

EIGENVALUE INEQUALITIES RELATED TO THE ANDO–HIAI INEQUALITY

MOHAMMAD BAGHER GHAEMI AND VENUS KALEIBARY

(Communicated by J.-C. Bourin)

Abstract. In this paper, we show that if f is a doubly concave function on $[0, \infty)$ and $0 < sA \leq B \leq tA$ for some scalars $0 < s \leq t$ with $w = t/s$, then for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\lambda_k(f(A) \sharp f(B)) \leq \frac{w^{\frac{1}{2}} + w^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \lambda_k(f(A \sharp B)),$$

where $A \sharp B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the symmetric geometric mean. As an application, we give some reverses of Ando-Hiai and Golden-Thompson type inequalities. These new reverse inequalities, improve some known results.

1. Introduction

In what follows, capital letters A, B, H and K means bounded linear operators on an n -dimensional complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator A is called positive if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and then we write $A \geq 0$. For a pair A, B of Hermitian operators, we say $A \leq B$ if $B - A \geq 0$. Let f be a continuous real function on $(0, \infty)$. Then f is said to be operator monotone if $A \leq B$ implies $f(A) \leq f(B)$ for positive definite operators A, B . Also, f is said to be operator concave if $f(\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)B) \geq \alpha f(A) + (1 - \alpha)f(B)$ for all positive definite operators A, B and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In our preceding paper [8], we presented some results on operator concave functions involving operator means. In the main theorem we showed that if $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an operator concave function and A, B are positive operators such that $0 < sA \leq B \leq tA$, then for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

$$f(A) \sharp_{\alpha} f(B) \leq \max\{S(s), S(t)\} f(A \sharp_{\alpha} B), \quad (1)$$

where $S(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{t-1}}}{e \log(t^{\frac{1}{t-1}})}$ is the so called Specht's ratio, and \sharp_{α} is α -geometric mean.

In this paper we study an analogous of inequality (1), with the generalized Kantorovich constant $K(w, \alpha)$. For this purpose, we need the assumption of doubly concavity of $f(t)$. A non-negative continuous function $f(t)$ defined on a positive interval $I \subset [0, \infty)$, is said doubly concave if:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47A63, 47A64, 15A60.

Keywords and phrases: Doubly concave function, Ando-Hiai inequality, Golden-Thompson inequality, reverse inequality, geometric mean, generalized Kantorovich constant, unitarily invariant norm.

We would like to express our cordial thanks to the Prof. Bourin and the referee for their valuable comments improving this paper.

1. $f(t)$ is concave in the usual sense;
2. $f(t)$ is geometrically concave, i.e., $f(x^\alpha y^{1-\alpha}) \geq f(x)^\alpha f(y)^{1-\alpha}$ for all $x, y \in I$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

If $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are doubly concave on I , then so is their geometric mean $f(t)^\alpha g(t)^{1-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and their minimum $\min\{f(t), g(t)\}$. These properties say that there are a lot of doubly concave functions. We state some examples of such functions in the following and refer to [4], for more examples.

The most important examples of doubly concave functions on $I = [0, \infty)$ are $t \mapsto t^p$ with exponent $p \in [0, 1]$. Other simple examples are $t \mapsto t/(t + 1)$, $t \mapsto t/\sqrt{t + 1}$ and $t \mapsto 1 - e^{-t}$. On $I = [1, \infty)$, the function $\log t$ and on $I = [0, 1]$, the function $-t \log t$ are also doubly concave.

In Section 2 we present the main result of paper on an eigenvalue inequality involving doubly concave functions and geometric means, as mentioned in abstract.

Section 3 and 4 are devoted to state some elegant application of the main theorem. Let A and B be positive operators. By the weakly log-majorization $A \prec_{w \log} B$ we mean that

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(A) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(B), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A)$ are the eigenvalues of A listed in decreasing order. If equality holds when $k = n$, we have the log-majorization $A \prec_{\log} B$. It is known that the weakly log-majorization $A \prec_{w \log} B$ implies $\|A\|_u \leq \|B\|_u$ for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|_u$, i.e. $\|UAV\|_u = \|A\|_u$ for all A and all unitaries U, V . See [2] for theory of majorization.

