A NEW VARIABLE EXPONENT PICONE IDENTITY AND APPLICTIONS

TINGFU FENG AND JUNQIANG HAN

(Communicated by L. Pick)

Abstract. In this paper, we derive a new variable exponent Picone identity for p(x)-Laplacian, which contains some known Picone identities. As applications, a strict monotonicity of principal eigenvalues with respect to domains for the eigenvalue problems to p(x)-Laplace equation, a variable exponent Barta type inequality, a variable exponent Hardy type inequality with weight, a Sturmian comparison principle to p(x)-Laplace equation and a Liouville type theorem to p(x)-Laplace system are shown.

1. Introduction and main results

In recent years, variable exponent elliptic equations and systems with p(x) growth conditions which arise from the image restoration and decomposition [6, 8, 10, 23], electrotheological fluids [4, 5, 17, 21, 22] and nonlinear elasticity theory [26] etc., have been considerably studied. A prototypical operator is so called p(x)-Laplacian

$$\Delta_{p(x)}u = div\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\right), \quad p(x) > 1;$$

if p(x) = p = constant, it becomes the usual *p*-Laplacian

$$\Delta_p u = div\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right), \quad p > 1.$$

Růžička [21] pointed out that *p*-Laplacian has the *p* homogeneity but p(x)-Laplacian is nonhomogeneous. It reflects that p(x)-Laplacian has the more complex nonlinearity. Since there is no strict equivalence relation between the norm $||u||_{p(x)}$ and p(x) modular $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx$ on the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \ge 3)$ is a bounded domain with the Lipschitz continuous boundary $\partial \Omega$, those efficient methods to *p*-Laplacian are fail to p(x)-Laplacian. However, there exists some good inequality relations between $||u||_{p(x)}$ and $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx$:

(i) if $||u||_{p(x)} \ge 1$, then $||u||_{p(x)}^{p^-} \le \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \le ||u||_{p(x)}^{p^+}$;

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11701162, 11701322).



Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D10, 35J25.

Keywords and phrases: Variable exponent Picone identity, p(x)-Laplacian; principal eigenvalue, Sturmian comparison principle, Liouville type theorem.

(ii) if $||u||_{p(x)} < 1$, then $||u||_{p(x)}^{p^+} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \leq ||u||_{p(x)}^{p^-}$, where

$$p^- = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \quad p^+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} p(x).$$

These inequalities play a very important role in the study of energy functionals on $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, eigenvalue problems [15], existence and uniqueness of solutions [7, 14, 27], multiplicity of solutions [18] to variable exponent elliptic equations. Also see Harjulehto et al. [16] for the symposium of p(x)-Laplace equations with non-standard growth and therein references.

In 1910, Picone [20] considered the homogeneous linear second order differential system

$$\begin{cases} (a_1(x)u')' + b_1(x)u = 0, \\ (a_2(x)v')' + b_2(x)v = 0, \end{cases}$$

where *u* and *v* are differentiable functions in *x* , and proved the following identity: for $v(x) \neq 0$,

$$\left(\frac{u}{v}\left(a_{1}u'v - a_{2}uv'\right)\right)' = (b_{2} - b_{1})u^{2} + (a_{1} - a_{2})u'^{2} + a_{2}\left(u' - v'\frac{u}{v}\right)^{2};$$
(1)

then a Sturmian comparison principle under the conditions $a_1(x) > a_2(x), b_2(x) > b_1(x)$, and the oscilation theorem of solutions via (1) were obtained. Allegretto [1] generalized (1) to Laplacian Δ : for differentiable functions v > 0 and $u \ge 0$,

$$\left(\nabla u - \frac{u}{v}\nabla v\right)^2 = |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{u^2}{v^2}|\nabla v|^2 - 2\frac{u}{v}\nabla v \cdot \nabla u = |\nabla u|^2 - \nabla\left(\frac{u^2}{v}\right)\nabla v.$$
(2)

