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#### Abstract

In the article，we extend the identities $F_{0}(x)=(1+r) F_{0}(r), 2 F_{0}(\sqrt{1-x})=(1+$ $r) F_{0}\left(1-r^{2}\right), 2 \bar{F}_{0}(y)=\sqrt{1+3 r} \bar{F}_{0}\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ and $\bar{F}_{0}(1-y)=\sqrt{1+3 r} \bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)$ for hypergeo－ metric functions $F_{0}(r)={ }_{2} F_{1}(1 / 2,1 ; 3 / 2 ; r)$ and $\bar{F}_{0}(r)={ }_{2} F_{1}(1 / 4,3 / 4 ; 1 ; r)$ ，performed by the quadratic transformations $r \mapsto x=4 r /(1+r)^{2}, r \mapsto \sqrt{1-x}, r \mapsto y=(1-r)^{2} /(1+3 r)^{2}$ and $r \mapsto 1-y$ ，to the zero－balanced hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; a+b ; r)$ ，by showing new properties of ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; a+b ; r)$ and the Ramanujan type constant，and the monotonicity proper－ ties of certain combinations in terms of hypergeometric and elementary functions．These exten－ sions give complete solutions of the problem of extending the transformation identities above－ mentioned to ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; a+b ; r)$ ，and perfect all the known related results．By these results，sharp transformation inequalities are obtained for the generalized Grötzsch ring function appearing in Ramanujan＇s modular equations．


## 1．Introduction

For real numbers $a, b$ and $c$ with $c \neq 0,-1,-2, \cdots$ ，the Gaussian hypergeometric function $F(a, b ; c ; x[29,44,45,50,52,54,55,73]$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; x)={ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n) n!} x^{n},|x|<1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a, 0)=1$ for $a \neq 0$ ，and $(a, n)=a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+n-1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}=\{n \mid n$ is a positive integer $\}$ is the shifted factorial function．The function $F(a, b ; c ; x)$ is said to be zero－balanced $[16,49,63,64]$ if $c=a+b$ ．It is well known that $F(a, b ; c ; x)$ has wide applications in mathematics，physics，as well as in some fields of engineering ［19，21，28，41，46，51，56，57，62，66，67］，and many other special functions in mathe－ matical physics and even some elementary functions are particular or limiting cases of $F(a, b ; c ; x)[1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,13,15,25,35]$ ．For example， $\mathscr{K}_{a}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{a}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{E}_{a}\right.$ and $\left.\mathscr{E}_{a}^{\prime \prime}\right)[17,18,20,23,27,38,47,53,58,60,71,72,74]$ ，defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{K}_{a}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right), \mathscr{K}_{a}^{\prime}(r)=\mathscr{K}_{a}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{a}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(a-1,1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right), \mathscr{E}_{a}^{\prime}(r)=\mathscr{E}_{a}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ and $r \in(0,1)$, are the well-known generalized elliptic integrals of the first kind (the second kind, respectively), while $\mathscr{K}(r)=\mathscr{K}_{1 / 2}(r)$ and $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}(r)=\mathscr{K}_{1 / 2}^{\prime}(r)$ $\left(\mathscr{E}(r)=\mathscr{E}_{1 / 2}(r)\right.$ and $\left.\mathscr{E}^{\prime}(r)=\mathscr{E}_{1 / 2}^{\prime}(r)\right)$ are the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind (the second kind, respectively).

For $x, y \in(0, \infty)$, the classical gamma, psi (digamma) and beta functions are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t, \psi(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \log \Gamma(x), B(x, y)=\frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively $[1,3,4,35,36,65,68,70]$.
Let $\gamma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}(1 / k)-\log n\right]=0.577215664 \cdots$ be the Euler-Mascheroni constant [22]. Then it is well known that (see [1, 6.1.15, 6.3.2, 6.3.3, 6.3.5, 6.3.8, 6.3.16 \& 6.4.10] and [11, p.4232])

$$
\begin{align*}
x \Gamma(x) & =\Gamma(x+1), \psi^{(n)}(x+1)=\psi^{(n)}(x)+(-1)^{n} n!x^{-n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}  \tag{5}\\
\psi(x) & =-\gamma-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{k(k+x)}, \psi^{(n)}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} n!}{(k+x)^{n+1}}, n \in \mathbb{N}  \tag{6}\\
2 \psi(2 x) & =\psi(x)+\psi\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\log 4, \psi(1)=-\gamma, \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\gamma-\log 4 .  \tag{7}\\
& \psi(1 / 4)+\psi(3 / 4)=-2 \gamma-\log 64 . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
R(a, b) & =-2 \gamma-\psi(a)-\psi(b)  \tag{9}\\
R_{c}(a) & =R(a, c-a) \equiv-2 \gamma-\psi(a)-\psi(c-a)  \tag{10}\\
R(a) & =R(a, 1-a)=-2 \gamma-\psi(a)-\psi(1-a)  \tag{11}\\
B(a) & =B(a, 1-a)=\Gamma(a) \Gamma(1-a)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi a)} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$R(a, b)$ and $R(a)$ are called the Ramanujan type constants in literature [31]. It follows from (4) and (7)-(12) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B(1 / 2)=\pi, B(1 / 2,1)=2, B(1 / 4)=\sqrt{2} \pi  \tag{13}\\
R(1 / 2)=\log 16, R(1 / 2,1)=\log 4, R(1 / 4)=\log 64
\end{array}\right.
$$

and by the symmetry, we may assume that $a \in(0, c / 2]$ in (10), and $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ in (11) and (12).

Throughout this paper, we denote $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ for each $r \in$ $[0,1]$. For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $\alpha=a b / c, \bar{\alpha}=a b /(c+1), \bar{\alpha}_{1}=a_{1} b_{1} /\left(c_{1}+1\right), \alpha_{1}=a_{1} b_{1} / c_{1}, B=B(a, b), B_{1}=$
$B\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \bar{B}=B(a+1, b+1), \bar{B}_{1}=B\left(a_{1}+1, b_{1}+1\right), R=R(a, b), R_{1}=R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, $\bar{R}=R(a+1, b+1), \bar{R}_{1}=R\left(a_{1}+1, b_{1}+1\right)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F(r)=F(a, b ; c ; r), G(r)=F(a, b ; c+1 ; r)  \tag{14}\\
F_{1}(r)=F\left(a_{1}, b_{1} ; c_{1} ; r\right), G_{1}(r)=F\left(a_{1}, b_{1} ; c_{1}+1 ; r\right) \\
F_{0}(r)=F(1 / 2,1 ; 3 / 2 ; r), G_{0}(r)=F(1 / 2,1 ; 5 / 2 ; r) \\
\bar{F}_{0}(r)=F(1 / 4,3 / 4 ; 1 ; r), \bar{G}_{0}(r)=F(1 / 4,3 / 4 ; 2 ; r) \\
F_{+}(r)=F(a+1, b+1 ; c+2 ; r), F_{1+}(r)=F\left(a_{1}+1, b_{1}+1 ; c_{1}+2 ; r\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from (4)-(5) and (9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{B}=\alpha B /(c+1)=\bar{\alpha} B / c \text { and } \bar{R}=R-1 / \alpha \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$.
In addition, by the symmetry of the parameters $a$ and $b$ in the function $F(a, b ; a+$ $b ; x)$, without loss of generality, we assume that $a \leqslant b$. Observe that for $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \leqslant b \Rightarrow a \leqslant c / 2 \leqslant b \text { and } a b=a(c-a) \leqslant c^{2} / 4 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following formulas are well-known

$$
\begin{align*}
F(a, b ; c ; 1) & =\frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a) \Gamma(c-b)}, c>a+b,  \tag{17}\\
\frac{d}{d x} F(a, b ; c ; x) & =\frac{a b}{c} F(a+1, b+1 ; c+1 ; x),  \tag{18}\\
F(a, b ; c ; x) & =(1-x)^{c-a-b} F(c-a, c-b ; c ; x),  \tag{19}\\
B F(a, b ; a+b ; r) & =\log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r}+O((1-r) \log (1-r))(r \rightarrow 1) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

(see $[1,15.1 .20,15.2 .1,15.3 .3, \& 15.3 .10]$ and $[4,5])$. From [1, 15.3.10], (5) and (9), we obtain the following refinement of (20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B F(r)=[1+a b(1-r)] \log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r}+(2 a b-a-b)(1-r)+O\left((1-r)^{2} \log (1-r)\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $[1,15.1 .4],(17)-(19)$ and the third equality in (12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\alpha G(r)}{1-r}, F_{0}(r)=\frac{\operatorname{arth}(\sqrt{r})}{\sqrt{r}}, F_{0}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{G_{0}(r)}{3(1-r)}=\frac{\sqrt{r}-(1-r) \operatorname{arth}(\sqrt{r})}{2 r^{3 / 2}(1-r)},  \tag{22}\\
& \bar{F}_{0}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{3 \bar{G}_{0}(r)}{16(1-r)}, G_{0}(1)=\frac{3}{2}, G(1)=\frac{1}{\alpha B}, \bar{G}_{0}(1)=\frac{8 \sqrt{2}}{3 \pi} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

One kind of the important properties of the zero-balanced hypergeometric functions are their transformation identities. In addition to the well-known Landen transformation identities [ $1,8,11$ ]

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) & =(1+r) F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 ; r^{2}\right),  \tag{24}\\
F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 ;\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^{2}\right) & =\frac{1+r}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 ; r^{\prime 2}\right), \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

many other beautiful transformation identities can be found in [1, 8, 11]. For instance, for $r \in(0,1)$, the following quadratic transformation identities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) & =(1+r) F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; r\right),  \tag{26}\\
F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) & =\frac{1+r}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; r^{\prime 2}\right),  \tag{27}\\
F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ;\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right) & =\frac{\sqrt{1+3 r}}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{\prime 2}\right),  \tag{28}\\
F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; 1-\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right) & =\sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right), \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where (26) and (27) are the special cases of [1, 15.3.19] (see also [8, 25]), while (28) and (29) were proved in [11, Theorem 9.4] (see also [8, 25]). It is natural to raise the following Problem 1.1.

Problem 1.1. Can we extend the transformation identities above-mentioned such as (26)-(29) to zero-balanced hypergeometric function $F(a, b ; a+b ; r)$ for $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ and $r \in(0,1)$ ?

During the past few years, several authors studied this problem, and many results have been obtained in the literature [32, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 48, 61]. For example, Simić and Vuorinen proved several extensions of (24) and (25) to zero-balanced hypergeometric functions in [37], while Wang and Chu [39] studied the problem of the generalizations of (26)-(29) and obtained several results, some of which are the following two theorems (with some simplifications here for their formulations).

THEOREM 1.2. ([39, Theorem 3.5]) For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $r \in$ $(0,1)$, if $a b \leqslant \min \{1 / 2, c / 3\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant(1+r) F(a, b ; c ; r)-F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) \leqslant \frac{R-\log 4}{B} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $a b \geqslant \max \{1 / 2, c / 3\}$, then each inequality in (30) is reversed.

