natural compatibility condition.

1. Introduction

Let A and C be Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices with prescribed eigenvalues, $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ and $c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n$, respectively. Fiedler [7] proved that $\det(A + C)$ lies between the minimum and the maximum of $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + c_{\sigma(i)})$, where S_n denotes the symmetric group of degree n .

This result has been generalized in several ways (cf. [3, 5, 8] and the references therein), and is in the origin of the longstanding conjecture of Marcus-de Oliveira [9, 12] on the determinant of the sum of two normal matrices.

MARCUS-DE OLIVEIRA CONJECTURE. *Let A and C be $n \times n$ normal matrices with prescribed complex eigenvalues a_1, \dots, a_n and c_1, \dots, c_n , respectively. Let Δ be the subset of \mathbf{C} given by*

$$\Delta = co \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j + c_{\sigma(j)}) : \sigma \in S_n \right\}.$$

Then,

$$\det(A + C) \in \Delta.$$

For details see [3, 1, 6]. The goal of the present note is to obtain bounds for the determinant of the sum of J -Hermitian matrices. Matrices of this type appear in relativistic quantum mechanics and in quantum physics, and inequalities involving them deserve the attention of researchers (cf. [2] and therein references).

Next, we recall some useful facts.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 46C20, 47A12.

Keywords and phrases: J -selfadjoint matrix, indefinite norm, determinant.

This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra – UID/MAT/00324/2013, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MEC.

Given a selfadjoint involution $J \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, that is, $J = J^*$, $J^2 = I$, let us consider \mathbb{C}^n endowed with the indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by

$$[x, y] := \langle Jx, y \rangle = y^* Jx, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Assume that $(r, n - r)$, $0 \leq r \leq n$, is the inertia of J . The J -adjoint of a complex matrix A , is defined and denoted as

$$[A^\#x, y] := [x, Ay] \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

A matrix A is said to be J -selfadjoint or J -Hermitian if $A = A^\#$ or equivalently $A = JA^*J$. If, in addition, $[Ax, x] > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, equivalently, $A = JP$, for some positive definite matrix P , then A is called J -positive definite. The eigenvalues of a J -selfadjoint matrix may not be real, nevertheless its spectrum must be closed under complex conjugation. Note that the eigenvalues of a J -positive matrix are all real, nevertheless, some of them are positive and others are negative, according to the J -norm of the associated eigenvectors. A matrix U is J -unitary if $UJU^* = J$. The J -unitary matrices form a connected but non-compact group, usually denoted by $\mathcal{U}(r, n - r)$ [11].

Throughout this note, we assume that A and C are J -Hermitian matrices with real eigenvalues a_j and c_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, respectively.

We define $|\sigma_+^J(A)|$ and $|\sigma_-^J(A)|$ as the positive and negative indices of A , respectively. In the sequel, we shall assume that the eigenvalues of A, C are arranged according to the J -order,

$$a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r > 0 > a_{r+1} \geq \dots \geq a_n, \quad c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_r > 0 > c_{r+1} \geq \dots \geq c_n \quad (1)$$

We say that A, C are compatible when their indeces are the same. Compatible J -Hermitian matrices are J -unitarily diagonalizable, i.e., there exist $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(r, n - r)$ such that $UAU^\# = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $VCV^\# = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Our main aim is to prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let A, C be two compatible J -positive matrices with negative index p . If p is even, then*

$$\det(A + C) \geq \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j + c_j).$$

If p is odd, then the inequality reverses.

2. Proofs

Before proving our main result, some considerations are in order. We are interested in the characterization of the set

$$D^J(A, C) = \{\det(A + UCU^\#) : U \in \mathcal{U}(r, n - r)\}. \quad (2)$$

As $U \in \mathcal{U}(r, n - r)$ is connected and $D^J(A, C)$ is the range of the continuous map from $\mathcal{U}(r, n - r)$ to \mathbb{C} defined by $U \rightarrow \det(A + UCU^\#)$, $D^J(A, C)$ is a connected set in the

complex plane. Since the determinant is J -unitarily invariant, without loss of generality we may consider $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $C = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$. Obviously, if either A or C is scalar, then $D^J(A, C)$ reduces to a singleton. If J is the identity, then A, C are Hermitian matrices, and the theorem of Fiedler [7] applies.

