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#### Abstract

Following [1], we prove a version of Holder's inequality for matrix-valued measures. As corollaries, an integral version of moment type inequalities in [3] and Minkowski inequality are derived.


## 1. Introduction and preliminary notation

We present a useful generalization of Hölder's inequality to matrix-valued probability measures. Compared to the scalar case, the inequality holds only for a very restricted set of couples $(p, q)$, where $q=(1-1 / p)^{-1}$ is the Hölder conjugate, but only if the random objects integrated are matrix-valued.

Before stating the main result, we introduce some notation and concepts. We refer to Farenick and Zhou (2007) for more details.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $H^{n}$ denote the vector space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices over the field $\mathbb{C}$. The space $H^{n}$ is a partially ordered set, and we say $A \leqslant B$ if and only if $\langle A v, v\rangle \leqslant\langle B v, v\rangle$ for all $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

We denote by $\|v\|=(\langle v, v\rangle)^{1 / 2}$ the (Euclidean) norm in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and by $\|A\|$ the operator norm induced on $H^{n}$, namely:

$$
\|A\|=\max _{\|v\|=1}\{\|A v\|\}, \quad \forall A \in H^{n}
$$

By the spectral theorem, $\|A\|=\max \left\{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right\}$ where $\lambda_{j}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$. We denote by $\lambda(A)$ the spectrum of $A$, i.e. the set of all (real) eigenvalues of $A$.

Positive definiteness of $A$ is equivalent to $\min \{\lambda(A)\}>0$. If $\min \{\lambda(A)\} \geqslant 0$ then $A$ is said to be positive semi-definite.

Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $\lambda(A) \in J$. Let $\varphi: J \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then the operator $\varphi(A), A \in H^{n}$ is defined and has spectrum $\{\varphi(\lambda), \lambda \in \lambda(A)\}$.

We say that $\varphi: J \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is an operator-convex function if for all $n, A, B \in H^{n}$ such that $\lambda(A) \cup \lambda(B) \subset J$ and for all $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\varphi(t A+(1-t) B) \leqslant t \varphi(A)+(1-t) \varphi(B) .
$$
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DEFINITION 1. Given a measurable space $(X, \mathscr{S})$, a function $f: X \mapsto H^{n}$ is measurable if and only if for all $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the function $\langle f v, v\rangle: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, namely, for all $E \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}),\{x:\langle f(x) v, v\rangle \in E\} \in \mathscr{S}$.

DEfinition 2. Let $(X, \mathscr{S}, \mu)$ be a probability space and $f: X \mapsto H^{n}$. Then $f$ is integrable if for every $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the function $\langle f v, v\rangle$ is integrable and the integral is denoted by

$$
\int_{X}\langle f(x) v, v\rangle d \mu(x)
$$

There exists a unique matrix $A \in H^{n}$, such that

$$
\langle A v, u\rangle=\int_{X}\langle f(x) v, u\rangle d \mu(x) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

The matrix $A$ is the Bochner integral and is denoted by $\int f d \mu$. Two important properties of this integral are linearity and monotonicity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int(f+g) d \mu=\int f d \mu+\int g d \mu \\
& \int f d \mu \leqslant \int g d \mu \text { iff } f(x) \leqslant g(x)\left(\text { in } H^{n}\right) \text { for all } x \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

DEFINITION 3. If $(X, \mathscr{S})$ is a measurable space, a matrix-valued probability measure is a function $v: \mathscr{S} \mapsto H^{n}$ such that $v(\emptyset)=\mathbf{0}, v(E)$ is positive semi-definite, $v$ is countably additive and $v(X)=I_{n}$ (the identity matrix).

Note that the function $\mu_{X}(E)=\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{trace}(v(E)), E \in \mathscr{S}$ is a scalar-valued measure and is absolutely continuous w.r.t $v$.

We say that $f: X \mapsto H^{n}$ is a nonnegative (positive) function if $f(x)$ is nonnegative (positive) definite for all $x \in X$.