Theorem 1.3. ([39, Theorem 4.5]) For $a, b \in(0, \infty), c=a+b$ and for $r \in$ $(0,1)$, if $a b \leqslant \min \{3 / 16, c / 16\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{R-\log 64}{B} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $a b \geqslant \max \{3 / 16, c / 16\}$, then each inequality in (31) is reversed.
However, the known results concerning the extensions of (26)-(29) are neither sharp nor complete. This may be due to the lack of the known properties of $R(a, b)$ and the innovation in methodology.

The main purpose of the article is to study the problem of extending (26)-(29) to zero-balanced hypergeometric functions, give complete solutions to Problem 1.1 in this case, and substantially improve all the known related results such as Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. (See the results proved in Sections 4-5.) In addition, the authors will obtain several new properties of the Ramanujan type constant $R(a, b)$ and the hypergeometric functions in Sections 2-3, including the relations between two Ramanujan constants $R(a, b)$ and $R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ and between two hypergeometric functions with distinct parameters $(a, b)$ and $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, monotonicity properties and sharp functional inequalities, which play a key role in the proofs of our results obtained in Sections 4-5 and yield some properties of $\mathscr{K}(r), \mathscr{E}(r), \mathscr{K}_{a}(r)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{a}(r)$ (See Section 7). As examples of applications of these results, several quadratic transformation properties of the generalized Grötzsch ring function, which appears in Ramanujan's modular equations, are obtained in Section 6.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall give several lemmas showing some properties of $R(a, b)$ and hypergeometric functions. First, we show some properties of $R(a, b)$.

LEMMA 2.1. (1) For each $c \in(0, \infty)$, as functions of $a, g_{1}(a) \equiv R_{c}(a)=-2 \gamma-$ $\psi(a)-\psi(c-a)$ and $g_{2}(a) \equiv B(a, c-a)$ are both strictly decreasing and convex on (0, c/2].
(2) For $a, b \in(0, \infty), R(a, b)$ can be expressed by the following function of $x=a b$ and $c=a+b$ or a function of $\alpha=a b / c$ and $c$

$$
\begin{align*}
R(a, b) & =g_{3}(x, c) \equiv \frac{c}{x}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c k+2 x}{k\left(k^{2}+c k+x\right)}  \tag{32}\\
& =g_{4}(\alpha, c) \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+2 \alpha}{k\left[\left(k^{2} / c\right)+k+\alpha\right]} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $g_{3}$ is strictly decreasing and convex both in $x \in\left(0, c^{2} / 4\right]$ and in $c \in(0, \infty)$, with $g_{3}\left(0^{+}, c\right)=\infty, g_{5}(c) \equiv g_{3}\left(c^{2} / 4, c\right)$ is strictly decreasing and convex from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(-\infty, \infty)$ with $g_{5}(1)=R(1 / 2)=\log 16$ and $g_{5}(2)=R(1,1)=0$.
(3) For each $c \in(0, \infty)$, the function $g_{6}(x) \equiv x_{g_{3}}(x, c)$ is strictly decreasing in $x$ from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(-\infty, c)$.
(4) $g_{4}$ is strictly decreasing and convex in $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$, and in $c \in(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Parts (1)-(3) except for (33) were proved in [30, Lemma 2.1], while (33) is clear.

Part (4) follows from the partial derivative

$$
\frac{\partial g_{4}}{\partial \alpha}=-\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 k / c)+1}{\left[\left(k^{2} / c\right)+k+\alpha\right]^{2}}\right\}, \frac{\partial g_{4}}{\partial c}=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k+2 \alpha)}{\left(k^{2}+c k+c \alpha\right)^{2}}
$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty), c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$. Then the following statements are true:
(1) If $a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$ and $c \leqslant c_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(a, b) \geqslant R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $(a, b)=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}=c \alpha_{1}$, then the inequality (34) is reversed.
(3) In other case not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$, then $R(a, b)$ and $R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ are not directly comparable, namely neither (34) nor its reversed inequality holds for all $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$.

Proof. (1) It follows from Lemma 2.1(2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)-R(a, b)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(c-c_{1}\right) k^{2}+2\left(a b-a_{1} b_{1}\right) k+c_{1}\left(a b-c \alpha_{1}\right)}{\left(k^{2}+c k+a b\right)\left(k^{2}+c_{1} k+a_{1} b_{1}\right)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $a b=a_{1} b_{1}$, then

$$
R(a, b)=g_{3}(a b, c)=g_{3}\left(a_{1} b_{1}, c\right) \begin{cases}>g_{3}\left(a_{1} b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=R_{1}, & \text { if } c<c_{1}  \tag{36}\\ =g_{3}\left(a_{1} b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=R_{1}, & \text { if } c=c_{1} \\ <g_{3}\left(a_{1} b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=R_{1}, & \text { if } c>c_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, the conditions $a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$ and $c \leqslant c_{1}$ imply that one of the following three conditions is fulfilled:
(i) $a b=a_{1} b_{1}$ and $c \leqslant c_{1}$,
(ii) $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}=c \alpha_{1}$,
(iii) $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$.

If $a b=a_{1} b_{1}$ and $c \leqslant c_{1}$, or if $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, then (34) follows from (35) and (36).

Next, let $g_{3}$ be given as in Lemma 2.1. Note that if $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$, then $c<c_{1}$, and hence by Lemma 2.1(2),

$$
R(a, b)=g_{3}(a b, c)>g_{3}\left(a_{1} b_{1}, c_{1}\right)=R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)
$$

showing that (34) holds.
From the above discussion, we can easily see that the equality in (34) holds if and only if $(a, b)=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$.
(2) Since $\max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}=c \alpha_{1}$ implies that $c \geqslant c_{1}$, part (2) follows from (35) and (36).
(3) It is easy to see that the remaining case not stated in parts (1)-(2) is that $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}$ satisfy the condition $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$, which implies that $c>c_{1}$. By (32),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{a b \rightarrow\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right)^{+}} R(a, b)=\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} c\left[\frac{1}{a_{1} b_{1}}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+2 a_{1} b_{1} / c}{k\left(k^{2}+c k+a_{1} b_{1}\right)}\right]=\infty, \\
& \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{a b \rightarrow\left(c \alpha_{1}\right)^{-}} R(a, b)=\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c\left(k+2 \alpha_{1}\right)}{k\left(k^{2}+c k+c \alpha_{1}\right)}\right]=-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $R(a, b)>R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \quad\left(R(a, b)<R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)\right)$ when $a b$ is close to $a_{1} b_{1}$ and $c$ is sufficiently large ( $a b$ is close to $c \alpha_{1}$ and $c$ is sufficiently large, respectively). Hence part (3) follows.

Given the values of $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ in Theorem 2.2, one can obtain the corresponding comparison of $R(a, b)$ and the value $R\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right)$. For example, one can easily obtain the following

COROLLARY 2.3. (1) For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, if $4 a b \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(a, b) \geqslant \log 16 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the equality if and only if $a=b=1 / 2$. If $4 a b \geqslant c$, then the inequality (37) is reversed.
(2) For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, if $1<4 a b<c$, then $R(a, b)$ and $\log 16$ are not directly comparable, that is, neither (37) nor its inverse inequality holds for all $a, b>0$ with $1<4 a b<c$.

As we know, [26, Lemma 2.1] gives an effective tool for us to show the monotonicity properties of a ratio of two power series. In [61, Theorem 2.1] (see also [39, Lemma 1.1]), Yang, Chu and Wang proved a good criterion for the monotonicity of the quotient $\varphi(x) \equiv A(x) / B(x)$, where $A=A(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $B=B(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$ have a common radius $r$ of convergence. They use the sign of $H_{A, B}\left(r^{-}\right)$of the function $H_{A, B}=\left(A^{\prime} B / B^{\prime}\right)-A$ to determine the monotonicity properties of $\varphi$. Since $H_{A, B}(x)=$ $B(x)^{2} \varphi^{\prime}(x) / B^{\prime}(x)$, it is easy to see that [61, Theorem 2.1] can be changed to the following more natural and convenient conclusions.

LEmmA 2.4. Suppose that the real power series $A(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $B(x)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$ with $b_{n}>0$ are of a common radius $r \in(0, \infty)$ of convergence, and $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}$ is a non-constant sequence. Let $\varphi(x)=A(x) / B(x)$.
(1) If there is an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}$ is increasing (decreasing) for $0 \leqslant n \leqslant n_{0}$, and decreasing (increasing) for $n \geqslant n_{0}$, then $\varphi$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r)$ if and only if $\varphi^{\prime}\left(r^{-}\right) \geqslant 0\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(r^{-}\right) \leqslant 0\right.$, respectively).
(2) If there is an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}$ is increasing (decreasing) for $0 \leqslant n \leqslant n_{0}$, and decreasing (increasing) for $n \geqslant n_{0}$, and if $\varphi^{\prime}\left(r^{-}\right)<0\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(r^{-}\right)>0\right)$, then there exists a number $x_{0} \in(0, r)$ such that $\varphi$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on $\left(0, x_{0}\right]$ and decreasing (increasing, respectively) on $\left[x_{0}, r\right)$.

LEMMA 2.5. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $d \in(-\infty, \infty)$, the function $g_{7}(r) \equiv(1-r)^{d} F(a, b ; c ; r)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(0,1)$ if and only if $d \leqslant 0$ $(d \geqslant \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $d_{n}=(a, n)(b, n) /[(c+1, n) n!]$ and $\bar{d}_{n}=(a, n)(b, n) /[(c, n) n!]$. By differentiation and (22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-r)^{1-d}}{F(r)} g_{7}^{\prime}(r)=\alpha g_{8}(r)-d, g_{8}(r)=\frac{G(r)}{F(r)}=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n} r^{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{d}_{n} r^{n}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $g_{8}(0)=1$ and $g_{8}\left(1^{-}\right)=0$. Since $d_{n} / \bar{d}_{n}=c /(n+c)$ is strictly decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, g_{8}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ by [26, Lemma 2.1]. Hence by (38),

$$
g_{7}^{\prime}(r)>0 \Leftrightarrow d \leqslant \alpha \inf _{0<r<1}\left\{g_{8}(r)\right\}=0, \quad g_{7}^{\prime}(r)<0 \Leftrightarrow d \geqslant \alpha \sup _{0<r<1}\left\{g_{8}(r)\right\}=\alpha
$$

In [39, Lemma 2.2], some monotonicity properties of $f_{1}(r) \equiv F(r) / F_{1}(r)$ and $f_{2}(r) \equiv G(r) / G_{1}(r)$, for $r \in(0,1)$, were obtained. However, the formulation of the conditions in [39, Lemma 2.2] is not simple and clear enough, the results for $f_{2}$ are not complete, and the proof of [39, Lemma 2.2] is not natural, because of lack of the help of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. For this reason, we prove the following results.