To study the Golden-Thompson inequality, Ando-Hiai in [1] developed the following log-majorization inequalities:

$$A^r \#_\alpha B^r \prec_{\log} (A \#_\alpha B)^r, \quad r \geq 1, \tag{2}$$

or equivalently

$$(A^p \#_\alpha B^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \prec_{\log} (A^q \#_\alpha B^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad 0 < q \leq p. \tag{3}$$

There are some literatures [10, 11] on the converse of these inequalities in terms of operator norm $\|\cdot\|$.

In section 3 we consider another converse of Ando-Hiai inequalities (2) and (3), in terms of eigenvalue inequalities, which generalizes some results of [10] to all unitarily invariant norms.

Section 4 is devoted to get results on the reverse Golden-Thompson inequalities. The Golden-Thompson trace inequality, which is of importance in statistical mechanics and in the theory of random matrices, states that $Tr e^{H+K} \leq Tr e^H e^K$ for arbitrary Hermitian operators H and K .

This inequality has been complemented in several ways [1, 9]. Ando and Hiai in [1] proved that for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|_u$ and $p > 0$

$$\|(e^{pH}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{pK})^{\frac{1}{p}}\|_u \leq \|e^{(1-\alpha)H+\alpha K}\|_u. \quad (4)$$

Seo in [12] showed converse of the Golden-Thompson type inequality (4), and in section 4 we establish another reverse of this inequality. Our results are eigenvalue inequalities parallel to the reverse inequalities obtained in [3] and [5] for the classical Araki and Golden-Thompson inequalities.

2. Doubly concave functions and geometric means

We start this section with our main result. It provides a new reverse for Ando-Hiai inequality and thereupon a new reverse for Golden-Thompson type inequality, as we see in the sequel. The following lemmas will be used to prove this main result.

LEMMA 1. [2, p. 58] (The Minimax Principle) *Let A be a Hermitian operator on \mathcal{H} . Then*

$$\lambda_k(A) = \max_{\dim \mathcal{F}=k} \min\{\langle Ah, h \rangle; h \in \mathcal{F}, \|h\| = 1\},$$

where \mathcal{F} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} .

LEMMA 2. *Let h be a norm one vector, A be a positive definite operator and $f(t)$ be any concave function defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\langle f(A)h, h \rangle \leq f(\langle Ah, h \rangle).$$

This lemma is derived from the standard Jensen's inequality.

The constant $K(w, \alpha)$ occurs in the following lemma, playing a key role in the proof of Theorem 1 below.

LEMMA 3. [6, Lemma 8] *Let $A, B > 0$ with $0 < sA \leq B \leq tA$ for some scalars $0 < s \leq t$ with $w = t/s$. Then, for all vectors h and all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$*

$$\langle A\sharp_{\alpha}Bh, h \rangle \leq \langle Ah, h \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle Bh, h \rangle^{\alpha} \leq K(w, \alpha)^{-1} \langle A\sharp_{\alpha}Bh, h \rangle,$$

where $K(w, \alpha)$ is the generalized Kantorovich constant defined for $w > 0$ by:

$$K(w, \alpha) := \frac{w^{\alpha} - w}{(\alpha - 1)(w - 1)} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \frac{w^{\alpha} - 1}{w^{\alpha} - w} \right)^{\alpha}. \quad (5)$$

It is known that $K(w, \alpha) \in (0, 1]$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. See [7] for some important properties of $K(w, \alpha)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a doubly concave function on $[0, \infty)$ and $0 < sA \leq B \leq tA$ for some scalars $0 < s \leq t$ with $w = t/s$. Then for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,*

$$\lambda_k(f(A)\sharp_{\alpha}f(B)) \leq K(w, \alpha)^{-1}\lambda_k(f(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)),$$

where $A\sharp_{\alpha}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the α -geometric mean and $K(w, \alpha)$ is the generalized Kantorovich constant defined as (5).