Allegretto and Huang [2] extended (2) to *p*-Laplacian: for differentiable functions v > 0 and $u \ge 0$,

$$|\nabla u|^{p} + (p-1)\frac{u^{p}}{v^{p}}|\nabla v|^{p} - p\frac{u^{p-1}}{v^{p-1}}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v \cdot \nabla u = |\nabla u|^{p} - \nabla\left(\frac{u^{p}}{v^{p-1}}\right)|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v, \quad (3)$$

and established the Sturmian comparison principle, a Liouville's theorem, a Hardy inequality and some profound results to *p*-Laplace equations and systems. An extension of (3) to *p*-sub-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group sees Niu, Zhang and Wang [19].

Recently, a nonlinear Picone identity for Laplacian was proved by Tyagi [24]. Bal [9] generalized Tyagi's result with $\alpha = 1$ to *p*-Laplacian. Furthermore, Dwivedi [12] obtained a Picone identity for *p*-biharmonic operator. Afterward, this result was extended to the Heisenberg group by Dwivedi and Tyagi [13]. Allegretto [3] considered the Rayleigh quotient problem

$$\mathbf{Q}(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx}, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega),$$

which corresponds to the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx$$

with the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$div\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\right)=0,$$

and derived a variable exponent Picone identity: for differentiable function v > 0 on $\overline{\Omega}$ and for any $0 \le u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{p(x)v^{p(x)-1}}\right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v$$

$$= \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} - \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{p(x)-1} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + \frac{p(x)-1}{p(x)} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{p(x)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)}.$$
(4)

where $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$. A similar Picone identity to (4) was also found by Yoshida [25].

In this paper, we derive another variable exponent Picone identity different from (4), which contains some known Picone identities and can be used to give some new applications not seen in [3]. Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1. Let v > 0 be a differentiable function in $\overline{\Omega}$ and $0 \leq u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$, and denote

$$L(u,v) = |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \frac{u^{p(x)} \ln \frac{u}{v}}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) - p(x) \frac{u^{p(x)-1}}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + (p(x)-1) \frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)},$$
(5)

$$R(u,v) = |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}}\right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v.$$
(6)

Then

$$R(u,v) = L(u,v). \tag{7}$$

Moreover, there holds

 $L(u,v) \ge 0$,

if $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$. *Furthermore,* L(u, v) = 0 *a.e. in* Ω *if and only if*

$$\nabla\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = 0$$

a.e. in Ω .

REMARK 1. If p(x) = 2 in (5) and (6), we have (2); if p(x) = p in (5) and (6), it follows (3).

This paper is organized as follows: The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 2; Section 3 is devoted to applications of Theorem 1 including a strict monotonicity of principal eigenvalues with respect to domains for the eigenvalue problems to p(x)-Laplace equation, a variable exponent Barta type inequality, a variable exponent Hardy type inequality with weight, a Sturmian comparison principle to p(x)-Laplace equation and a Liouville type theorem to p(x)-Laplace system.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. We see with a direct computation that

$$\begin{split} R(u,v) &= |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \frac{v^{p(x)-1}\nabla\left(u^{p(x)}\right) - u^{p(x)}\nabla\left(v^{p(x)-1}\right)}{\left[v^{p(x)-1}\right]^2} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \\ &= |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \frac{\nabla\left(u^{p(x)}\right)}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v + \frac{u^{p(x)}\nabla\left(v^{p(x)-1}\right)}{\left[v^{p(x)-1}\right]^2} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \\ &= |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \frac{u^{p(x)}\ln u\nabla p(x) + p(x)u^{p(x)-1}\nabla u}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \\ &+ \frac{u^{p(x)}\left(v^{p(x)-1}\ln v\nabla p(x) + (p(x)-1)v^{p(x)-2}\nabla v\right)}{\left[v^{p(x)-1}\right]^2} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \\ &= |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - \frac{u^{p(x)}\ln u}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) - p(x)\frac{u^{p(x)-1}}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \cdot \nabla u \\ &+ \frac{u^{p(x)}\ln v}{v^{p(x)-1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) + (p(x)-1)\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)}} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} \\ &= L(u,v), \end{split}$$