As usual, the permutation matrix associated to $\sigma \in S_n$, is defined by $(P_\sigma)_{ij} = \delta_{\sigma(i),j}$ (the Kronecker symbol which equals one if $\sigma(i) = j$ and zero otherwise). In the sequel we use the following notation

$$S_n^r = \{ \sigma \in S_n : \sigma(j) = j, j = r + 1, \dots, n \}, \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{S}_n^r = \{ \sigma \in S_n : \sigma(j) = j, j = 1, \dots, r \}. \tag{4}$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let A and C be compatible J -Hermitian matrices. The following occurs:*

(i) *The set $D^J(A, C)$ is the half-line*

$$D^J(A, C) = \{ (a_1 + c_1)(a_2 + c_2) - s(a_1 - a_2)(c_1 - c_2) : s \geq 0 \},$$

for 2×2 matrices.

(ii) *The $r!(n - r)!$ points*

$$z_\sigma = z_{\xi\tau} = \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j + c_{\xi(j)}) \prod_{j=r+1}^n (a_j + c_{\tau(j)}), \quad \xi \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r, \tag{5}$$

belong to $D^J(A, C)$,

(iii) *The line segments defined by two σ -points generated by permutations that differ by a transposition are contained in $D^J(A, C)$. On the other hand, the $r!(n - r)!$ half-lines*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{i,j,\sigma,\tau} &= [(a_i + c_{\sigma(i)})(a_{r+j} + c_{\tau(r+j)}) - s(a_i - a_{r+j})(c_{\sigma(i)} - c_{\tau(r+j)})] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k \neq i} \prod_{l \neq j} (a_k + c_{\sigma(k)})(a_{r+l} + c_{\tau(r+l)}): \\ &\quad s \geq 0, \sigma \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r, 1 \leq i \leq r < j \leq n, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

are also contained in $D^J(A, C)$.

Proof. (i) Considering in (2) matrices A and B of order 2 and

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \text{ch } u e^{i\psi} & \text{sh } u e^{i\phi} \\ \text{sh } u e^{-i\phi} & \text{ch } u e^{-i\psi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathbf{R}, \phi, \psi \in [0, 2\pi[,$$

which belongs to $\mathcal{U}(1, 1)$, by direct computation, we easily find

$$D^J(A, C) =] - \infty, (a_1 + c_1)(a_2 + c_2)].$$

(ii) The points are produced taking in (2), $U = P_\sigma P_\tau$.

(iii) The line segments are described considering in (2) the matrix $U = VP_\sigma P_\tau$, where $\sigma \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r$ and V is the matrix obtained from the identity replacing the entries $(i, i), (i, j), (j, i)$ and (j, j) by $\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \cos \theta$ and $-\sin \theta$, respectively, for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ or for $r + 1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The half-line $L_{i,j,\sigma,\tau}$ is described considering in (2) the matrix $U = VP_\sigma P_\tau$, where $\sigma \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r$ and V is the matrix obtained from the identity replacing the entries $(i, i), (i, j + r), (j + r, i)$ and $(j + r, j + r)$ by $ch u, sh u, chu$ and $sh u$, respectively. \square

The eigenvalues of A are said to *interlace* if

$$a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r, \quad a_{r+1} \geq \dots \geq a_n, \quad a_1 \neq a_r, \quad a_{r+1} \neq a_n, \quad a_r \not\geq a_{r+1}, \quad a_n \not\geq a_1.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let A, C be J -Hermitian compatible matrices. If either the eigenvalues of A interlace and $a_r \neq a_{r+1}, a_r \neq a_n$ or the eigenvalues of C interlace and $c_r \neq c_{r+1}, c_r \neq c_n$, then $D^J(A, C)$ is the whole real line.*

Proof. Under the assumptions, there will be half-lines $L_{i,j,\sigma,\tau}$ with the same end point, described considering in (2) the matrix $U = VP_\sigma P_\tau$ (where $\sigma \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r$ and V is the matrix obtained from the identity replacing the entries $(i, i), (i, j + r), (j + r, i)$ and $(j + r, j + r)$ by $ch u, sh u, chu$ and $sh u$, respectively), some of which are directed to the right, and some to the left. \square

For brevity, the points z_σ will be called σ -points.

We remark that the converse of last proposition is not valid, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 1. Let

$$A_0 = C_0 = \text{diag}(3, -1, -2), \quad J_3 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1).$$

We investigate the set

$$D^J(A_0, C_0) = \{ \det(A_0 + UC_0J_3U^*) : UJ_3U^* = J_3 \}.$$

We easily find for $J_2 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$,

$$\left\{ (-2) \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + V \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} J_2 V^* \right) : VJ_2V^* = J_2 \right\} = \{ 20s^2 : s \in \mathbf{R} \} = [0, +\infty[$$

and

$$\left\{ 6 \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} + V \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} J_2 V^* \right) : VJ_2V^* = J_2 \right\} = \{ -108s^2 : s \in \mathbf{R} \} =]-\infty, 0].$$

Thus,

$$D^J(A_0, C_0) = \mathbf{R}.$$

LEMMA 1. Let A, C be J -Hermitian matrices under the assumptions of Theorem 1. If all the σ -points do not have the same sign, then $D^J(A, C)$ is the whole real line.