THEOREM 2.6. For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{1}(r)=F(r) / F_{1}(r)$ and $f_{2}(r)=G(r) / G_{1}(r)$. Then we have the following conclusions:
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, or if $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$ with $R \geqslant R_{1}$, then $f_{1}$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left(B_{1} / B, 1\right]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{1}$ is strict.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, then $f_{1}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left[1, B_{1} / B\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{1}$ is strict.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), namely $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$ with $R<$ $R_{1}\left(\right.$ or $\left.c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}\right)$, there exists a number $r_{1}=r_{1}\left(a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in(0,1)\left(r_{2}=\right.$ $\left.r_{2}\left(a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in(0,1)\right)$ such that $f_{1}$ is decreasing (increasing) on $\left(0, r_{1}\right]\left(\left(0, r_{2}\right]\right)$, and increasing (decreasing) on $\left[r_{1}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{2}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively). If $c \leqslant 4 \alpha_{1}$, then the case " $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear, and in particular, if $c \leqslant 1$ and $\alpha_{1} \geqslant 1 / 4$, then the case " $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear.
(4) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$ or $a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c<a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$, then $f_{2}$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left(\alpha_{1} B_{1} /(\alpha B), 1\right]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{2}$ is strict.
(5) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$ or $a_{1} b_{1} \leqslant a b<a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c$, then $f_{2}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left[1, \alpha_{1} B_{1} /(\alpha B)\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{2}$ is strict.
(6) In other cases not stated in parts (4)-(5), namely $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$ (or $\left.(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}\right)$, there exists a number $r_{3}=r_{3}\left(a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in(0,1)\left(r_{4}=\right.$ $\left.r_{4}\left(a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in(0,1)\right)$ such that $f_{2}$ is decreasing (increasing) on $\left(0, r_{3}\right]\left(\left(0, r_{4}\right]\right)$ and increasing (decreasing) on $\left[r_{3}, 1\right)$ ( $\left[r_{4}, 1\right.$ ), respectively). If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$, then the case " $(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear. In particular, if $c \leqslant 1$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{1} \geqslant 1 / 8$, then the case " $(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear.

Proof. Clearly, $f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=1$. By (20) and (23), $f_{1}\left(1^{-}\right)=B_{1} / B$ and $f_{2}(1)=$ $\alpha_{1} B_{1} /(\alpha B)$. Differentiation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{\alpha G(r) F_{1}(r)-\alpha_{1} F(r) G_{1}(r)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)^{2}}  \tag{39}\\
f_{2}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{\bar{\alpha} F_{+}(r) G_{1}(r)-\bar{\alpha}_{1} F_{1+}(r) G(r)}{G_{1}(r)^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

By (23), $\alpha B R_{1} G(1)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} R G_{1}(1)=R_{1}-R$. By (15), (20) and (22), and by l'Hôpital's rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha B G(r)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} G_{1}(r)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)} & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{1} B_{1} F_{1+}(r)-\alpha \bar{\alpha} B F_{+}(r)}{F_{1}(r)-\alpha_{1} G_{1}(r)} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\alpha_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{1} B_{1} \frac{F_{1+}(r)}{F_{1}(r)}-\alpha \bar{\alpha} B \frac{F_{+}(r)}{F_{1}(r)}\right] \\
& =\left(a_{1} b_{1}-a b\right) B_{1}, \\
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha B R_{1} G(r)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} R G_{1}(r)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)^{2}} & =0 \text { if } R=R_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (15), (20), (22)-(23) and (39), we obtain the limiting value

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right) & =\frac{1}{B B_{1}} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha B G(r) \log \left(e^{R_{1}} /(1-r)\right)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} G_{1}(r) \log \left(e^{R} /(1-r)\right)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\frac{\alpha B R_{1} G(r)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} R G_{1}(r)}{B_{1}(1-r) F_{1}(r)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha B G(r)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} G_{1}(r)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)} \cdot \frac{\log [1 /(1-r)]}{\log \left[e^{\left.R_{1} /(1-r)\right]}\right]}\right] \\
& =\frac{B_{1}}{B}\left(a_{1} b_{1}-a b\right)+\frac{1}{B B_{1}} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha B R_{1} G(r)-\alpha_{1} B_{1} R G_{1}(r)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)^{2}} \\
& = \begin{cases}-\infty, & R>R_{1}, \\
B_{1}\left(a_{1} b_{1}-a b\right) / B, & R=R_{1}, \\
\infty, & R<R_{1} .\end{cases} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $D_{1}=\alpha_{1} B_{1}\left(a b R-a_{1} b_{1} R_{1}+c_{1}-c\right) /(\alpha B)$. Then (23) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(a b R-c) G_{1}(1)}{\alpha B}-\frac{\left(a_{1} b_{1} R_{1}-c_{1}\right) G(1)}{\alpha_{1} B_{1}}=\frac{D_{1}}{\left(\alpha_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& c B_{1} G_{1}(1)-c_{1} B G(1)=\frac{a b-a_{1} b_{1}}{\alpha \alpha_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (20) and l'Hôpital's rule,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{c B_{1} G_{1}(r)-c_{1} B G(r)}{r^{\prime}}=0 \text { if } a b=a_{1} b_{1}
$$

Hence by (15), (20), (22) and (40), we obtain the limiting value

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right) & =\frac{1}{G_{1}(1)^{2}} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\frac{\bar{\alpha} G_{1}(r)}{\bar{B}} \log \frac{e^{\bar{R}}}{1-r}-\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{1} G(r)}{\bar{B}_{1}} \log \frac{e^{\bar{R}_{1}}}{1-r}\right] \\
& =D_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2} B_{1}}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[c B_{1} G_{1}(r)-c_{1} B G(r)\right] \log \frac{1}{1-r} \\
& = \begin{cases}-\infty, & a b<a_{1} b_{1}, \\
D_{1}, & a b=a_{1} b_{1}, \\
\infty, & a b>a_{1} b_{1} .\end{cases} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 2.2(1)-(2), if $a b=a_{1} b_{1}$, then

$$
D_{1}=\frac{c B_{1}}{c_{1} B}\left[a b\left(R-R_{1}\right)+c_{1}-c\right] \begin{cases}<0, & \text { if } c_{1}<c  \tag{43}\\ >0, & \text { if } c_{1}>c\end{cases}
$$

Next, for $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{a}_{n} & =\frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n) n!}, \quad \widetilde{b}_{n}=\frac{\left(a_{1}, n\right)\left(b_{1}, n\right)}{\left(c_{1}, n\right) n!}, \quad \widetilde{c}_{n}=\frac{\widetilde{a}_{n}}{\widetilde{b}_{n}} \\
\bar{a}_{n} & =\frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c+1, n) n!}, \quad \bar{b}_{n}=\frac{\left(a_{1}, n\right)\left(b_{1}, n\right)}{\left(c_{1}+1, n\right) n!}, \quad \bar{c}_{n}=\frac{\bar{a}_{n}}{\bar{b}_{n}} \\
\Delta_{1} & =\Delta_{1}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(a b-a_{1} b_{1}\right) n+c_{1}\left(a b-c \alpha_{1}\right) \\
\Delta_{2} & =\Delta_{2}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(a b+c-a_{1} b_{1}-c_{1}\right) n+a b\left(c_{1}+1\right)-a_{1} b_{1}(c+1) \\
& =\left(a b+c-a_{1} b_{1}-c_{1}\right) n+\left(c_{1}+1\right)\left[a b-(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (1),

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(r)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_{n} r^{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{b}_{n} r^{n}}, \quad \frac{\widetilde{c}_{n+1}}{\widetilde{c}_{n}}=1+\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right)}{(n+c)\left(n^{2}+c_{1} n+a_{1} b_{1}\right)},  \tag{44}\\
& f_{2}(r)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{n} r^{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{n} r^{n}}, \quad \frac{\bar{c}_{n+1}}{\bar{c}_{n}}=1+\frac{\Delta_{2}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right)}{(n+c+1)\left(n^{2}+c_{1} n+a_{1} b_{1}\right)} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, then $\Delta_{1}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \leqslant 0$, so that $\widetilde{c}_{n}$ is decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (44). Hence $f_{1}$ is decreasing on $[0,1)$ by [26, Lemma 2.1].

If $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$ and $R \geqslant R_{1}$, then $\widetilde{c}_{n}$ is decreasing and then increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (44), and $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)<0$ by (41). Hence by Lemma 2.4(1), $f_{1}$ is decreasing on $[0,1)$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, then $\Delta_{1}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \geqslant 0$, and $\widetilde{c}_{n}$ is increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (44). Hence $f_{1}$ is increasing on [0,1) by [26, Lemma 2.1].
(3) If $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$ and $R<R_{1}$, then $\widetilde{c}_{n}$ is decreasing and then increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (44), and $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=\infty$ by (41). Hence the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{1}$ follows from Lemma 2.4(2).

If $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$, then $c<c_{1}, c_{n}$ is increasing and then decreasing in $n \in$ $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (44), $R>R_{1}$ by Theorem 2.2(1), $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=-\infty$ by (41), and the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{1}$ follows from Lemma 2.4(2).

If $c \leqslant 4 \alpha_{1}$, then $a b \leqslant c^{2} / 4 \leqslant c \alpha_{1}$ by (16), so that the case " $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear. In particular, if $c \leqslant 1$ and $\alpha_{1} \geqslant 1 / 4$, then $a b \leqslant c^{2} / 4 \leqslant c^{2} \alpha_{1} \leqslant c \alpha_{1}$ by (16), so that the case " $c \alpha_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear.
(4) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$, then $\Delta_{2}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \leqslant 0$, so that $\bar{c}_{n}$ is decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (45). Hence $f_{2}$ is decreasing on [0,1) by [26, Lemma 2.1].

If $a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c<a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$, then $c_{1}<c$ and $a b\left(c_{1}+1\right)<a_{1} b_{1}(c+1)$, so that $\bar{c}_{n}$ is decreasing and then increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (45). By (42) and (43), $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=-\infty$ if $a b<a_{1} b_{1}$, and $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=D_{1}<0$ if $a b=a_{1} b_{1}$. Hence $f_{2}$ is decreasing on $[0,1)$ by Lemma 2.4(1).
(5) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$, then $\Delta_{2}\left(n, a, b, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \geqslant 0$, so that $\bar{c}_{n}$ is increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (45). Hence $f_{2}$ is increasing on [0,1) by [26, Lemma 2.1].

If $a_{1} b_{1} \leqslant a b<a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c$, then $c_{1}>c, a b\left(c_{1}+1\right)>a_{1} b_{1}(c+1)$, so that $\bar{c}_{n}$ is increasing and then decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (45). By (42) and (43), $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=\infty$ if $a b>a_{1} b_{1}$, and $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=D_{1}>0$ if $a b=a_{1} b_{1}$. Hence $f_{2}$ is increasing on $[0,1)$ by Lemma 2.4(1).
(6) If $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$, then $c>c_{1}, a b+c>a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}$ and $a b\left(c_{1}+1\right)<$ $\left(c_{1}+1\right)(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}=a_{1} b_{1}(c+1)$, so that $\bar{c}_{n}$ in decreasing and then increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (45). By (42), $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=\infty$, and hence the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{2}$ follows from Lemma 2.4(2).