## 2. Hölder's inequality

THEOREM 1. (Hölder's inequality for matrix functions) Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$, and $q=$ $1 /\left(1-p^{-1}\right)$ its Hölder conjugate.
(i) (Scalar measures) Let $(X, \mathscr{S}, \mu)$ be a probability space. Let $f: X \mapsto H^{n}, g: X \mapsto$ $H^{n}$ be two positive $\mu$-measurable functions and let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed subset, such that $\lambda(f(x)) \cup \lambda(g(y)) \in J$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $c$ be the matrix-valued function satisfying $g(x)=c(x) c(X)^{*}$ for all $x$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} c f c^{*} d \mu \leqslant\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q}\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) (matrix-valued measures) Let $v$ a matrix-valued probability measure defined on $(X, \mathscr{S})$. Let $f: X \mapsto H^{n}, g: X \mapsto H^{n}$ be two positive $v$-measurable functions
and let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed subset, such that $\lambda(f(x)) \cup \lambda(g(y)) \in J$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $c$ be the matrix-valued function satisfying $g(x)=c(x) c(X)^{*}$ for all $x$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} c f c^{*} d v \leqslant\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q}\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) The function $\varphi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \varphi_{p}(z)=z^{p}$ is operator convex for all $1 \leqslant$ $p \leqslant 2$ or $-1 \leqslant p \leqslant 0$.

Let

$$
v=\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2} c^{q} \mu\left(c^{*}\right)^{q}\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

be a matrix-valued probability measure. Then $v$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. $\mu$, with Radon-Nicodỳm derivative equal to the p.s.d. matrix $\frac{d v}{d \mu}$ such that

$$
\int_{E} \frac{d v}{d \mu} d \mu=\int_{E} d v, \quad \forall E \in \mathscr{S}
$$

Note that, for any integrable function $h$, the integral $\int_{X} h d \nu$ can be written as an integral of the scalar measure $\mu$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X} h d v & =\int_{X}\left(\frac{d v}{d \mu}\right)^{1 / 2} h\left(\frac{d v}{d \mu}\right)^{1 / 2} d \mu \\
& =\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2} \int c^{q} h\left(c^{*}\right)^{q} d \mu\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is $v$-measurable, we can apply Theorem 4.2 of Farenick and Zhou (2007) to the operator-convex function $\varphi_{p}$ and to the nonnegative function $h=c^{1-q} f\left(c^{*}\right)^{1-q}$ :

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(\int h d v\right) \leqslant \int \varphi_{p}(h) d v
$$

which also implies, since $1 / p \in[1 / 2,1]$ and $z^{1 / p}$ is operator monotone:

$$
\int c^{1-q} f\left(c^{*}\right)^{1-q} d v \leqslant\left[\int \varphi_{p}\left(c^{1-q} f\left(c^{*}\right)^{1-q}\right) d v\right]^{1 / p}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{X} c f c^{*} d \mu\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2}=\int_{X} c^{1-q} f\left(c^{*}\right)^{1-q} d v \\
& \leqslant\left[\int_{X}\left(c^{1-q} f\left(c^{*}\right)^{1-q}\right)^{p} d v\right]^{1 / p}=\left(\int_{X} c^{-q} f^{p}\left(c^{*}\right)^{-q} d v\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2 p}\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

By noting that $\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{(p-1) / 2 p}=\left(\int g^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q}$ the result follows.
(ii) Let the scalar measure $\mu$ be defined as $\mu(E)=\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{trace}(v(E))$. Then $v$ is absolutely continuous w.r. to $\mu$, with Radon-Nicodỳm derivative $h=d v / d \mu$ and $f, g$ and $c$ are $\mu$-measurable and $\mu$-integrable. Equation (2) then writes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X} h^{1 / 2} c f c^{*} h^{1 / 2} d \mu \leqslant & \left(\int_{X} h^{1 / 2} g^{q} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q}\left(\int_{X} h^{1 / 2} f^{p} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \times\left(\int_{X} h^{1 / 2} g^{q} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu$ is a scalar probability measure, (1) holds:

$$
\int_{X} c f c^{*} d \mu \leqslant\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q}\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2 q}
$$