which proves (7). Next we check $L(u, v) \ge 0$. Rewriting L(u, v) by

$$\begin{split} L(u,v) &= p(x) \left[\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{p(x) - 1}{p(x)} \left(\left(\frac{u}{v} |\nabla v| \right)^{p(x) - 1} \right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x) - 1}} \right] \\ &- p(x) \frac{u^{p(x) - 1}}{v^{p(x) - 1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x) - 1} |\nabla u| \\ &+ p(x) \frac{u^{p(x) - 1}}{v^{p(x) - 1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x) - 2} \left\{ |\nabla v| |\nabla u| - \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \right\} \\ &- \frac{u^{p(x)} \ln \frac{u}{v}}{v^{p(x) - 1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x) - 2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \\ &:= I + II + III, \end{split}$$

(8)

where

$$\begin{split} I &= p(x) \left[\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{p(x) - 1}{p(x)} \left(\left(\frac{u}{v} |\nabla v| \right)^{p(x) - 1} \right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x) - 1}} \right] \\ &- p(x) \frac{u^{p(x) - 1}}{v^{p(x) - 1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x) - 1} |\nabla u| \,, \\ II &= p(x) \frac{u^{p(x) - 1}}{v^{p(x) - 1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x) - 2} \left\{ |\nabla v| |\nabla u| - \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \right\} \,, \\ III &= -\frac{u^{p(x)} \ln \frac{u}{v}}{v^{p(x) - 1}} |\nabla v|^{p(x) - 2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) . \end{split}$$

Recalling Young's inequality (see [11, 21]): for $a \ge 0$, $b \ge 0$, p(x) > 1, q(x) > 1 and $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1$,

$$ab \leqslant rac{a^{p(x)}}{p} + rac{b^{q(x)}}{q},$$

and the equality holds if and only if $a^{p(x)} = b^{q(x)}$, we now take $a = |\nabla u|$ and $b = \left(\frac{u}{v} |\nabla v|\right)^{p(x)-1}$ to follow

$$p(x) |\nabla u| \left(\frac{u}{v} |\nabla v|\right)^{p(x)-1} \leq p(x) \left[\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{p(x)-1}{p(x)} \left(\left(\frac{u}{v} |\nabla v|\right)^{p(x)-1}\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}\right],$$

and so $I \ge 0$. Clearly, $II \ge 0$ in virtue of $|\nabla v| |\nabla u| - \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \ge 0$. By $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$, we immediately have $III \equiv 0$. Hence $L(u, v) \ge 0$ from (8).

If $\nabla(\frac{u}{v}) = 0$, then u = cv and then L(u, v) = 0. Now we conclude that L(u, v) = 0 implies $\nabla(\frac{u}{v}) = 0$. In fact, if $L(u, v)(x_0) = 0, x_0 \in \Omega$, we consider two cases respectively.

(a) If $u(x_0) \neq 0$, then I = 0, II = 0 and III = 0. It shows by I = 0,

$$|\nabla u| = \frac{u}{v} |\nabla v|; \tag{9}$$

and by II = 0,

$$\nabla u = c \nabla v, \tag{10}$$

where c is a positive constant. Putting (10) into (9) yields u = cv, namely $\nabla \left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = 0$.