If $(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$, then $c<c_{1}, a b+c<a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}$ and $a b\left(c_{1}+1\right)>$ $\left(c_{1}+1\right)(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}=a_{1} b_{1}(c+1)$, so that $\bar{c}_{n}$ in increasing and then decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ by (45), and $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)=-\infty$ by (42). Hence the assertion on the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{2}$ follows from Lemma 2.4(2).

If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$, then by (16), $a b \leqslant c^{2} / 4 \leqslant(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$. Hence the situation " $(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not exist. In particular, if $c \leqslant 1$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{1} \geqslant 1 / 8$, then $a b \leqslant c^{2} / 4 \leqslant(c+1) / 8 \leqslant(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$ by (16), so that the case " $(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear.

LEMMA 2.7. For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty), c=a+b, c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{3}(r)=[F(r)-1] /\left[F_{1}(r)-1\right]$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$ or $a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c<a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$, then $f_{3}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(B_{1} / B, \alpha / \alpha_{1}\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{3}$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{B_{1}}{B}+\frac{B_{1}}{B} F\left(a_{1}, b_{1} ; c_{1} ; r\right) \leqslant F(a, b ; c ; r) \leqslant 1-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} F\left(a_{1}, b_{1} ; c_{1} r\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $(a, b)=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$ or $a_{1} b_{1} \leqslant a b<a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c$, then $f_{3}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left(\alpha / \alpha_{1}, B_{1} / B\right)$, and each inequality in (46) is reversed. Moreover, the monotonicity of $f_{3}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $f_{2}$ be as in Theorem 2.6, $g_{9}(r)=F(r)-1$ and $g_{10}(r)=F_{1}(r)-1$. Then $g_{9}(0)=g_{10}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{9}^{\prime}(r)}{g_{10}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{\alpha G(r)}{\alpha_{1} G_{1}(r)}=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} f_{2}(r) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the monotonicity properties of $f_{3}$ follow from Theorem 2.6(4)-(5) and [3, Theorem 1.25].

By (47), $f_{3}\left(0^{+}\right)=\alpha / \alpha_{1}$, and by (20), $f_{3}\left(1^{-}\right)=B_{1} / B$. The remaining conclusions are clear.

## 3. Some properties of hypergeometric functions

In this section, we mainly show several properties of hypergeometric functions, including their sharp bounds given in terms of elementary functions, and the relations between $F(r)$ and $F_{0}(r), G(r)$ and $G_{0}(r), F(r)$ and $\bar{F}_{0}(r)$, and between $G(r)$ and $\bar{G}_{0}(r)$. Some of these relations embody the stabilities of the hypergeometric functions $F_{0}(r), G_{0}(r), \bar{F}_{0}(r)$ and $\bar{G}_{0}(r)$ with respect to the parameters, in some extent. These results are needed in the proofs of our results in Sections 4 and 5.

First, taking $a_{1}=1 / 2$ and $b_{1}=1$, we can immediately obtain the following Corollaries 3.1-3.2 (Corollary 3.3) from Theorem 2.6 (Lemma 2.7, respectively) and (22)(23).

COROLLARY 3.1. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{4}(r)=$ $F(r) / F_{0}(r)=\sqrt{r} F(r) / \operatorname{arth}(\sqrt{r})$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{1 / 2, c / 3\}$, or if $1 / 2<a b<c / 3$ with $R \geqslant \log 4$, then $f_{4}$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $(2 / B, 1]$. The monotonicity of $f_{4}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{B r}=\frac{2}{B} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; r^{2}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each inequality if and only if $(a, b)=(1 / 2,1)$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{1 / 2, c / 3\}$, then $f_{4}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $[1,2 / B)$, and each inequality in (48) is reversed. Moreover, the monotonicity of $f_{4}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq$ (1/2, 1).
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), namely $1 / 2<a b<c / 3$ with $R<$ $\log 4$ (or $c / 3<a b<1 / 2$ ), there exists $r_{5}=r_{5}(a, b) \in(0,1)\left(r_{6}=r_{6}(a, b) \in(0,1)\right)$ such that $f_{4}$ is decreasing (increasing) on $\left[0, r_{5}\right]\left(\left[0, r_{6}\right]\right.$ ), and increasing (decreasing) on $\left[r_{5}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{6}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively), with $f_{4}(0)=1$ and $f_{4}\left(1^{-}\right)=2 / B$. If $c \leqslant 4 / 3$, then the case " $c / 3<a b<1 / 2$ " does not appear.

COROLLARY 3.2. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, andfor $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{5}(r)=$ $G(r) / G_{0}(r)$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b \leqslant 1 / 2$, then $f_{5}$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $(2 /(3 \alpha B), 1]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{5}$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(r) /(\alpha B) \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c+1 ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant 3 P_{0}(r) / 2 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$, where $P_{0}(r)=$ $r^{-3}\left[r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)\right]$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2 \leqslant a b<2-c$, then $f_{5}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $[1,2 /(3 \alpha B))$, and each inequality in (49) is reversed. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{5}$ is strict.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$ (or $(c+$ 1) $/ 5<a b<1 / 2)$, there exists a number $r_{7}=r_{7}(a, b) \in(0,1)\left(r_{8}=r_{8}(a, b) \in(0,1)\right)$ such that $f_{5}$ is decreasing (increasing) on $\left[0, r_{7}\right]\left(\left[0, r_{8}\right]\right)$, and increasing (decreasing) on $\left[r_{7}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{8}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively), with $f_{5}(0)=1$ and $f_{5}\left(1^{-}\right)=2 /(3 \alpha B)$. If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+$ $1) / 5$, then the case " $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2$ " does not appear.

Corollary 3.3. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, andfor $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{6}(r)=$ $[F(r)-1] /\left[F_{0}(r)-1\right]$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b \leqslant 1 / 2$, then $f_{6}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(2 / B, 3 \alpha)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{6}$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{2}{B}\left[\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}-1\right] \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant 1+3 \alpha\left[\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}-1\right] \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}-1+\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}<\mathscr{K}(r)<\frac{\pi}{8}+3 \pi \frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{8 r} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2 \leqslant a b<2-c$, then $f_{6}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $(3 \alpha, 2 / B)$, and each inequality in (50) is reversed. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq$ $(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{6}$ is strict.

COROLLARY 3.4. For $r \in(0,1), D_{2}=\sqrt{2} / \pi=0.45015 \cdots$ and $D_{3}=8 \sqrt{2} / 3 \pi=$ $1.20042 \cdots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{2} \frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r} & <F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}<\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}, ~ 子 r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)<\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 2 ; r^{2}\right)<3 \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)}{2 r^{3}} .
$$

The coefficients of the lower and upper bounds in (52) and (53) are all best possible.

Proof. Take $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$ in Corollary 3.1(1). Then $c=1, B(1 / 4,3 / 4)=$ $\sqrt{2} \pi$ by (13), $a b=\alpha=3 / 16<1 / 3$, and hence (52) follows from Corollary 3.1(1). The coefficients of the lower and upper bounds in (52) are both best possible, since $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \bar{F}_{0}(r) / F_{0}(r)=1$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \bar{F}_{0}(r) / F_{0}(r)=\sqrt{2} / \pi$ by (20).

Similarly, the remaining conclusions follow from Corollary 3.2(1).

COROLLARY 3.5. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{7}(r)=$ $F(r) / \bar{F}_{0}(r)$.
(1) If $16 a b / 3 \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<16 a b / 3<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 64$, then $f_{7}$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $(\sqrt{2} \pi / B, 1]$, so that for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi}{B} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4=b / 3$. The monotonicity of $f_{7}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$.
(2) If $16 a b / 3 \geqslant \max \{1, c\}$, then $f_{7}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $[1, \sqrt{2} \pi / B)$, so that each inequality in (54) is reversed. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{7}$ is strict.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), namely $1<16 a b / 3<c$ with $R<$ $\log 64$ (or $c<16 a b / 3<1)$, there exists $r_{9}=r_{9}(a, b) \in(0,1)\left(r_{10}=r_{10}(a, b) \in(0,1)\right)$ such that $f_{7}$ is decreasing (increasing) on $\left[0, r_{9}\right]\left(\left[0, r_{10}\right]\right.$ ), and increasing (decreasing) on $\left[r_{9}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{10}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively), with $f_{7}(0)=1$ and $f_{7}\left(1^{-}\right)=\sqrt{2} \pi / B$. If $c \leqslant 3 / 4$, then the case " $c<16 a b / 3<1$ " does not appear.

Proof. The results follow from Theorem 2.6(1)-(3) with $a_{1}=1 / 4$ and $b_{1}=3 / 4$ and (13).

Similarly, Theorem 2.6(4)-(6) with $a_{1}=1 / 4$ and $b_{1}=3 / 4$, (13) and (53) yield the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{8}(r)=$ $G(r) / \bar{G}_{0}(r)$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b \leqslant 3 / 16$, then $f_{8}$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $(3 \sqrt{2} \pi /(16 \alpha B), 1]$. The monotonicity of $f_{8}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 \sqrt{2} \pi}{16 \alpha B} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 2 ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c+1 ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 2 ; r^{2}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $(a, b)=(1 / 4,3 / 4)$. Moreover, the coefficients $1 /(\alpha B)$ and $3 / 2$ of the lower and upper bounds in (55) are both best possible.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $3 / 16 \leqslant a b<(19 / 16)-c$, then $f_{8}$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $[1,3 \sqrt{2} \pi /(16 \alpha B))$, so that each inequality in (55) is reversed. Moreover, the monotonicity of $f_{8}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $3 / 16<a b<3(c+1) / 32$ (or $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16)$, there exists a number $r_{11}=r_{11}(a, b) \in(0,1)\left(r_{12}=\right.$ $\left.r_{12}(a, b) \in(0,1)\right)$ such that $f_{8}$ is decreasing (increasing) on $\left[0, r_{11}\right]$ ( $\left[0, r_{12}\right]$ ), and increasing (decreasing) on $\left[r_{11}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{12}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively) with $f_{8}(0)=1$ and $f_{8}\left(1^{-}\right)=$ $3 \sqrt{2} \pi /(16 \alpha B)$. If $c^{2} \leqslant 3(c+1) / 8$, then the case " $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16$ " does not appear.

Taking $a_{1}=1 / 4$ and $b_{1}=3 / 4$ in Lemma 2.7, and applying (13), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, andfor $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{9}(r)=$ $[F(r)-1] /\left[\bar{F}_{0}(r)-1\right]$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b \leqslant 3 / 16$, then $f_{9}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\sqrt{2} \pi / B, 16 \alpha / 3)$. Moreover, the monotonicity of $f_{9}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi}{B}\left[F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)-1\right] \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant 1+\frac{16 \alpha}{3}\left[F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)-1\right], \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $3 / 16 \leqslant a b<(19 / 16)-c$, then $f_{9}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(16 \alpha / 3, \sqrt{2} \pi / B)$, so that each inequality in (56) is reversed. Moreover, the monotonicity of $f_{9}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$.

Next, we present some more properties of zero-balanced hypergeometric functions. The following theorem and its corollaries 3.9-3.10 play a key role in the proofs of our results obtained in Section 4.

