

# OPTIMAL EVALUATIONS FOR THE SÁNDOR-YANG MEAN BY POWER MEAN

## ZHEN-HANG YANG AND YU-MING CHU

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper, we present the best possible upper and lower bounds for the Sándor-Yang mean in terms of the power mean.

## 1. Introduction

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the Sándor-Yang mean B(a,b) [12] and rth power mean  $M_r(a,b)$  of two distinct positive real numbers a and b are respectively defined by

$$B(a,b) = Q(a,b)e^{A(a,b)/T(a,b)-1}$$
(1.1)

and

$$M_r(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a^r + b^r}{2}\right)^{1/r}, & r \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{ab}, & r = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

where  $Q(a,b) = \sqrt{(a^2+b^2)/2}$ , A(a,b) = (a+b)/2 and  $T(a,b) = (a-b)/(2 \arctan((a-b)/(a+b))]$  are respectively the quadratic, arithmetic and Seiffert mean of a and b.

It is well known that  $M_r(a,b)$  is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  for fixed a,b>0 with  $a \neq b$ . Many classical means are the special cases of the power mean, for example,  $M_{-1}(a,b)=2ab/(a+b)=H(a,b)$  is the harmonic mean,  $M_0(a,b)=\sqrt{ab}=G(a,b)$  is the geometric mean,  $M_1(a,b)=(a+b)/2=A(a,b)$  and  $M_2(a,b)=\sqrt{(a^2+b^2)/2}=Q(a,b)$ . The main properties for the power mean are given in [3].

Recently, the bounds for certain bivariate means in terms of the power mean have attracted the attention of many mathematicians [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 16]. Yang et. al. [14] proved that

$$M_1(a,b) < B(a,b) < M_2(a,b)$$
 (1.3)

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26E60.

Keywords and phrases: Power mean, Seiffert mean, arithmetic mean, quadratic mean, Sándor-Yang mean.

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61374086, 11371125 and 11401191.

for all a, b > 0 with  $a \neq b$ .

Motivated by inequality (1.3), it is natural to ask what are the greatest value p and the least value q such that the double inequality

$$M_p(a,b) < B(a,b) < M_q(a,b)$$

holds for all a,b > 0 with  $a \neq b$ ? The main purpose of this paper is to answer this question.

### 2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.

LEMMA 2.1. (See [13, Lemma 7]) Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be a nonnegative real sequence with  $a_m > 0$  and  $\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k > 0$ , and

$$P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k t^k - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k t^k$$

be a convergent power series on the interval  $(0,\infty)$ . Then there exists  $t^* \in (0,\infty)$  such that  $P(t^*) = 0$ , P(t) > 0 for  $t \in (0,t^*)$  and P(t) < 0 for  $t \in (t^*,\infty)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. (See [11, Lemma 6]) The function  $r \to 2^{1/r} M_r(a,b)$  is strictly decreasing and log-convex on  $(0,\infty)$  for all a,b>0 with  $a\neq b$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let t > 0,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$f_1(t,p) = -\arctan(\tanh(t)) + \sinh(t)\cosh(t) - \tanh(pt)\sinh^2(t). \tag{2.1}$$

Then the following statements are true:

- (i) if  $p \le 1$ , then  $f_1(t,p)$  is strictly increasing with respect to t on  $(0,\infty)$ ;
- (ii) if  $p \ge 4/3$ , then  $f_1(t,p)$  is strictly decreasing with respect to t on  $(0,\infty)$ ;
- (iii) if  $p \in (1,4/3)$ , then there exists  $t_1 \in (0,\infty)$  such that  $f_1(t,p)$  is strictly increasing with respect to t on  $(0,t_1)$  and strictly decreasing with respect to t on  $(t_1,\infty)$ .

Proof. Let

$$u_n(p) = (2-p)^{2n} - p^{2n} + (1-p)2^{2n} + 2p,$$
(2.2)

$$f_2(t,p) = 4\sinh^2(t)\cosh^2\left(\frac{pt}{2}\right) - 4p\cosh(t)\sinh^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) - \sinh(2t)\sinh(pt).$$