Define the matrix-valued measure $\tilde{v}$ by

$$
\int_{E} d \tilde{v}=\left(\int h^{1 / 2} g^{q} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{E} h^{1 / 2} c^{q} d \mu\left(c^{*}\right)^{q} h^{1 / 2}\left(\int h^{1 / 2} g^{q} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int h^{1 / 2} g^{q} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2} & \int_{E} h^{1 / 2} c f c^{*} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\left(\int h^{1 / 2} g^{q} h^{1 / 2} d \mu\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& =\int c^{1-q} f\left(c^{*}\right)^{1-q} d \tilde{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by repeating the same steps as in (i), we get (2).

REMARK 1. If $f$ and $g$ are commuting functions, inequalities (1) and (2) simplify to:

$$
\int_{X} f g d \mu \leqslant\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
$$

that is equivalent to the scalar Hölder's inequality, if $\mu$ is a probability measure and

$$
\int_{X} f g d v \leqslant\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q}\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{X} g^{q} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q}
$$

if $v$ is a matrix-valued probability.
By taking alternatively $g=I, f=f^{r}$ and $p=s / r$, or $f=f^{s}$ and $p=r / s$ one obtains an integral version of Theorem 2.3 in [3], as a corollary of Hölder's inequality and (for negative values of $p$ ) of Jensen's inequality.

Corollary 1. Let $v\{f>0\}=I$. If $s \geqslant r$ and $(s, r) \notin(-1,1)^{2}$, or $1 / 2 \leqslant r \leqslant$ $1 \leqslant s$ or $-1 / 2 \geqslant s \geqslant-1 \geqslant r$ then

$$
\left(\int f^{r} d v\right)^{1 / r} \leqslant\left(\int f^{s} d v\right)^{1 / s}
$$

Theorem 1 can be used to prove a Minkowski inequality for matrix-valued measures and random elements.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ and $(X, \mathscr{S}, \mu)$ be a probability space. If $f: X \mapsto H^{n}$, $g: X \mapsto H^{n}$ are two real positive $\mu$-measurable functions and $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed subset, such that $\lambda(f(x)) \cup \lambda(g(y)) \in J$ for all $x, y \in X$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{X}(f+g)^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{X} g^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v$ a matrix-valued probability measure defined on $(X, \mathscr{S})$. If $f, g$ are $v$-measurable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{X}(f+g)^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant\left(\int_{X} f^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{X} g^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider the more general case (4). Since $f$ and $g$ are Hermitian and nonnegative, we can write $(f+g)^{p-1}=h h^{*}$. Thus, from Theorem 1:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int(f+g)^{p} d v \leqslant & \int h(f+g) h^{*} d v \\
\leqslant & \left(\int(f+g)^{q(p-1)} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q} \int(f+g) d v\left(\int(f+g)^{q(p-1)} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q} \\
\leqslant & \left(\int(f+g)^{p} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q}\left(\left(\int f^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int g^{p} d v\right)^{1 / p}\right) \\
& \times\left(\int(f+g)^{p} d v\right)^{1 / 2 q} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have exploited the linearity of the Bochner integral and applied (1) to $f$ and to $g$ in the particular case $r=1, s=2$.

Now by pre and post-multiplying both terms of (5) by $\left(\int(f+g)^{p}\right)^{-1 / 2 q}$, we get:

$$
\left(\int(f+g)^{p}\right)^{1-1 / q} \leqslant\left(\int f^{p} d \nu\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int g^{p} d \nu\right)^{1 / p}
$$

which completes the proof once noting that $1-1 / q=1 / p$.
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