Proof. Assume that some σ -points are positive and some are negative. Accordingly, some of the half-lines $L_{i,j,\sigma,\tau}$ which are described considering in (2) the matrix $U = VP_\sigma P_\tau$ (where $\sigma \in S'_n, \tau \in \hat{S}'_n$ and V is the matrix obtained from the identity replacing the entries $(i, i), (i, j+r), (j+r, i)$ and $(j+r, j+r)$ by $\text{ch } u, \text{sh } u, \text{ch } u$ and $\text{sh } u$, respectively) are directed to the right, and some to the left, depending on the end-points being positive or negative. Having in mind that $D^J(A, C)$ is connected, the result follows. \square

The following question arises. Let A, C be compatible J -Hermitian matrices. If all the σ -points have the same sign, is $D^J(A, C)$ the whole real line, or a half-line? A partial answer is given in the proof of Theorem 1, namely, if p is even (odd), then $D^J(A, C)$ is half-line to the left (right).

Proof of Theorem 1. Since A, C are J -positive, so is $A + C$. Thus, $\det(J(A + C)) = (-1)^{n-r} \det(A + C) > 0$, so that $\det(A + C) > 0$ if p is even and $\det(A + C) < 0$ if p is odd. Moreover, $a_r > 0 > a_{r+1}$ and $c_r > 0 > c_{r+1}$. According to the hypothesis, the matrix A is J -unitarily similar to $A_0 = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and C is J -unitarily similar to $C_0 = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Clearly, $\det(A + C) = \det(A_0 + VC_0V^\#)$ for some J -unitary matrix V . Assume p is even, so that $\det(A + C) > 0$. Then, the closure of $D^J(A; C)$ is a half-ray with its end-point. There exists a J -unitary matrix V_0 such that

$$\det(A_0 + V_0C_0V_0^\#) = \inf_{V \in \mathcal{U}(r, n-r)} \det(A_0 + VC_0V^\#).$$

We claim that

$$[A_0, VC_0V^\#] = 0,$$

where $[X, Y] = XY - YX$. Let $C'_0 = VC_0V^\#$ so that $\det(A_0 + C'_0)$ is an extremal point of $D^J(A, C)$. For simplicity, assume that $a_1 > \dots > a_n$. Let $H \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ be J -Hermitian. For any real t , the matrix $e^{itH} = I + itH - 1/2t^2H^2 + \dots$ is J -unitary. Consider the one-parameter curve in $D(A, C)$

$$t \rightarrow \det(A_0 + e^{-itH}C'_0e^{itH}) = \det(A_0 + C'_0) [1 + it\text{Tr}(A_0 + C'_0)^{-1}[H, C'_0]] + \Theta(t^2).$$

Since we are assuming that $\det(A_0 + C'_0)$ is an extremal point,

$$0 = \text{Tr}(A_0 + C'_0)^{-1}[H, C'_0] = \text{Tr}H[(A_0 + C'_0)^{-1}, C'_0]$$

for all J -Hermitian H . Henceforth,

$$[C'_0, (A_0 + C'_0)^{-1}] = C'_0(A_0 + C'_0)^{-1} - (A_0 + C'_0)^{-1}C'_0 = 0.$$

Consequently, $(A_0 + C'_0)C'_0 - C'_0(A_0 + C'_0) = 0$ and the claim follows. Since A_0 is in diagonal form, $VC_0V^\#$ is also in diagonal form. Thus, $\det(A_0 + C'_0)$ is a σ -point, and

so, the minimum is attained and belongs to $D^J(A, C)$. We drop the assumption that the eigenvalues of A are distinct by a continuity argument.