Then simple computations lead to

$$u_1\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = 0, \ u_n\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = -\frac{4^{2n} - 2^{2n}}{3^{2n}} - \frac{2^{2n} - 8}{3} < 0 \ (n \ge 2),$$
 (2.3)

$$\frac{\partial f_1(t,p)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\cosh(2t)} + \cosh(2t) - \frac{p \sinh^2(t)}{\cosh^2(pt)} - \tanh(pt) \sinh(2t)$$

$$= \frac{f_2(2t,p)}{4\cosh(2t)\cosh^2(pt)}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$f_2(t,p) = \cosh[(p-2)t] - \cosh(pt) + (1-p)\cosh(2t) + 2p\cosh(t) - p - 1$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n(p)}{(2n)!} t^{2n},$$
(2.5)

$$\frac{\partial f_2(t,p)}{\partial p} = 2\cosh(t) - \cosh(2t) + t \sinh[(p-2)t] - t \sinh(pt) - 1 
= -2[\cosh(t) - 1]\cosh(t) - 2t \sinh(t)\cosh[(p-1)t] < 0.$$
(2.6)

(i) If  $p \le 1$ , then equations (2.5) and (2.6) lead to

$$f_2(t,p) \ge f_2(t,1) = 2[\cosh(t) - 1] > 0.$$
 (2.7)

Therefore, Lemma 2.3 (i) follows easily from (2.4) and (2.7).

(ii) If  $p \ge 4/3$ , then from (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$f_2(t,p) \le f_2\left(t,\frac{4}{3}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n(4/3)}{(2n)!} t^{2n} < 0.$$
 (2.8)

Therefore, Lemma 2.3(ii) follows easily from (2.4) and (2.8).

(iii) If  $p \in (1,4/3)$ , then from (2.4) it is enough to prove that there exists  $t_1 \in (0,\infty)$  such that  $f_2(t,p) > 0$  for  $t \in (0,t_1)$  and  $f_2(t,p) < 0$  for  $t \in (t_1,\infty)$ .

It follows from (2.2) that

$$u_1(p) = 2(4-3p) > 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{u_n(p)}{2^{2n}} = 1 - p < 0,$$
 (2.9)

$$u_{n+1}(p) - u_n(p) = -(p-1)\left[ (3-p)(2-p)^{2n} + 3 \times 2^{2n} + (p+1)p^{2n} \right] < 0$$
 (2.10)

for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Therefore, the desired result follows from (2.5), (2.9), (2.10) and Lemma 2.1.  $\square$ 

LEMMA 2.4. Let t > 0,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_1(t,p)$  be defined by (2.1). Then

- (i)  $f_1(t,p) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$  if and only if  $p \leqslant 1$ ;
- (ii)  $f_1(t,p) < 0$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$  if and only if  $p \ge 4/3$ ;
- (iii) there exists  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $f_1(t_0, p) = 0$ ,  $f_1(t, p) > 0$  for  $t \in (0, t_0)$  and  $f_1(t, p) < 0$  for  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$  if  $p \in (1, 4/3)$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $p \le 1$ , then Lemma 2.3(i) and (2.1) lead to the conclusion that  $f_1(t,p) > f_1(0,p) = 0$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$ .

If  $f_1(t,p) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$ , then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f_1(t,p) \geqslant 0$ . We claim that  $p \leqslant 1$ . Indeed, if p > 1, then from (2.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} f_1(t,p) &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ -\arctan(\tanh(t)) + \frac{\sinh(t)\cosh((p-1)t)}{\cosh(pt)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ -\arctan(\tanh(t)) + \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{2} \frac{1 + e^{-2|p-1|t}}{1 + e^{-2|p|t}} e^{(1 + |p-1| - |p|)t} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2} < 0. \end{split}$$

(ii) If  $p \ge 4/3$ , then Lemma 2.3 (ii) and (2.1) imply that  $f_1(t,p) < f_1(0,p) = 0$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$ .

If  $f_1(t,p) < 0$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$ , then we clearly see that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f_1(t, p)}{t^3} \leqslant 0. \tag{2.11}$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f_1(t, p)}{t^3} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial f_1(t, p)/\partial t}{3t^2} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{3\cosh(2t)\cosh^2(pt)} \times \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f_2(2t, p)}{(2t)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2}u_1(p) = -\left(p - \frac{4}{3}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Inequality (2.11) and equation (2.12) lead to the conclusion that  $p \ge 4/3$ .

(ii) If  $p \in (1,4/3)$ , then from Lemma 2.3 (iii) and the facts that  $f_1(0,p)=0$  and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f_1(t,p)=-\pi/4+1/2<0$  we clearly see that there exists  $t_0\in (0,\infty)$  such that  $f_1(t_0,p)=0$ ,  $f_1(t,p)>0$  for  $t\in (0,t_0)$  and  $f_1(t,p)<0$  for  $t\in (t_0,\infty)$ .  $\square$ 

LEMMA 2.5. Let t > 0,  $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  and

$$F(t,p) = \frac{1}{2}\log[\cosh(2t)] + \frac{\arctan(\tanh(t))}{\tanh(t)} - \frac{1}{p}\log[\cosh(pt)] - 1. \tag{2.13}$$

Then

- (i) F(t,p) is strictly increasing with respect to t on  $(0,\infty)$  if and only if  $p \le 1$ ;
- (ii) F(t,p) is strictly decreasing with respect to t on  $(0,\infty)$  if and only if  $p \ge 4/3$ ;
- (iii) there exists  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $f_1(t_0, p) = 0$ , F(t, p) is strictly increasing with respect to t on  $(0,t_0)$  and strictly decreasing with respect to t on  $(t_0, \infty)$ , where  $f_1(t,p)$  is defined by (2.1).