THEOREM 3.8. For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, let $r_{3}$ and $r_{4}$ be as in Theorem 2.6, $\xi=1-\alpha / \alpha_{1}, \delta=\left(R-R_{1}\right) / B$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{10}(r)=F(r)-F_{1}(r) F^{\prime}(r) / F_{1}^{\prime}(r)$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$ or $a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c<a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$, then $f_{10}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\xi, \delta)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{10}$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi+\frac{B_{1}}{B} F\left(a_{1}, b_{1} ; c_{1} ; r\right) \leqslant F(a, b ; c ; r) \leqslant \delta+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} F\left(a_{1}, b_{1} ; c_{1} ; r\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=a_{1}$ and $b=b_{1}$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$ or $a_{1} b_{1} \leqslant a b<a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c$, then $f_{10}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\delta, \xi)$, and each inequality in (57) is reversed. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{10}$ is strict.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$ (or $\left.(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}\right), f_{10}$ is increasing (decreasing) on $\left[0, r_{3}\right]\left(\left[0, r_{4}\right]\right)$, and decreasing (increasing) on $\left[r_{3}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{4}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively). If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}$, then the case " $(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}<a b<a_{1} b_{1}$ " does not appear.

Proof. Let $f_{2}$ be as in Theorem 2.6. Then by (22), $F^{\prime}(r) / F_{1}^{\prime}(r)=\alpha G(r) /\left[\alpha_{1} G_{1}(r)\right]$ $=\alpha f_{2}(r) / \alpha_{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{10}(r)=F(r)-F_{1}(r) \frac{\alpha G(r)}{\alpha_{1} G_{1}(r)}=F(r)-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} F_{1}(r) f_{2}(r),  \tag{58}\\
& f_{10}^{\prime}(r)=-F_{1}(r) \frac{d}{d r}\left[\frac{F^{\prime}(r)}{F_{1}^{\prime}(r)}\right]=-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} F_{1}(r) f_{2}^{\prime}(r) . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the monotonicity properties of $f_{10}$, given in parts (1)-(3), follow from (59) and Theorem 2.6(4)-(6).

By (58), we see that $f_{10}(0)=1-\alpha / \alpha_{1}$. Since $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\alpha_{1} B_{1} G_{1}(r)-\alpha B G(r)\right] / r^{\prime}=$ 0 by l'Hôpital's rule and (20), it follows from (58), (20) and (23) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{10}\left(1^{-}\right) & =B_{1} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\frac{\alpha_{1} G_{1}(r)}{B} \log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r}-\frac{\alpha G(r)}{B_{1}} \log \frac{e^{R_{1}}}{1-r}\right] \\
& =\delta+\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\alpha_{1} B_{1} G_{1}(r)-\alpha B G(r)}{B r^{\prime}} \cdot\left(r^{\prime} \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)=\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (58) and the monotonicity of $f_{10}$ given in part (1) that

$$
\xi+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} F_{1}(r) f_{2}(r) \leqslant F(a, b ; c ; r) \leqslant \delta+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} F_{1}(r) f_{2}(r)
$$

and hence (57) follows from Theorem 2.6(4). The remaining conclusions are clear.

Taking $a_{1}=1 / 2$ and $b_{1}=1\left(a_{1}=1 / 4\right.$ and $\left.b_{1}=3 / 4\right)$ in Theorem 3.8, we immediately obtain the following Corollary 3.9 (Corollary 3.10 , respectively).

Corollary 3.9. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, let $r_{7}$ and $r_{8}$ be as in Corollary 3.2, $\delta_{1}=(R-\log 4) / B$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{11}(r)=F(r)-F_{0}(r) F^{\prime}(r) / F_{0}^{\prime}(r)$ and $Q_{0}(r)=[\operatorname{arth}(r)] / r$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b<1 / 2$, then $f_{11}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(1-3 \alpha, \delta_{1}\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{11}$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-3 \alpha+2 Q_{0}(r) / B \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant \delta_{1}+3 \alpha Q_{0}(r) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2<a b<2-c$, then $f_{11}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(\delta_{1}, 1-3 \alpha\right)$, and each inequality in (60) is reversed. Furthermore, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{11}$ is strict.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$ (or $(c+$ 1) $/ 5<a b<1 / 2$ ), $f_{11}$ is increasing (decreasing) on $\left[0, r_{7}\right]$ ( $\left[0, r_{8}\right]$ ), and decreasing (increasing) on $\left[r_{7}, 1\right)\left(\left[r_{8}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively). If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+1) / 5$, then the case " $(c+$ 1) $/ 5<a b<1 / 2$ " does not appear.

Corollary 3.10. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $r_{11}$ and $r_{12}$ be as in Corollary 3.6, $\delta_{2}=(R-\log 64) / B, \beta_{1}=1-16 \alpha / 3$, and $f_{12}(r)=$ $F(r)-\bar{F}_{0}(r) F^{\prime}(r) / \bar{F}_{0}^{\prime}(r)$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b<3 / 16$, then $f_{12}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(\eta, \delta_{2}\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{12}$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{16 \alpha}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi}{B} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant \delta_{2}+\frac{16 \alpha}{3} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $3 / 16<a b<(19 / 16)-c$, then $f_{12}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(\delta_{2}, \eta\right)$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, each inequality in (61) is reversed. Furthermore, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{12}$ is strict.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $3 / 16<a b<3(c+1) / 32$ (or $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16)$, $f_{12}$ is increasing (decreasing) on $\left[0, r_{11}\right]\left(\left[0, r_{12}\right]\right.$ ), and decreasing (increasing) on $\left[r_{11}, 1\right)$ ( $\left[r_{12}, 1\right.$ ), respectively). If $c^{2} \leqslant 3(c+1) / 8$, then the case " $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16$ " does not appear.

COROLLARY 3.11. (1) For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, let $f_{1}$ be as in Theorem 2.6. If $\alpha_{1} \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c,(c+1) \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\}$, or if $\alpha_{1} \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}-c<a b \leqslant a_{1} b_{1}$, then $f_{1}$ is concave on $(0,1)$.
(2) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b \leqslant 1 / 2$, then $f_{4}$ defined in Corollary 3.1 is concave on $(0,1)$.
(3) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b \leqslant 3 / 16$, then $f_{7}$ defined in Corollary 3.5 is concave on $(0,1)$.

Proof. (1) Let $f_{10}$ be as in Theorem 3.8. By differentiation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-f_{1}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{F_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{F_{1}(r)^{2}}\left[F(r)-\frac{F^{\prime}(r)}{F_{1}^{\prime}(r)} F_{1}(r)\right] \\
& =\frac{F_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{F_{1}(r)^{2}} f_{10}(r) \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1} G_{1}(r)}{(1-r) F_{1}(r)^{2}} \cdot f_{10}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is product of two positive and increasing functions on $(0,1)$ by Theorem 3.8(1) and Lemma 2.5. This yields part (1).
(2) If $a_{1}=1 / 2$ and $b_{1}=1$ in part (1), then $\alpha_{1}=1 / 3<1 / 2, a_{1} b_{1}+c_{1}=2$, $a_{1} b_{1} /\left(c_{1}+1\right)=1 / 5$, and hence the concavity of $f_{4}$ follows from part (1).
(3) Similarly, part (3) follows from part (1) with $a_{1}=1 / 4$ and $b_{1}=3 / 4$.

## 4. Extensions of transformation identities (26) and (27)

In this section, we extend the identities (26) and (27) to zero-balanced hypergeometric functions by proving the following Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. These results substantially improve all the known related results such as Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 4.1. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, let $\beta=1-3 \alpha$ and $\delta_{1}=(R-$ $\log 4) / B$, and define the function $f$ on $(0,1)$ by

$$
f(r)=(1+r) F(a, b ; c ; r)-F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right)-\beta r .
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b<1 / 2$, then $f$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left[0, \delta_{1}-\beta\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta r \leqslant(1+r) F(a, b ; c ; r)-F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) \leqslant \beta r+\delta_{1}-\beta \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2<a b<2-c$, then $f$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left(\delta_{1}-\beta, 0\right]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $f$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta r+\delta_{1}-\beta \leqslant(1+r) F(a, b ; c ; r)-F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) \leqslant \beta r \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$ or $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2, f$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and neither the double inequality (62) nor (63) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ and for all $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$ or $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2$. If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+1) / 5$, then the case " $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2$ " does not appear.

Proof. Put $x=4 r /(1+r)^{2}$. Then $x>r$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-x=\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^{2}, \frac{d x}{d r}=\frac{4(1-r)}{(1+r)^{3}}, \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{d x}{d r}=\frac{4}{r^{\prime 2}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $f(0)=0$. By (20) and (64), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(1^{-}\right) & =\frac{1}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[(1+r) \log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r}-\log \frac{e^{R}}{1-x}\right]-\beta \\
& =\frac{1}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[r R+(1+r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}-2 \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right]-\beta=\delta_{1}-\beta \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $f_{13}(r)=f_{14}(r) / f_{15}(r), f_{14}(r)=r^{\prime 2} F(r)+\alpha(1+r)^{2} G(r)-4 \alpha G(x)$ and $f_{15}(r)$ $=r^{\prime 2}$. Then by (22) and (64), and by differentiation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(r) & =F(r)+\alpha \frac{1+r}{1-r} G(r)-\frac{4 \alpha}{1-r^{2}} G(x)-\beta=f_{13}(r)-\beta  \tag{66}\\
f_{14}^{\prime}(r) & =3 \alpha(1+r) G(r)-2 r F(r)+\alpha \bar{\alpha}(1+r)^{2} F_{+}(r)-\frac{16 \alpha \bar{\alpha}(1-r)}{(1+r)^{3}} F_{+}(x) \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{14}(0)-\beta=f_{14}\left(1^{-}\right)=0, f_{14}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{15 \alpha}{c+1}\left(\frac{c+1}{5}-a b\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by (26), $F_{0}(x)=(1+r) F_{0}(r)$. Differentiating both sides of this identity with respect to $r$, and using (18), (22) and (64), we obtain the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(x)=\frac{3 r^{\prime 2}}{4}\left[F_{0}(r)+\frac{1+r}{3(1-r)} G_{0}(r)\right] \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b<1 / 2$, then by Corollary 3.2(1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x) \leqslant G(r) G_{0}(x) / G_{0}(r) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{11}$ be as in Corollary 3.9. Then it follows from (66), (69), (70) and Corollary 3.9(1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(r) & \geqslant F(r)+\alpha \frac{1+r}{1-r} G(r)-\frac{4 \alpha G(r)}{r^{\prime 2} G_{0}(r)} G_{0}(x)-\beta \\
& =F(r)-3 \alpha F_{0}(r) \frac{G(r)}{G_{0}(r)}-\beta=f_{11}(r)-\beta \geqslant 0 . \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