(b) If $u(x_0) = 0$, denote $S = \{x \in \Omega | u(x) = 0\}$ and then $\nabla u = 0$ a.e. in S. Thus

$$\nabla\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = \frac{v\nabla u - u\nabla v}{v^2} = 0.$$

3. Some applications

Before giving some applications, we first describe variable exponent Lebesgue spaces and Sobolev spaces, see [11, 15, 22]. Assume that $p(x) : \overline{\Omega} \to (1, \infty)$ is a Lipschitz continuous function. A variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u; \ \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right| dx \leq 1\right\}.$$

A variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega); \nabla u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{1,p(x)} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$$

Denote by $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$||u||_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} = ||\nabla u||_{p(x)}$$

It is well known that $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are both separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

We consider the Dirichlet problem of p(x)-Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = \lambda |u|^{p(x)-2}u, \ x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(11)

DEFINITION 1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, (u,λ) is called a solution to (11) if for any $\phi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)-2} u \phi dx$$

If (u, λ) is a solution to (11) and $u \neq 0$, we call that λ is an eigenvalue to (11) and u is an eigenfunction corresponding to λ .

For a solution (u, λ) to (11) and $u \neq 0$, it is easy to yields

$$\lambda = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx},\tag{12}$$

and $\lambda > 0$. From (12), the principal eigenvalue to (11) is defined by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx}$$

and existence of λ_1 was obtained by Fan, Zhang and Zhao [15].

Using Theorem 1, we can obtain the strict monotonicity of principal eigenvalues with respect to domains, which enrich the results on principal eigenvalues.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose that $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ and $\Omega_1 \neq \Omega_2$. If both $\lambda_1(\Omega_1)$ and $\lambda_1(\Omega_2)$ exist, and u_1 and u_2 are positive eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_1(\Omega_1)$ and $\lambda_1(\Omega_2)$, respectively, satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u_{1} = \lambda_{1}(\Omega_{1}) |u_{1}|^{p(x)-2}u_{1}, & x \in \Omega_{1}, \\ u_{1} > 0, & x \in \Omega_{1}, \\ u_{1} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega_{1}, \end{cases}$$
(13)

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u_2 = \lambda_1(\Omega_2) |u_2|^{p(x)-2}u_2, \ x \in \Omega_2, \\ u_2 > 0, \qquad x \in \Omega_2, \\ u_2 = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega_2, \end{cases}$$
(14)

with $\nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$, $\nabla u_2 \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$, then

$$\lambda_1(\Omega_1) > \lambda_1(\Omega_2). \tag{15}$$

Proof. It follows from (13), (14) and (7) that

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leqslant \int_{\Omega} L(u_1, u_2) dx = \int_{\Omega} R(u_1, u_2) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla u_1|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega_1} \nabla \left(\frac{u_1^{p(x)}}{u_2^{p(x)-1}} \right) |\nabla u_2|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla u_1|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{u_1^{p(x)}}{u_2^{p(x)-1}} \Delta_{p(x)} u_2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla u_1|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda_1 (\Omega_2) \int_{\Omega_1} u_1^{p(x)} dx \\ &= (\lambda_1 (\Omega_1) - \lambda_1 (\Omega_2)) \int_{\Omega_1} u_1^{p(x)} dx, \end{split}$$

which gives

$$\lambda_1(\Omega_1) - \lambda_1(\Omega_2) \ge 0.$$

Noting that $\lambda_1(\Omega_1) \neq \lambda_1(\Omega_2)$ because of $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ and $\Omega_1 \neq \Omega_2$, this concludes (15). \Box

The next is a variable exponent Barta type inequality.

PROPOSITION 2. Suppose that $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ ia a positive solution to (11). Then for any differentiable function v > 0 in $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\Delta_{p(x)}v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$, we have

$$\lambda_1 \ge \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{-\Delta_{p(x)} \nu}{\nu^{p(x)-1}}.$$
(16)

Proof. Using (7), it leads to

$$0 \leqslant \int_{\Omega} L(u,v)dx = \int_{\Omega} R(u,v)dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}}\right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}} \Delta_{p(x)} v dx,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \ge \int_{\Omega} u^{p(x)} \left[\frac{-\Delta_{p(x)} v}{v^{p(x)-1}} \right] dx \ge \int_{\Omega} u^{p(x)} dx \inf_{x \in \Omega} \left[\frac{-\Delta_{p(x)} v}{v^{p(x)-1}} \right]$$

namely,

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^{p(x)} dx} \ge \inf_{x \in \Omega} \left[\frac{-\Delta_{p(x)} v}{v^{p(x)-1}} \right].$$