*Proof.* It follows from (2.13) that

$$\frac{\partial F(t,p)}{\partial t} = \frac{-\arctan(\tanh(t)) + \sinh(t)\cosh(t) - \tanh(pt)\sinh^2(t)}{\sinh^2(t)} = \frac{f_1(t,p)}{\sinh^2(t)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.5 follows from Lemma 2.4 and (2.14).

## 3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. The inequality

$$B(a,b) < M_p(a,b) \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all a,b>0 with  $a\neq b$  if and only if  $p\geqslant 4/3$ . Moreover, the inequality

$$B(a,b) > \lambda_p M_p(a,b) \tag{3.2}$$

holds for all a,b>0 and  $a\neq b$  with the best possible parameter  $\lambda_p=e^{\pi/4-1}2^{1/p-1/2}$  if  $p\geqslant 4/3$ .

*Proof.* Since B(a,b) and M(a,b) are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1, without loss of generality, we assume that b>a>0. Let  $t=\log\sqrt{b/a}>0$ ,  $p\in\mathbb{R}$  and  $p\neq 0$ ,  $f_1(t,p)$  and F(t,p) be defined by (2.1) and (2.13), respectively. Then (1.1), (1.2), (2.1), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) lead to

$$M_{p}(a,b) = \sqrt{ab} \cosh^{1/p}(pt), \quad T(a,b) = \sqrt{ab} \frac{\sinh(t)}{\arctan[\tanh(t)]},$$

$$B(a,b) = \sqrt{ab} \cosh^{1/2}(2t) e^{\arctan(\tanh(t))/\tanh(t)-1},$$

$$\log[B(a,b)] - \log[M_{p}(a,b)] = F(t,p), \tag{3.3}$$

$$F(0^+, p) = 0, (3.4)$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{F(t, p)}{t^2} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\partial F(t, p) / \partial t}{2t} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f_1(t, p)}{2t \sinh^2(t)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( p - \frac{4}{3} \right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}F(t,p)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{|p|}{p} \right) t + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{1 + e^{-4t}}{2} \right) + \frac{\arctan(\tanh(t))}{\tanh(t)} - \frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{1 + e^{-2|p|t}}{2} \right) - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \pi - \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{p} \log 2 - 1 = \log(\lambda_p) \ \ (p > 0). \end{split} \tag{3.6}$$

If  $B(a,b) < M_p(a,b)$ , then (3.3) and (3.5) lead to  $p \ge 4/3$ .

If  $p \geqslant 4/3$ , then from (3.4) and (3.6) together with Lemma 2.5 (ii) we clearly see that

$$\log(\lambda_p) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(t, p) < F(t, p) < F(0^+, p) = 0$$
(3.7)

for all t > 0 with the best possible parameter  $\lambda_p$ .

Therefore, the double inequality

$$\lambda_p M_p(a,b) < B(a,b) < M_p(a,b)$$

holds for all a,b>0 and  $a\neq b$  with the best possible parameter  $\lambda_p$  follows from (3.3) and (3.7).  $\square$ 

Note that

$$\lambda_p M_p(a,b) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\pi/4 - 1} \left( 2^{1/p} M_p(a,b) \right), \quad \lim_{p \to \infty} M_p(a,b) = \max\{a,b\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Let p = 4/3, 3/2,  $2,3,\dots,\infty$ . Then from Lemma 2.2, (3.1), (3.2) and (3.8) together with the monotonicity of the function  $p \to M_p(a,b)$  we get Corollary 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.1. The inequalities

$$\lambda_{\infty} \max\{a,b\} < \dots < \lambda_{2} M_{2}(a,b) < \lambda_{3/2} M_{3/2}(a,b) < \lambda_{4/3} M_{4/3}(a,b)$$
$$< B(a,b) < M_{4/3}(a,b) < M_{3/2}(a,b) < M_{2}(a,b) < \dots < \max\{a,b\}$$