Proof. By Lemma 2 for every vector h with $\|h\| = 1$, we can write

$$\langle f(A)h, h \rangle \leq f(\langle Ah, h \rangle). \quad (6)$$

Also, for any integer k less than or equal to the dimension of the space, we have a subspace \mathcal{F} of dimension k such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(f(A)\sharp_{\alpha}f(B)) &= \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} \langle f(A)\sharp_{\alpha}f(B)h, h \rangle \\ &\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} \langle f(A)h, h \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle f(B)h, h \rangle^{\alpha} \\ &\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} (f(\langle Ah, h \rangle))^{1-\alpha} (f(\langle Bh, h \rangle))^{\alpha} \\ &\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} f(\langle Ah, h \rangle)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \langle Bh, h \rangle^{\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} f(K(w, \alpha)^{-1} \langle A\sharp_{\alpha}Bh, h \rangle) \quad (8)$$

$$\leq K(w, \alpha)^{-1} \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} f(\langle A\sharp_{\alpha}Bh, h \rangle) \quad (9)$$

$$= K(w, \alpha)^{-1} \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} \langle f(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)h, h \rangle$$

$$\leq K(w, \alpha)^{-1} \lambda_k(f(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)).$$

We have used the minmax principle, Lemma 3 and inequality (6) respectively. Also (7) follows from the geometrically concavity of f , (8) follows from Lemma 3 and monotony of f , and (9) follows from this fact that for every nonnegative concave function f and every $z \geq 1$, $f(zx) \leq zf(x)$. Note that for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $K(w, \alpha)^{-1} \geq 1$. In two last statements we have used the monotony of f and the minmax principle again. \square

Note that the above statement is equivalent to the existence of a unitary operator U satisfying in the following inequality:

$$f(A)\sharp_{\alpha}f(B) \leq K(w, \alpha)^{-1}Uf(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)U^*.$$

3. Reverse of the Ando-Hiai inequality

By the following theorem we present a new reverse of Ando-Hiai inequalities (2) and (3), as an application of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let $0 < sA \leq B \leq tA$ for some scalars $0 < s \leq t$ with $w = t/s$. Then for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,*

$$\lambda_k(A^r \sharp_{\alpha} B^r) \leq K(w, \alpha)^{-r} \lambda_k(A \sharp_{\alpha} B)^r, \quad 0 < r \leq 1, \quad (10)$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda_k(A \sharp_{\alpha} B)^r \leq K(w^r, \alpha)^{-1} \lambda_k(A^r \sharp_{\alpha} B^r), \quad r \geq 1, \quad (11)$$

$$\lambda_k(A^q \sharp_{\alpha} B^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq K(w^p, \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_k(A^p \sharp_{\alpha} B^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < q \leq p, \quad (12)$$

where $K(w, \alpha)$ is the generalized Kantorovich constant defined as (5).

Proof. From inequality (8) in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(f(A) \sharp_{\alpha} f(B)) &\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} f(\langle K(w, \alpha)^{-1} (A \sharp_{\alpha} B) h, h \rangle) \\ &= \min_{h \in \mathcal{F}: \|h\|=1} \langle f(K(w, \alpha)^{-1} (A \sharp_{\alpha} B)) h, h \rangle \\ &\leq \lambda_k f(K(w, \alpha)^{-1} (A \sharp_{\alpha} B)), \end{aligned}$$

where monotony of f and the minmax principle are used in two last statements, respectively. Now by letting $f(t) = t^r$, $0 < r \leq 1$, inequality (10) is obtained. For $r \geq 1$, we have $0 < \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$ and by (10)

$$\lambda_k(A^{\frac{1}{r}} \sharp_{\alpha} B^{\frac{1}{r}}) \leq K(w, \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{r}} \lambda_k(A \sharp_{\alpha} B)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Replacing A and B by A^r and B^r respectively

$$\lambda_k(A \sharp_{\alpha} B) \leq K(w^r, \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{r}} \lambda_k(A^r \sharp_{\alpha} B^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Note that the generalized condition number A^r and B^r is w^r . By taking r -th power on both sides we get the desired inequality (11). Similarly, the equivalence of (10)–(12) is proved. \square

Note that eigenvalue inequalities immediately imply log-majorizations and unitarily invariant norm inequalities.

REMARK 1. Let $0 < mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars $0 < m \leq M$ with $h = M/m$. Then for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and for the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$, we can write

$$\|A^r \sharp_{\alpha} B^r\| \leq K(h^2, \alpha)^{-r} \|(A \sharp_{\alpha} B)^r\| \leq K(h^2, \alpha)^{-r} \|(A \sharp_{\alpha} B)\|^r, \quad 0 < r \leq 1,$$

and

$$\|A\sharp_{\alpha}B\|^r \leq \|(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)^r\| \leq K(h^{2r}, \alpha)^{-1} \|A^r\sharp_{\alpha}B^r\|, \quad r \geq 1.$$

These results are sharper than the corresponding inequalities presented in [10, Theorem 4, Corollary 5].