This yields the monotonicity of $f$. The remaining conclusions in part (1) are clear.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2<a b<2-c$, then by Corollaries 3.2(2) and $3.9(2)$, each inequality in $(70)-(71)$ is reversed, and hence part (2) follows.
(3) By (66), we see that $f^{\prime}(0)=0$. By l'Hôpital's rule and (67)-(68), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{\prime 2}}{r} f^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{f_{14}(r)-\beta}{r}+\beta r\right]=f_{14}^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)=\frac{15 \alpha}{c+1}\left(\frac{c+1}{5}-a b\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (15), (20), (22)-(23) and (66)-(67), and by l'Hôpital's rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right) & =f_{13}\left(1^{-}\right)-\beta=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{f_{14}^{\prime}(r)}{f_{15}^{\prime}(r)}-\beta=-\frac{1}{2} f_{14}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)-\beta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[2 r F(r)+\frac{16 \alpha \bar{\alpha}(1-r)}{(1+r)^{3}} F_{+}(x)-3 \alpha(1+r) G(r)-\alpha \bar{\alpha}(1+r)^{2} F_{+}(r)\right]-\beta \\
& =-\frac{3}{B}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[r F(r)-\frac{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}{2}(1+r)^{2} F_{+}(r)\right]-\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =-\frac{3}{B}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\frac{r}{B} \log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r}-\frac{\alpha \bar{\alpha}(1+r)^{2}}{2 \bar{B}} \log \frac{e^{\bar{R}}}{1-r}\right]-\beta \\
& =\frac{2 c+(1-2 a b) R-3}{B}+\frac{1}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[r-\frac{a b}{2}(1+r)^{2}\right] \log \frac{1}{1-r}-\beta \\
& = \begin{cases}\infty, & \text { if } a b<1 / 2, \\
-\infty, & \text { if } a b>1 / 2 .\end{cases} \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

If $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$, then by (72) and (73), there exist numbers $r_{13}, r_{14} \in(0,1)$ with $r_{13}<r_{14}$ such that $f^{\prime}(r)>0$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{13}\right)$, and $f^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in\left(r_{14}, 1\right)$. Hence $f$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and the second inequality in (62) (the second inequality in (63)) is reversed for $r \in\left(r_{14}, 1\right)\left(r \in\left(0, r_{13}\right]\right.$, respectively).

Similarly, if $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2$, then $f$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and neither the double inequality (62) nor (63) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$. The remaining conclusion is clear.

Corollary 4.2. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, let $\beta=1-3 \alpha$ and $\delta_{1}=$ $(R-\log 4) / B$, and define the function $g$ on $(0,1)$ by

$$
g(r)=\frac{2}{1+r} F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right)-\beta \frac{1-r}{1+r}
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b<1 / 2$, then $g$ is decreasing from $(0,1]$ onto $\left[0, \delta_{1}-\beta\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $g$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(1-r) \leqslant 2 F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)-(1+r) F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{\prime 2}\right) \leqslant \beta(1-r)+\left(\delta_{1}-\beta\right)(1+r) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2<a b<2-c$, then $g$ is increasing from $(0,1]$ onto $\left(\delta_{1}-\beta, 0\right]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1)$, then the monotonicity of $g$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(1-r)+\left(\delta_{1}-\beta\right)(1+r) \leqslant 2 F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)-(1+r) F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{\prime 2}\right) \leqslant \beta(1-r) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$ or $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2, g$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and neither (74) nor (75) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ and for all $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $1 / 2<a b<(c+1) / 5$ or $(c+1) / 5<a b<$ $1 / 2$. If $c^{2} \leqslant 4(c+1) / 5$, then the case " $(c+1) / 5<a b<1 / 2$ " does not appear.

Proof. Let $f$ be as in Theorem 4.1, and $t=(1-r) /(1+r)$. Then $2 /(1+r)=$ $1+t, r=(1-t) /(1+t), r^{\prime 2}=4 t /(1+t)^{2}$, and $g(r)=(1+t) F(t)-F\left(4 t /(1+t)^{2}\right)-$ $\beta t=f(t)$. Hence the results in Corollary 4.2 follow from Theorem 4.1.

## 5. Extensions of identities (28) and (29)

In this section, we apply the results proved in Section 3 to extend the transformation identities (28) and (29) to zero-balanced hypergeometric functions by proving the following theorem and its corollary.

THEOREM 5.1. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $\eta=$ $1-16 \alpha / 3, \delta_{2}=(R-\log 64) / B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}(r) & =\eta(\sqrt{1+3 r}-1)=\frac{3 \eta}{1+\sqrt{1+3 r}} \\
h(r) & =\sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right)-P_{1}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b<3 / 16$, then $h$ is increasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left[0, \delta_{2}-\eta\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $h$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(r) \leqslant \sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right) \leqslant P_{1}(r)+\delta_{2}-\eta \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $3 / 16<a b<(19 / 16)-c$, then $h$ is decreasing from $[0,1)$ onto $\left(\delta_{2}-\eta, 0\right]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $h$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(r)+\delta_{2}-\eta \leqslant \sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right) \leqslant P_{1}(r) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $3 / 16<a b<3(c+1) / 32$ or $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16, h$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and neither the double inequality (76) nor (77) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ and all $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $3 / 16<$ $a b<3(c+1) / 32$ or $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16$. If $c^{2} \leqslant 3(c+1) / 8$, then the case " $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16$ " does not appear.

Proof. Set $y=1-[(1-r) /(1+3 r)]^{2}=8 r(1+r) /(1+3 r)^{2}$. Then $y>r>r^{2}$ for $r \in(0,1)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d r}=\frac{8(1-r)}{(1+3 r)^{3}}, \frac{1}{1-y} \frac{d y}{d r}=\frac{8}{(1-r)(1+3 r)} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $h(0)=0$. By (20) and (78), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h\left(1^{-}\right) & =\frac{1}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[\sqrt{1+3 r} \log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r^{2}}-\log \frac{e^{R}}{1-y}\right]-\eta \\
& =\frac{1}{B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[(\sqrt{1+3 r}-1) R+\sqrt{1+3 r} \log \frac{1}{1-r^{2}}-2 \log \frac{1+3 r}{1-r}\right]-\eta \\
& =(R-\log 64) / B-\eta=\delta_{2}-\eta . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $h_{1}(r)=h_{2}(r) / h_{3}(r)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{2}(r)=3 r^{\prime 2} \sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(r^{2}\right)+4 \alpha r(1+3 r)^{3 / 2} G\left(r^{2}\right)-16 \alpha(1+r) G(y), \\
& h_{3}(r)=r^{\prime 2} \sqrt{1+3 r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (22) and (78), and by differentiation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \sqrt{1+3 r} h^{\prime}(r)= & 3 F\left(r^{2}\right)+\frac{4 \alpha r(1+3 r)}{1-r^{2}} G\left(r^{2}\right)-\frac{16 \alpha G(y)}{(1-r) \sqrt{1+3 r}}-3 \eta \\
= & h_{1}(r)-3 \eta  \tag{80}\\
h_{2}^{\prime}(r)= & \frac{3\left(3-4 r-15 r^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{1+3 r}} F\left(r^{2}\right)+4 \alpha(1+9 r) \sqrt{1+3 r} G\left(r^{2}\right) \\
& +8 \alpha \bar{\alpha} r^{2}(1+3 r)^{3 / 2} F_{+}\left(r^{2}\right)-16 \alpha G(y)-\frac{128 \alpha \bar{\alpha} r^{\prime 2}}{(1+3 r)^{3}} F_{+}(y) . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $D_{4}=64[1-c+(a b-3 / 16) R] / B$. Since

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[16 a b r^{2}(1+3 r)^{2}-3\left(15 r^{2}+4 r-3\right)\right]=256(a b-3 / 16)
$$

it follows from (81), (15), (20) and (22)-(23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2}(0) & =3 \eta, h_{2}\left(1^{-}\right)=0, h_{2}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{9}{2}-4 \alpha\left(3+\frac{32 a b}{c+1}\right),  \tag{82}\\
h_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right) & =\frac{64}{B}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[8 \alpha \bar{\alpha} r^{2}(1+3 r)^{3 / 2} F_{+}\left(r^{2}\right)-\frac{3\left(15 r^{2}+4 r-3\right)}{2 \sqrt{1+3 r}} F\left(r^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{64}{B}+\frac{1}{4 B} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[16 a b r^{2}(1+3 r)^{2} \log \frac{e^{\bar{R}}}{1-r^{2}}-3\left(15 r^{2}+4 r-3\right) \log \frac{e^{R}}{1-r^{2}}\right] \\
& =D_{4}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{16 a b r^{2}(1+3 r)^{2}-3\left(15 r^{2}+4 r-3\right)}{4 B} \log \frac{1}{1-r^{2}} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\infty, \text { if } a b<3 / 16, \\
\infty, \text { if } a b>3 / 16 .
\end{array}\right. \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by (29), $\bar{F}_{0}(y)=\sqrt{1+3 r} \bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)$. Differentiating both sides of this identity with respect to $r$, and applying (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}_{0}(y)=(1-r) \sqrt{1+3 r}\left[\bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)+\frac{r(1+3 r)}{4\left(1-r^{2}\right)} \bar{G}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b<3 / 16$, then by Corollary 3.6(1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(y) \leqslant G\left(r^{2}\right) \bar{G}_{0}(y) / \bar{G}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
Let $f_{12}$ be as in Corollary 3.10. Then it follows from (22)-(23), (80) and (84)-(85) that

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}(r) & \geqslant 3 F\left(r^{2}\right)+\frac{4 \alpha r(1+3 r)}{1-r^{2}} G\left(r^{2}\right)-\frac{16 \alpha}{(1-r) \sqrt{1+3 r}} \frac{G\left(r^{2}\right)}{\bar{G}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)} \bar{G}_{0}(y) \\
& =3\left[F\left(r^{2}\right)-\frac{16 \alpha G\left(r^{2}\right)}{3 \bar{G}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)} \bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]=3 f_{12}\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equality in (86) holds if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$. Hence by Corollary 3.10(1) and (80),

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 h^{\prime}(r) \sqrt{1+3 r}=h_{1}(r)-3 \eta \geqslant 3\left[f_{12}\left(r^{2}\right)-\eta\right] \geqslant 0, r \in(0,1) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$. This yields the monotonicity of $h$.

Since $\sqrt{1+3 r}-1=3 r /(1+\sqrt{1+3 r})$, (76) follows from the monotonicity of $h$. The remaining conclusions in part (1) are clear.
(2) With the conditions in part (2), each inequality in (85)-(87) is reversed by Corollaries 3.6(2) and 3.10(2). Hence part (2) follows.
(3) It follows from (80) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \frac{\sqrt{1+3 r}}{r} h^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{h_{2}(r)-3 \eta+3 \eta\left[(1-\sqrt{1+3 r})+r^{2} \sqrt{1+3 r}\right]}{r r^{\prime 2} \sqrt{1+3 r}} \\
& =\frac{h_{2}(r)-3 \eta}{r r^{\prime 2} \sqrt{1+3 r}}-\frac{9 \eta}{r^{\prime 2}(1+\sqrt{1+3 r}) \sqrt{1+3 r}}+3 \eta \frac{r}{r^{\prime 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (82) and l'Hôpital's rule,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^{\prime}(r)}{r} & =2 \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{1+3 r}}{r} h^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_{2}(r)-3 \eta}{r}-\frac{9 \eta}{2} \\
& =h_{2}^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)-\frac{9 \eta}{2}=\frac{128 \alpha}{c+1}\left[\frac{3(c+1)}{32}-a b\right] \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (80) and (82)-(83), and by l'Hôpital's rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
4 h^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right) & =h_{1}\left(1^{-}\right)-3 \eta=\frac{1}{4} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{h_{2}(r)}{1-r}-3 \eta \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} h_{2}^{\prime}\left(1^{-}\right)-3 \eta=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\infty, \text { if } a b<3 / 16 \\
-\infty, \text { if } a b>3 / 16
\end{array}\right. \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

If $3 / 16<a b<3(c+1) / 32$, then by (88) and (89), there exist $r_{15}, r_{16} \in(0,1)$ with $r_{15}<r_{16}$ such that $h^{\prime}(r)>0$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{15}\right)$, and $h^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in\left(r_{16}, 1\right)$. Hence $h$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and the second inequality in (76) (the second inequality in (77)) is reversed for $r \in\left[r_{16}, 1\right)\left(r \in\left(0, r_{15}\right]\right.$, respectively).