hold for all a,b>0 and  $a\neq b$  with the best possible parameters  $\lambda_{\infty}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^{\pi/4-1}=0.5705\cdots$ ,  $\lambda_2=e^{\pi/4-1}=0.8068\cdots$ ,  $\lambda_{3/2}=2^{1/6}e^{\pi/4-1}=0.9056\cdots$  and  $\lambda_{4/3}=2^{1/4}e^{\pi/4-1}=0.9595\cdots$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $p_0 = 4\log 2/(4 + 2\log 2 - \pi) = 1.2351 \cdots$ . Then the inequality

$$B(a,b) > M_p(a,b) \tag{3.9}$$

holds for all a, b > 0 with  $a \neq b$  if and only if  $p \leqslant p_0$ .

*Proof.* If  $B(a,b) > M_p(a,b)$ , then (3.3) and (3.6) lead to  $p \le p_0$ . If  $p = p_0$ , then (3.4), (3.6) and Lemma 2.5(iii) lead to the conclusion that

$$F(0^+, p_0) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(t, p_0) = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

and there exists  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that the function  $t \to F(t, p_0)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, t_0)$  and strictly decreasing on  $(t_0, \infty)$ .

Therefore,

$$B(a,b) > M_{p_0}(a,b) > M_p(a,b)$$

for all  $p \le p_0$  follows easily from (3.3) and (3.10) together with the piecewise monotonicity of the function  $t \to F(t, p_0)$  and the monotonicity of the function  $p \to M_p(a, b)$ .

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $f_1(t,p)$ , F(t,p) and  $\lambda_p$  be respectively defined by (2.1), (2.13) and Theorem 3.1, and  $p_0 = 4\log 2/(4 + 2\log 2 - \pi) = 1.2351 \cdots$ . Then the inequality

$$B(a,b) < \lambda_p M_p(a,b) \tag{3.11}$$

holds for all a,b > 0 and  $a \neq b$  with the best possible parameter  $\lambda_p$  if  $p \in (0,1]$ , and the inequality

$$B(a,b) \leqslant e^{F(t_0,p)} M_p(a,b)$$
 (3.12)

holds for all a,b>0 and  $a\neq b$  with the best possible parameter  $e^{F(t_0,p)}$  if  $p\in (1,p_0]$ , where  $t_0$  is the unique solution of the equation  $f_1(t,p)=0$  on the interval  $(0,\infty)$ . In particular, Numerical computations show that  $e^{F(t_0,p_0)}=1.012\cdots$ 

*Proof.* If  $p \in (0,1]$ , then inequality (3.11) holds for all a,b > 0 and  $a \neq b$  with the best possible parameter  $\lambda_p$  follows from (3.3) and (3.6) together with Lemma 2.5(i).

If  $p \in (1, p_0]$ , then inequality (3.12) holds for all a, b > 0 and  $a \neq b$  with the best possible parameter  $e^{F(t_0, p)}$  follows from (3.3) and Lemma 2.5 (iii).  $\Box$ 

Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , b > a > 0,  $L_p(a,b) = \left(a^{p+1} + b^{p+1}\right)/\left(a^p + b^p\right)$  be the pth Lehmer mean of a and b,  $f_1(t,p)$  be defined by (2.1), and  $t = \log \sqrt{b/a} > 0$ . Then  $f_1(t,p)$  can be rewritten as

$$f_{1}(t,p) = -\arctan(\tanh(t)) + \sinh(t) \frac{\cosh((p-1)t)}{\cosh(pt)}$$

$$= \frac{\arctan(\tanh(t))\cosh((p-1)t)}{\cosh(pt)} \left( \frac{\sinh(t)}{\arctan(\tanh(t))} - \frac{\cosh(pt)}{\cosh((p-1)t)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\arctan(\tanh(t))\cosh((p-1)t)}{\sqrt{ab}\cosh(pt)} \left( T(a,b) - L_{p-1}(a,b) \right).$$
(3.13)

Lemma 2.4 and (3.13) lead to Corollary 3.3 immediately.