Let p be even. It is clear that the origin does not belong to $D^J(A, C)$. According to the hypothesis, the points in (5) and the half-lines in (6), are in the positive real line. Having in mind that $D^J(A, C)$ is a connected set, it follows that it is a half-line whose endpoint is a σ -point by the first part of the proof. Since for $i < j$ and $i' < j'$

$$(a_i + c_{i'})(a_j + c_{j'}) - (a_i + c_{j'})(a_j + c_{i'}) = -(a_i - a_j)(c_{i'} - c_{j'}) < 0$$

and recalling that every permutation can be expressed as a product of transpositions, it is clear that

$$\min_{\sigma \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r} \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j + c_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{j=r+1}^n (a_j + c_{\tau(j)}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j + c_j).$$

Let p be odd. By similar arguments, it is easy to conclude that

$$\max_{\sigma \in S_n^r, \tau \in \hat{S}_n^r} \prod_{j=1}^r (a_j + c_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{j=r+1}^n (a_j + c_{\tau(j)}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j + c_j). \quad \square$$

3. Other determinantal inequalities

For A and C are $n \times n$ J -positive matrices, as JA and JC are positive definite matrices, the following inequalities hold [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \det(J(A+C)) &\geq \det(JA) + \det(JC), \\ (\det(J(A+C)))^{1/n} &\geq (\det(JA))^{1/n} + (\det(JC))^{1/n}, \\ \det(\lambda JA + (1-\lambda)JC) &\geq (\det(JA))^\lambda + (\det(JC))^{1-\lambda}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

As consequence the following inequalities are valid.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If A, C are J -positive matrices for $J = I_r \oplus -I_{n-r}$, then*

$$\det(A+C) \geq \det(A) + \det(C), \quad \text{if } n-r \text{ is even,}$$

and

$$\det(A+C) \leq \det(A) + \det(C), \quad \text{if } n-r \text{ is odd.}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If A, C are $n \times n$ J -positive matrices for $J = I_r \oplus -I_{n-r}$, then*

$$(\det(A+C))^{1/n} \geq (\det(A))^{1/n} + (\det(C))^{1/n}, \quad \text{if } n-r \text{ is even,}$$

and

$$(\det(A+C))^{1/n} \leq -|\det(A)|^{1/n} - |\det(C)|^{1/n}, \quad \text{if } n-r \text{ is odd.}$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, A, C J -positive matrices and $J = I_r \oplus -I_{n-r}$, then

$$\det(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)C) \geq (\det(A))^\lambda + (\det(C))^{1-\lambda}, \quad \text{if } n - r \text{ is even,}$$

and

$$\det(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)C) \leq -|\det(A)|^\lambda - |\det(C)|^{1-\lambda}, \quad \text{if } n - r \text{ is odd.}$$

We remark that the estimates for the determinant of the sum of J -positive matrices A, C in Theorem 1 are the best possible in terms of the eigenvalues of A and C .

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the Referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. BEBIANO, A. KOVACEC AND J. DA PROVIDÊNCIA, *The validity of Marcus-de Oliveira Conjecture for essentially Hermitian matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **197** (1994) 411–427.
- [2] N. BEBIANO, R. LEMOS, J. DA PROVIDÊNCIA AND G. SOARES, *Further developments of Furuta inequality of indefinite type*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, **13** (2010) 523–535.
- [3] N. BEBIANO, J. K. MERIKOSKI AND J. DA PROVIDÊNCIA, *On a conjecture of G. N. de Oliveira*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **20** (1987), 167–170.
- [4] J. BOGNAR, *Indefinite inner product spaces*, Springer, 1974.
- [5] S. W. DRURY AND B. CLOAD, *On the determinantal conjecture of Marcus and de Oliveira*, Linear Algebra Appl. **177**: 105–109 (1992).
- [6] S. W. DRURY, *Essentially Hermitian matrices revisited*, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, **15** (2006) 285–296.
- [7] M. FIEDLER, *The determinant of the sum of Hermitian matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** 27–31 (1971).
- [8] C.-K. LI, Y.-T. POON AND N.-S. SZE, *Ranks and determinants of the sum of matrices from unitary orbits*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **56** 108–130 (2008).
- [9] M. MARCUS, *Plucker relations and the numerical range*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22:1137–1149, (1973).
- [10] M. L. MEHTA, *Matrix Theory, Sected Topics and Useful Results*, Industan Publishing Corporation, New Delhi, 1971.
- [11] H. NAKAZATO, N. BEBIANO AND J. DA PROVIDÊNCIA, *J-orthostochastic matrices of size 3×3 and numerical ranges of Krein space operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **407** 211–232 (2005).
- [12] G. N. DE OLIVEIRA, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **12** 153–154 (1982).
- [13] M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. A. STEGUN (EDS), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series **55**, 9th printing, Washington, 1970.
- [14] W. BURNSIDE, *A rapidly convergent series for $\log N!$* , Messenger Math., **46**, 1 (1917), 157–159.

(Received July 23, 2015)

Natália Bebiano
CMUC, Department of Mathematics
Universidade de Coimbra
3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal
e-mail: bebiano@mat.uc.pt

João da Providência
CFisUC, Departamento de Física
Universidade de Coimbra
3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal
e-mail: providencia@teor.fis.uc.pt