Similarly, if $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16$, then $h$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and neither the double inequality (76) nor (77) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$. The remaining conclusion is clear.

Corollary 5.2. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, let $\eta=1-16 \alpha / 3, \delta_{2}=$ $(R-\log 64) / B$ and

$$
P(r)=\frac{3 \eta(1-r)}{2+\sqrt{1+3 r}}, Q(r)=P(r)+\left(\delta_{2}-\eta\right) \sqrt{1+3 r}
$$

and define the function $H$ on $(0,1)$ by

$$
H(r)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+3 r}} F\left(a, b ; c ;\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-r^{2}\right)-\eta\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+3 r}}-1\right) .
$$

(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b<3 / 16$, then $H$ is decreasing from $(0,1]$ onto $\left[0, \delta_{2}-\eta\right)$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $H$ is strict. In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r) \leqslant 2 F\left(a, b ; c ;\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right)-\sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-r^{2}\right) \leqslant Q(r) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
(2) If $a b \geqslant \max \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $3 / 16<a b<(19 / 16)-c$, then $H$ is increasing from $(0,1]$ onto $\left(\delta_{2}-\eta, 0\right]$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$, then the monotonicity of $H$ is strict.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(r) \leqslant 2 F\left(a, b ; c ;\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right)-\sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-r^{2}\right) \leqslant P(r) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 4$ and $b=3 / 4$.
(3) In other cases not stated in parts (1)-(2), that is, $3 / 16<a b<3(c+1) / 32$ or $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16, H$ is not monotone on $(0,1)$, and neither the double inequality (90) nor (91) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ and $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $3 / 16<a b<$ $3(c+1) / 32$ or $3(c+1) / 32<a b<3 / 16$. If $c^{2} \leqslant 3(c+1) / 8$, then the case " $3(c+$ 1) $/ 32<a b<3 / 16$ " does not appear.

Proof. Put $t=(1-r) /(1+3 r)$. Then $r=(1-t) /(1+3 t), 1+3 r=4 /(1+3 t)$ and
$H(r)=\sqrt{1+3 t} F\left(a, b ; c ; t^{2}\right)-F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-\left(\frac{1-t}{1+3 t}\right)^{2}\right)-\eta(\sqrt{1+3 t}-1)=h(t)$,
where $h$ is as in Theorem 5.1. Hence the results in Corollary 5.2 follow from Theorem 5.1.

## 6. Transformation inequalities for the generalized Grötzsch ring function

For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $r \in(0,1)$, the generalized Grötzsch ring function is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a, b}(r)=\frac{B(a, b)}{2} \frac{F\left(a, b ; c ; 1-r^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, b ; c ; r^{2}\right)} . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<a \leqslant 1 / 2$, the function $\mu_{a} \equiv \mu_{a, 1-a}$ is also said to be the generalized Grötzsch ring function, while $\mu \equiv \mu_{1 / 2}$ is exactly the well-known Grötzsch ring function in quasiconformal theory. The function $\mu_{a, b}$ has applications in several fields of mathematics such as the theories of quasiconformal mappings and Ramanujan's modular equations. (Cf. [2, 3, 12, 24, 33, 34, 40, 59, 69]). Many properties of the functions $\mu$ and $\mu_{a}$ have been revealed. However, only a few results have been obtained for the function $\mu_{a, b}$. In this section, we apply the results proved in Sections 2-3 to show several properties of $\mu_{a, b}$.

It is well known that the function $\mu$ satisfies the following Landen transformation identity (cf. [3, 24])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(r)=2 \mu\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right), \mu(r) \mu\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) \equiv \frac{\pi^{2}}{2}, r \in(0,1) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is clear that for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a, b}(r) \mu_{a, b}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=B^{2} / 4 \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t=[(1-r) /(1+3 r)]^{2}$. Since $\sqrt{1-t}=2 \sqrt{2 r(1+r)} /(1+3 r)$, it follows from (13), (28)-(29), (92) and (94) that

$$
\mu_{1 / 4}(r)=\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi}{2} \frac{\bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{\bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi \bar{F}_{0}(t)}{\bar{F}_{0}(1-t)}=2 \mu_{1 / 4}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2 r(1+r)}}{1+3 r}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\mu_{1 / 4}(\sqrt{t})}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1 / 4}(r)=2 \mu_{1 / 4}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2 r(1+r)}}{1+3 r}\right), \mu_{1 / 4}(r) \mu_{1 / 4}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right) \equiv \pi^{2} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show several properties of $\mu_{a, b}$, and extend (93) and (95) to $\mu_{a, b}(r)$. First, we prove the following theorem, which gives the relations between $\mu_{a, b}(r)$ and $\mu_{a_{1}, b_{1}}(r)$.

THEOREM 6.1. For $a, b, a_{1}, b_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and $c_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{16}(r)=\mu_{a, b}(r) / \mu_{a_{1}, b_{1}}(r)$.
(1) If $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}\left(a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}\right)$, or if $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$ with $R \geqslant R_{1}$, then $f_{16}$ is increasing (decreasing) from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(1, B^{2} / B_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\left(B^{2} / B_{1}^{2}, 1\right)\right.$, respectively). Moreover, if $(a, b) \neq\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, then the monotonicity properties of $f_{16}$ are
strict. In particular, if $a b \leqslant \min \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, or if $a_{1} b_{1}<a b<c \alpha_{1}$ with $R \geqslant R_{1}$, then for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}^{2} \mu_{a_{1}, b_{1}}(r) \leqslant B_{1}^{2} \mu_{a, b}(r) \leqslant B^{2} \mu_{a_{1}, b_{1}}(r) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $(a, b)=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$. If $a b \geqslant \max \left\{a_{1} b_{1}, c \alpha_{1}\right\}$, then each inequality in (96) is reversed.
(2) For $a_{1} \in(0,1 / 2]$, let $b_{1}=1-a_{1}$. If $a b \leqslant a_{1}\left(1-a_{1}\right) \min \{1, c\}$, or if $a_{1}(1-$ $\left.a_{1}\right)<a b<c a_{1}\left(1-a_{1}\right)$ with $R \geqslant R\left(a_{1}\right)$, then for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a_{1}}(r) \leqslant \mu_{a, b}(r) \leqslant B^{2}\left[\pi \sin \left(a_{1} \pi\right)\right]^{-2} \mu_{a_{1}}(r) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $(a, b)=\left(a_{1}, 1-a_{1}\right)$. If $a b \geqslant a_{1}(1-$ $\left.a_{1}\right) \max \{1, c\}$, then each inequality in (97) is reversed.

Proof. (1) Let $f_{1}$ be as in Theorem 2.6. Then by (92), $f_{16}(r)$ can be written as $f_{16}(r)=B f_{1}\left(r^{\prime 2}\right) /\left[B_{1} f_{1}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$. Hence the monotonicity properties of $f_{16}$ follow from Theorem 2.6(1)-(2).

The double inequality (96) and its equality case, and the remaining conclusion are clear.
(2) Part (2) follows from part (1).

Corollary 6.2. (1) For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$, if $4 a b \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<4 a b<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 16$, then for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{2} \mu(r) \leqslant \pi^{2} \mu_{a, b}(r) \leqslant B^{2} \mu(r) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=b=1 / 2$. Each inequality in (98) is reversed if $4 a b \geqslant \max \{1, c\}$.
(2) For all $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ and $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(r) \leqslant \mu_{a}(r) \leqslant \mu(r) \sin ^{-2}(a \pi) \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$.
Proof. Taking $a_{1}=b_{1}=1 / 2$, we obtain part (1) from Theorem 6.1 and (13). Part (2) is the special case of part (1) when $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ and $b=1-a$, in which case $c=1$ and $4 a b=4 a(1-a) \leqslant 1=\min \{1, c\}$.

COROLLARY 6.3. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$, if $4 a b \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<4 a b<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 16$, then for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{a, b}(r) & \leqslant 2 \mu_{a, b}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) \leqslant\left(\frac{B}{\pi}\right)^{2} \mu_{a, b}(r),  \tag{100}\\
\frac{\pi^{2}}{2} & \leqslant \mu_{a, b}(r) \mu_{a, b}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) \leqslant \frac{B^{2}}{2}, \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=b=1 / 2$. If $4 a b \geqslant \max \{1, c\}$, then each inequality in (100) and (101) is reversed.

Proof. Let $f_{1}$ be as in Theorem 2.6, $f_{17}(r)=\left.f_{1}(r)\right|_{a_{1}=b_{1}=1 / 2}, t=2 \sqrt{r} /(1+r)$, $F_{1 / 2}(r)=F(1 / 2,1 / 2 ; 1 ; r), f_{18}(r)=f_{17}\left(r^{\prime 2}\right) / f_{17}\left(r^{2}\right), f_{19}(r)=2 \mu_{a, b}(t) / \mu_{a, b}(r)$ and $f_{20}(r)=\mu_{a, b}(r) \mu_{a, b}((1-r) /(1+r))$. Then by (92)-(94),

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{19}(r) & =\frac{F\left(t^{\prime 2}\right)}{F_{1 / 2}\left(t^{\prime 2}\right)} \cdot \frac{F_{1 / 2}\left(t^{2}\right)}{F\left(t^{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{F\left(r^{2}\right)}{F_{1 / 2}\left(r^{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{F_{1 / 2}\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{F\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)} \cdot \frac{2 \mu(t)}{\mu(r)} \\
& =\frac{f_{17}\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{f_{17}\left(t^{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{f_{17}\left(r^{2}\right)}{f_{17}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}=\frac{f_{18}(t)}{f_{18}(r)}  \tag{102}\\
f_{20}(r) & =\frac{B^{2} \mu_{a, b}(r)}{4 \mu_{a, b}(t)}=\frac{B^{2}}{2 f_{19}(r)} . \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

If $4 a b \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<4 a b<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 16$, then by Theorem 2.6(1) and (13), $f_{18}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / B, B / \pi)$. If $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1 / 2)$, then the monotonicity of $f_{18}$ is strict. Hence it follows from (102)-(103) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
1=\frac{f_{18}(r)}{f_{18}(r)} \leqslant \frac{f_{18}(t)}{f_{18}(r)}=f_{19}(r) \leqslant \frac{f_{18}(1)}{f_{18}(0)}=\left(\frac{B}{\pi}\right)^{2}  \tag{104}\\
\pi^{2} / 2 \leqslant f_{20}(r) \leqslant B^{2} / 2 \tag{105}
\end{gather*}
$$

with equality in each inequality if and only if $a=b=1 / 2$. This yields (100)-(101) and their equality case.