The proof of (16) is ended. \Box

PROPOSITION 3. If a differentiable function v > 0 in $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$, satisfies

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}v \ge \lambda g(x)v^{p(x)-1} \tag{17}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and a weight function g(x), then for any $0 \leq u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{p(x)} dx.$$
(18)

Proof. By (17) and (7), we know

$$0 \leqslant \int_{\Omega} L(u,v)dx = \int_{\Omega} R(u,v)dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}}\right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}} \Delta_{p(x)} v dx$$
$$\leqslant \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(x) u^{p(x)} dx,$$

which gives (18). \Box

Now we provide a Sturmian comparison principle to p(x)-Laplace equation.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $k_1(x)$ and $k_2(x)$ be two continuous functions with $k_1(x) < k_2(x)$ on Ω . Assume that there exists a positive function $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = k_1(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u, \ x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, \qquad x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(19)

Then any nontrivial solution v *with* $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) \equiv 0$ *to the following equation*

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}v = k_2(x)|v|^{p(x)-2}v, x \in \Omega,$$
(20)

must change sign.

Proof. Suppose that v does not change sign; without loss of generality, let v > 0 in $\overline{\Omega}$. By (19), (20) and (7), we observe

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leqslant \int_{\Omega} L(u,v) dx = \int_{\Omega} R(u,v) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}} \right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}} \Delta_{p(x)} v dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(k_1(x) - k_2(x) \right) u^{p(x)} dx \\ &< 0, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. This accomplishes the proof. \Box

Finally, we exhibit a Liouville type theorem for a variable exponent elliptic system.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $(u,v) \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ be a pair of positive solutions for the Dirichlet problem to the variable exponent elliptic system

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_{p(x)}u = v^{p(x)-1}, & x \in \Omega, \\
-\Delta_{p(x)}v = \frac{\left[v^{p(x)-1}\right]^2}{u^{p(x)-1}}, & x \in \Omega, \\
u > 0, v > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\
u = 0, v > 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(21)

where $\nabla v \cdot \nabla p(x) = 0$. Then $\nabla \left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = 0$ a.e. on Ω .

Proof. For any $\phi, \phi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, it gets by (21) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} v^{p(x)-1} \phi dx,$$
(22)

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left[v^{p(x)-1}\right]^2}{u^{p(x)-1}} \varphi dx.$$
(23)

Choosing $\phi = u$ in (22) and $\varphi = \frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}}$ and in (23), respectively, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\Omega} v^{p(x)-1} u dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}} \right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v dx,$$

which shows from (7) that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(u,v)dx = \int_{\Omega} R(u,v)dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u^{p(x)}}{v^{p(x)-1}}\right) |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v dx = 0.$$