COROLLARY 3.3. (See [9, Theorem 2.2]) The double inequality

$$L_p(a,b) < T(a,b) < L_a(a,b)$$

holds for all a, b > 0 with  $a \neq b$  if and only if  $p \leq 0$  and  $q \geq 1/3$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. The double inequality

$$\lambda L_{1/3}(a,b) < T(a,b) < \mu L_0(a,b)$$

holds for all a,b>0 with  $a\neq b$  if and only if  $\lambda\leqslant 2/\pi$  and  $\mu\geqslant 4/\pi$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that b>a>0. Let  $t=\log\sqrt{b/a}>0$ . Then simple computations lead to

$$\frac{T(a,b)}{L_{1/3}(a,b)} = \frac{\sinh(t)\cosh\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{4t}{3}\right)\arctan(\tanh(t))}, \quad \frac{T(a,b)}{L_0(a,b)} = \frac{\sinh(t)}{\cosh(t)\arctan(\tanh(t))}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\sinh(t)\cosh\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{4t}{3}\right)\arctan(\tanh(t))}=\frac{2}{\pi},\ \ \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\sinh(t)}{\cosh(t)\arctan(\tanh(t))}=\frac{4}{\pi}. \eqno(3.15)$$

The log-convexity of the function  $r \to 2^{1/r} M_r(a,b)$  given by Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\left(2^{3/5}M_{5/3}(a,b)\right)^{3/4}\left(2^3M_{1/3}(a,b)\right)^{1/4} > 2^{3/4}M_{4/3}(a,b),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2^{8/5}}{\pi} M_{5/3}(a,b) > \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{M_{4/3}^{4/3}(a,b)}{M_{1/3}^{1/3}(a,b)} = \frac{2}{\pi} L_{1/3}(a,b). \tag{3.16}$$

Yang et. al. [15] and Witkowski [10] proved that

$$\frac{2^{8/5}}{\pi} M_{5/3}(a,b) < T(a,b) < \frac{4}{\pi} A(a,b) = \frac{4}{\pi} L_0(a,b). \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore, Corollary 3.4 follows from (3.14)–(3.17).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALZER AND S.-L. QIU, Monotonicity theorems and inequalities for the complete elliptic integrals, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 172, (2) (2004), 289–312.
- [2] R. W. BARNARD, K. PEARCE AND K. D. RICHARDS, An inequality involving the generalized hypergeometric function and the arc length of an ellipse, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 31, (3) (2000), 693–699.
- [3] P. S. BULLEN, D. S. MITRINOVIĆ AND P. M. VASIĆ, *Means and Their Inequalities*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht (1988).
- [4] Y.-M. CHU AND B.-Y. LONG, Bounds of the Neuman-Sándor mean using power and identric means, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Article ID 832591(2013), 6 pages.
- [5] Y.-M. CHU, ZH.-H. YANG AND L.-M. WU, Sharp power mean bounds for Sándor mean, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2015, Article ID 172867(2015), 5 pages.
- [6] I. COSTIN AND GH. TOADER, Optimal evaluations of some Seiffert-type means by power means, Appl. Math. Comput. 219, (9) (2013), 4745–4754.
- [7] P. A. HÄSTÖ, Optimal inequalities between Seiffert's mean and power means, Math. Inequal. Appl. 7, (1) (2004), 47–53.
- [8] T. P. LIN, The power mean and the logarithmic mean, Amer. Math. Monthly 81, (1974), 879–883.
- [9] M.-K. WANG, Y.-F. QIU AND Y.-M. CHU, Sharp bounds for Seiffert means in terms of Lehmer mean, J. Math. Inequal. 4, (4) (2013), 581–586.
- [10] A. WITKOWSKI, Interpolations of Schwab-Borchardt mean, Math. Inequal. Appl. 16, 1 (2013), 193–206.
- [11] ZH.-H. YANG, Estimates for Neuman-Sándor mean by power means and their relative errors, J. Math. Inequal. 7, (4) (2013), 711–726.
- [12] ZH.-H. YANG, Three families of two-parameter means constructed by trigonometric functions, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, Article 541 (2013), 27 pages.
- [13] ZH.-H. YANG, Y.-M. CHU AND X.-J. TAO, A double inequality for the trigamma function and its applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Article ID 702718 (2014), 9 pages.
- [14] ZH.-H. YANG, Y.-L. JIANG, Y.-Q. SONG AND Y.-M. CHU, Sharp inequalities for trigonometric functions, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Article ID 601839 (2014), 18 pages.
- [15] ZH.-H. YANG, Y.-Q. SONG AND Y.-M. CHU, Monotonicity of the Ratio of the power and second Seiffert means with applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Article ID 840130 (2014), 4 pages.
- [16] ZH.-H. YANG, L.-M. WU AND Y.-M. CHU, Optimal power mean bounds for Yang mean, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, Article 401 (2014), 10 pages.

(Received November 6, 2015)

Zhen-Hang Yang School of Mathematics and Computation Sciences Hunan City University Yiyang 413000, P. R. China e-mail: yzhkm@163.com

Yu-Ming Chu School of Mathematics and Computation Sciences Hunan City University Yiyang 413000, P. R. China e-mail: chuyuming@hutc.zj.cn