If $4 a b \geqslant \max \{1, c\}$, then $f_{18}$ is decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(B / \pi, \pi / B)$, and the monotonicity of $f_{18}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1 / 2)$. Hence each inequality in (100)(101) is reversed.

COROLLARY 6.4. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$, if $16 a b / 3 \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<16 a b / 3<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 64$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{a, b}(r) & \leqslant 2 \mu_{a, b}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2 r(1+r)}}{1+3 r}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{B}{\pi}\right)^{2} \mu_{a, b}(r)  \tag{106}\\
\pi^{2} & \leqslant \mu_{a, b}(r) \mu_{a, b}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right) \leqslant \frac{B^{2}}{2} \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$, with equality in each instance if and only if $(a, b)=(1 / 4,3 / 4)$. If $16 a b / 3 \geqslant \max \{1, c\}$, then each inequality in (106)-(107) is reversed.

Proof. For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ and $r \in(0,1)$, let $f_{7}$ be as in Corollary 3.5, $y=1-[(1-$ $r) /(1+3 r)]^{2}=8 r(1+r) /(1+3 r)^{2}$,

$$
H_{1}(r)=\frac{\mu_{a, b}(r)}{\mu_{a, b}(\sqrt{y})}, H_{2}(r)=\mu_{a, b}(r) \mu_{a, b}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right), H_{3}(r)=\frac{f_{7}(1-r)}{f_{7}(r)}
$$

Then $\sqrt{y}=2 \sqrt{2 r(1+r)} /(1+3 r)$, and by (92) and (28)-(29),

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1}(r) & =\frac{F\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{F\left(r^{2}\right)} \frac{F(y)}{F(1-y)}=\frac{F(y)}{\bar{F}_{0}(y)} \frac{\bar{F}_{0}(1-y)}{F(1-y)} \frac{F\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{\bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)} \frac{\bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)}{F\left(r^{2}\right)} \frac{\bar{F}_{0}(y) \bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{\bar{F}_{0}(1-y) \bar{F}_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)} \\
& =2 \frac{f_{7}(y)}{f_{7}(1-y)} \frac{f_{7}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{f_{7}\left(r^{2}\right)}=2 \frac{H_{3}\left(r^{2}\right)}{H_{3}(y)}  \tag{108}\\
H_{2}(r) & =\frac{\mu_{a, b}(r)}{\mu_{a, b}(\sqrt{y})} \mu_{a, b}(\sqrt{y}) \mu_{a, b}(\sqrt{1-y})=\frac{B^{2}}{4} H_{1}(r) \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

If $16 a b / 3 \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<16 a b / 3<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 64$, then by Corollary 3.5(1), $H_{3}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\sqrt{2} \pi / B, B /(\sqrt{2} \pi))$, and the monotonicity of $H_{3}$ is strict if $(a, b) \neq(1 / 4,3 / 4)$. Since $y>r>r^{2}$ for $r \in(0,1)$, it follows from (108) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \pi^{2} / B^{2}=2 H_{3}(0) / H_{3}(1) \leqslant H_{1}(r) \leqslant 2 H_{3}(y) / H_{3}(y)=2 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each inequality in (110) if and only if $(a, b)=(1 / 4,3 / 4)$. This, together with (109), yields (106) -(107) and their equality case.

The remaining conclusion follows from Corollary 3.5(2) and (108)-(109).

REMARK 6.5. The double inequalities (100) and (101) extend the identities in (93) to $\mu_{a, b}(r)$, and Corollary 6.4 extends (95) to $\mu_{a, b}(r)$. By Theorem 6.1, one can apply the known identities and bounds of $\mu(r)$ and $\mu_{1 / 4}(r)$ to obtain inequalities for $\mu_{a, b}(r)$, although such kind of inequalities may be not sharp enough. For example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\frac{\pi}{B}\right)^{2} \mu_{a, b}(r) \leqslant \mu_{1 / 4}(r)=2 \mu_{1 / 4}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2(1+r)}}{1+3 r}\right) \leqslant 2 \mu_{a, b}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2(1+r)}}{1+3 r}\right) \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $16 a b / 3 \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, by (95) and (96). However, by Corollary 3.5(1), $B \geqslant \sqrt{2} \pi$ in this case, and hence the lower bound in (111) is less than that in (106) if $(a, b) \neq$ $(1 / 4,3 / 4)$.

## 7. Concluding remarks

(i) We can derive some properties of $\mathscr{K}(r), \mathscr{E}(r), \mathscr{K}_{a}(r)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{a}(r)$ from the results obtained in Section 3, which are even probably new. We only give several examples below.

Letting $f_{1}$ be as in Theorem 2.6 with $a_{1}=b_{1}=1 / 2$, we obtain the following conclusions: For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, if $4 a b \leqslant \min \{1, c\}(4 a b \geqslant \max \{1, c\})$, or if $1<4 a b<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 16$, then the function $f_{21}(r) \equiv F\left(r^{2}\right) / \mathscr{K}(r)=2 f_{1}\left(r^{2}\right) / \pi$ is decreasing (increasing) from $[0,1)$ onto $(2 / B, 2 / \pi]([2 / \pi, 2 / B)$, respectively). In particular, for $r \in(0,1)$, if $4 a b \leqslant \min \{1, c\}$, or if $1<4 a b<c$ with $R \geqslant \log 16$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{B} \mathscr{K}(r) \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant \mathscr{K}(r), r \in(0,1) \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=b=1 / 2$. Each inequality in (112) is reversed if $4 a b \geqslant \max \{1, c\}$.

Taking $a_{1}=b_{1}=1 / 2$ in Lemma 2.7, we obtain the following conclusions: For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$, and for $r \in(0,1)$, if $a b \leqslant \min \{(5 / 4)-c,(c+1) / 8\}$ or $(5 / 4)-c<a b<1 / 4$, then for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{\pi}{B}+\frac{2}{B} \mathscr{K}(r) \leqslant F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right) \leqslant 1-4 \alpha+\frac{8 \alpha}{\pi} \mathscr{K}(r) \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=b=1 / 2$. If $a b \geqslant \max \{(5 / 4)-c,(c+$ 1) $/ 8\}$ or $1 / 4<a b<(5 / 4)-c$, then each inequality in (113) is reversed.

Taking $c=1$ in (50), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}+\left[\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}-1\right] \sin (\pi a)<\mathscr{K}_{a}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{3 \pi a(1-a)}{2}\left[\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}-1\right], r \in(0,1) \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we know, many good results for $\mathscr{K}(r)$ have been obtained, including sharp lower and upper bounds expressed in terms of elementary functions. Applying (112)(113) (or their reversed double inequalities) and the known functional inequalities satisfied by $\mathscr{K}(r)$, one can obtain lower and upper bounds given in terms of elementary functions for the function $F(a, b ; a+b ; r)$.

Let $c=a+b$ for $a, b \in(0, \infty)$. Then it follows from (2), (18) and [2, Theorem 4.1] that

$$
\frac{d \mathscr{K}_{a}}{d r}=\pi a(1-a) \frac{r}{1-r^{2}} F\left(a, 1-a ; 2 ; r^{2}\right)=2(1-a) \frac{\mathscr{E}_{a}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathscr{K}_{a}(r)}{r r^{\prime 2}}
$$

which yields

$$
\mathscr{E}_{a}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathscr{K}_{a}(r)=\frac{\pi a}{2} r^{2} F\left(a, 1-a ; 2 ; r^{2}\right)
$$

Hence it follows from (49) that for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sin (\pi a)}{2(1-a)} \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}<\mathscr{E}_{a}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathscr{K}_{a}(r)<\frac{3 \pi a}{4} \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}  \tag{115}\\
\frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}<\mathscr{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathscr{K}(r)<3 \pi \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth}(r)}{8 r} \tag{116}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Applying the related results in Sections 2-5, one can obtain the bounds for the quotients

$$
\frac{(1+r) F(r)}{F\left(\frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right)}, \frac{(1+r) F\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{F\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)}, \frac{\sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(r^{2}\right)}{F\left(1-\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right)} \text { and } \frac{\sqrt{1+3 r} F\left(r^{\prime 2}\right)}{F\left(\left(\frac{1-r}{1+3 r}\right)^{2}\right)}
$$

As an example, we only give the following inequalities: For $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ with $c=a+b$ and for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant \frac{(1+r) F(r)}{F\left(4 r /(1+r)^{2}\right)} \leqslant \frac{B}{2} \text { if } a b \leqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c}{3}\right\} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B}{2} \leqslant \frac{(1+r) F(r)}{F\left(4 r /(1+r)^{2}\right)} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { if } a b \geqslant \max \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c}{3}\right\} \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$. As a matter of fact, if $a b \leqslant \min \{1 / 2, c / 3\}$ and $x=4 r /(1+r)^{2}$, then it follows from Corollary 3.1 and (26) that

$$
1=\frac{f_{4}(r)}{f_{4}(r)} \leqslant \frac{(1+r) F(r)}{F(x)}=\frac{F_{0}(x)}{F(x)} \cdot \frac{F(r)}{F_{0}(r)}=\frac{f_{4}(r)}{f_{4}(x)} \leqslant \frac{f_{4}(0)}{f_{4}(1)}=\frac{B}{2}
$$

where $f_{4}$ is as in Corollary 3.1. The second and fifth equalities hold if and only if $a=1 / 2$ and $b=1$. This yields the double inequality (117) and its equality case. Similarly, we can prove (118) and its equality case.
(iii) By applying the results in Section 6, one can obtain some properties of the so-called generalized Hersch-Pluger distortion function $\varphi_{K}(a, b, r) \equiv \mu_{a, b}^{-1}\left(\mu_{a, b}(r) / K\right)$, which can express the solutions of Ramanujan's modular equations (cf. [2, 3, 10]). These results will be presented in a separate paper.
(iv) Conjecture. Let $f$ and $h$ be as in Theorem 4.1 and in Theorem 5.1, respectively. Our computation seems to show that the following conjecture is true.

CONJECTURE 7.1. If $a b \leqslant \min \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $2-c<a b<1 / 2(a b \geqslant$ $\max \{2-c,(c+1) / 5\}$ or $1 / 2<a b<2-c)$, then $f$ is convex (concave, respectively) on $(0,1)$. If $a b \leqslant \min \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $(19 / 16)-c<a b<3 / 16$ $(a b \geqslant \max \{(19 / 16)-c, 3(c+1) / 32\}$ or $3 / 16<a b<(19 / 16)-c)$, then $h$ is convex (concave, respectively) on $(0,1)$. If this conjecture is true, then the double inequalities in Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 can be improved.
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