The conclusion is proved. \Box

REFERENCES

- W. ALLEGRETTO, Positive solutions and spectral properties of weakly coupled elliptic systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 120, 2 (1986), 723–729.
- [2] W. ALLEGRETTO AND Y. X. HUANG, A Picone's identity for the p-laplacian and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 32, 7 (1998), 819–830.
- [3] W. ALLEGRETTO, Form estimates for the p(x)-Laplacean, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 7 (2007), 2177–2185.
- [4] E. ACERBI, G. MINGIONE AND G. A. SEREGIN, Regularity results for parabolic systems related to a class of non-Newtonian fluids, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 21, 1 (2004), 25–60.
- [5] S. N. ANTONTSEV AND J. F. RODRIGUES, On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. 52, 1 (2006), 19–36.
- [6] R. ABOULAICH, D. MESKINE AND A. SOUISSI, New diffusion models in image processing, Comput. Math. Appl. 56, 4 (2008), 874–882.
- [7] C. O. ALVES, Existence of solution for a degenerate p(x)-Laplacian equation in \mathbb{R}^N , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345**, 2 (2008), 731–742.
- [8] E. M. BOLLT, R. CHARTRAND AND S. ESEDOGLU, ET AL., Graduated adaptive image denoising: local compromise between total variation and isotropic diffusion, Adv. Comput. Math. 31, 1 (2009), 61–85.
- [9] K. BAL, Generalized Picone's identity and its applications, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2013, 243 (2013), 1–6.
- [10] Y. CHEN, S. LEVINE AND M. RAO, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66, 4 (2006), 1383–1406.
- [11] L. DIENING, P. HÄSTÖ AND S. ROUDENKO, Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability, J. Funct. Anal. 256, 6 (2009), 1731–1768.
- [12] G. DWIVEDI AND J. TYAGI, Remarks on the qualitative questions for biharmonic operators, Taiwanese J. Math 19, 6 (2015), 1743–1758.
- [13] G. DWIVEDI AND J. TYAGI, Picone's identity for biharmonic operators on Heisenberg group and its applications, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 23, 2 (2016), 1–26.
- [14] Y. FU, V. D. RĂDULESCU AND B. ZHANG, Hodge decomposition of variable exponent spaces of Clifford-valued functions and applications to Dirac and Stokes equations, Comput. Math. Appl. 70, 4 (2015), 691–704.
- [15] X. FAN, Q. ZHANG AND D. ZHAO, *Eigenvalues of* p(x)-*Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **302**, 2 (2005), 306–317.
- [16] P. HARJULEHTO, P. HÄSTÖ AND Ú. V. LÊ, ET AL., Overview of differential equations with nonstandard growth, Nonlinear Anal. 72, 12 (2010), 4551–4574.

- [17] S. LEVINE (Eds), An adaptive variational model for image decomposition, Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [18] M. MIHĂILESCU AND C. VARGA, Multiplicity results for some elliptic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions involving variable exponents, Comput. Math. Appl. 62, 9 (2011), 3464–3471.
- [19] P. NIU, H. ZHANG AND Y. WANG, Hardy type and Rellich type inequalities on the Heisenberg group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129, 12 (2001), 3623–3630.
- [20] M. PICONE, Sui valori eccezionali di un parametro da cui dipende un'equazione differenziale lineare ordinaria del second'ordine, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CI. Sci. 11, 1(1910), 1–144.
- [21] M. RŮŽIČKA, Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [22] K. R. RAJAGOPAL AND M. RŮŽIČKA, Mathematical modeling of electrorheological materials, Cont. Mech. Therm. 13, 1(2001), 59–78.
- [23] J. TIIROLA, Image decompositions using spaces of variable smoothness and integrability, SIAM J. Imaging Sci. 7, 3 (2014), 1558–1587.
- [24] J. TYAGI, A nonlinear Picone's identity and its applications, Appl. Math. Lett. 26, 6 (2013), 624–626.
- [25] N. YOSHIDA, *Picone identity for quasilinear elliptic equations with* p(x)-Laplacians and Sturmianian comparison theory, Appl. Math. Comput. **225**, 1 (2013), 79–91.
- [26] V. V. ZHIKOV, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, Math. USSR. Izv. 29, 1 (1987), 33–36.
- [27] Q. ZHANG, Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for variable exponent elliptic systems, Nonlinear Anal. 70, 1 (2009), 305–316.

(Received May 7, 2016)

Tingfu Feng Department of Applied Mathematics Northwestern Polytechnical University Xi'an, shaanxi, 710129, P. R. China and Department of Mathematics Kunming University, Kunming Yunnan, 650214, P. R. China e-mail: ftfml@mail.nwpu.edu.cn

Jungiang Han

Department of Applied Mathematics Northwestern Polytechnical University Xi'an, shaanxi, 710129, P. R. China e-mail: southhan@163.com