4. Reverse of the Golden-Thompson type inequality

In this section we reach to another estimate of the Golden-Thompson type inequality (4), by using results obtained in the preceding section as follows:

THEOREM 3. *Let H and K be Hermitian operators such that $H + sI \leq K \leq tI + H$ for some scalars $s \leq t$, and let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\lambda_k(e^{(1-\alpha)H+\alpha K}) \leq K(e^{p(t-s)}, \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_k(e^{pH}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{pK})^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 0, \quad (13)$$

where $K(w, \alpha)$ is the generalized Kantorovich constant defined as (5). In particular,

$$\lambda_k(e^{H+K}) \leq \frac{e^t + e^s}{2e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{\frac{s}{2}}} \lambda_k(e^{2H}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{2K}). \quad (14)$$

Proof. Replacing A and B by e^H and e^K in the inequality (12) of Theorem 2, we can deduce

$$\lambda_k(e^{qH}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{qK})^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq K(e^{p(t-s)}, \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_k(e^{pH}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{pK})^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < q \leq p.$$

By [9, Lemma 3.3], we have

$$e^{(1-\alpha)H+\alpha K} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} (e^{qH}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{qK})^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and hence it follows that for each $p > 0$,

$$\lambda_k(e^{(1-\alpha)H+\alpha K}) \leq K(e^{p(t-s)}, \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_k(e^{pH}\sharp_{\alpha}e^{pK})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This gives the first alleged inequality. For the second, it is enough to put $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $p = 2$ in the inequality (13). Since a simple calculation shows that

$$K\left(w^p, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{w^{\frac{p}{4}} + w^{-\frac{p}{4}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and hence

$$K\left(e^{2(t-s)}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{e^t + e^s}{2e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{\frac{s}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. ANDO, F. HIAI, *Log-majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequalities*, Linear Algebra Appl. **197/198** (1994), 113–131.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. **169**, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [3] J.-C. BOURIN, *Reverse inequality to Araki's inequality comparison of $A^p Z^p A^p$ and $(AZA)^p$* , Math. Inequal. Appl. **8** (2005), 373–378.
- [4] J.-C. BOURIN, F. HIAI, *Jensen and Minkowski inequalities for operator means and anti-norms*, Linear Algeb Appl. **456** (2014), 22–53.
- [5] J.-C. BOURIN, Y. SEO, *Reverse inequality to Golden-Thompson type inequalities: comparison of e^{A+B} and $e^A e^B$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **426** (2007), 312–316.
- [6] J.-C. BOURIN, E. Y. LEE, M. FUJII, Y. SEO, *A matrix reverse Holder inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 2154–2159.
- [7] T. FURUTA, J. MIĆIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities*, Monographs in Inequalities 1, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [8] M. B. GHAEMI, V. KALEIBARY, *Some inequalities involving operator monotone functions and operator means*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **19** (2016), 757–764.
- [9] F. HIAI, D. PETZ, *The Golden–Thompson trace inequality is complemented*, Linear Algebra Appl. **181** (1993), 153–185.
- [10] R. NAKAMOTO, Y. SEO, *A complement of the Ando–Hiai inequality and norm inequalities for the geometric mean*, Nihonkai Math. J. **18** (2007), 43–50.
- [11] Y. SEO, *On a reverse of Ando–Hiai inequality*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **4** (2010), 87–91.
- [12] Y. SEO, *Reverses of the Golden–Thompson type inequalities due to Ando–Hiai–Petz*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **2** (2008), 140–149.

(Received February 15, 2016)

Mohammad Bagher Ghaemi
 School of Mathematics
 Iran University of Science and Technology
 Narmak, Tehran 16846-13114, Iran
 e-mail: mghaemi@iust.ac.ir

Venus Kaleibary
 School of Mathematics
 Iran University of Science and Technology
 Narmak, Tehran 16846-13114, Iran
 e-mail: v.kaleibary@gmail.com